Tutorial-3 Arinjay Aggainal I, 35 de Write linear search pseudocode to search an dement in a sorted array with minimum comparisions. Void limas Search (int A[], int n, int ky) Int plag=0; forlint i=0; i<n; i++) if (ALi] == ky)

ll flag = 1;
briak; if (flag ==0) cont<"Not Found"; der witce "Found"; 2 Justing algorithms hat has been discussed in lectures. Jardin for (1=1 to n-1) t= Ali], j= i-1 While (j>=0 el Alj]>t) (ALj+1) = ALj] A[j+1]=t; Recursive void insertionsort (int are [], int n)

but T(n)= 3T (n/2)+n2 'n 1.5 < n2 insection Sort (arr, n-1), [not last = are[n-1], j=n-2. Ultre Undayuge as france While [>= 0 & l art j] > last) are[j+1] = are[j];

are [j+1] = last;

Insuction sort is called Online sorting because insuction sort considers one input element per iteration and produces a Partial solution without considering puture elements. But other sorting algorithm requires access to the entire

input, there considered as offine algorithm.

By 3-1 Complexity of all sorting algorithm that has been discussed in betters.

Algarian W	1 which or	11909(11)	
	but	Auragi	Worst
Bubble sort	O(n)	$O(n^2)$	0(n2)
Solution sort	$O(n^2)$	0(n2)	$O(n^2)$
Insertion sort	0(n)	$O(n^2)$	()(n2)
count sort	0(n+k)	O(n+k)	()(n+k)
Quick sort	O(n lugn)	O(n lugn)	O(n2)
Merge sort	O(n logn)	O(nlugn)	O(n logn)
thap sout	O(n hyn)	O(n logn)	Oln logn)
	V		

all sorting algorithms into inplace, stable, online dy Divide Online Inplace Algorithm bubble sort X Solidion sort Insution soct Count sort Muge Soxt X Quick soct Heap sort The time and space complexity of Linear and Binary Search (Recession and iterative both). Recursion > int binary Scarch (int are 1), inte, inter, ind key) 11 (2>=1) int mid= (+(x-1)/2; if (are[mid] == key) setum mid; if are [mid] > key) outurn binary Search (arr, L, mid-ky) return binaryStarch (ars, mid+1, r, key). rutum-1; Iteratives int binarysearch (int acr[], int 1, int s, int ky) while (<= R) int m = H (1-1)/2; if (ar [m] == key)
return M;

```
if (all[m] < ky)
l= m+1;
                     else r= m-1;
                  rutum -1;
                                           Space (Omplexity
 Algorithm
             Time Complexity
                                                    Iterative
                                         Recusive
             Recursive Iterative
                                                      0(1)
                                          0 (1)
 Linear Search (Cn)
                         O(n)
                                                     0(1)
                                         O(logn)
 Binary Starch O(logn) O(logn)
Am T(n) = T(n/2) +1
(2) Tind the indices such that A[i] + A[j] = k in menimum time complexity.

Size void Sum (ind A[], int k, int n)
         Sout (A, Atn);
         ind i=0, j=h-1,
         while ( K j)
              if(A[i] +A[j] == R)
                rick;
             use if (A [i]+ALj]>K)
          Junt (i,j);
```

there soxt function has $O(n(\log n))$ complexity and and for while loop it is O(n)i overall complexity = $O(n\log n)$)

L8. Which soxting is bust for practical was? Explain

Amb For practical was, we mostly prefer murge soxt, because of its stability and it is would be but for very large data. Further, time complexity of murge soxt is same in all cases, that is $O(n(\log n))$

late 10- In which can Quick sout will give the bed and the the As When the # assay is already sorted or sorted in runary order, quick sort gives the worst can time complexity i.e. O(n2), but when the array is totally unsorted It will give but time complexity i.e. O(nlogn). worst (au.) what are similarities and differences blw complexities of two algorithms and why? Anyles Algorithm Recurrence Relation

But case Worst case

Quick sext T(n) = 2T(n/2) + n T(n) = T(n-1) + nMage soxt T(n) = 2T(n/2) + n T(n) = 2T(n/2) + nboth algorithm have same time complexity in the but and average can.