Table 1: Generalized Linear Models: Ablation with respect to the dimensionality of the problem on 50 synthetic and 17 real-world datasets for scenarios 2 and 3. All results within two standard errors of the best average result for each scenario are marked in **bold**. Due to the limitations of the number of features in the real-world data, we can only use 9 real-world datasets for 10, 5 datasets for 20 and 1 dataset for 50 dimensions. Overall, we find that the advantages of the in-context learning approach to deteriorate for higher dimensionalities, with the variational inference methods using a Gaussian approximation performing the best for 10 and 20 dimensions. This finding is line with work by (Mittal et al., 2025a;b). For 50 dimensions we find that in many cases the used metrics do not allow to significantly discriminate the performance of the different approaches.

Scenario	Dim.	Model	Synthetic Evaluation			Real-World Evaluation		
	Dilli.		C2ST (↓)	MMD (↓)	$\mathcal{W}_2\left(\downarrow\right)$	C2ST (↓)	MMD (↓)	$\mathcal{W}_2\left(\downarrow\right)$
		Laplace Approximation	$1.000 (\pm 0.000)$	4.853 (± 2.333)	5.770 (± 5.946)	1.000 (± 0.000)	2.572 (± 0.206)	$0.809 (\pm 0.149)$
		VI: DiagonalNormal	$0.957 (\pm 0.091)$	$3.906 (\pm 2.679)$	$5.628 (\pm 6.092)$	$0.892 (\pm 0.044)$	$0.847 (\pm 0.389)$	$0.530 \ (\pm \ 0.175)$
Scenario 2	5	VI: MultivariateNormal	$0.910 (\pm 0.131)$	$3.407 (\pm 2.781)$	$5.584 (\pm 6.104)$	$0.820 (\pm 0.031)$	$0.243 \ (\pm \ 0.148)$	$0.408 (\pm 0.118)$
Scenario 2	3	VI: Structured Normal	$0.908 (\pm 0.119)$	$3.139 (\pm 2.763)$	$5.480 (\pm 6.164)$	$0.824 (\pm 0.023)$	$0.215~(\pm~0.110)$	$0.392 \ (\pm \ 0.109)$
		VI: IAF	$0.968 (\pm 0.063)$	$4.416 (\pm 2.473)$	$7.474 (\pm 6.235)$	$0.888 (\pm 0.067)$	$0.921 (\pm 0.860)$	$0.942 (\pm 0.733)$
		ICL (ours)	$0.839 (\pm 0.072)$	$0.707 (\pm 0.658)$	1.111 (\pm 0.300)	0.768 (\pm 0.033)	$0.143 (\pm 0.089)$	$0.411 (\pm 0.094)$
		Laplace Approximation	$1.000 (\pm 0.000)$	$2.182~(\pm~0.074)$	$2.089 (\pm 0.913)$	$1.000 (\pm 0.000)$	$2.088 (\pm 0.039)$	$1.430 (\pm 0.159)$
		VI: DiagonalNormal	$0.831 (\pm 0.206)$	$0.912 (\pm 0.762)$	1.574 (\pm 0.597)	$0.973 (\pm 0.010)$	$1.209 (\pm 0.376)$	$1.195 (\pm 0.213)$
Scenario 2	10	VI: MultivariateNormal	$0.733 (\pm 0.131)$	$0.182 (\pm 0.248)$	1.419 (± 0.595)	0.709 (± 0.055)	$0.096 (\pm 0.111)$	$0.848 (\pm 0.129)$
		VI: Structured Normal	$0.683 (\pm 0.039)$	$0.041 (\pm 0.032)$	1.339 (\pm 0.567)	0.676 (± 0.021)	$0.036 (\pm 0.030)$	$0.844 (\pm 0.108)$
		VI: IAF	$0.909 (\pm 0.108)$	$1.178 (\pm 1.142)$	$2.702 (\pm 0.611)$	$0.957 (\pm 0.030)$	$1.120 (\pm 0.445)$	$2.238 (\pm 0.463)$
		ICL (ours)	$0.940 (\pm 0.037)$	$1.106 (\pm 0.936)$	$2.816 (\pm 0.494)$	$0.951 (\pm 0.021)$	$1.112 (\pm 0.358)$	$2.490 (\pm 0.389)$
		Laplace Approximation	$1.000 (\pm 0.000)$	$2.314 (\pm 0.237)$	$3.069 (\pm 1.168)$	$1.000 (\pm 0.000)$	$2.222 (\pm 0.018)$	$2.847 (\pm 0.305)$
