GALOIS STRUCTURE OF THE HOLOMORPHIC DIFFERENTIALS OF CURVES

FRAUKE M. BLEHER, TED CHINBURG, AND ARISTIDES KONTOGEORGIS

ABSTRACT. Let X be a smooth projective geometrically irreducible curve over a perfect field k of positive characteristic p. Suppose G is a finite group acting faithfully on X such that G has non-trivial cyclic Sylow p-subgroups. We show that the decomposition of the space of holomorphic differentials of X into a direct sum of indecomposable k[G]-modules is uniquely determined by the lower ramification groups and the fundamental characters of closed points of X which are ramified in the cover $X \longrightarrow X/G$. We apply our method to determine the $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ -module structure of the space of holomorphic differentials of the reduction of the modular curve $\mathcal{X}(\ell)$ modulo p when p and ℓ are distinct odd primes and the action of $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ on this reduction is not tamely ramified. This provides some non-trivial congruences modulo appropriate maximal ideals containing p between modular forms arising from isotypic components with respect to the action of $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ on $\mathcal{X}(\ell)$.

1. Introduction

Let k be a perfect field, and let X be a smooth projective geometrically irreducible curve over k. Denote the sheaf of relative differentials of X over k by Ω_X . The space of holomorphic differentials of X is the space of global sections $\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)$. Suppose G is a finite group acting faithfully on X. Then G acts on Ω_X and on $\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)$. In particular, $\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)$ is a k[G]-module of k-dimension equal to the genus g(X) of X. It is a classical problem, which was first posed by Hecke [14], to determine the k[G]-module structure of $\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)$. In other words, this amounts to determining the decomposition of $\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)$ into its indecomposable direct k[G]-module summands. In the case when k is algebraically closed and its characteristic does not divide #G, this problem was solved by Chevalley and Weil [8] using character theory.

For the remainder of the paper, we assume that the characteristic of k is a prime p that divides #G. Two main difficulties then arise. One is the appearance of wild ramification and the other is that one needs to use positive characteristic representation theory. In particular, there are indecomposable k[G]-modules that are not irreducible.

If k is algebraically closed and the ramification of the Galois cover $X \to X/G$ is tame, then Nakajima [23, Thm. 2] and, independently, Kani [18, Thm. 3] determined the k[G]-module structure of $H^0(X, \Omega_X)$ for an arbitrary group G. In particular, Nakajima showed that if \mathcal{E} is any locally free G-sheaf of finite rank then there is an exact sequence of k[G]-modules

$$(1.1) 0 \longrightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow L^0 \longrightarrow L^1 \longrightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow 0$$

where L^0 and L^1 are projective k[G]-modules.

The case when G is a cyclic group and the ramification of $X \longrightarrow X/G$ is arbitrary was initiated by Valentini and Madan [26, Thm. 1] who considered cyclic p-groups (and also revisited cyclic p-groups [26, Thm. 2]). The case of general cyclic G was treated by Karanikolopoulos and the third author [19, Thm. 7].

Date: July 18, 2017.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11G20; Secondary 14H05, 14G17, 20C20.

The first author was supported in part by NSF FRG Grant No. DMS-1360621.

The second author was supported in part by NSF FRG Grant No. DMS-1360767, NSF FRG Grant No. DMS-1265290, NSF SaTC grant No. CNS-1513671 and Simons Foundation Grant No. 338379.

In these papers, formulas are given of the multiplicities of the indecomposable direct k[G]-module summands of $H^0(X, \Omega_X)$ in terms of invariants introduced by Boseck [6] when constructing bases of holomorphic differentials. These Boseck invariants have also been used by Rzedowski-Calderón, Villa-Salvador and Madan [25] and Marques and Ward [21] for some other groups under additional hypotheses on the cover $X \longrightarrow X/G$. A different, general approach to determining the decomposition of coherent cohomology groups into indecomposable direct summands was developed by Borne in [5], using the notion of rings with several objects. Some formulas concerning the case of cyclic groups and curves are given in [5, §7.2].

The goal of this article is to determine the decomposition of $H^0(X, \Omega_X)$ into a direct sum of indecomposable k[G]-modules for every group G with non-trivial cyclic Sylow p-subgroups. Even though there are only finitely many isomorphism classes of indecomposable k[G]-modules in this case, G can have quite a complicated structure. For example, every finite simple non-abelian group has a non-trivial cyclic Sylow subgroup for at least one prime (see, e.g., [15, Prop. 3] for a proof). Our main objective is to prove that the k[G]-module structure of $H^0(X, \Omega_X)$ is uniquely determined by the ramification data and associated characters of closed points of X which are ramified over X/G.

More precisely, for each closed point $x \in X$, let $\mathfrak{m}_{X,x}$ be the maximal ideal of the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ and let k(x) be the residue field of x. For $i \geq 0$, the i^{th} lower ramification subgroup $G_{x,i}$ of G at x is the subgroup of all elements $\sigma \in G$ which fix x and which act trivially on $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\mathfrak{m}_{X,x}^{i+1}$. The fundamental character of the inertia group $G_{x,0}$ of x is the character $\theta_x: G_{x,0} \longrightarrow k(x)^* = \operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{m}_{X,x}/\mathfrak{m}_{X,x}^2)$ giving the action of $G_{x,0}$ on the cotangent space of x. Here θ_x factors through the maximal p'-quotient $G_{x,0}/G_{x,1}$ of $G_{x,0}$. Our main result is as follows.

Theorem 1.1. Suppose G has non-trivial cyclic Sylow p-subgroups. Then the k[G]-module structure of $H^0(X,\Omega_X)$ is uniquely determined by the lower ramification groups and the fundamental characters of closed points x of X which are ramified in the cover $X \longrightarrow X/G$.

There are two main differences between Theorem 1.1 and previous literature on this subject. The first is that we do not require the group G to be solvable or any restrictions on the ramification of the G-cover, but we only require the Sylow p-subgroups of G to be cyclic. The second difference is that we work mostly locally rather than globally and we phrase our results only in terms of ramification groups and fundamental characters. In particular, our results do not involve invariants constructed from equations for successive Artin-Schreier extensions of function fields. In previous work, such equations were involved in defining the invariants necessary to calculate the Galois structure of the holomorphic differentials. Here we only use Artin-Schreier extensions in our proof, but the statement of Theorem 1.1 does not involve invariants associated to solutions of such equations.

Our work is relevant to the study of classical modular forms of weight two. Suppose $N \geq 1$ is an integer prime to p, and let $\Gamma(N)$ be the principal congruence subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$ of level N. Let F be a number field that is unramified over p and that contains a primitive N^{th} root of unity ζ_N . Suppose A is a Dedekind subring of F that has fraction field F and that contains $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N, \frac{1}{N}]$. By [20, Sect. 3] (see also [17]), there is a smooth projective canonical model $\mathcal{X}(N)$ of the modular curve associated to $\Gamma(N)$ over A. The global sections $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{X}(N), \Omega_{\mathcal{X}(N)})$ are naturally identified with the A-lattice $\mathcal{S}(A)$ of holomorphic weight 2 cusp forms for $\Gamma(N)$ that have q-expansion coefficients in A at all the cusps.

Let $\mathcal{V}(F,p)$ be the set of places v of F over p, and let $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}$ be the ring of integers of the completion F_v of F at v. We now suppose A is contained in $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}$ for all $v \in \mathcal{V}(F,p)$. We further suppose that $N = \ell$ is a prime number, and we let $G = \mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{Z}/N) = \mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{F}_{\ell})$. By analyzing the action of G on the holomorphic differentials of the reduction of $\mathcal{X}(\ell)$ modulo p, we will show the following result on the structure of the holomorphic differentials of $\mathcal{X}(\ell)$ as an $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}[G]$ -module.

Theorem 1.2. Suppose $A \subset \mathcal{O}_{F,v}$ for all $v \in \mathcal{V}(F,p)$, $N = \ell$ is a prime number with $\ell \neq p$ and $p \geq 3$. For all $v \in \mathcal{V}(F,p)$, the $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}[G]$ -module

$$\mathcal{O}_{F,v} \otimes_A H^0(\mathcal{X}(\ell), \Omega_{\mathcal{X}(\ell)}) = \mathcal{O}_{F,v} \otimes_A \mathcal{S}(A)$$

is a direct sum over blocks B of $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}[G]$ of modules of the form $P_B \oplus U_B$ in which P_B is a projective B-module and U_B is either the zero module or a single indecomposable non-projective B-module. One can determine P_B and the reduction $\overline{U_B}$ of U_B modulo the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{F,v}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}$ from the ramification data associated to the action of G on $\mathcal{X}(\ell)$ modulo p.

The fact that at most one non-projective indecomposable module U_B is associated to each block B is fortuitous. When p > 3 we show how this follows from work of Nakajima [23, Thm. 2], and in particular from (1.1). When p = 3 the result is more difficult because the ramification of the action of G on $\mathcal{X}(\ell)$ modulo 3 is wild. We determine the module structure of the holomorphic differentials of $\mathcal{X}(\ell)$ modulo 3 in Theorem 1.4 below, and this leads to Theorem 1.2 in this case. Note that the Sylow 2-subgroups of G are not cyclic, so the methods of this article are not sufficient to treat the case when p = 2.

We now recall from [24] one approach to defining congruences modulo p between modular forms. We then show how Theorem 1.2 enables us to completely characterize when such congruences can arise from the decomposition of $F \otimes_A \mathcal{S}(A)$ into G-isotypic pieces.

Define $S(F) = F \otimes_A S(A)$ to be the space of weight two cusp forms that have q-expansion coefficients in F at all cusps. Suppose there is a decomposition

$$\mathcal{S}(F) = E_1 \oplus E_2$$

of S(F) into a direct sum of F-subspaces that are stable under all Hecke operators. Let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal of A. Following [24], a non-trivial congruence modulo \mathfrak{a} linking E_1 and E_2 is defined to be a pair of forms $f \in S(A) \cap E_1$ and $g \in S(A) \cap E_2$ such that

$$f \equiv g \mod \mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathcal{S}(A)$$
 but $f \notin \mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathcal{S}(A)$.

Congruences of this kind have played an important role in the development of the theory of modular forms, Galois representations and arithmetic geometry. For further discussion of them, see for example [12, 13].

Our results are relevant to a method for producing congruences of the above kind. Letting $N = \ell$ and $G = PSL(2, \mathbb{F}_{\ell})$ as before, we can form a decomposition (1.2) in the following way. Write 1 in F[G] as the sum $e_1 + e_2$ of two orthogonal central idempotents. Define

(1.3)
$$E_1 = e_1 \mathcal{S}(F) \quad \text{and} \quad E_2 = e_2 \mathcal{S}(F).$$

We will call such a decomposition a G-isotypic decomposition of $\mathcal{S}(F)$. We will show the following result.

Theorem 1.3. With the assumptions of Theorem 1.2, suppose further that F contains a root of unity of order equal to the prime to p part of the order of G. Let \mathfrak{a} be the maximal ideal over p in A associated to $v \in \mathcal{V}(F,p)$. A decomposition (1.2) which is G-isotypic, in the sense that it arises from idempotents as in (1.3), results in non-trivial congruences modulo \mathfrak{a} between modular forms if and only if the following is true. There is a block B of $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}[G]$ such that when P_B and U_B are as in Theorem 1.2, $M_B = P_B \oplus U_B$ is not equal to the direct sum $(M_B \cap e_1 M_B) \oplus (M_B \cap e_2 M_B)$. For a given B, there will be orthogonal idempotents e_1 and e_2 for which this is true if and only B has non-trivial defect groups, and either $P_B \neq \{0\}$ or $F_v \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{F,v}} U_B$ has two non-isomorphic irreducible constituents.

To describe the module structure of the holomorphic differentials of $\mathcal{X}(\ell)$ modulo 3, let $\ell \neq 3$ be an odd prime number. Let \mathcal{P}_3 be a maximal ideal of A containing 3, define $k(\mathcal{P}_3) = A/\mathcal{P}_3$ to be the corresponding

residue field, and let k be an algebraically closed field containing $k(\mathcal{P}_3)$. Define the reduction of $\mathcal{X}(\ell)$ modulo 3 over k to be

$$X_3(\ell) = k \otimes_{k(\mathcal{P}_3)} (k(\mathcal{P}_3) \otimes_A \mathcal{X}(\ell)).$$

If $\ell = 5$ then $X_3(\ell)$ has genus 0. For $\ell \geq 7$, we obtain the following result; for more detailed versions of part (i) of Theorem 1.4, see Propositions 5.4.1 - 5.4.4.

