
Employee Data Analysis using Excel



STUDENT NAME: Arif Syed.S


REGISTER NO: 312216659 (asunm1657312216659)

DEPARTMENT: B.COM (GENERAL)

COLLEGE: Christ COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE.



PROJECT TITLE



AGENDA

- 1.Problem Statement
- 2. Project Overview
- 3.End Users
- 4. Our Solution and Proposition
- 5.Dataset Description
- 6. Modelling Approach
- 7. Results and Discussion
- 8.Conclusion



PROBLEM STATEMENT

Analyzing Employee Type Distribution Objective:

To analyze the distribution of employee types (fixed term, permanent, temporary) across different departments and identify potential imbalances or disparities.

Scope:

•Data Analysis: Examination of the provided dataset, which includes departmental names, employee type counts, and total results.

•Departmental Comparison: Comparison of employee type distributions across various departments to identify any patterns or trends.

•Efficiency Assessment: Evaluation of the balance between fixed-term, permanent, and temporary employees in each department, considering factors such as workload, project requirements, and organizational goals.

•Recommendations: Formulation of recommendations for optimizing employee type distribution and improving departmental efficiency.

Expected Outcomes:

- •A comprehensive understanding of the employee type distribution within the organization.
- Identification of potential imbalances or disparities in employee type allocation.
- •Recommendations for improving employee type distribution and departmental efficiency.

Project Deliverables:

- Data analysis report, including key metrics and findings.
- •Comparative analysis of employee type distributions across departments.
- Assessment of employee type balance and identification of areas for improvement.
- •Recommendations for optimizing employee type allocation and improving departmental efficiency.

PROJECT OVERVIEW

Purpose:

To analyze the distribution of employee types (fixed term, permanent, temporary) across departments and identify areas for improvement.

Goals:

- Identify imbalances in employee type distribution.
- Assess the balance of employee types within departments.
- •Develop recommendations for optimizing employee type allocation.

Scope:

•Data analysis of departmental information, employee type counts, and total results.

- Comparative analysis across departments.
- Assessment of employee type balance.
- Recommendations for optimization.

Methodology:


- Data collection and analysis.
- Departmental comparison.
- ·Balance assessment.
- Recommendation development.



WHO ARE THE END USERS?

- •Directly affected by resource allocation decisions.
- May be impacted by changes resulting from the project
- •Employees working within the various departments of the organization.

OUR SOLUTION AND ITS VALUE PROPOSITION



Solution and Value Proposition:

Solution: Departmental Resource Allocation Optimization Framework.

Components: Data collection, analysis, comparison, assessment, and recommendations. Value Proposition: Improved efficiency, departmental performance, productivity, reduced costs, employee satisfaction, and informed decision-making.

Dataset Description

- Dataset: Contains information about departmental resource allocation.
- Fields: Department, Count Department, Count Name.
- Assumptions: "Count Name" likely represents individuals assigned to projects.
- Potential Analysis: Departmental size comparison, resource allocation analysis, efficiency assessment, bottleneck identification, comparison to departmental goals.
- Considerations: Data quality, privacy, and visualization.

THE 'WOW' IN OUR SOLUTION

Potential Situations in the Data

Uneven Resource Distribution: Departments with high or low

"Count - Name" compared to "Count - Department."

Project-Oriented Departments: High "Count - Name" relative to

"Count - Department."

Administrative or Support Functions: Low "Count - Name" relative to "Count - Department."

Inefficient Resource Utilization: High "Count - Name" with low productivity.

Overburdened Departments: Consistently high "Count - Name"





MODELLING

Data Cleaning and Preparation:

Handling Missing Values: Addressing any missing data points for "Count - Department" or "Count - Name."

Data Normalization: Ensuring consistency in data formats and units of measurement.

Outlier Detection and Correction: Identifying and addressing any extreme or unusual values that might skew the analysis.

Feature Engineering:

Creating Derived Metrics: Consider creating additional metrics such as "Resource Allocation Ratio" (Count - Name / Count - Department) to provide a more comprehensive understanding of resource utilization.

Categorical Encoding: If the "Department" field is categorical, converting it into a numerical format suitable for modeling.

Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA):

Visualization: Creating visualizations (e.g., histograms, scatter plots, box plots) to explore the distribution of variables, identify relationships, and detect patterns.

Correlation Analysis: Assessing the correlation between "Count - Department" and "Count - Name" to understand the relationship between departmental size and resource allocation.

Model Selection and Training:

Regression Analysis: Using regression models (e.g., linear regression, multiple regression) to predict the "Count - Name" based on the "Count - Department" and other relevant features.

Classification Models: If the goal is to classify departments into categories based on their resource allocation patterns, consider using classification models (e.g., decision trees, random forests, logistic regression).

Model Evaluation:

Performance Metrics: Assessing the model's performance using appropriate metrics (e.g., R-squared, mean squared error, accuracy, precision, recall, F1-score).

Cross-Validation: Evaluating the model's generalization ability using techniques like k-fold cross-validation.


Interpretation and Insights:

Understanding Model Coefficients: Interpreting the coefficients of the regression model to understand the impact of "Count - Department" and other features on "Count - Name."


Identifying Significant Predictors: Determining which features are most influential in predicting "Count - Name."

RESULTS

DEPARTMENT ANALYSIS



DEPARTMENT ANALYSIS



conclusion

- Uneven resource distribution.
- Project-oriented focus.
- Administrative and support functions.
- Inefficient resource utilization.
- Overburdened departments.

Recommendations:

- Re-evaluate resource allocation strategies.
- Implement balanced resource distribution.
- Promote strategic planning.
- Enhance efficiency and productivity.
- Address overburdened departments.