

Q1 Suppose we transform the original predictors  $X$  to  $\hat{Y}$  via linear regression. In detail let  $\hat{Y} = X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T \tilde{Y} = X \hat{\beta}$ .

where  $\tilde{Y}$  is the indicator response matrix. Similarly for any point input  $x \in \mathbb{R}^p$ , we get a transformed vector  $\hat{y} = \hat{\beta}^T x \in \mathbb{R}^k$ .

Show that LDA using  $\hat{Y}$  is identical to LDA in the original space.

Proof:  $\rightarrow$

We know that LDA equation.

$$\log \frac{\Pr(G=k | X=x)}{\Pr(G=l | x=x)} = \log \frac{\pi_k}{\pi_l} - \frac{1}{2} (\mu_k + \mu_l)^T \Sigma^{-1} (\mu_k - \mu_l) + x^T \Sigma^{-1} (\mu_k - \mu_l).$$

In this problem we are replacing  $X$  to  $\hat{Y}$

So our LDA equation will be look like.

$$\log \frac{\Pr(G=k | \hat{Y}=\hat{y})}{\Pr(G=l | \hat{Y}=\hat{y})} = \log \frac{\pi_k}{\pi_l} - \frac{1}{2} (\hat{\mu}_k^n + \hat{\mu}_l^n) (\hat{\Sigma}_{\hat{Y}})^{-1} (\hat{\mu}_k^n - \hat{\mu}_l^n) + (\hat{y})^T (\hat{\Sigma}_{\hat{Y}})^{-1} (\hat{\mu}_k^n - \hat{\mu}_l^n).$$

$$\hat{y} = \hat{B}^T x \Rightarrow \hat{y}^T = x^T \hat{B} \quad (1)$$

$$\hat{u}_k^{\hat{y}} = \frac{\sum_{g_i=k} \hat{y}_i}{N_k} = \frac{\sum_{g_i=k} \hat{B}^T x_i}{N_k} = \hat{B}^T \hat{u}_k^x$$

$$\hat{u}_k^{\hat{y}} = \hat{B}^T \hat{u}_k^x \quad (2)$$

Similarly

$$\hat{u}_k^{\hat{y}} = \hat{B}^T \hat{u}_k^x \quad (3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Sigma}_{\hat{y}} &= \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{g_i=k} (\hat{y}_i - \hat{u}_k^{\hat{y}}) (\hat{y}_i - \hat{u}_k^{\hat{y}})^T \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{g_i=k} \hat{B}^T (x_i - \hat{u}_k^x) (x_i - \hat{u}_k^x)^T \hat{B} \end{aligned}$$

$$\hat{\Sigma}_{\hat{y}} = \hat{B}^T \hat{\Sigma}_x \hat{B}$$

$$\hat{\Sigma}_{\hat{y}}^{-1} = (\hat{B}^T \hat{\Sigma}_x \hat{B})^{-1} \quad (4)$$

from equation (1) (2) (3) & (4) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \log \frac{\Pr(G=k | \hat{y} = \hat{y})}{\Pr(G=l | \hat{y} = \hat{y})} &= \log \frac{\pi_k}{\pi_l} - \frac{1}{2} (\hat{u}_k^x + \hat{u}_l^x)^T \hat{B} (\hat{B}^T \hat{\Sigma}_x \hat{B})^{-1} \\ &\quad \hat{B}^T (\hat{u}_k^x - \hat{u}_l^x) \\ &\quad + x^T \hat{B} (\hat{B}^T \hat{\Sigma}_x \hat{B})^{-1} \hat{B}^T (\hat{u}_k^x - \hat{u}_l^x) \end{aligned}$$



$$= \log \frac{\pi_k}{\pi_1} - \frac{1}{2} (\hat{\mu}_k^n + \hat{\mu}_1^n)^T \hat{B} (\hat{B}^T \hat{\Sigma}_n \hat{B})^{-1} \hat{B}^T (\hat{\mu}_k^n - \hat{\mu}_1^n) \\ + x^T \hat{B} (\hat{B}^T \hat{\Sigma}_n \hat{B})^{-1} \hat{B}^T (\hat{\mu}_k^n - \hat{\mu}_1^n)$$

Now we have to show that.

$$\hat{B} (\hat{B}^T \hat{\Sigma}_n \hat{B})^{-1} \hat{B}^T (\hat{\mu}_k^n - \hat{\mu}_1^n) = \\ \hat{\Sigma}_n^{-1} (\hat{\mu}_k^n - \hat{\mu}_1^n)$$

So in this way we can say LDA using  $\hat{y}$  is identical to LDA in the original space.