Theorem 1.4. Let $\ell \geq 7$ be a prime number, and define $G = \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{F}_{\ell})$. Let \mathcal{P}_3 , $k(\mathcal{P}_3)$ and k be as above, and define $X = X_3(\ell)$ to be the reduction of $\mathcal{X}(\ell)$ modulo 3 over k.

- (i) Let $\epsilon = \pm 1$ be such that $\ell \equiv \epsilon \mod 3$. Write $\ell \epsilon = 2 \cdot 3^n \cdot m$ where 3 does not divide m, and let $\delta_{n,1}$ be the Kronecker delta. If T is a simple k[G]-module, then $U_{T,b}^{(G)}$ denotes a uniserial k[G]-module of length b whose socle is isomorphic to T. There exists a projective k[G]-module Q_{ℓ} such that the following is true:
 - (1) Suppose $\ell \equiv 1 \mod 4$ and $\ell \equiv -1 \mod 3$. For $0 \le t \le (m-1)/2$, let \widetilde{T}_t be representatives of simple k[G]-modules of k-dimension $\ell 1$ such that \widetilde{T}_0 belongs to the principal block of k[G]. As a k[G]-module,

$$H^0(X,\Omega_X) \cong Q_\ell \oplus (1-\delta_{n,1}) U_{\widetilde{T}_0,(3^{n-1}-1)/2}^{(G)} \oplus \bigoplus_{t=1}^{(m-1)/2} U_{\widetilde{T}_t,3^{n-1}}^{(G)}.$$

(2) Suppose $\ell \equiv -1 \mod 4$ and $\ell \equiv 1 \mod 3$. Let T_1 be a simple k[G]-module of k-dimension q. For $1 \leq t \leq (m-1)/2$, let \widetilde{T}_t be representatives of simple k[G]-modules of k-dimension $\ell + 1$. As a k[G]-module,

$$H^0(X,\Omega_X) \cong Q_\ell \oplus (1-\delta_{n,1}) U_{T_1,2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1}^{(G)} \oplus \bigoplus_{t=1}^{(m-1)/2} U_{\widetilde{T}_t,2\cdot 3^{n-1}}^{(G)}.$$

(3) Suppose $\ell \equiv 1 \mod 4$ and $\ell \equiv 1 \mod 3$. Let $T_{1,1}$ be a simple k[G]-module of k-dimension q. For $1 \leq t \leq (m/2-1)$, let \widetilde{T}_t be representatives of simple k[G]-modules of k-dimension $\ell + 1$. There exists a simple k[G]-module $T_{0,1}$ of k-dimension $(\ell + 1)/2$ such that, as a k[G]-module,

$$H^{0}(X,\Omega_{X}) \cong Q_{\ell} \oplus (1-\delta_{n,1}) U_{T_{1,1},2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1}^{(G)} \oplus U_{T_{0,1},2\cdot 3^{n-1}}^{(G)} \oplus \bigoplus_{t=1}^{m/2-1} U_{\widetilde{T}_{t},2\cdot 3^{n-1}}^{(G)}.$$

(4) Suppose $\ell \equiv -1 \mod 4$ and $\ell \equiv -1 \mod 3$. For $0 \le t \le (\ell/2 - 1)$, let \widetilde{T}_t be representatives of simple k[G]-modules of k-dimension $\ell - 1$ such that \widetilde{T}_0 belongs to the principal block of k[G]. There exists a simple k[G]-module $T_{0,1}$ of k-dimension $(\ell - 1)/2$ such that, as a k[G]-module,

$$H^{0}(X,\Omega_{X}) \cong Q_{\ell} \oplus (1-\delta_{n,1}) U_{\widetilde{T}_{0},(3^{n-1}-1)/2}^{(G)} \oplus U_{T_{0,1},3^{n-1}}^{(G)} \oplus \bigoplus_{t=1}^{m/2-1} U_{\widetilde{T}_{t},3^{n-1}}^{(G)}.$$

The multiplicities of the projective indecomposable k[G]-modules in Q_{ℓ} are known explicitly. The isomorphism classes of the uniserial k[G]-modules occurring in parts (1) through (4) are uniquely determined by their socles and their composition series lengths. In parts (3) and (4), there are two conjugacy classes of subgroups of G, represented by H_1 and H_2 , that are isomorphic to the symmetric group Σ_3 such that the conjugates of H_1 (resp. H_2) occur (resp. do not occur) as inertia groups of closed points of X. This characterizes the simple k[G]-module $T_{0,1}$ in parts (3) and (4) as follows. The restriction of $T_{0,1}$ to H_1 (resp. H_2) is a direct sum of a projective module and a non-projective indecomposable module whose socle is the trivial simple module (resp. the simple module corresponding to the sign character).

(ii) Let k_1 be a perfect field containing $k(\mathcal{P}_3)$ and let k be an algebraic closure of k_1 . Define $X_1 = k_1 \otimes_{k(\mathcal{P}_3)} (k(\mathcal{P}_3) \otimes_A \mathcal{X}(\ell))$. Then

$$k \otimes_{k_1} H^0(X_1, \Omega_{X_1}) \cong H^0(X, \Omega_X)$$

as k[G]-modules, and the decomposition of $H^0(X_1, \Omega_{X_1})$ into indecomposable $k_1[G]$ -modules is uniquely determined by the decomposition of $H^0(X, \Omega_X)$ into indecomposable k[G]-modules. The $k_1[G]$ -module $H^0(X_1, \Omega_{X_1})$ is a direct sum over blocks B_1 of $k_1[G]$ of modules of the form $P_{B_1} \oplus U_{B_1}$ in which P_{B_1} is a projective B_1 -module and U_{B_1} is either the zero module or a single indecomposable non-projective B_1 -module. Moreover, one can determine P_{B_1} and U_{B_1} from the ramification data associated to the cover $X \longrightarrow X/G$.

The main ingredients in the proof of Theorem 1.4 are Theorem 1.1 together with a description of the blocks of k[G] and their Brauer trees in [7].

We now describe the main ideas of the proof of Theorem 1.1.

We first use the Conlon induction theorem [10, Thm. (80.51)] to reduce the problem of determining the k[G]-module structure of $H^0(X, \Omega_X)$ to the problem of determining the k[H]-module structure of restrictions of $H^0(X, \Omega_X)$ to the so-called p-hypo-elementary subgroups H of G. These p-hypo-elementary subgroups are semi-direct products of the form $H = P \rtimes C$, where P is a normal cyclic p-subgroup of H and C is a cyclic p-group.

We then prove Theorem 1.1 in the case when G=H is p-hypo-elementary. The proof in this case is constructive and can be used as an algorithm to determine the decomposition of $\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)$ into a direct sum of indecomposable k[H]-modules, see Remark 3.4. More precisely, let $H=P\rtimes C$ be a p-hypo-elementary group as above, and let $\chi:C\longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_p^*$ be the character determining the action of C on P. Let $I\leq P$ be the (cyclic, characteristic) subgroup of P generated by all inertia groups of the cover $X\longrightarrow X/P$, say $I=\langle \tau\rangle$. If M is a k[I]-module or a coherent sheaf of k[I]-modules, we use the notation $M^{(j)}$, for $0\leq j\leq \#I-1$, to denote the kernel of the action of $(\tau-1)^j$ on M. We prove that the quotient sheaves $\Omega_X^{(j+1)}/\Omega_X^{(j)}$ are line bundles for $\mathcal{O}_{X/I}$ isomorphic to $\chi^{-j}\otimes_k\Omega_{X/I}(D_j)$ for effective divisors D_j on X/I which may be explicitly determined by the lower ramification groups of the cover $X\longrightarrow X/I$. Using a dimension count, we show that there is an isomorphism

(1.4)
$$H^{0}(X, \Omega_{X})^{(j+1)}/H^{0}(X, \Omega_{X})^{(j)} \cong H^{0}(X, \Omega_{X}^{(j+1)}/\Omega_{X}^{(j)})$$

of k[H/I]-modules for $0 \le j \le \#I-1$. Then we use that $X/I \longrightarrow X/H$ is tamely ramified, together with (1.1), to prove that the k[H/I]-module structure of $H^0(X, \Omega_X^{(j+1)}/\Omega_X^{(j)})$, for $0 \le j \le \#I-1$, is uniquely determined by the p'-parts of the (non-trivial) inertia groups of the cover $X \longrightarrow X/H$ and their fundamental characters. Finally, we argue, using (1.4), that this is sufficient to obtain the k[H]-module structure of $H^0(X, \Omega_X)$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we show how to reduce the proof of Theorem 1.1 to the case of p-hypo-elementary subgroups H of G, using the Conlon induction theorem (see Lemma 2.2). We also reduce to the case when k is algebraically closed. In Section 3, we first prove Theorem 1.1 when G = H is p-hypo-elementary; see Propositions 3.1 and 3.3 for the key steps. We then summarize these key steps of the proof in Remark 3.4. In Section 4, we discuss the holomorphic differentials of the reductions of the modular curves $\mathcal{X}(\ell)$ modulo p, and we prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 when p > 3. In Section 5, we fully determine the $k[\operatorname{PSL}(2,\mathbb{F}_{\ell})]$ -module structure of $\operatorname{H}^0(X_3(\ell),\Omega_{X_3(\ell)})$ when k is an algebraically closed field containing $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_3$; see Propositions 5.4.1 - 5.4.4 for the precise statements. In particular, this proves Theorem 1.4, which we then use to prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 when p = 3.

2. Reduction to p-hypo-elementary subgroups and algebraically closed base fields

Let k be a perfect field of positive characteristic p, and suppose G is a finite group such that p divides #G. In this section, we show how we can reduce the problem of finding the k[G]-module structure of a finitely generated k[G]-module M to determining the k[H]-module structure of the restrictions of M to all p-hypo-elementary subgroups H of G. We follow [10, §80D] and [4, §5.6]. At the end of this section, we show how we can further reduce to the case when k is algebraically closed.

Definition 2.1. (a) Let a(k[G]) be the representation ring, also called the Green ring, of k[G]. This is the ring consisting of \mathbb{Z} -linear combinations of symbols [M], one for each isomorphism class of finitely generated k[G]-modules M, with relations

$$[M] + [M'] = [M \oplus M'].$$

Multiplication is defined by the tensor product over k

$$[M] \cdot [M'] = [M \otimes_k M']$$

where G acts diagonally on $M \otimes_k M'$. Since the Krull-Schmidt-Azumaya theorem holds for finitely generated k[G]-modules, it follows that a(k[G]) has a \mathbb{Z} -basis consisting of all [M] with M finitely generated indecomposable. Moreover, [M] = [M'] if and only if $M \cong M'$ as k[G]-modules. Define

$$A(k[G]) = \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} a(k[G])$$

which is called the representation algebra. Then a(k[G]) is embedded into A(k[G]) as a subring, and both have the same identity element $[k_G]$, where k_G denotes the trivial simple k[G]-module. We also have induction maps

$$a(k[H]) \longrightarrow a(k[G])$$
 and $A(k[H]) \longrightarrow A(k[G])$

for each subgroup $H \leq G$.

(b) A *p-hypo-elementary* group is a group H such that $H = P \rtimes C$, where P is a normal p-subgroup and C is a cyclic p'-group. We denote the set of p-hypo-elementary subgroups of G by \mathcal{H}' .

The Conlon induction theorem [10, Thm. (80.51)] says that there is a relation

$$[k_G] = \sum_{H \in \mathcal{H}'} \alpha_H \left[\operatorname{Ind}_H^G(k_H) \right]$$

in A(k[G]), for certain rational numbers α_H . Since by [9, Cor. (10.20)],

$$M \otimes_k \operatorname{Ind}_H^G(k_H) \cong \operatorname{Ind}_H^G(M_H \otimes_k k_H) \cong \operatorname{Ind}_H^G(M_H)$$

for every finitely generated k[G]-module M, (2.1) implies that we have the relation

(2.2)
$$[M] = \sum_{H \in \mathcal{H}'} \alpha_H \left[\operatorname{Ind}_H^G(M_H) \right]$$

in A(k[G]), for the same rational numbers α_H as in (2.1). In other words, if M' is another finitely generated k[G]-module such that $[M_H] = [M'_H]$ in a(k[H]) for all $H \in \mathcal{H}'$, then [M] = [M'] in A(k[G]), and hence in a(k[G]). In particular, this proves the following result.

