# MATH 563 HOMEWORK 2 SOLUTIONS

SONJA PETROVIĆ & TA: HAO LIU

GRADING SCALE: 5 points per problem. About half the points given for correct mathematical result, and the other half for reasoning / explanation of the solution.

### Exercise 5.21

5.21 Let m denote the median. Then, for general n we have

$$P(\max(X_1, ..., X_n) > m) = 1 - P(X_i \le m \text{ for } i = 1, 2, ..., n)$$
  
=  $1 - [P(X_1 \le m)]^n = 1 - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n$ .

## Exercise 5.24

5.24 Use  $f_X(x) = 1/\theta$ ,  $F_X(x) = x/\theta$ ,  $0 < x < \theta$ . Let  $Y = X_{(n)}$ ,  $Z = X_{(1)}$ . Then, from Theorem 5.4.6,

$$f_{Z,Y}(z,y) = \frac{n!}{0!(n-2)!0!} \frac{1}{\theta} \frac{1}{\theta} \left(\frac{z}{\theta}\right)^0 \left(\frac{y-z}{\theta}\right)^{n-2} \left(1 - \frac{y}{\theta}\right)^0 = \frac{n(n-1)}{\theta^n} (y-z)^{n-2}, \ 0 < z < y < \theta.$$

Now let W = Z/Y, Q = Y. Then Y = Q, Z = WQ, and |J| = q. Therefore

$$f_{W,Q}(w,q) = \frac{n(n-1)}{\theta^n} (q - wq)^{n-2} q = \frac{n(n-1)}{\theta^n} (1-w)^{n-2} q^{n-1}, \ 0 < w < 1, 0 < q < \theta.$$

The joint pdf factors into functions of w and q, and, hence, W and Q are independent.

#### Exercise 5.31

Date: Spring 2021.

This material is not to be shared outside Math563 Spring 2021 at Illinois Tech.

5.31 We know that  $\sigma_{\tilde{X}}^2 = 9/100$ . Use Chebyshev's Inequality to get

$$P\left(-3k/10 < \bar{X} - \mu < 3k/10\right) \ge 1 - 1/k^2$$

We need  $1-1/k^2 \ge .9$  which implies  $k \ge \sqrt{10} = 3.16$  and 3k/10 = .9487. Thus

$$P(-.9487 < \bar{X} - \mu < .9487) \ge .9$$

by Chebychev's Inequality. Using the CLT,  $\bar{X}$  is approximately  $n(\mu, \sigma_{\bar{X}}^2)$  with  $\sigma_{\bar{X}} = \sqrt{.09} = .3$  and  $(\bar{X} - \mu)/.3 \sim n(0, 1)$ . Thus

$$.9 = P\left(-1.645 < \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{.3} < 1.645\right) = P(-.4935 < \bar{X} - \mu < .4935).$$

Thus, we again see the conservativeness of Chebychev's Inequality, yielding bounds on  $\bar{X} - \mu$  that are almost twice as big as the normal approximation. Moreover, with a sample of size 100,  $\bar{X}$  is probably very close to normally distributed, even if the underlying X distribution is not close to normal.

### Exercise 5.33

Take any  $\epsilon > 0$ .

Since  $X_n$  converges to X in distribution, for any finite number m, we have

(1) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P(X_n > -m) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (1 - F_{X_n}(-m)) = 1 - F_X(-m)$$

Since  $F_X(\cdot)$  is a distribution function, we have

$$\lim_{x \to -\infty} F_X(x) = 0$$

Therefore, we can choose m such that  $F_X(-m) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . According to (1), there exists  $N_1$  such that  $\forall n > N_1$ ,

$$(2) P(X_n > -m) > 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

Furthermore, it is given that for any finite number c, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P(Y_n > c + m) = 1$$

. Therefore, there exists  $N_2$  such that  $\forall n > N_2$ , we have

$$(3) P(Y_n > c + m) > 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

Now we take  $N = \max(N_1, N_2), \forall n > N$ , adding (2)(3) together, we obtain

$$P(X_n > -m) + P(Y_n > c + m) - 1 > 1 - \epsilon$$

By this and by Bonferroni's inequality (see (1.2.9) in page 11 of our textbook), we have

$$P(X_n > -m, Y_n > c + m) \ge P(X_n > -m) + P(Y_n > c + m) - 1 > 1 - \epsilon$$

. Since  $\epsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, the proof is completed.