$Y$  is a indicator response matrix, therefore.

$$N_k \hat{\mu}_k^n = \sum x_i = X^T Y_k$$

$$\hat{\Sigma}_n = \frac{1}{N-K} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^N x_i x_i^T - \sum N_k \hat{\mu}_k^n (\hat{\mu}_k^n)^T \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{N-K} \left[ X^T X - X^T \sum_{k=1}^K (Y_k Y_k^T) X \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{N-K} (X^T X - X^T Y Y^T X)$$

$$\text{we know } H = \hat{\Sigma}_n^{-1} \hat{B} (\hat{B}^T \hat{\Sigma}_n \hat{B})^{-1} \hat{B}^T \quad \therefore HH = H$$

$$H X^T Y = \hat{\Sigma}_n^{-1} \hat{B} (\hat{B}^T \hat{\Sigma}_n \hat{B})^{-1} \hat{B}^T X^T Y$$

by definition of  $\hat{\beta}$

$$\hat{\beta}^T = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y = Y^T X (X^T X)^{-1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\Sigma}_x \hat{\beta} &= \frac{1}{N-k} (X^T X - X^T Y Y^T X) (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y \\ &= \frac{1}{N-k} X^T Y (I - Y^T X (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(\hat{\beta}^T \hat{\Sigma}_x \hat{\beta})^{-1} &= (Y^T X (X^T X)^{-1} \frac{1}{N-k} X^T Y (I - Y^T X (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y))^{-1} \\ &= (N-k) (Y^T X (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y \underbrace{(I - Y^T X (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y)}_{\phi})^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \phi = \hat{\beta}^T X^T Y = Y^T X (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y$$

$$\hat{\Sigma}_x \hat{\beta} = \frac{1}{N-k} X^T Y (I - \phi)$$

$$(\hat{\beta}^T \hat{\Sigma}_x \hat{\beta})^{-1} = (N-k) (\phi (I - \phi))^{-1}$$

$\phi(I - \phi)$  is invertible that means  $\phi$  and  $I - \phi$  are also invertible.

$$(\hat{\beta}^T \hat{\Sigma}_x \hat{\beta})^{-1} = (N-k) (I - \phi)^{-1} \phi^{-1}$$



$$HX^T Y = \frac{1}{N-K} X^T Y (I - \Phi) (N-K) (I - \Phi)^{-1} \Phi^{-1} Y^T X$$

$$(X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y$$

$$= X^T Y \Phi^{-1} \underbrace{Y^T X (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y}_{\Phi}$$

$$\boxed{\text{so } \Phi = Y^T X (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y}$$

$$= X^T Y \Phi^{-1} \Phi$$

$$= X^T Y$$

$$HX^T Y = X^T Y$$

$$\Rightarrow HX^T y_k = X^T y_k$$

$$HN_k \hat{u}_k^n = N_k \hat{u}_k^n$$

$$\hat{\Sigma}_n \hat{B} (\hat{B}^T \hat{\Sigma}_n \hat{B})^{-1} \hat{B}^T \hat{u}_k^n = \hat{u}_k^n$$

$$\hat{B} (\hat{B}^T \hat{\Sigma}_n \hat{B})^{-1} \hat{B}^T \hat{u}_k^n = \hat{\Sigma}_n^{-1} \hat{u}_k^n$$

Subtracting  $\hat{u}_e^n$  both side.

$$\left[ \hat{B} (\hat{B}^T \hat{\Sigma}_n \hat{B})^{-1} \hat{B}^T (\hat{u}_k^n - \hat{u}_e^n) = \hat{\Sigma}_n^{-1} (\hat{u}_k^n - \hat{u}_e^n) \right]$$

#

# Homework#4 Problem 2

Arinjay Jain

October 21, 2020

```
library(class)
library(formatR)

## Warning: package 'formatR' was built under R version 3.6.3

admit_df <- read.table(file = "C:/Arinjay_Personal/Statistical Learning/Homework#4/admit.txt",
                      header = T)

admit_df <- data.frame(admit_df)

# Using the logit model: The code below estimates a logistic
#regression model using the glm (generalized linear model)
#function. First, we convert rank to a factor to indicate that
#rank should be treated as a categorical variable.

admit_df$rank <- factor(admit_df$rank)

log_model <- glm(admit~., data= admit_df, family = "binomial")
```