		VI: DiagonalNormal	$0.904 (\pm 0.168)$	$1.292 (\pm 0.937)$	$2.863 (\pm 0.919)$	$0.990 (\pm 0.009)$	$1.277 (\pm 0.452)$	$2.483 (\pm 0.318)$
Scenario 2	20	VI: MultivariateNormal	$0.851 (\pm 0.134)$	$0.492 (\pm 0.547)$	2.694 (\pm 0.916)	$0.843 (\pm 0.069)$	$0.243 (\pm 0.170)$	2.166 (\pm 0.266)
Seemano 2	20	VI: Structured Normal	$0.697 (\pm 0.065)$	$0.070 (\pm 0.099)$	2.497 (\pm 0.993)	$0.655 (\pm 0.031)$	$0.029 (\pm 0.025)$	2.191 (\pm 0.271)
		VI: IAF	$0.916 (\pm 0.110)$	$1.062 (\pm 1.076)$	$4.191 (\pm 0.623)$	$0.952 (\pm 0.025)$	$0.515 (\pm 0.242)$	$3.331 (\pm 0.371)$
		ICL (ours)	$0.955 (\pm 0.057)$	$1.131 (\pm 1.035)$	$4.945 (\pm 0.836)$	0.968 (± 0.020)	$0.724 (\pm 0.278)$	$4.356 (\pm 0.302)$
		Laplace Approximation	$1.000 (\pm 0.000)$	$2.437 (\pm 0.271)$	5.728 (\pm 1.358)	1.000 (± nan)	$2.350 (\pm nan)$	$5.620 (\pm nan)$
		VI: DiagonalNormal	$0.853 (\pm 0.182)$	$0.787 (\pm 0.687)$	6.224 (\pm 1.225)	$0.996 (\pm nan)$	$1.080 (\pm \text{nan})$	$5.426 (\pm \text{nan})$
Scenario 2	50	VI: MultivariateNormal	$0.878 \ (\pm \ 0.150)$	$0.688 (\pm 0.620)$	6.206 (\pm 1.244)	0.994 (± nan)	$0.791 (\pm nan)$	$5.305 (\pm nan)$
Seemano 2	20	VI: Structured Normal	$0.865 (\pm 0.081)$	0.186 (\pm 0.169)	$5.874 (\pm 1.233)$	$0.819 (\pm nan)$	$0.093 (\pm nan)$	$5.660 (\pm \text{nan})$
		VI: IAF	$0.909 (\pm 0.130)$	$0.649 (\pm 0.650)$	$7.465 (\pm 0.335)$	$0.985 (\pm nan)$	$0.426 (\pm \text{nan})$	6.426 (\pm nan)
		ICL (ours)	$0.972 \ (\pm \ 0.039)$	$0.741 (\pm 0.713)$	$8.313 (\pm 0.608)$	$0.971 (\pm \text{nan})$	$0.405 (\pm \text{nan})$	$7.718 (\pm nan)$
		Laplace Approximation	$1.000 \ (\pm \ 0.000)$	$2.203~(\pm~0.997)$	$1.170 (\pm 0.949)$	$1.000 (\pm 0.000)$	$1.841~(\pm~0.185)$	$0.729 (\pm 0.175)$
		VI: DiagonalNormal	$0.866 (\pm 0.101)$	$1.069 (\pm 1.150)$	$0.846 (\pm 0.747)$	$0.797 (\pm 0.083)$	$0.526 (\pm 0.361)$	$0.480 (\pm 0.207)$
Scenario 3	5	VI: MultivariateNormal	$0.656 (\pm 0.131)$	$0.445 (\pm 1.061)$	$0.660 (\pm 0.737)$	0.560 (± 0.035)	$0.032 (\pm 0.028)$	$0.249 (\pm 0.069)$
	J	VI: Structured Normal	$0.653 (\pm 0.125)$	$0.421 (\pm 0.993)$	$0.659 (\pm 0.736)$	$0.552 (\pm 0.028)$	$0.027 (\pm 0.015)$	$0.239 (\pm 0.055)$
		VI: IAF	$0.751 (\pm 0.148)$	$0.939 (\pm 1.349)$	$0.964 (\pm 0.924)$	0.673 (± 0.141)	$0.399 (\pm 0.543)$	$0.563 (\pm 0.433)$
		ICL (ours)	0.611 (\pm 0.070)	0.089 (± 0.114)	$0.423 (\pm 0.348)$	0.576 (± 0.027)	$0.037 (\pm 0.026)$	$0.257 (\pm 0.044)$
		Laplace Approximation	$1.000 (\pm 0.000)$	$2.142 (\pm 0.486)$	$2.529 (\pm 1.498)$	1.000 (± 0.000)	$2.018 (\pm 0.055)$	$1.558 (\pm 0.296)$
	10	VI: DiagonalNormal	$0.858 (\pm 0.149)$	$0.960 (\pm 1.131)$	1.951 (± 1.093)	$0.938 (\pm 0.028)$	$1.152 (\pm 0.631)$	1.376 (\pm 0.558)
Scenario 3		VI: MultivariateNormal VI: Structured Normal	0.691 (± 0.108)	0.236 (± 0.546)	1.695 (± 1.095)	0.632 (± 0.077)	0.143 (\pm 0.252)	0.915 (± 0.380)
		VI: Structured Normal VI: IAF	$0.639 (\pm 0.081)$ $0.865 (\pm 0.157)$	0.080 (\pm 0.176) 1.384 (\pm 1.347)	1.559 (\pm 1.010) 3.180 (\pm 1.040)	0.607 (\pm 0.058) 0.943 (\pm 0.044)	0.097 (\pm 0.190) 1.051 (\pm 0.550)	0.868 (\pm 0.334) 2.240 (\pm 0.704)