Lemma 2.2. Suppose M is a finitely generated k[G]-module. Then the decomposition of M into its indecomposable direct k[G]-module summands is uniquely determined by the decompositions of the restrictions M_H of M into a direct sum of indecomposable k[H]-modules as H ranges over all elements in \mathcal{H}' .

Remark 2.3. Suppose M is as in Lemma 2.2, and suppose we know the explicit decomposition of M_H into a direct sum of indecomposable k[H]-modules for all $H \in \mathcal{H}'$. If G does not have cyclic Sylow p-subgroups, there might be infinitely many non-isomorphic indecomposable k[G]-modules of k-dimension less than or equal to $\dim_k M$. To determine explicitly the decomposition of $\operatorname{Ind}_H^G(M_H)$ into a direct sum of indecomposable k[G]-modules in (2.2), we have to test in principle all of these to see if they could be direct summands.

However, if G has cyclic Sylow p-subgroups, then there are only finitely many isomorphism classes of indecomposable k[G]-modules, and also only finitely many isomorphism classes of indecomposable k[H]-modules, for all $H \in \mathcal{H}'$. Moreover, one can use the Green correspondence [9, Thm. (20.6)] to obtain a different, more explicit, proof that the k[G]-module structure of M is uniquely determined by the k[H]-module structure of M_H , as H ranges over all elements in \mathcal{H}' .

Namely, if P is a cyclic Sylow p-subgroup of G (not necessarily unique), let P_1 be the unique subgroup of P of order p, and let N_1 be the normalizer of P_1 in G. The Green correspondence shows that induction and restriction sets up a one-to-one correspondence between the isomorphism classes of indecomposable non-projective k[G]-modules and the isomorphism classes of indecomposable non-projective $k[N_1]$ -modules. By work of Dade [11] (and in particular, [11, Thm. 5]), it follows (in the case when k contains all (#G)th roots of unity) that the indecomposable $k[N_1]$ -modules are all uniserial, and hence uniquely determined by their top radical layer and their composition series length. Using a filtration of the $k[N_1]$ -modules by powers of the augmentation ideal of $k[P_1]$, one then proves that the $k[N_1]$ -module structure of M is uniquely determined by the restrictions M_H to elements $H \in \mathcal{H}'$.

For the remainder of the paper, we assume, as in Theorem 1.1, that G has non-trivial cyclic Sylow p-subgroups. Then every p-hypo-elementary subgroup H of G has a unique non-trivial cyclic Sylow p-subgroup.

Suppose $H = P \rtimes_{\psi} C$, where $P = \langle \sigma \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^n$ and $C = \langle \rho \rangle$ is a cyclic p'-group of order c. Then $\operatorname{Aut}(P) \cong \mathbb{F}_p^* \times Q$ for an abelian p-group Q, and $\psi : C \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(P)$ factors through a character $\chi : C \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_p^*$. To emphasize this character, we write $H = P \rtimes_{\chi} C$. Note that the order of χ divides (p-1), which means in particular that $\chi^{p-1} = \chi^{-(p-1)}$ is the trivial one-dimensional character. For all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, χ^i defines a simple k[C]-module of k-dimension one, which we denote by T_{χ^i} . We also view T_{χ^i} as a k[H]-module by inflation.

Let \overline{k} be a fixed algebraic closure of k, and let ζ be a primitive c^{th} root of unity in \overline{k} . For $0 \leq a \leq c-1$, let S_a be the simple $\overline{k}[C]$ -module on which ρ acts as ζ^a . We also view S_a as a $\overline{k}[H]$ -module by inflation. Moreover, for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, define $S_{\chi^i} = \overline{k} \otimes_k T_{\chi^i}$ and, for $0 \leq a \leq c-1$, define $\chi^i(a) \in \{0, 1, \ldots, c-1\}$ to be such that $S_{\chi^i(a)} \cong S_a \otimes_{\overline{k}} S_{\chi^i}$.

The following remark describes the indecomposable $\overline{k}[H]$ -modules (see, e.g., [1, pp. 35-37 & 42-43]).

Remark 2.4. Let $H = P \rtimes_{\chi} C$ be a p-hypo-elementary group, where $P = \langle \sigma \rangle$, $C = \langle \rho \rangle$ and $\chi : C \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_p^*$ is a character, and use the notation introduced in the previous two paragraphs. The projective cover of the trivial simple $\overline{k}[H]$ -module S_0 is uniserial, in the sense that it has a unique composition series, with p^n ascending composition factors of the form

$$(2.3) S_0, S_{\chi^{-1}}, S_{\chi^{-2}}, \dots, S_{\chi^{-(p-2)}}, S_0, S_{\chi^{-1}}, \dots, S_{\chi^{-(p-2)}}, S_0.$$

More generally, the projective cover of the simple $\overline{k}[H]$ -module S_a , for $0 \le a \le c - 1$, is uniserial with p^n ascending composition factors of the form

$$(2.4) S_a, S_{\chi^{-1}(a)}, S_{\chi^{-2}(a)}, \dots, S_{\chi^{-(p-2)}(a)}, S_a, S_{\chi-1(a)}, \dots, S_{\chi^{-(p-2)}(a)}, S_a.$$

There are precisely #H isomorphism classes of indecomposable $\overline{k}[H]$ -modules, and they are all uniserial. If U is an indecomposable $\overline{k}[H]$ -module, then it is uniquely determined by its socle, which is the kernel of the

action of $(\sigma - 1)$ on U, and its k-dimension. For $0 \le a \le c - 1$ and $1 \le b \le p^n$, let $U_{a,b}$ be an indecomposable $\overline{k}[H]$ -module with socle S_a and k-dimension b. Then $U_{a,b}$ is uniserial and its b ascending composition factors are equal to the first b ascending composition factors in (2.4).

We next show how we can reduce to the case when k is algebraically closed when considering indecomposable k[H]-modules.

Let Z_1, \ldots, Z_d be the distinct orbits of $\{\zeta^a : 0 \le a \le c - 1\}$ under the action of $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$. For $1 \le j \le d$, let S_{Z_j} be the direct sum of the S_a for $a \in Z_j$.

Lemma 2.5. Let $H = P \rtimes_{\chi} C$ be a p-hypo-elementary group as in Remark 2.4.

- (i) The number of isomorphism classes of simple k[C]-modules is equal to d. Moreover, for each $1 \le j \le d$, there exists a simple k[C]-module T_j with $\overline{k} \otimes_k T_j \cong S_{Z_j}$.
- (ii) The number of isomorphism classes of indecomposable k[H]-modules is equal to $d \cdot p^n$. Moreover, for each $1 \leq j \leq d$ and each $1 \leq t \leq p^n$, there exists a uniserial k[H]-module $V_{j,t}$ such that $\overline{k} \otimes_k \operatorname{soc}(V_{j,t}) \cong S_{Z_j}$ and such that $\overline{k} \otimes_k V_{j,t}$ is a direct sum of indecomposable $\overline{k}[H]$ -modules of k-dimension t which all lie in a single orbit under the action of $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$.
- (iii) If M is a finitely generated k[H]-module, then its decomposition into a direct sum of indecomposable k[H]-modules is uniquely determined by the decomposition of $\overline{k} \otimes_k M$ into a direct sum of indecomposable $\overline{k}[H]$ -modules

Proof. Let T be a simple k[C]-module. Since c is relatively prime to p, $\overline{k} \otimes_k T$ is a direct sum of simple $\overline{k}[C]$ -modules that lie in precisely one Galois orbit under the action of $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$. In other words, there exists a unique $j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ with $\overline{k} \otimes_k T \cong S_{Z_j}$. This proves part (i).

For part (ii), we use the description of the projective cover Q_0 of the trivial simple $\overline{k}[H]$ -module S_0 in Remark 2.4, and in particular the description of its ascending composition factors in (2.3). Since χ is a character with values in $\mathbb{F}_p^* \subseteq k^*$, this means that Q_0 is realizable over k, i.e., $Q_0 = \overline{k} \otimes_k P_0$, where P_0 is the projective cover of the trivial simple k[H]-module. In particular, if $S_{Z_1} = \{S_0\}$, then, for all $1 \leq t \leq p^n$, there exists an indecomposable k[H]-module $V_{1,t}$ of k-dimension t with $\overline{k} \otimes_k \operatorname{soc}(V_{1,t}) \cong S_{Z_1}$. Let $j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ be arbitrary. Then, for all $1 \leq t \leq p^n$, $T_j \otimes_k V_{1,t}$ is a uniserial k[H]-module of k-dimension equal to $(\dim_k T_j)t = (\#Z_j)t$, with t ascending composition factors $T_j, T_{\chi^{-1}} \otimes_k T_j, T_{\chi^{-2}} \otimes_k T_j, \ldots$ Now suppose V is an arbitrary indecomposable k[H]-module. Write $\overline{k} \otimes_k V$ as a direct sum of indecomposable $\overline{k}[H]$ -modules. The socle layers W_1 and W_2 of two of these summands are in the same Galois orbit if and only if for all integers $i \geq 0$, $S_{\chi^{-i}} \otimes_{\overline{k}} W_1$ and $S_{\chi^{-i}} \otimes_{\overline{k}} W_2$ are in the same Galois orbit. Since the socle layers of V are k[H]-modules, it follows that $\overline{k} \otimes_k V$ is a sum of Galois orbits of indecomposable $\overline{k}[H]$ -modules. Since the sum of modules in a Galois orbit is an indecomposable k[H]-module, we conclude that there can be only one such orbit since V is indecomposable. Hence V is isomorphic to $T_j \otimes_k V_{1,t}$ for some $1 \leq j \leq d$ and $1 \leq t \leq p^n$. This proves part (ii). Part (iii) is an immediate consequence of part (ii).

3. FILTRATIONS ON DIFFERENTIALS AND RAMIFICATION DATA

We assume throughout this section that k is an algebraically closed field of characteristic p > 0, and that $H = P \rtimes_{\chi} C$ is a p-hypo-elementary group, where $P = \langle \sigma \rangle$ is a cyclic p-group of order p^n , $C = \langle \rho \rangle$ is a cyclic p-group of order c, and $\chi : C \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_p^*$ is a character, as in the previous section. We again view χ as a character of H by inflation, and denote, for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, the one-dimensional k[H]-module corresponding to χ^i by S_{χ^i} . Let X be a smooth projective curve over k, and fix a faithful action of H on X over k. As in the introduction, let $I = \langle \tau \rangle$ be the (cyclic) subgroup of P generated by the Sylow p-subgroups of the inertia groups of all closed points of X. The Jacobson radical of the group ring k[I] is then $\mathcal{J} = k[I](\tau - 1)$. For

all integers $j \geq 0$ let $\Omega_X^{(j)}$ be the kernel of the action of $\mathcal{J}^j = k[I](\tau - 1)^j$ on the sheaf Ω_X of holomorphic differentials of X over k. Recall that if x is a closed point of X and $i \geq 0$, the i^{th} lower ramification subgroup $H_{x,i}$ of H is the group of all elements in H which fix x and act trivially on $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\mathfrak{m}_{X,x}^{i+1}$. We will call the collection of groups $H_{x,i}$, as x varies over the closed points of X and i ranges over all non-negative integers, the ramification data associated to the action of H on X. Let Y be the quotient curve X/I. We identify the structure sheaf \mathcal{O}_Y with the subsheaf of I-invariants of \mathcal{O}_X . If D is a divisor on Y, then $\Omega_Y(D)$ denotes the tensor product $\Omega_Y \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{O}_Y(D)$.

Proposition 3.1. For $0 \le j \le \#I - 1$, the action of \mathcal{O}_Y and of H on Ω_X makes the quotient sheaf $\mathcal{L}_j = \Omega_X^{(j+1)}/\Omega_X^{(j)}$ into a sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_Y[H]$ -modules. There exists an H-invariant divisor D_j on Y with the following properties:

- (i) The divisor D_j may be determined from the ramification data associated to the action of I on X.
- (ii) We have $D_{\#I-1} = 0$, and D_j is effective of positive degree for $0 \le j < \#I 1$.
- (iii) There is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_Y[H]$ -modules between \mathcal{L}_j and $S_{\chi^{-j}} \otimes_k \Omega_Y(D_j)$.