## Part A

```
model_summary <- summary(log_model)
coeff_table <- model_summary$coefficients[, -4]

print("Results from a logistic regression fit to the admit data.")
```

```
## [1] "Results from a logistic regression fit to the admit data."
```

```
coeff_table
```

```
##           Estimate Std. Error  z value
## (Intercept) -3.989979073 1.139950936 -3.500132
## gre          0.002264426 0.001093998  2.069864
## gpa          0.804037549 0.331819298  2.423119
## rank2       -0.675442928 0.316489661 -2.134171
## rank3       -1.340203916 0.345306418 -3.881202
## rank4       -1.551463677 0.417831633 -3.713131
```

## Part B Write log-ratio equation:

```
print("log-ratio equation:")

## [1] "log-ratio equation:"

print("log(p/1-p) =-3.9899+(0.0022*gre)+(0.804*gpa)+(-0.6754*rank2)+(-1.3402*rank3)+(-1.5514*rank4)")

## [1] "log(p/1-p)
=-3.9899+(0.0022*gre)+(0.804*gpa)+(-0.6754*rank2)+(-1.3402*rank3)+(-1.5514*rank4) "

## now how we will use this equation, explanation with example
# estimated log-odds of graduate school admission for a student
#with a GPA of 3.2 and a GRE score of 670 who attended a rank 1
#school?

newdata <- data.frame(gre = 670, gpa = 3.2,rank = as.factor(1))

# solution:
predict(log_model,newdata)

##           1
## 0.1001064
```