		ICL (ours)	$0.863 (\pm 0.157)$ $0.787 (\pm 0.154)$	$0.824 (\pm 0.722)$	$3.556 (\pm 0.833)$	$0.943 (\pm 0.044)$ $0.883 (\pm 0.017)$	$0.906 (\pm 0.146)$	$3.335 (\pm 0.371)$
		. ,	` '	` '	. ,		` '	
Scenario 3	20	Laplace Approximation	$1.000 (\pm 0.000)$	$2.726 (\pm 1.116)$	$4.127 (\pm 1.927)$ 3.933 (± 1.574)	$1.000 (\pm 0.000)$	$2.234 (\pm 0.092)$	$3.589 (\pm 0.519)$
		VI: DiagonalNormal VI: MultivariateNormal	0.912 (\pm 0.134) 0.863 (\pm 0.113)	1.704 (\pm 1.467) 0.937 (\pm 1.174)	3.754 (\pm 1.650)	$0.983 (\pm 0.014)$ $0.796 (\pm 0.099)$	1.298 (\pm 0.443) 0.268 (\pm 0.226)	3.147 (\pm 0.557) 2.645 (\pm 0.466)
		VI: Structured Normal	0.768 (\pm 0.113)	$0.302 (\pm 0.518)$	3.151 (\pm 1.663)	$0.790 (\pm 0.099)$ $0.722 (\pm 0.073)$	0.131 (\pm 0.141)	2.579 (\pm 0.399)
		VI: IAF	0.708 (\pm 0.109) 0.908 (\pm 0.133)	1.657 (\pm 1.476)	$5.543 (\pm 1.120)$	$0.722 (\pm 0.073)$ $0.936 (\pm 0.041)$	$0.548 (\pm 0.341)$	$3.678 (\pm 0.670)$
		ICL (ours)	0.903 (\pm 0.133) 0.902 (\pm 0.076)	1.057 (\pm 1.470) 1.053 (\pm 0.782)	$6.206 (\pm 0.783)$	$0.930 (\pm 0.041)$ $0.932 (\pm 0.019)$	$0.635 (\pm 0.183)$	$5.281 (\pm 0.317)$
Scenario 3								
		Laplace Approximation VI: DiagonalNormal	1.000 (\pm 0.000) 0.870 (\pm 0.127)	$2.700 (\pm 0.789)$ 1.154 (± 1.321)	8.841 (± 1.691) 9.180 (± 1.513)	1.000 (± nan) 0.997 (± nan)	2.348 (\pm nan) 1.393 (\pm nan)	7.049 (\pm nan) 6.791 (\pm nan)
		VI: DiagonalNormal VI: MultivariateNormal						
	50	VI: MultivariateNormal	0.896 (\pm 0.101) 0.873 (\pm 0.112)	1.027 (\pm 1.157) 0.539 (\pm 0.667)	9.175 (\pm 1.555) 9.118 (\pm 1.538)	0.998 (± nan) 0.958 (± nan)	$1.092 (\pm nan)$ $0.420 (\pm nan)$	6.667 (\pm nan) 6.665 (\pm nan)
		VI: Structured Normal VI: IAF	0.869 (\pm 0.112)	$0.539 (\pm 0.007)$ $0.751 (\pm 0.939)$	9.118 (\pm 1.538) 9.917 (\pm 0.870)	0.938 (\pm nan) 0.971 (\pm nan)	$0.420 (\pm \text{nan})$ $0.417 (\pm \text{nan})$	$7.411 (\pm \text{nan})$
		ICL (ours)	0.809 (\pm 0.124) 0.931 (\pm 0.062)	0.781 (\pm 0.939) 0.784 (\pm 0.884)	$10.063 (\pm 0.930)$	$0.971 (\pm \text{ nan})$ 0.965 (± nan)	$0.417 (\pm nan)$ 0.347 (± nan)	$8.482 (\pm \text{nan})$
		ICL (Ours)	0.931 (± 0.002)	U.704 (± U.004)	10.003 (± 0.930)	0.505 (± Hall)	0.347 (± Hall)	0. + 0∠ (± Hall)

Table 2: Generalized Linear Models: Ablation with respect to the of the problem on 50 synthetic and 17 real-world datasets for scenario 5. All results within two standard errors of the best average result for each scenario are marked in **bold**. Due to the limitations of the number of features in the real-world data, we can only use 9 real-world datasets for 10, 5 datasets for 20 and 1 dataset for 50 dimensions. The results for scenario 5 are in line with those of scenarios 2 and 3 demonstrating that the proposed in-context learning procedure can produce samples with high agreement to HMC for small dimensionalities with quality deteriorating for more dimensions.