Proof. Let K be the function field of X, and let $L = K^I$ be the function field of Y = X/I. Write

$$\Omega_X = \mathcal{D}_{X/Y}^{-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \Omega_Y$$

where $\mathcal{D}_{X/Y}^{-1}$ is the inverse different of X over Y. In other words, $\mathcal{D}_{X/Y}^{-1}$ is the largest \mathcal{O}_X fractional ideal in K such that $\operatorname{Tr}_{K/L}(\mathcal{D}_{X/Y}^{-1}) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_Y$. Fix $0 \le j \le \#I - 1$, and consider the short exact sequences

$$(3.1) 0 \longrightarrow \Omega_X^{(j)} \longrightarrow \Omega_X^{(j+1)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_j \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{X/Y}^{-1,(j)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{X/Y}^{-1,(j+1)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_j \longrightarrow 0$$

where we again use the notation $\mathcal{D}_{X/Y}^{-1,(j)}$ for the kernel of the action of $\mathcal{J}^j = k[I](\tau - 1)^j$ on $\mathcal{D}_{X/Y}^{-1}$. In particular, $\mathcal{L}_j = \mathcal{H}_j \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \Omega_Y$.

It is obvious that \mathcal{L}_j is a sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_Y[H]$ -modules. We now show that \mathcal{L}_j is a line bundle for \mathcal{O}_Y . Let $\Omega^1_{K/L}$ be the relative differentials of K/L. We can write $\Omega^1_{K/L} = K dt$ for some $t \in K^H$. For all integers $j \geq 0$, we again write $(\Omega^1_{K/L})^{(j)}$ for the kernel of the action of \mathcal{J}^j . Then

$$\mathcal{L}_{j} = \frac{\Omega_{X}^{(j+1)}}{\Omega_{X}^{(j)}} \subseteq \frac{(\Omega_{K/L}^{1})^{(j+1)}}{(\Omega_{K/L}^{1})^{(j)}}.$$

Note that the latter is a one-dimensional vector space over $L = K^I$, since $K \cong L[I]$ as a L[I]-module, by the normal basis theorem, which means that $\Omega^1_{K/L} = K dt$ is also a free rank one L[I]-module. Hence \mathcal{L}_j is an \mathcal{O}_Y -submodule of a one-dimensional vector space over L = k(Y), which implies that \mathcal{L}_j is a line bundle for \mathcal{O}_Y . Since $\mathcal{L}_j = \mathcal{H}_j \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \Omega_Y$, \mathcal{H}_j is also a line bundle for \mathcal{O}_Y . Because $\mathcal{H}_j = \mathcal{D}_{X/Y}^{-1,(j+1)}/\mathcal{D}_{X/Y}^{-1,(j)}$, it follows that the map given by $(\tau - 1)^j$ sends \mathcal{H}_j onto an \mathcal{O}_Y -line bundle in $L = K^I$. Since I is a normal subgroup of I, this means that there exists an I-invariant divisor I on I such that

$$(3.2) (\tau - 1)^j: \mathcal{H}_j \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(D_j)$$

is an isomorphism of \mathcal{O}_Y -modules. Since τ commutes with σ , (3.2) is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_Y[P]$ -modules. On the other hand, considering the generator ρ of C and using that $\rho \sigma \rho^{-1} = \sigma^{\chi(\rho)}$, we see that for

$$f\in \mathcal{D}_{X/Y}^{-1,(j+1)}\subset K,$$

$$\begin{split} \rho \, (\tau - 1)^j f &= \rho \, (\tau - 1)^j \, \rho^{-1} \, (\rho \, f) \\ &= \, (\tau^{\chi(\rho)} - 1)^j \, (\rho \, f) \\ &= \, (\tau - 1)^j \, (\chi(\rho)^j \, \rho \, f) \end{split}$$

since $(\tau - 1)^{j+1} \mathcal{D}_{X/Y}^{-1,(j+1)} = 0$. Therefore, we obtain that

$$(3.3) (\tau - 1)^j: \mathcal{H}_j \longrightarrow S_{\chi^{-j}} \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_Y(D_j)$$

is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_Y[H]$ -modules. In particular, (3.3) gives an isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_Y[H]$ -modules between \mathcal{L}_j and $S_{\chi^{-j}} \otimes_k \Omega_Y(D_j)$.

It remains to show that, for $j \in \{0, 1, ..., \#I - 1\}$, D_j may be determined from the ramification data associated to the action of I on X, and to establish the statements of part (ii). Recall that $L = K^I$ is the fixed field of $I = \langle \tau \rangle$. Write $\#I = p^{n_I}$, where $n_I \leq n$, and write

$$D_j = \sum_{y \in Y} d_{y,j} y.$$

Fix a point $y \in Y$ and a point $x \in X$ above y. Let $I_x \subseteq I$ be the inertia group of x, which is cyclic of order $p^{n(x)} \leq p^{n_I}$. Let $i(x) = n_I - n(x)$ and $\tau_x = \tau^{p^{i(x)}}$, so that $I_x = \langle \tau_x \rangle$. Define $L_x = K^{I_x} \supseteq K^I = L$, define $Y_x = X/I_x$, and let $y_x \in Y_x$ be a point above y and below x. Note that x is totally ramified over y_x for the action of I_x , and y splits into $p^{i(x)}$ points in Y_x , where y_x is one of them. By the tower formula for inverse differents, we have

$$\mathcal{D}_{X/Y}^{-1} = \mathcal{D}_{X/Y_x}^{-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} f_x^* \, \mathcal{D}_{Y_x/Y}^{-1}$$

where $f_x: X \longrightarrow Y_x$ is the quotient map. Since the quotient map $g_x: Y_x \longrightarrow Y$ is étale over y, it follows that the stalk of $\mathcal{D}_{Y_x/Y}^{-1}$ is equal to the stalk of the structure sheaf \mathcal{O}_{Y_x} at all points of Y_x over y. Hence at all points of X over y, the stalks of $\mathcal{D}_{X/Y}^{-1}$ and \mathcal{D}_{X/Y_x}^{-1} are the same. It follows that if we take the inverse image $U_y = (g_x \circ f_x)^{-1}(V_y) \subset X$ of a sufficiently small open neighborhood V_y of y, then we have an equality

(3.4)
$$\left(\mathcal{D}_{X/Y}^{-1} \right) \Big|_{U_y} = \left(\mathcal{D}_{X/Y_x}^{-1} \right) \Big|_{U_y}$$

of the restrictions of the inverse differents $\mathcal{D}_{X/Y}^{-1}$ and \mathcal{D}_{X/Y_x}^{-1} to U_y .

We now determine $d_{y,j}$ using the filtration of \mathcal{D}_{X/Y_x}^{-1} coming from the powers of the Jacobson radical of the group ring $k[I_x]$, which is given as $\mathcal{J}_x = k[I_x](\tau_x - 1) = k[I_x](\tau - 1)^{p^{i(x)}}$. For all integers $t \geq 0$, let $\mathcal{D}_{X/Y_x}^{-1,(t)}$ be the kernel of the action of $\mathcal{J}_x^t = k[I_x](\tau_x - 1)^t = k[I_x](\tau - 1)^{p^{i(x)}t}$ on \mathcal{D}_{X/Y_x}^{-1} . Using the same arguments as in the first part of the proof, it follows that for $0 \leq t \leq \#I_x - 1$, there exists a divisor $\mathcal{D}'_{t,x}$ on Y_x such that

$$\mathcal{D}_{X/Y_x}^{-1,(t+1)}/\mathcal{D}_{X/Y_x}^{-1,(t)} \cong \mathcal{O}_{Y_x}(D'_{t,x}).$$

Writing

$$D'_{t,x} = \sum_{y' \in Y_n} d'_{y',x,t} \, y'$$

we claim that

$$(3.5) d_{y,j} = d'_{y_x,x,t} \text{for all } t,j \text{ satisfying } p^{i(x)}t \le j < p^{i(x)}(t+1).$$

To see this, note that for all $y' \in Y_x$ lying over y and for all $t \geq 0$, we have $d'_{y',x,t} = d'_{y_x,x,t}$. This means that locally, above y, the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{Y_x}(D'_{t,x})$ for \mathcal{O}_{Y_x} is the pullback of a line bundle for \mathcal{O}_Y . On the other hand, if we consider two consecutive powers \mathcal{J}_x^t and \mathcal{J}_x^{t+1} of the radical \mathcal{J}_x of $k[I_x]$, then they generate in

k[I] the two powers $\mathcal{J}^{p^{i(x)}t}$ and $\mathcal{J}^{p^{i(x)}(t+1)}$ of the radical \mathcal{J} of k[I]. Using (3.4), it follows that the restriction of the quotient

$$\mathcal{D}_{X/Y}^{-1,(p^{i(x)}(t+1))}/\mathcal{D}_{X/Y}^{-1,(p^{i(x)}t)}$$

to $U_y = (g_x \circ f_x)^{-1}(V_y)$, for a sufficiently small neighborhood V_y of y, is as a module for $\mathcal{O}_{Y_x}(g_x^{-1}(V_y))$ given by $\mathcal{O}_{Y_x}(D'_{t,x})$ restricted to $g_x^{-1}(V_y)$.

Considering the quotient (3.6), there are $p^{i(x)}$ intermediate quotients $\mathcal{D}_{X/Y}^{-1,(j+1)}/\mathcal{D}_{X/Y}^{-1,(j)}$, for $p^{i(x)}t \leq j < p^{i(x)}(t+1)$. Hence, to prove the claim in (3.5), it suffices to prove that in each of these intermediate quotients the multiplicity of y in the corresponding divisor D_j , given by $d_{y,j}$, is the same as the multiplicity of y_x in the divisor $D'_{t,x}$, given by $d'_{y_x,x,t}$. To see this, we take a line bundle for \mathcal{O}_{Y_x} of the form $g_x^* \mathcal{O}_Y(d'_{y_x,x,t}y)$, where $g_x: Y_x \longrightarrow Y = (Y_x)/(I/I_x)$ is the quotient map, as above. Recall that g_x is étale over a sufficiently small neighborhood V_y of y in Y. Consider the action of I/I_x on

$$(3.7) g_x^* \mathcal{O}_Y(d'_{y_x,x,t} y) = \mathcal{O}_{Y_x} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{O}_Y(d'_{y_x,x,t} y)$$

where the action of I/I_x on $\mathcal{O}_Y(d'_{y_x,x,t}y)$ is trivial. We have a local normal basis theorem for the action of I/I_x on \mathcal{O}_{Y_x} restricted to $g_x^{-1}(V_y)$, since $g_x:Y_x\longrightarrow Y$ is étale over V_y . This means that $\mathcal{O}_{Y_x}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y}\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$ is a free rank one module for $\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}[I/I_x]$. Using this fact together with the isomorphism (3.7), it follows that for all $p^{i(x)}t \leq j < p^{i(x)}(t+1)$, the quotient of each side of (3.7) with respect to the kernels of two successive powers $\overline{\mathcal{J}}^j$ and $\overline{\mathcal{J}}^{j+1}$ of the radical $\overline{\mathcal{J}}$ of $k[I/I_x]$ is an \mathcal{O}_Y -line bundle which looks like $\mathcal{O}_Y(d'_{y_x,x,t}y)$ in the neighborhood V_y of y. Identifying the quotient with respect to the kernels of $\overline{\mathcal{J}}^j$ and $\overline{\mathcal{J}}^{j+1}$ with the quotient with respect to the kernels of \mathcal{J}^j and \mathcal{J}^{j+1} , for $p^{i(x)}t \leq j < p^{i(x)}(t+1)$, the claim in (3.5) follows.

We next show how the integers $d'_{y_x,x,t}$ in (3.5), for $0 \le t \le p^{n(x)} - 1$, are determined by the ramification data associated to the action of I_x on X. If I_x is the trivial subgroup of I, then $Y_x = X$ and hence $d'_{y_x,x,t} = 0$ for all $t \ge 0$. In particular, this means by (3.5) that if $y \in Y$ does not ramify in X then $d_{y,j} = 0$ for all $j \ge 0$.

