

1

Is  $x^2 + y^2 > 100$ ?

(1)  $2xy < 100 \rightarrow$  clearly insufficient: if  $x = y = 0$  then the answer will be NO but if  $x = 10$  and  $y = -10$  then the answer will be YES.

(2)  $(x + y)^2 > 200 \rightarrow x^2 + 2xy + y^2 > 200$ . Now, as  $(x - y)^2 \geq 0$  (square of any number is more than or equal to zero) then  $x^2 + y^2 \geq 2xy$  so we can safely substitute  $2xy$  with  $x^2 + y^2$  (as  $x^2 + y^2$  is at least as big as  $2xy$  then the inequality will still hold true)  $\rightarrow x^2 + (x^2 + y^2) + y^2 > 200 \rightarrow 2(x^2 + y^2) > 200 \rightarrow x^2 + y^2 > 100$ . Sufficient.

Answer: B.

could you please explain why you followed with  $(x - y)^2$  instead of  $(x + y)^2$ ? Shouldn't  $(x - y)^2$  be distributed as  $x^2 - 2xy + y^2$  in which case it will be different from the original expression? Also, when you transfer  $2xy$  to the other side from  $x^2 + 2xy + y^2$ , why do you keep it positive?

We have  $(x + y)^2 > 200$  which is the same as  $x^2 + 2xy + y^2 > 200$ .

Now, we need to find the relationship between  $x^2 + y^2$  and  $2xy$ .

Next, we know that  $(x - y)^2 \geq 0 \rightarrow x^2 - 2xy + y^2 \geq 0 \rightarrow x^2 + y^2 \geq 2xy$ .

So, we can safely substitute  $2xy$  with  $x^2 + y^2$  in  $x^2 + 2xy + y^2 > 200$  (as  $x^2 + y^2$  is at least as big as  $2xy$  then the inequality will still hold true)  $\rightarrow x^2 + (x^2 + y^2) + y^2 > 200 \rightarrow 2(x^2 + y^2) > 200 \rightarrow x^2 + y^2 > 100$ . Sufficient.

Is  $x^2 + y^2 > 100$ ?

(2)  $(x + y)^2 > 200$   
which means:  $x^2 + y^2 + 2xy > 200$

Now you know that  $2xy$  is less than or equal to  $x^2 + y^2$ .

If  $2xy$  is equal to  $(x^2 + y^2)$ ,  $(x^2 + y^2)$  will be greater than 100 since the total sum is greater than 200.

If  $2xy$  is less than  $(x^2 + y^2)$ , then anyway  $(x^2 + y^2)$  will be greater than 100 (which is half of 200).

So statement 2 is sufficient alone.

2

So I'd say the best way for this question would be to try boundary values.

$2r + 3s \leq 6$ ?  
Q: is ?

(1)  $3r + 2s = 6 \rightarrow$  very easy to see that this statement is not sufficient:

If  $r = 2$  and  $s = 0$  then  $2r + 3s = 4 < 6$ , so the answer is YES;

If  $r = 0$  and  $s = 3$  then  $2r + 3s = 9 > 6$ , so the answer is NO.  
Not sufficient.

(2)  $r \leq 3$  and  $s \leq 2 \rightarrow$  also very easy to see that this statement is not sufficient:

If  $r = 0$  and  $s = 0$  then  $2r + 3s = 0 < 6$ , so the answer is YES;

If  $r = 3$  and  $s = 2$  then  $2r + 3s = 12 > 6$ , so the answer is NO.  
Not sufficient.

(1)+(2) We already have an example for YES answer in (1) which valid for combined statements:

If  $r = 2 < 3$  and  $s = 0 < 2$  then  $2r + 3s = 4 < 6$ , so the answer is YES;

To get NO answer try max possible value of  $s$ , which is  $s = 2$ , then from (1)  $r = \frac{2}{3} < 3 \rightarrow 2r + 3s = \frac{4}{3} + 6 > 6$ , so the answer is NO.  
Not sufficient.

Answer: E.

3

If  $x$  and  $y$  are positive integers such that  $x = 8y + 12$ , what is the greatest common divisor of  $x$  and  $y$ ?

Given:  $x = 8y + 12$ .

(1)  $x = 12u$ , where  $u$  is an integer  $\rightarrow x = 12u \rightarrow 12u = 8y + 12 \rightarrow 3(u-1) = 2y \rightarrow$  the only thing we know from this is that 3 is a factor of  $y$ . Is it GCD of  $x$  and  $y$ ? Not clear: if  $x = 36$ , then  $y = 3$  and  $GCD(x, y) = 3$  but if  $x = 60$ , then  $y = 6$  and  $GCD(x, y) = 6 \rightarrow$  two different answers. Not sufficient.

(2)  $y = 12z$ , where  $z$  is an integer  $\rightarrow y = 12z \rightarrow x = 8 \cdot 12z + 12 \rightarrow x = 12(8z + 1)$ . So, we have  $y = 12z$  and  $x = 12(8z + 1)$ . Now, as  $z$  and  $8z + 1$  do not share any common factor but 1 ( $8z$  and  $8z + 1$  are consecutive integers and consecutive integers do not share any common factor but 1. As  $8z$  has all factors of  $z$  then  $z$  and  $8z + 1$  also do not share any common factor but 1). Thus, 12 must be GCD of  $x$  and  $y$ . Sufficient.

Answer: B.