Scenario	ъ.	Model	Synthetic Evaluation			Real-World Evaluation		
	Dim.		C2ST (↓)	MMD (↓)	$W_2(\downarrow)$	C2ST (\(\psi \)	MMD (↓)	$W_2(\downarrow)$
Scenario 5		Laplace Approximation	$1.000 (\pm 0.000)$	2.060 (± 0.472)	$0.797 (\pm 0.577)$	1.000 (± 0.000)	1.982 (± 0.126)	$0.623 (\pm 0.084)$
		VI: DiagonalNormal	$0.866 (\pm 0.085)$	$0.954 (\pm 1.022)$	$0.651 (\pm 0.549)$	$0.810 (\pm 0.036)$	$0.441 (\pm 0.252)$	$0.384 (\pm 0.089)$
	~	VI: MultivariateNormal	$0.765 (\pm 0.100)$	$0.537 (\pm 1.019)$	$0.633 (\pm 1.067)$	$0.711 (\pm 0.038)$	$0.148 (\pm 0.093)$	$0.279 (\pm 0.056)$
	5	VI: Structured Normal	$0.758 (\pm 0.098)$	$0.447~(\pm~0.818)$	$0.572 (\pm 0.816)$	$0.705 (\pm 0.032)$	$0.140~(\pm~0.081)$	0.269 (± 0.045)
		VI: IAF	$0.814 (\pm 0.105)$	$0.953 (\pm 1.165)$	$0.881 (\pm 1.067)$	$0.777 (\pm 0.106)$	$0.684 (\pm 0.939)$	$0.625 (\pm 0.525)$
		ICL (ours)	$0.621 \ (\pm \ 0.063)$	$0.067 (\pm 0.080)$	$0.299 (\pm 0.195)$	0.610 (\pm 0.045)	0.046 (± 0.020)	$0.242 \ (\pm \ 0.038)$
		Laplace Approximation	1.000 (± 0.000)	2.152 (± 0.227)	1.640 (± 0.945)	1.000 (± 0.000)	2.134 (± 0.045)	$1.084 (\pm 0.248)$
		VI: DiagonalNormal	$0.907 (\pm 0.117)$	$0.958 (\pm 0.749)$	1.258 (\pm 0.704)	$0.913 (\pm 0.021)$	$0.498 (\pm 0.170)$	$0.755 (\pm 0.200)$
	10	VI: MultivariateNormal	$0.853 (\pm 0.092)$	$0.387 (\pm 0.423)$	1.129 (\pm 0.692)	$0.850 (\pm 0.021)$	$0.181 (\pm 0.092)$	0.629 (± 0.161)
Scenario 5	10	VI: Structured Normal	$0.836 (\pm 0.084)$	$0.275 (\pm 0.334)$	$1.066 (\pm 0.691)$	$0.838 (\pm 0.021)$	$0.133 (\pm 0.053)$	$0.621 (\pm 0.140)$
		VI: IAF	$0.915 (\pm 0.118)$	$1.504 (\pm 1.248)$	$3.260 (\pm 1.299)$	$0.971 (\pm 0.020)$	$1.255 (\pm 0.425)$	$2.334 (\pm 0.407)$
		ICL (ours)	$0.826 \ (\pm \ 0.110)$	$1.000~(\pm~0.817)$	$2.568 \ (\pm \ 0.863)$	$0.879 (\pm 0.018)$	$0.925~(\pm~0.233)$	$2.229 (\pm 0.151)$
		Laplace Approximation	1.000 (± 0.000)	2.367 (± 0.555)	2.780 (± 1.271)	1.000 (± 0.000)	2.200 (± 0.041)	2.444 (± 0.619)
		VI: DiagonalNormal	$0.938 (\pm 0.098)$	$1.153 (\pm 0.954)$	$2.552 (\pm 1.147)$	$0.967 (\pm 0.012)$	$0.547 (\pm 0.233)$	1.973 (\pm 0.452)
C	20	VI: MultivariateNormal	$0.929 (\pm 0.082)$	$0.710 \ (\pm \ 0.768)$	$2.473 (\pm 1.145)$	$0.928 (\pm 0.016)$	$0.250 (\pm 0.079)$	1.776 (\pm 0.399)
Scenario 5		VI: Structured Normal	$0.909 (\pm 0.082)$	$0.397 (\pm 0.442)$	2.246 (\pm 1.244)	$0.924 (\pm 0.018)$	$0.202 (\pm 0.094)$	1.775 (± 0.430)
		VI: IAF	$0.934 (\pm 0.092)$	$1.325 (\pm 1.161)$	$4.899 (\pm 1.320)$	$0.980 (\pm 0.016)$	$0.892 (\pm 0.404)$	$3.593 (\pm 0.597)$
		ICL (ours)	$0.961 \ (\pm \ 0.046)$	$1.330 \ (\pm \ 1.125)$	$5.084 (\pm 1.297)$	0.981 (± 0.014)	$1.162 (\pm 0.461)$	$4.804~(\pm~0.578)$
Scenario 5	50	Laplace Approximation	1.000 (± 0.000)	$2.582 (\pm 0.606)$	5.765 (± 1.540)	1.000 (± nan)	2.322 (± nan)	3.485 (± nan)
		VI: DiagonalNormal	$0.925 (\pm 0.074)$	$0.925 (\pm 1.056)$	6.461 (\pm 1.877)	0.972 (± nan)	$0.186 (\pm nan)$	$3.251 (\pm nan)$
		VI: MultivariateNormal	$0.934 \ (\pm \ 0.064)$	$0.825 (\pm 0.972)$	6.404 (± 1.882)	0.969 (± nan)	0.165 (± nan)	3.223 (± nan)
		VI: Structured Normal	$0.927 (\pm 0.068)$	$0.481 (\pm 0.588)$	6.420 (\pm 1.970)	0.961 (± nan)	$0.072 (\pm nan)$	$3.324 (\pm nan)$
		VI: IAF	$0.925 (\pm 0.069)$	$0.792 (\pm 0.975)$	$8.458 (\pm 0.864)$	0.996 (± nan)	$0.519 (\pm nan)$	4.645 (± nan)
		ICL (ours)	$0.998 (\pm 0.002)$	$0.762 \ (\pm \ 0.987)$	$8.195 (\pm 0.820)$	1.000 (± nan)	$0.984 (\pm nan)$	$7.288 (\pm nan)$

Table 3: Evaluating the predictive performance across 50 synthetic and 17 real-world datasets in GLM scenario 2 for different dimensionalities. All results within two standard errors of the best average result for each scenario are marked in **bold**. Due to the limitations of the number of features in the real-world data, we can only use 9 real-world datasets for 10, 5 datasets for 20 and 1 dataset for 50 dimensions. We find that the quality of the samples by the in-context learner, when evaluated based on predictive performance, decreases consistently with an increase in the dimensionality of the problem.