Assume now that $I_x = \langle \tau_x \rangle$ is not the trivial subgroup of I. Recall that $\#(I_x) = p^{n(x)}$ and $L_x = K^{I_x} \supseteq K^I = L$. Consider the unique tower of intermediate fields

$$(3.8) L_x = L_0 \subset L_1 \subset \cdots \subset L_{n(x)} = K$$

with $[L_l:L_{l-1}]=p$ for $1 \leq l \leq n(x)$. In particular, each extension L_l/L_{l-1} is an Artin-Schreier extension, meaning there exist $z_l \in L_l$ and $\lambda_l \in L_{l-1}$ such that $L_l = L_{l-1}(z_l)$ and $z_l^p - z_l = \lambda_l$. Using the Riemann-Roch theorem, we may, and will, assume that the z_l and λ_l have been chosen to satisfy:

- (a) $\operatorname{ord}_x(\lambda_l)$ is either zero or negative and relatively prime to p;
- (b) $\tau_x^{p^{l-1}}(z_l) = z_l + 1$, meaning $(\tau_x 1)^{p^{l-1}}(z_l) = 1$.

This provides the following basis for K over L_x . For $0 \le t \le p^{n(x)} - 1$, write

$$t = a_{1,t} + a_{2,t} p + \dots + a_{n(x),t} p^{n(x)-1}$$

with $0 \le a_{1,t}, \ldots, a_{n(x),t} \le p-1$, and define

$$w_t = z_1^{a_{1,t}} z_2^{a_{2,t}} \cdots z_{n(x)}^{a_{n(x),t}}.$$

As in [26, Lemma 1], we obtain that for all $0 \le t \le p^{n(x)} - 1$,

$$(\tau_x - 1)^t w_t = (a_{1,t})! (a_{2,t})! \cdots (a_{n(x),t})!.$$

In particular, this implies

$$(\tau_x - 1)^i w_t = 0$$
 for $t + 1 \le i \le p^{n(x)} - 1$.

For $0 \le t \le p^{n(x)} - 1$, define $K^{(t)}$ to be the kernel of the action of $\mathcal{J}_x^t = k[I_x](\tau_x - 1)^t$. We obtain that

$$\{w_0, w_1, \ldots, w_{t-1}\}$$

is a L_x -basis for $K^{(t)}$. Hence, we obtain an isomorphism

$$(\tau_x - 1)^t : \frac{K^{(t+1)}}{K^{(t)}} \longrightarrow L_x$$

which sends the residue class of w_t to the non-zero scalar $(a_{1,t})!(a_{2,t})!\cdots(a_{n(x),t})!$ in L_x . We obtain

$$-d'_{y_x,x,t} = \min\{\operatorname{ord}_{y_x}(c_t) \; ; \; c_0 w_0 + \dots + c_t w_t \in \mathcal{D}_{X/Y_x}^{-1}\}$$

for $0 \le t \le p^{n(x)} - 1$. Note that $c_0 w_0 + \cdots + c_t w_t \in \mathcal{D}_{X/Y_x}^{-1}$ if and only if

$$(3.10) \operatorname{ord}_{x}(c_{0}w_{0} + \dots + c_{t}w_{t}) \geq \operatorname{ord}_{x}(\mathcal{D}_{X/Y_{x}}^{-1})$$

where

(3.11)
$$\operatorname{ord}_{x}(\mathcal{D}_{X/Y_{x}}^{-1}) = -\sum_{i>0} (\#I_{x,i} - 1)$$

and, as before, $I_{x,i}$ denotes the i^{th} lower ramification subgroup of I_x . Since I_x is cyclic of order $p^{n(x)}$, there are exactly n(x) jumps $b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_{n(x)-1}$ in the numbering of the lower ramification groups $I_{x,i}$. The jumps b_l are all congruent modulo p and relatively prime to p. Moreover, if $0 \le i \le b_0$, then $I_{x,i} = I_x$, and if $1 \le l \le n(x) - 1$ and $b_{l-1} < i \le b_l$, then $\#I_{x,i} = p^{n(x)-l}$. Hence

(3.12)
$$\sum_{i>0} (\#I_{x,i}-1) = \sum_{l=1}^{n(x)} (p-1) p^{n(x)-l} (b_{l-1}+1).$$

Because $\operatorname{ord}_x(z_l) = -p^{n(x)-l} b_{l-1}$ for $1 \leq l \leq n(x)$, we obtain for all $0 \leq s \leq t$,

(3.13)
$$\operatorname{ord}_{x}(c_{s}w_{s}) = \operatorname{ord}_{x}(c_{s}) + \operatorname{ord}_{x}(w_{s})$$

$$= p^{n(x)}\operatorname{ord}_{y_{x}}(c_{s}) + \operatorname{ord}_{x}\left(z_{1}^{a_{1,s}}z_{2}^{a_{2,s}}\cdots z_{n(x)}^{a_{n(x),s}}\right)$$

$$= p^{n(x)}\operatorname{ord}_{y_{x}}(c_{s}) + \sum_{l=1}^{n(x)} a_{l,s}\operatorname{ord}_{x}(z_{l})$$

$$= p^{n(x)}\operatorname{ord}_{y_{x}}(c_{s}) - \sum_{l=1}^{n(x)} a_{l,s} p^{n(x)-l} b_{l-1}.$$

Since for all $1 \le l \le n(x)$, we have $a_{l,s} \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ and b_{l-1} is not divisible by p, it follows that the residue classes $\operatorname{ord}_x(c_s w_s) \mod p^{n(x)}$ are all different for $s \in \{0, 1, \dots, t\}$. But this implies

$$\operatorname{ord}_x(c_0w_0 + \dots + c_tw_t) = \min_{0 \le s \le t} \operatorname{ord}_x(c_sw_s).$$

Using (3.10) and (3.11), we obtain that $c_0w_0 + \cdots + c_tw_t \in \mathcal{D}_{X/Y_x}^{-1}$ if and only if

$$\operatorname{ord}_{x}(c_{s}w_{s}) \geq -\sum_{i>0} (\#I_{x,i}-1)$$

for all $0 \le s \le t$. In particular, this is true for s = t. Therefore, letting s = t in (3.13), we obtain

(3.14)
$$\operatorname{ord}_{y_x}(c_t) \ge \frac{-\sum_{i \ge 0} (\#I_{x,i} - 1) + \sum_{l=1}^{n(x)} a_{l,t} \, p^{n(x)-l} \, b_{l-1}}{p^{n(x)}}$$

whenever $c_0w_0 + \cdots + c_tw_t \in \mathcal{D}_{X/Y_x}^{-1}$. But this means that the ramification data associated to the action of I_x on X uniquely determines $d'_{y_x,x,t}$, for $0 \le t \le p^{n(x)} - 1$. More precisely, it follows from (3.5), (3.9) and (3.14) that

(3.15)
$$d_{y,j} = d'_{y_x,x,t} = \left| \frac{\sum_{i \ge 0} (\#I_{x,i} - 1) - \sum_{l=1}^{n(x)} a_{l,t} p^{n(x)-l} b_{l-1}}{p^{n(x)}} \right|$$

for all $t, j \ge 0$ satisfying $p^{i(x)}t \le j < p^{i(x)}(t+1)$ when $i(x) = n_I - n(x)$ and $\lfloor r \rfloor$ denotes the largest integer that is less than or equal to a given rational number r. Moreover, the formula in (3.15), together with (3.11) and (3.12), shows that $d'_{y_x,x,t} \ge 1$ for $0 \le t < p^{n(x)} - 1$, and $d'_{y_x,x,t} = 0$ for $t = p^{n(x)} - 1$. Hence

$$d_{y,j} \ge 1$$
 for $0 \le j < p^{i(x)}(p^{n(x)} - 1)$, and $d_{y,j} = 0$ for $p^{i(x)}(p^{n(x)} - 1) \le j < p^{i(x)}p^{n(x)} = \#I$.

Since I is cyclic, there is at least one point x_0 in X with $I_{x_0} = I$. In particular, $n(x_0) = n_I$ and $i(x_0) = 0$. Therefore, it follows that if x_0 lies above the point $y_0 \in Y$ then $d_{y_0,j} \ge 1$ for all $0 \le j < \#I - 1$, which means that D_j is effective of positive degree for $0 \le j < \#I - 1$. On the other hand, the above calculations show that $d_{y,\#I-1} = 0$ for all $y \in Y$, implying $D_{\#I-1} = 0$.

Lemma 3.2. For $0 \le j \le \#I - 1$, there is an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)^{(j+1)}/\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)^{(j)} \cong \mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X^{(j+1)}/\Omega_X^{(j)}) \cong S_{\chi^{-j}} \otimes_k \mathrm{H}^0(Y,\Omega_Y(D_j))$$

of k[H/I]-modules, where D_i is the divisor from Proposition 3.1.

Proof. By Proposition 3.1, we know that there is a k[H]-module isomorphism

$$\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X^{(j+1)}/\Omega_X^{(j)}) \cong \mathrm{H}^0(Y,S_{\chi^{-j}} \otimes_k \Omega_Y(D_j)) \cong S_{\chi^{-j}} \otimes_k \mathrm{H}^0(Y,\Omega_Y(D_j)).$$

Since I acts trivially on all modules involved, these are also k[H/I]-module isomorphisms. The sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega_X^{(j)} \longrightarrow \Omega_X \xrightarrow{(\tau-1)^j} \Omega_X$$

of sheaves of \mathcal{O}_X -modules is exact. Hence the long exact cohomology sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X^{(j)}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X) \xrightarrow{(\tau-1)^j} \mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

is an exact sequence of k[H]-modules. In particular, this shows that we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{split} 0 & \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)^{(j)} & \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)^{(j+1)} & \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)^{(j+1)}/\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)^{(j)} & \longrightarrow 0 \\ & \beta_j \bigvee & \beta_{j+1} \bigvee & \gamma_j \bigvee & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X^{(j)}) & \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X^{(j+1)}) & \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(X,\mathcal{L}_j) & \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(X,\Omega_X^{(j)}) \cdots \end{split}$$

where β_j and β_{j+1} are isomorphisms and γ_j is injective. To show that γ_j is also an isomorphism of k[H]modules, it suffices to show that the k-dimensions of $H^0(X, \Omega_X)^{(j+1)}/H^0(X, \Omega_X)^{(j)}$ and $H^0(X, \mathcal{L}_j)$ coincide.

To do so, we first use the Riemann-Roch theorem to describe $\dim_k H^0(X, \mathcal{L}_j)$. By Proposition 3.1, $D_{\#I-1} = 0$, and hence $\mathcal{L}_{\#I-1} = \Omega_Y$ as \mathcal{O}_Y -modules, meaning that

(3.16)
$$\dim_k H^0(X, \mathcal{L}_{\#I-1}) = \dim_k H^0(Y, \Omega_Y) = g(Y).$$

On the other hand, for $0 \le j < \#I - 1$, by Proposition 3.1, D_j is an effective divisor of positive degree, which implies that

$$\deg(\mathcal{L}_j) = \deg(\Omega_Y(D_j)) = \deg(D_j) + \deg(\Omega_Y) > \deg(\Omega_Y) = 2g(Y) - 2.$$

Hence $H^1(X, \mathcal{L}_i) = 0$, and we obtain by the Riemann-Roch theorem:

(3.17)
$$\dim_k H^0(X, \mathcal{L}_j) = \deg(\mathcal{L}_j) + 1 - g(Y)$$

$$= \deg(\mathcal{D}_j) + g(Y) - 1 \quad \text{for } 0 \le j < \#I - 1.$$

Using the Riemann-Roch theorem for $\Omega_X = \mathcal{D}_{X/Y}^{-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \Omega_Y$, we obtain

$$g(X) - 1 = \dim_k H^0(X, \Omega_X) - \dim_k H^1(X, \Omega_X)$$

$$= \deg_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(\Omega_X) + \operatorname{rank}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(\Omega_X)(1 - g(Y))$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{\#I-1} (\deg(D_j) + (2g(Y) - 2)) + (\#I)(1 - g(Y))$$

$$= (\#I)(g(Y) - 1) + \sum_{j=0}^{\#I-1} \deg(D_j).$$

In other words, we get

(3.18)
$$g(X) = 1 + (\#I)(g(Y) - 1) + \sum_{j=0}^{\#I - 1} \deg(D_j).$$

On the other hand, using (3.16) and (3.17), we have

$$g(X) = \dim_k H^0(X, \Omega_X)$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{\#I-1} \dim_k \left(H^0(X, \Omega_X)^{(j+1)} / H^0(X, \Omega_X)^{(j)} \right)$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\#I-1} \dim_k H^0(X, \mathcal{L}_j)$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{\#I-2} (\deg(D_j) + g(Y) - 1) + g(Y)$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{\#I-2} \deg(D_j) + (\#I)g(Y) - (\#I - 1).$$

Since $D_{\#I-1} = 0$, we obtain by (3.17) that the inequality in the third row must be an equality. But this means that for all $0 \le j < \#I - 1$, we have

$$\dim_k \left(\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)^{(j+1)}/\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)^{(j)} \right) = \dim_k \mathrm{H}^0(X,\mathcal{L}_j)$$

finishing the proof of Lemma 3.2.