# Homework#4 Problem#3-B

Arinjay Jain

October 22, 2020

```
classification.fun=function(train,test,i=4){  
  
  temp    = train[,-1,with=F]  
  temp$y[temp$y!=i]=0  
  temp$y[temp$y==i]=1  
  
  model = lm(y~.,data=temp)  
  #summary(model)  
  pred.train = predict(model,temp[,-1,with=F])  
  pred.test  = predict(model,test[,c(-1,-2),with=F])  
  
  return(list(model))  
}  
  
# Finally predicted final prediction  
pred.fun=function(total.model,train){  
  
  pred.train =  
    apply(c(1:length(total.model)) ,  
          function(x)  
            predict(total.model[[x]],train[,c(-1,-2),with=F])  
          ) %>% data.table  
  
  colnames(pred.train) =  
    paste("y=",c(1:length(total.model)),sep="")  
  
  #apply( pred.train , 1 , sum )  
  return(pred.train)  
}
```

```
library(MASS)  
library(data.table)
```

```
## Warning: package 'data.table' was built under R version 3.6.3
```

```
library(dplyr)
```

```
## Warning: package 'dplyr' was built under R version 3.6.3
```



```
##
## Attaching package: 'dplyr'

## The following objects are masked from 'package:data.table':
##
##     between, first, last

## The following object is masked from 'package:MASS':
##
##     select

## The following objects are masked from 'package:stats':
##
##     filter, lag

## The following objects are masked from 'package:base':
##
##     intersect, setdiff, setequal, union

library(readr)

## Warning: package 'readr' was built under R version 3.6.3

train_df <- read_csv("C:/Arinjay_Personal/Statistical Learning/Homework#4/vowel.train.txt",
  trim_ws = FALSE)

##
## -- Column specification -----
## cols(
##   row.names = col_double(),
##   y = col_double(),
##   x.1 = col_double(),
##   x.2 = col_double(),
##   x.3 = col_double(),
##   x.4 = col_double(),
##   x.5 = col_double(),
##   x.6 = col_double(),
##   x.7 = col_double(),
##   x.8 = col_double(),
##   x.9 = col_double(),
##   x.10 = col_double()
## )

#train_df <- data.frame(train_df)[-1]

test_df <- read_csv("C:/Arinjay_Personal/Statistical Learning/Homework#4/vowel.test.txt",
  trim_ws = FALSE)

##
## -- Column specification -----
## cols(
```

```
## row.names = col_double(),
## y = col_double(),
## x.1 = col_double(),
## x.2 = col_double(),
## x.3 = col_double(),
## x.4 = col_double(),
## x.5 = col_double(),
## x.6 = col_double(),
## x.7 = col_double(),
## x.8 = col_double(),
## x.9 = col_double(),
## x.10 = col_double()
## )
```

```
#test_df <- data.frame(test_df)[-1]
```

```
# Probability -> classification
class.pred.fun=function(tem){
  class.y = which( ( tem %in% max(tem) ) ==1 )
  return(class.y)
}
```

```
#misclassification , balance data, 11 classification
table(train_df$y)
```

```
##
## 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11
## 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48
```

```
# Do more models, 1 vs non-1
set.seed(100)
temp = sapply(c(1:11), function(x) classification.fun(train_df,test_df,i=x))
```

```
total.model = temp
```

```
pred.train = pred.fun(total.model,train_df)
```

```
# Probability classification change
pred.train.class = apply( pred.train,1,class.pred.fun)
# confusion matrix
t.train.matrix = table(train_df$y,pred.train.class)
t.train.matrix
```

```
##      pred.train.class
##      1  2  3  4  5  6  7  8  9 10 11
## 1  39  3  0  0  0  0  0  4  0  2  0
## 2  18 21  9  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0
## 3   1  6 30  7  0  0  0  0  0  0  4
## 4   1  0  5 40  0  2  0  0  0  0  0
## 5   0  0  0  1 32  1 10  3  0  1  0
## 6   2  0  2 10 14  5 10  3  0  0  2
```

```
##      7      0      0      3      1 12      0 11 15      1      5      0
##      8      0      0      0      0      0      0      0 36      3      9      0
##      9      1      0      0      0      0      0      0 13 12 22      0
##     10      1      0      0      0      0      0      0      2      8 37      0
##     11 10      2      5      5      5      2      1      1      2      2 13
```

```
# Correct percent
train_acc <- sum( diag( t.train.matrix ) )/sum(t.train.matrix)
cat("Train accuracy: ", train_acc*100, "% \n")
```

```
## Train accuracy: 52.27273 %
```

```
# test before
pred.test = pred.fun(total.model, test_df)
# Probability classification change
pred.test.class = apply( pred.test,1,class.pred.fun)
# confusion matrix
t.test.matrix = table(test_df$y,pred.test.class)

t.test.matrix
```

```
##      pred.test.class
##      1      2      3      4      5      6      7      8      9     10     11
##     1 41      0      1      0      0      0      0      0      0      0      0
##     2 25      5      9      0      0      0      0      0      0      3      0
##     3      4      5 21      8      0      4      0      0      0      0      0
##     4      0      0      4 26      6      6      0      0      0      0      0
##     5      0      0      0 15      9 12      3      3      0      0      0
##     6      1      0      6 13      9      8      2      0      0      3      0
##     7      0      5      0      6 18      3      0      1      2      7      0
##     8      0      0      0      0      4      0      0 18      1 19      0
##     9      0      0      2      0      0      0      0      6      3 31      0
##    10 12      0      4      0      0      0      0      0      4 22      0
##    11 11      1      8      9      0      1      0      0      2      9      1
```

```
# Correct percent
test_acc <- sum( diag( t.test.matrix ) )/sum(t.test.matrix)

cat("\n Misclassification error for the test data:", (1 -test_acc)*100, "%" )
```

```
##
## Misclassification error for the test data: 66.66667 %
```

## Using QDA

```
qda_fit <- qda(y~. -row.names, data = train_df)

pred <- predict(qda_fit, newdata = test_df)

classes <- pred$class
```

```
conf_mat <- table(classes, test_df$y)
conf_mat
```

```
##
## classes  1  2  3  4  5  6  7  8  9 10 11
##      1  37 18  9  0  0  0  0  0  2  0
##      2   4 22 13  2  0  0  0  0  4  1
##      3   0  1 12  3  0  0  0  0  0  0
##      4   0  0  5 12  0  1  0  0  0  2
##      5   0  0  0  5 16  0 11  0  0  0
##      6   0  0  2 17  7 22  1  0  0  1
##      7   0  0  0  2 19 14 22 15  3  4  2
##      8   0  0  0  0  0  0  0  6  1  0  0
##      9   1  1  1  0  0  0  3 21 38 21 15
##     10   0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0 11  1
##     11   0  0  0  1  0  5  5  0  0  0 20
```

```
# Correct percent
qda_acc <- sum(diag(conf_mat))/sum(conf_mat)

cat("\n Misclassification error for the test data using qda:", (1 -qda_acc)*100, "%" )
```

```
##
## Misclassification error for the test data using qda: 52.81385 %
```

```
print("we are getting better result using QDA MASS R function with 52.81% Misclassification but is comp
```

```
## [1] "we are getting better result using QDA MASS R function with 52.81% Misclassification but is
computer program we are getting higer misclassification which is 66.667%"
```