Scenario	ario Dim. Model		RMSE Real-World (\downarrow)	RMSE Synthetic (\downarrow)	
		НМС	0.559 (± 0.023)	0.556 (± 0.049)	
		Laplace Approximation	$0.561 \ (\pm \ 0.022)$	$0.557 \ (\pm \ 0.049)$	
		VI: DiagonalNormal	$0.560 \ (\pm \ 0.023)$	$0.557 \ (\pm \ 0.049)$	
		VI: MultivariateNormal	$0.559 \ (\pm \ 0.023)$	$0.556 \ (\pm \ 0.049)$	
Scenario 2	5	VI: Structured Normal	$0.604 (\pm 0.016)$	$0.685 (\pm 0.054)$	
		VI: IAF	$0.563 \ (\pm \ 0.023)$	$0.557 \ (\pm \ 0.049)$	
		ICL (ours)	$0.561 \ (\pm \ 0.019)$	$0.653 \ (\pm \ 0.049)$	
		MAP	$0.513 (\pm 0.023)$	$0.522 (\pm 0.048)$	
		TabPFN	$0.449 \ (\pm \ 0.034)$	$0.498 (\pm 0.047)$	
		НМС	0.682 (± 0.029)	0.536 (± 0.041)	
		Laplace Approximation	$0.682 \ (\pm \ 0.030)$	$0.538 \ (\pm \ 0.040)$	
		VI: DiagonalNormal	$0.680 \ (\pm \ 0.029)$	$0.539 \ (\pm \ 0.041)$	
		VI: MultivariateNormal	$0.685 \ (\pm \ 0.029)$	$0.537 \ (\pm \ 0.041)$	
Scenario 2	10	VI: Structured Normal	$0.746 (\pm 0.019)$	$0.681 (\pm 0.041)$	
		VI: IAF	$0.683 \ (\pm \ 0.029)$	$0.539 \ (\pm \ 0.041)$	
		ICL (ours)	$0.777 \ (\pm \ 0.011)$	$1.122~(\pm~0.078)$	
		MAP	$0.578 (\pm 0.025)$	$0.472 (\pm 0.039)$	
		TabPFN	$0.470 \ (\pm \ 0.044)$	$0.446 (\pm 0.038)$	
		HMC	0.669 (± nan)	0.713 (± 0.060)	
		Laplace Approximation	$0.594 (\pm nan)$	$0.878 (\pm 0.068)$	
		VI: DiagonalNormal	$0.582 (\pm \text{nan})$	$0.870 (\pm 0.065)$	
		VI: MultivariateNormal	$0.729 \ (\pm \ \text{nan})$	$0.764 (\pm 0.066)$	
Scenario 2	50	VI: Structured Normal	$0.922~(\pm {\rm nan})$	$1.116 (\pm 0.074)$	
		VI: IAF	$0.695~(\pm \mathrm{nan})$	$0.770 (\pm 0.060)$	
		ICL (ours)	1.256 (± nan)	$2.343~(\pm~0.230)$	
		MAP	0.301 (± nan)	$0.398 (\pm 0.047)$	
		TabPFN	$0.235~(\pm nan)$	$0.570 (\pm 0.053)$	

Table 4: Evaluating the predictive performance across 50 synthetic and 17 real-world datasets in GLM scenario 2 for different dimensionalities. All results within two standard errors of the best average result for each scenario are marked in **bold**. Due to the limitations of the number of features in the real-world data, we can only use 9 real-world datasets for 10, 5 datasets for 20 and 1 dataset for 50 dimensions. We find that the quality of the samples by the in-context learner, when evaluated based on predictive performance, decreases consistently with an increase in the dimensionality of the problem.