Proposition 3.3. For $0 \le j \le \#I - 1$, let D_j be the divisor from Proposition 3.1, which is determined by the ramification data associated to the action of I on X. The k[H/I]-module structure of $H^0(Y, \Omega_Y(D_j))$ is uniquely determined by the inertia groups of the cover $X \longrightarrow X/H$ and their fundamental characters.

Proof. As before, let K be the function field of X, and let $L = K^I$ be the function field of Y = X/I. Moreover, let Z = X/H. Then $Y \longrightarrow Z$ is tamely ramified with Galois group H/I.

Let $0 \le j \le \#I - 1$. By (1.1), there exist finitely generated projective k[H/I]-modules $P_{1,j}$ and $P_{0,j}$ together with an exact sequence of k[H/I]-modules

$$(3.19) 0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(Y, \Omega_Y(D_j)) \longrightarrow P_{1,j} \longrightarrow P_{0,j} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(Y, \Omega_Y(D_j)) \longrightarrow 0.$$

By Serre duality, we obtain

(3.20)
$$H^{0}(Y, \Omega_{Y}(D_{j})) = \operatorname{Hom}_{k}(H^{1}(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}(-D_{j})), k),$$

$$H^{1}(Y, \Omega_{Y}(D_{j})) = \operatorname{Hom}_{k}(H^{0}(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}(-D_{j})), k).$$

In other words, the k[H/I]-module structure of $H^0(Y, \Omega_Y(D_j))$ is uniquely determined by the k[H/I]-module structure of $H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(-D_j))$. So it is enough to show that the latter is uniquely determined by the inertia groups of the cover $X \longrightarrow X/H = Z$ and their fundamental characters.

For $0 \le j < \#I - 1$, D_j is an effective divisor of positive degree by Proposition 3.1. This implies that $\deg(\Omega_Y(D_j)) > \deg(\Omega_Y) = 2 g(Y) - 2$, and hence $\mathrm{H}^1(Y,\Omega_Y(D_j)) = 0$, for $0 \le j < \#I - 1$. Since $D_{\#I-1} = 0$, we obtain, using (3.20),

(3.21)
$$H^{0}(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}(-D_{j})) = \begin{cases} 0 : 0 \leq j < \#I - 1, \\ k : j = \#I - 1, \end{cases}$$

where k has trivial action by H/I, meaning $k = S_0$ in the notation of Remark 2.4.

Applying $\operatorname{Hom}_k(-,k)$ to (3.19) and using (3.20), we obtain an exact sequence of k[H/I]-modules

$$(3.22) 0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(-D_j)) \longrightarrow Q_{0,j} \longrightarrow Q_{1,j} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(-D_j)) \longrightarrow 0$$

for $0 \le j \le \#I - 1$, where $Q_{i,j} = \operatorname{Hom}_k(P_{i,j}, k)$ is a finitely generated projective and injective k[H/I]-module for i = 0, 1. By (3.21) and using Remark 2.4, this implies the following:

- (a) For $0 \le j < \#I 1$, $H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(-D_i))$ is a projective k[H/I]-module.
- (b) If j = #I 1 and I = P, then $H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(-D_j))$ is a projective k[H/I]-module. If j = #I 1 and p divides #(H/I), then $H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(-D_j)) \cong S_{\chi^{-1}} \oplus Q_j$, where Q_j is a projective k[H/I]-module.

This implies that in all cases, the k[H/I]-module structure of $H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(-D_j))$ is uniquely determined by its Brauer character. In other words, the character values of $H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(-D_j))$ on all elements of H/I of p'-order uniquely determine $H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(-D_j))$ as a k[H/I]-module. We now show that these character values are uniquely determined by the (p'-parts of the) inertia groups of the cover $X \longrightarrow X/H$ and their fundamental characters.

Let $\overline{H} = H/I$, so that $Y = X/I \longrightarrow Z = X/H$ is tamely ramified with Galois group \overline{H} . Let Z_{ram} be the set of points in Z that ramify in Y. For each $z \in Z_{\text{ram}}$, let $y(z) \in Y$ and $x(z) \in X$ be points above z so that x(z) lies above y(z). Let $\overline{H}_{y(z)} \leq \overline{H}$ be the inertia group of y(z) inside \overline{H} , and let $H_{x(z)} \leq H$ be the inertia group of x(z) inside H. Since $Y \longrightarrow Z$ is tamely ramified, it follows that $\overline{H}_{y(z)}$ is a cyclic p'-group. Moreover, if $I_{x(z)} \leq I$ is the inertia group of x(z) inside I, then $H_{x(z)}/I_{x(z)} \cong \overline{H}_{y(z)}$. The fundamental character of the inertia group $H_{x(z)}$ is the character $\theta_{x(z)}: H_{x(z)} \longrightarrow k^* = \operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{m}_{X,x(z)}/\mathfrak{m}_{X,x(z)}^2)$ giving the action of $H_{x(z)}$ on the cotangent space of x(z). More precisely, if $h \in H_{x(z)}$ then

$$\theta_{x(z)}(h) = \frac{h(\pi)}{\pi} \mod (\pi)$$

where $\pi = \pi_{x(z)}$ denotes the local uniformizer at x(z). Note that $\theta_{x(z)}$ factors through the maximal p'quotient of $H_{x(z)}$, which is isomorphic to $\overline{H}_{y(z)}$. Similarly, we can define the fundamental character $\theta_{y(z)}$: $\overline{H}_{y(z)} \longrightarrow k^*$. Since $X/I \longrightarrow X/P$ is étale, we can identify

(3.23)
$$\theta_{y(z)} = \left(\theta_{x(z)}\right)^{\#I_{x(z)}}$$

on the maximal p'-quotient of $H_{x(z)}$ which we identify with $\overline{H}_{y(z)}$.

For $z \in Z_{\text{ram}}$, we have that

$$\mathcal{O}_Y(-D_j)_{y(z)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Y,y(z)}} k = (\theta_{y(z)})^{\operatorname{ord}_{y(z)}(D_j)}.$$

Following [23, Sect. 3], we define $\ell_{y(z),j} \in \{0,1,\ldots,\#\overline{H}_{y(z)}-1\}$ by

(3.24)
$$\ell_{y(z),j} \equiv -\operatorname{ord}_{y(z)}(D_j) \mod (\# \overline{H}_{y(z)}).$$

For a $k[\overline{H}]$ -module M, let $\beta(M)$ denote the Brauer character of M, and let β_0 be the Brauer character of the trivial simple $k[\overline{H}]$ -module. By (3.21) and (3.22), we have

(3.25)
$$\beta \left(H^{1}(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}(-D_{j})) \right) = \delta_{j, \#I-1} \beta_{0} + \beta \left(Q_{1,j} \right) - \beta \left(Q_{0,j} \right)$$

where $\delta_{j,\#I-1}$ is the usual Kronecker delta. By [23, Thm. 2 and Eq. (*) on p. 120], we have

$$(3.26) \qquad \beta\left(Q_{1,j}\right) - \beta\left(Q_{0,j}\right) = \sum_{z \in Z_{\text{ram}}} \sum_{t=0}^{\#\overline{H}_{y(z)} - 1} \frac{t}{\#\overline{H}_{y(z)}} \operatorname{Ind}_{\overline{H}_{y(z)}}^{\overline{H}} \left(\left(\theta_{y(z)}\right)^{t}\right) \\ - \sum_{z \in Z_{\text{ram}}} \sum_{t=1}^{\ell_{y(z),j}} \operatorname{Ind}_{\overline{H}_{y(z)}}^{\overline{H}} \left(\left(\theta_{y(z)}\right)^{-t}\right) \\ + n_{j} \beta(k|\overline{H}|)$$

for some integer n_j . Since the value of $\beta(k[\overline{H}])$ at any non-trivial element of \overline{H} of p'-order is zero, n_j is determined by the values of all the involved Brauer characters at the identity element $e_{\overline{H}}$ of \overline{H} . These values are as follows:

- the value of $\beta(k[\overline{H}])$ at $e_{\overline{H}}$ is $(\#\overline{H})$;
- the value of $\operatorname{Ind}_{\overline{H}_{y(z)}}^{\overline{H}}\left(\left(\theta_{y(z)}\right)^{\pm t}\right)$ at $e_{\overline{H}}$ is $(\#\overline{H})/(\#\overline{H}_{y(z)})$, for any integer $t\geq 0$;
- by (3.19) (3.22), the value of $\beta(Q_{1,j}) \beta(Q_{0,j})$ at $e_{\overline{H}}$ is $\dim_k H^0(Y, \Omega_Y(D_j)) \dim_k H^1(Y, \Omega_Y(D_j)) = \deg(D_j) + g(Y) 1$.

In particular, this implies

(3.27)
$$n_j = \frac{1}{\#\overline{H}} \left(\deg(D_j) + g(Y) - 1 \right) + \sum_{z \in Z} \frac{1}{\#\overline{H}_{y(z)}} \left(\ell_{y(z),j} - \frac{\#\overline{H}_{y(z)} - 1}{2} \right).$$

Therefore, it follows by (3.23) – (3.26) that the Brauer character of $H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(-D_j))$ is uniquely determined by the (p'-parts of the) inertia groups of the cover $X \longrightarrow X/H$ and their fundamental characters.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Lemma 2.2, we can assume G=H is p-hypo-elementary. We write $H=P\rtimes_{\chi}C$ and use the notation introduced at the beginning of Section 3. By Lemma 2.5, we can assume k is algebraically closed. In particular, the above results in Section 3 apply. Let $M=H^0(X,\Omega_X)$. As before, let $I=\langle \tau \rangle$, and, for all integers $0 \le j \le \#I-1$ let $M^{(j)}$ be the kernel of the action of $\mathcal{J}^j=k[I](\tau-1)^j$. It follows from Proposition 3.1, Lemma 3.2 and Proposition 3.3 that the k[H/I]-module structure of the subquotient modules

(3.28)
$$\frac{M^{(j+1)}}{M^{(j)}}, \qquad 0 \le j \le \#I - 1,$$

is uniquely determined by the lower ramification groups and the fundamental characters of closed points x of X which are ramified in the cover $X \longrightarrow X/H$. It remains to show that the k[H/I]-module structures of the quotients in (3.28) uniquely determines the k[H]-module structure of M. This follows basically from the description of the indecomposable k[H]-modules in Remark 2.4 (recall that we assume $k = \overline{k}$).

To be a bit more precise, fix integers a, b with $0 \le a \le c-1$ and $1 \le b \le p^n$, and let n(a, b) be the number of direct indecomposable k[H]-module summands of M that are isomorphic to $U_{a,b}$, using the notation from Remark 2.4. Let $\#I = p^{n_I}$, and write $b = b' + b'' p^{n-n_I}$ where $0 \le b' < p^{n-n_I}$, $0 \le b'' \le p^{n_I}$. As before, for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, define $\chi^i(a) \in \{0, 1, \ldots, c-1\}$ to be such that $S_{\chi^i(a)} \cong S_a \otimes_k S_{\chi^i}$. We obtain:

- If $b' \geq 1$, then n(a,b) equals the number of direct indecomposable $k[\overline{H}]$ -module summands of $M^{(b''+1)}/M^{(b'')}$ with socle $S_{\chi^{-b''}(a)}$ and k-dimension b'.
- If b'=0, then $b''\geq 1$. In this case, define $n_1(a,b)$ to be the number of direct indecomposable $k[\overline{H}]$ module summands of $M^{(b'')}/M^{(b''-1)}$ with socle $S_{\chi^{-(b''-1)}(a)}$ and k-dimension p^{n-n_I} . Also, define $n_2(a,b)$ to be the number of direct indecomposable $k[\overline{H}]$ -module summands of $M^{(b''+1)}/M^{(b'')}$ with
 socle $S_{\chi^{-b''}(a)}$, where we set $n_2(a,b)=0$ if $b''=p^{n_I}$. Then $n(a,b)=n_1(a,b)-n_2(a,b)$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

The following remark provides a summary of the key steps in the proof of Theorem 1.1 and can be used as an algorithm to determine the decomposition of $\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)$ into a direct sum of indecomposable k[H]-modules.

