



https://nextjs-hackathon-mu.vercel.app/blog



Performance



Accessibility



Best Practices



SEO




## Performance

Values are estimated and may vary. The [performance score is calculated](#) directly from these metrics. [See calculator.](#)

▲ 0–49

■ 50–89

● 90–100



### METRICS

[Expand view](#)

- First Contentful Paint

**0.4 s**

- Total Blocking Time

**240 ms**


- Speed Index

**0.7 s**

- Largest Contentful Paint

**1.6 s**

- Cumulative Layout Shift

**0** [View Treemap](#)Show audits relevant to: **All** [FCP](#) [LCP](#) [TBT](#)

### DIAGNOSTICS

▲	Serve images in next-gen formats — Potential savings of 556 KiB	▼
▲	Largest Contentful Paint element — 1,620 ms	▼
▲	Eliminate render-blocking resources — Potential savings of 170 ms	▼
▲	Reduce unused JavaScript — Potential savings of 299 KiB	▼
■	Avoid serving legacy JavaScript to modern browsers — Potential savings of 0 KiB	▼
■	Serve static assets with an efficient cache policy — 1 resource found	▼
○	JavaScript execution time — 0.6 s	▼
○	Minimizes main-thread work — 0.9 s	▼
○	Avoid long main-thread tasks — 4 long tasks found	▼
○	Initial server response time was short — Root document took 370 ms	▼
○	Avoids enormous network payloads — Total size was 1,509 KiB	▼
○	Avoids an excessive DOM size — 351 elements	▼
○	Avoid chaining critical requests — 4 chains found	▼
○	Minimize third-party usage — Third-party code blocked the main thread for 10 ms	▼

More information about the performance of your application. These numbers don't [directly affect](#) the Performance score.

PASSED AUDITS (22)

Show



## Accessibility

These checks highlight opportunities to [improve the accessibility of your web app](#). Automatic detection can only detect a subset of issues and does not guarantee the accessibility of your web app, so [manual testing](#) is also encouraged.

## NAMES AND LABELS

### ▲ Buttons do not have an accessible name



### ▲ Links do not have a discernible name



These are opportunities to improve the semantics of the controls in your application. This may enhance the experience for users of assistive technology, like a screen reader.

## CONTRAST

### ▲ Background and foreground colors do not have a sufficient contrast ratio.



These are opportunities to improve the legibility of your content.

## BEST PRACTICES

### ▲ Touch targets do not have sufficient size or spacing.



These items highlight common accessibility best practices.

## NAVIGATION

### ▲ Heading elements are not in a sequentially-descending order



These are opportunities to improve keyboard navigation in your application.

## ADDITIONAL ITEMS TO MANUALLY CHECK (10)

[Show](#)

These items address areas which an automated testing tool cannot cover. Learn more in our guide on [conducting an accessibility review](#).

## PASSED AUDITS (21)

[Show](#)

NOT APPLICABLE (31)

Show



## Best Practices

### GENERAL

▲ Browser errors were logged to the console



### TRUST AND SAFETY

○ Ensure CSP is effective against XSS attacks



PASSED AUDITS (13)

Show

NOT APPLICABLE (3)

Show



## SEO

These checks ensure that your page is following basic search engine optimization advice. There are many additional factors Lighthouse does not score here that may affect your search ranking, including performance on [Core Web Vitals](#). [Learn more about Google Search Essentials](#).

### CONTENT BEST PRACTICES

#### ▲ Links do not have descriptive text — 8 links found



Format your HTML in a way that enables crawlers to better understand your app's content.

### ADDITIONAL ITEMS TO MANUALLY CHECK (1)

[Show](#)

Run these additional validators on your site to check additional SEO best practices.

### PASSED AUDITS (7)

[Show](#)

### NOT APPLICABLE (2)

[Show](#)

Captured at Jan 21, 2025, 9:32 PM

GMT+5

Initial page load

Emulated Desktop with Lighthouse

12.2.3

Unknown

Single page session

Using HeadlessChromium

131.0.6778.264 with Ir

Generated by Lighthouse 12.2.3 | [File an issue](#)