Scenario	Dim. Model		$RMSE\ Real\text{-}World\ (\downarrow)$	RMSE Synthetic (\downarrow)
		НМС	0.684 (± 0.027)	0.512 (± 0.040)
		Laplace Approximation	$0.688 \ (\pm \ 0.026)$	$0.516 \ (\pm \ 0.040)$
		VI: DiagonalNormal	$0.686 \ (\pm \ 0.027)$	$0.513 \ (\pm \ 0.040)$
		VI: MultivariateNormal	$0.685 \ (\pm \ 0.027)$	$0.512 \ (\pm \ 0.040)$
Scenario 3	5	VI: Structured Normal	$0.733 \ (\pm \ 0.016)$	$0.607 (\pm 0.043)$
		VI: IAF	$0.686 \ (\pm \ 0.027)$	$0.512 \ (\pm \ 0.040)$
		ICL (ours)	$0.690 \ (\pm \ 0.023)$	$0.588 \ (\pm \ 0.045)$
		MAP	$0.646 (\pm 0.028)$	$0.495 (\pm 0.039)$
		TabPFN	$0.556 (\pm 0.041)$	$0.462~(\pm~0.037)$
		НМС	0.822 (± 0.032)	0.575 (± 0.037)
		Laplace Approximation	$0.814 \ (\pm \ 0.032)$	$0.604 \ (\pm \ 0.040)$
		VI: DiagonalNormal	$0.813 \ (\pm \ 0.033)$	$0.589 \ (\pm \ 0.039)$
		VI: MultivariateNormal	$0.815 \ (\pm \ 0.032)$	$0.589 \ (\pm \ 0.039)$
Scenario 3	10	VI: Structured Normal	$0.875 \ (\pm \ 0.025)$	$0.804 (\pm 0.050)$
		VI: IAF	$0.814 \ (\pm \ 0.032)$	$0.577 \ (\pm \ 0.037)$
		ICL (ours)	$0.941~(\pm~0.011)$	$1.169 (\pm 0.118)$
		MAP	$0.715~(\pm~0.030)$	$0.524~(\pm~0.035)$
		TabPFN	$0.558~(\pm~0.053)$	$0.474~(\pm~0.031)$
		НМС	1.030 (± 0.045)	0.621 (± 0.046)
		Laplace Approximation	$1.053 (\pm 0.047)$	$0.755 (\pm 0.052)$
		VI: DiagonalNormal	$1.035 (\pm 0.043)$	$0.734 (\pm 0.053)$
	20	VI: MultivariateNormal	$1.033 (\pm 0.039)$	$0.705 \ (\pm \ 0.055)$
Scenario 3		VI: Structured Normal	$1.095 (\pm 0.045)$	$1.033 (\pm 0.063)$
		VI: IAF	$1.026 (\pm 0.045)$	$0.653 \ (\pm \ 0.047)$
		ICL (ours)	$1.770 \ (\pm \ 0.048)$	$2.160 (\pm 0.217)$
		MAP	$0.861 (\pm 0.038)$	$0.581 (\pm 0.050)$
		TabPFN	$0.654 (\pm 0.062)$	$0.475~(\pm~0.039)$
Scenario 3		НМС	0.858 (± nan)	$0.645 \ (\pm \ 0.051)$
		Laplace Approximation	$0.866 \ (\pm \ nan)$	$0.865~(\pm~0.083)$
	50	VI: DiagonalNormal	$0.788 \ (\pm \ nan)$	$0.870 (\pm 0.084)$
		VI: MultivariateNormal	$0.819 (\pm \mathrm{nan})$	$0.778 (\pm 0.066)$
		VI: Structured Normal	$0.812~(\pm~{ m nan})$	$1.040 (\pm 0.103)$
		VI: IAF	$0.802~(\pm~{\rm nan})$	$0.846 (\pm 0.078)$
		ICL (ours)	$1.686~(\pm~\mathrm{nan})$	$3.477 (\pm 0.604)$
		MAP	$0.539 (\pm \mathrm{nan})$	$0.618~(\pm~0.054)$
		TabPFN	$0.322 (\pm \text{nan})$	$0.534 (\pm 0.038)$

Table 5: Evaluating the predictive performance across 50 synthetic and 17 real-world datasets in GLM scenario 2 for different dimensionalities. All results within two standard errors of the best average result for each scenario are marked in **bold**. Due to the limitations of the number of features in the real-world data, we can only use 9 real-world datasets for 10, 5 datasets for 20 and 1 dataset for 50 dimensions. We find that the quality of the samples by the in-context learner, when evaluated based on predictive performance, decreases consistently with an increase in the dimensionality of the problem.

HMC	Scenario Dim. Mo		Model	RMSE Real-World (\downarrow)	RMSE Synthetic (\downarrow)	
VI: DiagonalNormal				0.699 (± 0.022)	0.490 (± 0.036)	
Scenario 5 S VI: MultivariateNormal 1.507 (± 0.089) 0.741 (± 0.036) VI: Structured Normal 1.507 (± 0.089) 0.740 (± 0.049) (± 0.036) (□ 0.049) (± 0.036) (□ 0.049) (± 0.036) (□ 0.049) (± 0.020) 0.701 (± 0.049) (□ 0.036) (□ 0.049) (□ 0.036) (□ 0.049) (□ 0.036) (□ 0.049) (□ 0.036) (□ 0.049) (□ 0.036) (□ 0.041) (□ 0.035) (□ 0.041) (□ 0.035) (□ 0.041) (□ 0.044) (□ 0.035) (□ 0.041) (□ 0.044) (□ 0.036) (□ 0.041)			Laplace Approximation	$0.699 (\pm 0.022)$	$0.491 \ (\pm \ 0.036)$	
Scenario 5 Senario 5 VI: Structured Normal 1.507 (± 0.089) 0.741 (± 0.053) VI: IAF 0.699 (± 0.022) 0.490 (± 0.036) ICL (ours) 0.769 (± 0.022) 0.490 (± 0.036) ICL (ours) 0.769 (± 0.022) 0.490 (± 0.049) 0.701 (± 0.049) MAP			VI: DiagonalNormal	$0.702 \ (\pm \ 0.022)$	$0.491 \ (\pm \ 0.036)$	