Remark 3.4. We keep the notation introduced at the beginning of Section 3. Let $M = H^0(X, \Omega_X)$, and let $\#I = p^{n_I}$.

(1) For $0 \le j \le \#I - 1$, let $D_j = \sum_{y \in Y} d_{y,j} y$ be the divisor from Proposition 3.1. For $y \in Y$, let $x \in X$ be a point above it, and let $I_x \le I$ be its inertia group inside I of order $p^{n(x)}$. Let $b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_{n(x)-1}$ be the jumps in the numbering of the lower ramification subgroups of I_x . For $0 \le t \le p^{n(x)} - 1$, write $t = a_{1,t} + a_{2,t} p + \cdots + a_{n(x),t} p^{n(x)-1}$ with $0 \le a_{l,t} \le p - 1$. By the proof of Proposition 3.3, we have

$$d_{y,j} = \left| \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{n(x)} p^{n(x)-l} (p-1+(p-1-a_{l,t}) b_{l-1})}{p^{n(x)}} \right|$$

for all $j \geq 0$ satisfying $p^{i(x)}t \leq j < p^{i(x)}(t+1)$ when $i(x) = n_I - n(x)$ and $\lfloor r \rfloor$ denotes the largest integer that is less than or equal to a given rational number r. By Lemma 3.2, there is a k[H/I]-module isomorphism $M^{(j+1)}/M^{(j)} \cong S_{Y^{-j}} \otimes_k H^0(Y, \Omega_Y(D_j))$ for all $0 \leq j \leq \#I - 1$.

(2) Let Z = X/H and let Z_{ram} be the set of points in Z that ramify in the cover $Y = X/I \longrightarrow Z = X/H$. Let $\overline{H} = H/I$. For each $z \in Z_{\text{ram}}$, choose a point $y(z) \in Y$ above z and a point $x(z) \in X$ above y(z). Let $\overline{H}_{y(z)}$ be the inertia group of y(z) inside \overline{H} , and identify $\overline{H}_{y(z)}$ with the maximal p'-quotient of the inertia group $H_{x(z)}$. Define $\theta_{x(z)} : H_{x(z)} \longrightarrow k^*$ by

$$\theta_{x(z)}(h) = \frac{h(\pi_{x(z)})}{\pi_{x(z)}} \mod (\pi_{x(z)})$$

for $h \in H_{x(z)}$. Then $\theta_{x(z)}$ factors through $\overline{H}_{y(z)}$. Define $\theta_{y(z)} = (\theta_{x(z)})^{\#I_{x(z)}}$. Moreover, define $\ell_{y(z),j} \in \{0,1,\ldots,\#\overline{H}_{y(z)}-1\}$ by

$$\ell_{y(z),j} \equiv -\operatorname{ord}_{y(z)}(D_j) \mod (\#\overline{H}_{y(z)}).$$

Let $0 \le j \le \#I - 1$. By Lemma 3.2 and the proof of Proposition 3.3, the Brauer character of the k-dual of $S_{\chi^j} \otimes_k (M^{(j+1)}/M^{(j)})$ is equal to

$$\delta_{j,\#I-1} \beta_0 + \sum_{z \in Z_{\text{ram}}} \sum_{t=0}^{\#\overline{H}_{y(z)}-1} \frac{t}{\#\overline{H}_{y(z)}} \operatorname{Ind}_{\overline{H}_{y(z)}}^{\overline{H}} \left(\left(\theta_{y(z)} \right)^t \right)$$
$$- \sum_{z \in Z_{\text{ram}}} \sum_{t=1}^{\ell_{y(z),j}} \operatorname{Ind}_{\overline{H}_{y(z)}}^{\overline{H}} \left(\left(\theta_{y(z)} \right)^{-t} \right) + n_j \beta(k[\overline{H}])$$

where

$$n_j = \frac{1}{\#\overline{H}} \left(\deg(D_j) + g(Y) - 1 \right) + \sum_{z \in Z_{\text{ram}}} \frac{1}{\#\overline{H}_{y(z)}} \left(\ell_{y(z),j} - \frac{\#\overline{H}_{y(z)} - 1}{2} \right).$$

Hence this can be used to determine the Brauer character of $M^{(j+1)}/M^{(j)}$. Recall that $M^{(j+1)}/M^{(j)}$ is a projective $k[\overline{H}]$ -module for $0 \le j < \#I - 1$. If I = P then $M^{(\#I)}/M^{(\#I-1)}$ is also a projective $k[\overline{H}]$ -module. If p divides $\#\overline{H}$ then $M^{(\#I)}/M^{(\#I-1)}$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of the simple $k[\overline{H}]$ -module S_{χ} and a projective $k[\overline{H}]$ -module. Thus, this provides the decomposition of $M^{(j+1)}/M^{(j)}$ into a direct sum of indecomposable $k[\overline{H}]$ -modules.

- (3) Use the notation from Remark 2.4. Fix integers a, b with $0 \le a \le c 1$ and $1 \le b \le p^n$. Write $b = b' + b'' p^{n-n_I}$ where $0 \le b' < p^{n-n_I}$, $0 \le b'' \le p^{n_I}$. Then, by the proof of Theorem 1.1, the number n(a,b) of direct indecomposable k[H]-module summands of M that are isomorphic to $U_{a,b}$ is given as follows:
 - (a) If $b' \geq 1$, then n(a,b) equals the number of direct indecomposable $k[\overline{H}]$ -module summands of $M^{(b''+1)}/M^{(b'')}$ with socle $S_{\chi^{-b''}(a)}$ and k-dimension b'.
 - (b) If b'=0, then $b''\geq 1$. In this case, define $n_1(a,b)$ to be the number of direct indecomposable $k[\overline{H}]$ -module summands of $M^{(b'')}/M^{(b''-1)}$ with socle $S_{\chi^{-(b''-1)}(a)}$ and k-dimension p^{n-n_I} . Also, define $n_2(a,b)$ to be the number of direct indecomposable $k[\overline{H}]$ -module summands of $M^{(b''+1)}/M^{(b'')}$ with socle $S_{\chi^{-b''}(a)}$, where we set $n_2(a,b)=0$ if $b''=p^{n_I}$. Then $n(a,b)=n_1(a,b)-n_2(a,b)$.

4. Holomorphic differentials of the modular curves $\mathcal{X}(\ell)$ modulo p

Let $\ell \neq p$ be prime numbers, and let F be a number field that is unramified over p and that contains a primitive ℓ^{th} root of unity ζ_{ℓ} . Suppose A is a Dedekind subring of F that has fraction field F and that contains $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{\ell}, \frac{1}{\ell}]$. Let $\mathcal{V}(F, p)$ be the set of places v of F over p, and let $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}$ be the ring of integers of the completion F_v of F at v. We assume A is contained in $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}$ for all $v \in \mathcal{V}(F, p)$.

By [20], there is a smooth projective canonical model $\mathcal{X}(\ell)$ over A of the modular curve associated to the principal congruence subgroup $\Gamma(\ell)$ of $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$ of level ℓ . The global sections $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{X}(\ell),\Omega_{\mathcal{X}(\ell)})$ are naturally identified with the A-lattice $\mathcal{S}(A)$ of holomorphic weight 2 cusp forms for $\Gamma(\ell)$ that have q-expansion coefficients in A at all the cusps.

For $v \in \mathcal{V}(F, p)$, let $\mathfrak{m}_{F,v}$ be the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}$. Define $\mathcal{P}_v = A \cap \mathfrak{m}_{F,v}$ which is a maximal ideal over p in A, and define $k(v) = A/\mathcal{P}_v$ to be the corresponding residue field. Then

$$\mathcal{X}_{v}(\ell) = k(v) \otimes_{A} \mathcal{X}(\ell)$$

is a smooth projective curve over k(v), and

$$(A/pA) \otimes_A \mathcal{X}(\ell) = \coprod_{v \in \mathcal{V}(F,p)} \mathcal{X}_v(\ell).$$

Since k(v) is a finite field for all $v \in \mathcal{V}(F, p)$, we can identify its algebraic closure $\overline{k(v)}$ with $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. Let k be an algebraically closed field containing $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$, and hence containing k(v) for all $v \in \mathcal{V}(F, p)$. Then the reduction of $\mathcal{X}(\ell)$ modulo p over k, which is denoted by $X_p(\ell)$ in [3], is defined as

$$(4.2) X_p(\ell) = k \otimes_{k(v)} \mathcal{X}_v(\ell)$$

for all $v \in \mathcal{V}(F, p)$. We obtain isomorphisms

$$\frac{H^0(\mathcal{X}(\ell),\Omega_{\mathcal{X}(\ell)})}{\mathcal{P}_v\cdot H^0(\mathcal{X}(\ell),\Omega_{\mathcal{X}(\ell)})} = H^0(\mathcal{X}_v(\ell),\Omega_{\mathcal{X}_v(\ell)})$$

and

$$\frac{\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathcal{X}(\ell), \Omega_{\mathcal{X}(\ell)})}{p \cdot \mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathcal{X}(\ell), \Omega_{\mathcal{X}(\ell)})} = \bigoplus_{v \in \mathcal{V}(F, p)} \mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathcal{X}_{v}(\ell), \Omega_{\mathcal{X}_{v}(\ell)}).$$

When $k = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ in (4.2) then this last isomorphism gives an isomorphism

$$\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H^0(\mathcal{X}(\ell), \Omega_{\mathcal{X}(\ell)}) = H^0(X_p(\ell), \Omega_{X_p(\ell)})^{[F:\mathbb{Q}]}$$

which is equivariant with respect to the commuting actions of $PSL(2, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$ and the Hecke ring associated to $\mathcal{X}(\ell)$.

Let $G = \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/\ell) = \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$, let k be an algebraically closed field containing $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$, and let $X_p(\ell)$ be the reduction of $\mathcal{X}(\ell)$ modulo p over k. By [3, Thm. 1.1], if $\ell \geq 7$ then $\mathrm{Aut}(X_p(\ell)) = G$ unless $\ell \in \{7, 11\}$ and p = 3. Moreover, $\mathrm{Aut}(X_3(7)) \cong \mathrm{PGU}(3, \mathbb{F}_3)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(X_3(11)) \cong M_{11}$. If $\ell < 7$ then $X_p(\ell)$ has genus 0.

The genus $g(X_p(\ell))$ is given as (see, for example, [3, Cor. 3.2])

$$(4.3) g(X_p(\ell)) - 1 = (\ell - 1)(\ell + 1)(\ell - 6)/24.$$

Remark 4.1. Suppose $\ell \geq 7$, and define $X = X_p(\ell)$. By [22, Prop. 5.5], the genus of X/G is zero, and the ramification data for the cover $X \to X/G$ is as follows:

- (i) If p > 3, then $X \to X/G$ is branched at 3 points with inertia groups of order 2, 3 and ℓ .
- (ii) If p = 3, then $X \to X/G$ is branched at 2 points with inertia groups Σ_3 and \mathbb{Z}/ℓ , where Σ_3 denotes the symmetric group on three letters. Moreover, in the first case the second ramification group is trivial
- (iii) if p = 2, then $X \to X/G$ is branched at 2 points with inertia groups A_4 and \mathbb{Z}/ℓ , where A_4 denotes the alternating group on four letters. Moreover, in the first case the second ramification group is trivial.

If p > 3, the ramification of $X \longrightarrow X/G$ is tame and the k[G]-module structure of the holomorphic differentials $H^0(X, \Omega_X)$ can be determined using [23, Thm. 2] or [18, Thm. 3]. If p = 3, we will determine in Section 5.4 the k[G]-module structure of $H^0(X, \Omega_X)$ using Theorem 1.1. Since the Sylow 2-subgroups of G are not cyclic, the methods of this article are not sufficient to treat this case.

When the ramification of $X \longrightarrow X/G$ is tame, we obtain the following result.

Lemma 4.2. Suppose p > 3 and $p \neq \ell \geq 7$. Let $X = X_p(\ell)$, and let k be an algebraically closed field containing $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$.

