# Future IETF Framework v0.2

Martin, Rob, Alvaro IESG Retreat 2021

# Motivation

The IETF should plan ahead and be proactive.

Self-reflection is necessary.

## Framework for the Future IETF

### What is it?


- Work in Progress
- Roadmap for periodic review of the IETF to ensure the it functions effectively as an organization

### Where do we want to go and how do we get there?

Note: Does not imply anything is broken, or anything needs to change - only that it is a good idea to periodically check and evaluate.



Persistent Issues Aspirational Goals SWOT/PEST Where do we want to go?



# Implement and Manage

Define and Execute Objectives/Initiatives Metrics How do we get there?

### **Develop the Strategy**

IETF Mission
Core Values
Process BCPs
Do our documents reflect the path we want to be on?

# The Big Picture

Evaluate the Mission of the IETF (rfc3935)

Review the core values/cardinal principles (rfc3935)

Consider the relationship between the Mission and the organizations that make up the IETF ecosystem (IESG, IAB, LLC, etc.) (rfc3710, rfc2850, rfc8711, etc.)

Start Strategic Plan Definition

Period: 3-5 years

# Details (How do we get there?)

Continue work on Strategic Plan...

Objectives/Initiatives -- how are they measured?

 Includes actions/metrics to reach the goals and to maintain the status (when we're already where we want to be)

Division of labor: who is responsible? How is progress coordinated?

Consider whether the current process is the effective to accomplish the mission.

Evaluate how the IETF is performing against the mission.

Input: Big Picture outcomes, Strategic Plan, SWOT/PEST, etc.

# Metrics! (What does success/progress look like?)

This page intentionally left blank.

# Rinse and Repeat!

Where do we want to go and how do we get there?

Should start the process by answering this question and setting some base metrics.

Next Step: form a small group (5-7 people) to start going through this process, with IESG-wide discussions at every step.

# Community Engagement (Open Issue)

How should the community be engaged?

Should the community be engaged from the start (now!)?

Should the IESG carry out discussions, engage the community as progress is made, and changes are suggested?