VI: IAF			VI: MultivariateNormal	$0.698 \ (\pm \ 0.021)$	$0.491 \ (\pm \ 0.036)$	
ICL (ours) 0.769 (± 0.020) 0.701 (± 0.049)	Scenario 5	5				
MAP					$0.490 \ (\pm \ 0.036)$	
TabPFN			ICL (ours)	$0.769 \ (\pm \ 0.020)$	$0.701 (\pm 0.049)$	
HMC				,		
Company of the comp			TabPFN	$0.534 (\pm 0.040)$	$0.442 (\pm 0.035)$	
VI: DiagonalNormal 0.955 (± 0.035) 0.525 (± 0.041) VI: MultivariateNormal 0.945 (± 0.035) 0.522 (± 0.041) VI: Structured Normal 1.329 (± 0.049) 0.868 (± 0.062) VI: IAF 0.945 (± 0.033) 0.524 (± 0.041) ICL (ours) 1.783 (± 0.034) 1.048 (± 0.104) MAP 0.848 (± 0.036) 0.463 (± 0.038) TabPFN 0.547 (± 0.053) 0.430 (± 0.038) VI: DiagonalNormal 1.527 (± 0.055) 0.553 (± 0.044) Laplace Approximation 1.585 (± 0.065) 0.586 (± 0.043) VI: DiagonalNormal 1.554 (± 0.058) 0.586 (± 0.042) VI: MultivariateNormal 1.530 (± 0.058) 0.564 (± 0.043) VI: IAF 1.548 (± 0.057) 0.562 (± 0.043) ICL (ours) 3.545 (± 0.288) 1.626 (± 0.043) ICL (ours) 3.545 (± 0.027) 0.464 (± 0.035) TabPFN 0.668 (± 0.064) 0.413 (± 0.032) Scenario 5 50 VI: Structured Normal 1.576 (± nan) 0.655 (± 0.040) VI: DiagonalNormal 1.576 (± nan) 0.639 (± 0.041) VI: MultivariateNormal 1.659 (± nan) 0.699 (± 0.045) VI: Structured Normal 2.076 (± nan) 0.699 (± 0.035) VI: Structured Normal 2.076 (± nan) 0.627 (± 0.040) VI: LAF 1.706 (± nan) 0.416 (± 0.018) VI: MaltivariateNormal 1.318 (± nan) 0.416 (± 0.018)				$0.946 \ (\pm \ 0.034)$	$0.521 \ (\pm \ 0.041)$	
Scenario 5 10 VI: MultivariateNormal 1.329 (± 0.049) 0.868 (± 0.062)				$0.941 \; (\pm \; 0.036)$	$0.526 \ (\pm \ 0.041)$	
Scenario 5						
VI: IAF				$0.945 \ (\pm \ 0.035)$		
ICL (ours) 1.783 (± 0.034) 1.048 (± 0.104)	Scenario 5	10				
MAP				` ,		
TabPFN 0.547 (± 0.053) 0.430 (± 0.038)			ICL (ours)	$1.783 (\pm 0.034)$	$1.048 (\pm 0.104)$	
$ \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$				$0.848~(\pm~0.036)$	$0.463~(\pm~0.038)$	
Laplace Approximation 1.585 (± 0.065) 0.586 (± 0.043) VI: DiagonalNormal 1.554 (± 0.058) 0.586 (± 0.042) VI: MultivariateNormal 1.530 (± 0.058) 0.564 (± 0.043) VI: MultivariateNormal 2.109 (± 0.156) 1.054 (± 0.067) VI: IAF 1.548 (± 0.057) 0.562 (± 0.043) ICL (ours) 3.545 (± 0.288) 1.626 (± 0.140) MAP			TabPFN	$0.547 \ (\pm \ 0.053)$	$0.430 (\pm 0.038)$	
Scenario 5 20 VI: DiagonalNormal VI: MultivariateNormal VI: MultivariateNormal VI: MultivariateNormal VI: Structured Normal VI: Structured Normal VI: IAF I.530 (\pm 0.058) 0.564 (\pm 0.043) VI: Structured Normal VI: IAF I.548 (\pm 0.057) 1.054 (\pm 0.067) VI: IAF I.548 (\pm 0.057) 0.562 (\pm 0.043) ICL (ours) 3.545 (\pm 0.288) 1.626 (\pm 0.140) MAP I.254 (\pm 0.027) 0.464 (\pm 0.035) TabPFN 0.668 (\pm 0.064) 0.413 (\pm 0.032) HMC I.626 (\pm nan) 0.652 (\pm 0.040) 0.521 (\pm 0.028) Laplace Approximation 1.541 (\pm nan) 0.655 (\pm 0.040) 0.639 (\pm 0.041) VI: DiagonalNormal 1.576 (\pm nan) 0.639 (\pm 0.041) 0.592 (\pm 0.035) Scenario 5 50 VI: Structured Normal 2.076 (\pm nan) 1.018 (\pm 0.102) VI: IAF 1.706 (\pm nan) 0.627 (\pm 0.040) 1.458 (\pm 0.193) MAP 1.318 (\pm nan) 0.416 (\pm 0.018)			HMC	1.527 (± 0.055)	$0.553 \ (\pm \ 0.044)$	
Scenario 520VI: MultivariateNormal VI: Structured Normal VI: Structured Normal 2.109 (\pm 0.156) 1.054 (\pm 0.067) 1.054 (\pm 0.067) 0.562 (\pm 0.043) 1.024 (\pm 0.057) 1.026 (\pm 0.043) 1.026 (\pm 0.043) 1.028 (\pm 0.028) 1.026 (\pm 0.027) 1.024 (\pm 0.027) 1.024 (\pm 0.027) 1.024 (\pm 0.032)0.464 (\pm 0.035) 0.413 (\pm 0.032)HMC 1.029 (\pm 0.068 (\pm 0.064) 1.031 (\pm 0.028) 1.040 (\pm 0.040) 1.055 (\pm 0.040) 1.0639 (\pm 0.041) 1.076 (\pm nan) 1.076 (\pm nan) 1.018 (\pm 0.102) 1.018 (\pm 0.102) 1.145 (\pm 0.193)Scenario 550VI: Structured Normal VI: MultivariateNormal 1.706 (\pm nan) 1.019 (\pm 0.040) 1.019 (\pm 0.040) 1.019 (\pm 0.040) 1.019 (\pm 0.040) 1.019 (\pm 0.018)						
Scenario 5 20 VI: Structured Normal VI: IAF I.548 (\pm 0.057) 0.562 (\pm 0.043) ICL (ours) 1.548 (\pm 0.057) 0.562 (\pm 0.043) ICL (ours) 0.562 (\pm 0.043) I.626 (\pm 0.140) MAP 1.254 (\pm 0.027) 0.464 (\pm 0.035) TabPFN 0.668 (\pm 0.064) 0.413 (\pm 0.032) HMC 1.626 (\pm nan) 0.651 (\pm 0.028) Laplace Approximation 1.541 (\pm nan) 0.655 (\pm 0.040) VI: DiagonalNormal 1.576 (\pm nan) 0.639 (\pm 0.041) VI: MultivariateNormal 1.659 (\pm nan) 0.592 (\pm 0.035) Scenario 5 50 VI: Structured Normal 2.076 (\pm nan) 1.018 (\pm 0.102) VI: IAF 1.706 (\pm nan) 0.627 (\pm 0.040) ICL (ours) 10.319 (\pm nan) 1.458 (\pm 0.193) MAP 1.318 (\pm nan) 0.416 (\pm 0.018)				$1.554 (\pm 0.058)$	$0.586 \ (\pm \ 0.042)$	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				*	` ,	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Scenario 5	20	VI: Structured Normal	$2.109 (\pm 0.156)$	$1.054 (\pm 0.067)$	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$, , , ,		
TabPFN $0.668 (\pm 0.064)$ $0.413 (\pm 0.032)$ HMC $1.626 (\pm \text{nan})$ $0.521 (\pm 0.028)$ Laplace Approximation $1.541 (\pm \text{nan})$ $0.655 (\pm 0.040)$ VI: DiagonalNormal $1.576 (\pm \text{nan})$ $0.639 (\pm 0.041)$ VI: MultivariateNormal $1.659 (\pm \text{nan})$ $0.592 (\pm 0.035)$ Scenario 5 50 VI: Structured Normal $2.076 (\pm \text{nan})$ $1.018 (\pm 0.102)$ VI: IAF $1.706 (\pm \text{nan})$ $0.627 (\pm 0.040)$ ICL (ours) $10.319 (\pm \text{nan})$ $1.458 (\pm 0.193)$ MAP $1.318 (\pm \text{nan})$ $0.416 (\pm 0.018)$			ICL (ours)	$3.545 (\pm 0.288)$	$1.626 (\pm 0.140)$	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			MAP	$1.254 (\pm 0.027)$	$0.464~(\pm~0.035)$	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			TabPFN	$0.668 \ (\pm \ 0.064)$	$0.413 \ (\pm \ 0.032)$	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				$1.626 \pm nan$	$0.521 (\pm 0.028)$	
Scenario 5 50 VI: MultivariateNormal VI: Structured Normal VI: Structured Normal 2.076 (\pm nan) 1.018 (\pm 0.102) VI: IAF 1.706 (\pm nan) 0.627 (\pm 0.040) ICL (ours) 10.319 (\pm nan) 1.458 (\pm 0.193) MAP 1.318 (\pm nan) 0.416 (\pm 0.018)				$1.541 \ (\pm \ \text{nan})$	$0.655 (\pm 0.040)$	
Scenario 5 50 VI: Structured Normal VI: IAF I.706 (\pm nan) 1.018 (\pm 0.102) VI: IAF I.706 (\pm nan) 0.627 (\pm 0.040) ICL (ours) 10.319 (\pm nan) 1.458 (\pm 0.193) MAP 1.318 (\pm nan) 0.416 (\pm 0.018)				$1.576 \ (\pm \ \text{nan})$	$0.639 (\pm 0.041)$	
VI: IAF $1.706 (\pm \text{nan})$ $0.627 (\pm 0.040)$ ICL (ours) $10.319 (\pm \text{nan})$ $1.458 (\pm 0.193)$ MAP $1.318 (\pm \text{nan})$ $0.416 (\pm 0.018)$	Scenario 5	50			$0.592 (\pm 0.035)$	
ICL (ours) $10.319 (\pm \text{ nan})$ $1.458 (\pm 0.193)$ MAP $1.318 (\pm \text{ nan})$ $0.416 (\pm 0.018)$, , ,	$1.018 (\pm 0.102)$	
MAP 1.318 (\pm nan) 0.416 (\pm 0.018)						
			ICL (ours)	10.319 (± nan)	$1.458 (\pm 0.193)$	
TabPFN $0.330 (\pm \text{ nan})$ $0.443 (\pm 0.024)$			MAP	1.318 (± nan)	0.416 ± 0.018	
**************************************			TabPFN	$0.330 (\pm \mathrm{nan})$	$0.443~(\pm~0.024)$	

References

Mittal, S., Bengio, Y., Malkin, N., and Lajoie, G. In-context parametric inference: Point or distribution estimators? *arXiv* preprint arXiv:2502.11617, 2025a.

Mittal, S., Bracher, N. L., Lajoie, G., Jaini, P., and Brubaker, M. Amortized in-context bayesian posterior estimation. *arXiv* preprint arXiv:2502.06601, 2025b.