- (i) The k[G]-module $H^0(X, \Omega_X)$ is a direct sum of a projective k[G]-module and a single uniserial non-projective k[G]-module \overline{U} that belongs to the principal block of k[G].
- (ii) Let $v \in \mathcal{V}(F,p)$, let k_1 be a perfect field containing k(v), and let k be an algebraic closure of k_1 . Define $X_1 = k_1 \otimes_{k(v)} \mathcal{X}_v(\ell)$ where $\mathcal{X}_v(\ell)$ is as in (4.1). The $k_1[G]$ -module $H^0(X_1, \Omega_{X_1})$ is a direct sum of a projective $k_1[G]$ -module and a single indecomposable non-projective $k_1[G]$ -module \overline{U}_1 that belongs to the principal block of $k_1[G]$. Moreover, the k[G]-module \overline{U} from part (i) is isomorphic to $k \otimes_{k_1} \overline{U}_1$.

The decompositions of $H^0(X, \Omega_X)$ as in (i) and of $H^0(X_1, \Omega_{X_1})$ as in (ii) are both determined by the ramification data associated to the cover $X \longrightarrow X/G$.

Proof. By (1.1), there exist finitely generated projective k[G]-modules P_1 and P_0 together with an exact sequence of k[G]-modules

$$(4.4) 0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X) \longrightarrow P_1 \longrightarrow P_0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(X, \Omega_X) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since $H^1(X, \Omega_X)$ is the trivial simple k[G]-module k, it follows that, as a k[G]-module, $H^0(X, \Omega_X)$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of a projective k[G]-module and the second syzygy module \overline{U} of the trivial simple k[G]-module k. Note that \overline{U} is defined as follows. Letting P(k) be the projective k[G]-module cover of k, define R(k) to be its Jacobson radical. Then the kernel of the natural projection of the projective k[G]-module

cover P(R(k)) of R(k) to R(k) is the second syzygy module \overline{U} of the trivial simple k[G]-module k. Since syzygy modules of indecomposable non-projective k[G]-modules are always indecomposable non-projective (see, e.g., [1, Thm. 20.5]), \overline{U} is indecomposable non-projective. The explicit description of the blocks of k[G] in [7] shows moreover that \overline{U} is uniserial. Therefore, \overline{U} is a uniserial non-projective k[G]-module belonging to the principal block of k[G]. The definition of \overline{U} determines its Brauer character. Since projective k[G]-modules are uniquely determined by their Brauer characters, it now follows from [23, Thm. 2] that the decomposition of $H^0(X,\Omega_X)$ into a direct sum of indecomposable k[G]-modules is determined by the ramification data associated to the cover $X \longrightarrow X/G$. This proves part (i) in addition to the last sentence of the statement of Lemma 4.2 about the decomposition in part (i).

For part (ii), we note that tensoring with k over k_1 sends a projective $k_1[G]$ -module cover of a $k_1[G]$ -module V_1 to a projective k[G]-module cover of $k \otimes_{k_1} V_1$. In particular, this implies that if $P(k_1)$ is the projective $k_1[G]$ -module cover of the trivial simple $k_1[G]$ -module k_1 then $P(k) = k \otimes_{k_1} P(k_1)$, where P(k) is as above. Therefore, if $R(k_1)$ is the Jacobson radical of $P(k_1)$ then $R(k) = k \otimes_{k_1} R(k_1)$. Additionally, if $P(R(k_1))$ is the projective $k_1[G]$ -module cover of $R(k_1)$ then this implies that the kernel of the natural projection $P(R(k_1)) \longrightarrow R(k_1)$ is a $k_1[G]$ -module \overline{U}_1 that satisfies

$$(4.5) \overline{U} \cong k \otimes_{k_1} \overline{U}_1$$

as k[G]-modules. In other words, \overline{U} is realizable over k_1 . Since \overline{U} is an indecomposable k[G]-module, it follows that \overline{U}_1 is an indecomposable $k_1[G]$ -module. Note that \overline{U}_1 belongs to the principal block of $k_1[G]$.

Let now k_2 be a finite field extension of k_1 such that $k_2 \subseteq k$ and such that all the indecomposable k[G]modules occurring in the decomposition of $H^0(X, \Omega_X)$ are realizable over k_2 . Letting $X_2 = k_2 \otimes_{k_1} X_1$ and
using (4.5), we obtain that the $k_2[G]$ -module $H^0(X_2, \Omega_{X_2})$ is a direct sum of a projective $k_2[G]$ -module and
the indecomposable $k_2[G]$ -module $k_2 \otimes_{k_1} \overline{U}_1$. Moreover, the decomposition of $H^0(X_2, \Omega_{X_2})$ into a direct sum
of indecomposable $k_2[G]$ -modules is determined by the ramification data associated to the cover $X \longrightarrow X/G$.
We have

$$k_2 \otimes_{k_1} \mathrm{H}^0(X_1, \Omega_{X_1}) \cong \mathrm{H}^0(X_2, \Omega_{X_2})$$

as $k_2[G]$ -modules, and

$$\mathrm{H}^{0}(X_{2},\Omega_{X_{2}}) \cong \mathrm{H}^{0}(X_{1},\Omega_{X_{1}})^{[k_{2}:k_{1}]}$$

as $k_1[G]$ -modules. Note that the restriction of each projective indecomposable $k_2[G]$ -module to a $k_1[G]$ -module is a projective $k_1[G]$ -module. We can therefore use the Krull-Schmidt-Azumaya theorem to obtain part (ii).

To prove the last sentence of the statement of Lemma 4.2 about the decomposition in part (ii), we note that tensoring with k_2 over k_1 sends a projective indecomposable $k_1[G]$ -module cover of a simple $k_1[G]$ -module S_1 to a projective $k_2[G]$ -module cover of $k_2 \otimes_{k_1} S_1$. Therefore, it follows that the decomposition of $H^0(X_1, \Omega_{X_1})$ into indecomposable $k_1[G]$ -modules is uniquely determined by the decomposition of $H^0(X_2, \Omega_{X_2})$ into indecomposable $k_2[G]$ -modules. As noted above, the latter is determined by the ramification data associated to the cover $X \longrightarrow X/G$. This completes the proof of Lemma 4.2.

Proof of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 when p > 3. Suppose p > 3, and fix $v \in \mathcal{V}(F, p)$. Define $M_{\mathcal{O}_{F,v}}$ to be the $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}[G]$ -module

$$M_{\mathcal{O}_{F,v}} = \mathcal{O}_{F,v} \otimes_A \mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{X}(\ell), \Omega_{\mathcal{X}(\ell)})$$

which is flat over $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}$. Note that the residue fields $k(v) = A/\mathcal{P}_v$ and $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}/\mathfrak{m}_{F,v}$ coincide. Define

$$X_v = \mathcal{X}_v(\ell) = k(v) \otimes_A \mathcal{X}(\ell).$$

Then $M_{\mathcal{O}_{F,v}}$ is a lift of the k(v)[G]-module $H^0(X_v, \Omega_{X_v})$ over $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}$. As in (4.2), let $X = X_p(\ell)$ be the reduction of $\mathcal{X}(\ell)$ modulo p over $k = \overline{k(v)} = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. In other words, $X = k \otimes_{k(v)} X_v$ and $H^0(X, \Omega_X) = k \otimes_{k_v} H^0(X_v, \Omega_{X_v})$ as k[G]-modules. Since $H^0(X, \Omega_X) = \{0\}$ for $\ell < 7$, we can assume that $\ell \geq 7$.

By Lemma 4.2(ii), $H^0(X_v, \Omega_{X_v})$ is a direct sum of a projective k(v)[G]-module and a single indecomposable non-projective k(v)[G]-module \overline{U}_v that belongs to the principal block of k(v)[G]. By the Theorem on Lifting Idempotents (see [10, Thm. (6.7) and Prop. (56.7)]), it follows that $M_{\mathcal{O}_{F,v}}$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of a projective $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}[G]$ -module and a single indecomposable non-projective $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}[G]$ -module U that is a lift of \overline{U}_v over $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}$ and that belongs to the principal block of $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}[G]$. Since, by Lemma 4.2, the decomposition of $H^0(X_v, \Omega_{X_v})$ is determined by the ramification data associated to the cover $X \longrightarrow X/G$, this implies Theorem 1.2 for p > 3.

We now turn to the proof of Theorem 1.3 when p > 3. In particular, we assume now that F contains a root of unity of order equal to the prime to p part of the order of G. By the discussion in the previous paragraph, $M_{\mathcal{O}_{F,v}}$ is a direct sum over blocks B of $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}[G]$ of modules of the form $P_B \oplus U_B$ in which P_B is projective and U_B is either the zero module or a single indecomposable non-projective B-module. Moreover, we know that U_B is non-zero if and only if B is the principal block. Define $M_B = P_B \oplus U_B$.

Let \mathfrak{a} be the maximal ideal over p in A associated to v. In other words, \mathfrak{a} corresponds to the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{F,v}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}$. Consider a decomposition (1.2) that is G-isotypic, in the sense that it arises from idempotents as in (1.3). Since $M_{\mathcal{O}_{F,v}}$ is the direct sum over blocks B of $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}[G]$ of the modules M_B and since for different blocks B and B' there are no non-trivial congruences modulo $\mathfrak{m}_{F,v}$ between M_B and $M_{B'}$, it follows that a G-isotypic decomposition (1.2) results in non-trivial congruences modulo \mathfrak{a} if and only if there is a block B of $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}[G]$ such that

$$(4.6) M_B \neq (M_B \cap e_1 M_B) \oplus (M_B \cap e_2 M_B).$$

Now fix a block B of $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}[G]$. Since there are no non-trivial congruences modulo $\mathfrak{m}_{F,v}$ between P_B and U_B , there will be orthogonal idempotents e_1 and e_2 for which (4.6) holds if and only if this holds when M_B is replaced by either P_B or U_B . If B has trivial defect groups, then $U_B = \{0\}$ and $F_v \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{F,v}} P_B$ involves only one G-isotypic component, which means that there are no orthogonal idempotents e_1 and e_2 for which (4.6) holds for B. Assume now that B has non-trivial defect groups. If $P_B \neq \{0\}$ then P_B is a direct sum of non-zero projective indecomposable B-modules. When we tensor any non-zero projective indecomposable B-module Q_B with P_V over $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}$, then the resulting $P_V[G]$ -module $P_V \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{F,v}} Q_B$ has at least two non-isomorphic irreducible constituents. This means that Q_B cannot be equal to the direct sum of the intersections of Q_B with the G-isotypic components of $P_V \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{F,v}} Q_B$. Therefore, there exist orthogonal idempotents e_1 and e_2 for which (4.6) holds when P_B is replaced by P_B . Now suppose P_B if and only if P_B is not equal to the direct sum of the intersections of P_B with the P_B -isotypic components of $P_V \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{F,v}} U_B$. But the latter occurs if and only if $P_V \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{F,v}} U_B$ has two non-isomorphic irreducible constituents. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3 for $P_F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{F,v}} U_B$ has two non-isomorphic irreducible constituents. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3 for $P_F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{F,v}} U_B$ has two non-isomorphic irreducible constituents. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3 for $P_F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{F,v}} U_B$ has two non-isomorphic irreducible constituents. This completes the proof

5. Holomorphic differentials of the modular curves $X(\ell)$ modulo 3

Assume the notation of Section 4 for p=3. In particular, $\ell \neq 3$ is an odd prime number, k is an algebraically closed field containing $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_3$, and $X=X_3(\ell)$ is the reduction of $\mathcal{X}(\ell)$ modulo 3 over k, as in (4.2). Since $X_3(5)$ has genus zero, we assume $\ell \geq 7$. Let $G=\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{F}_\ell)$.

Our goal is to determine explicitly the k[G]-module structure of $H^0(X, \Omega_X)$. In particular, this will prove part (i) Theorem 1.4. At the end of this section we will prove part (ii) of Theorem 1.4 and then use this to prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 when p=3.

We use that there is precise knowledge about the subgroup structure of $G = PSL(2, \mathbb{F}_{\ell})$ (see, for example, [16, Sect. II.8]). Define $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$ such that

$$\ell \equiv \epsilon \mod 3.$$

Write

(5.2)
$$\ell - \epsilon = 3^n \cdot 2 \cdot m \quad \text{such that 3 does not divide } m.$$

Let P be a Sylow 3-subgroup of G, so P is cyclic of order 3^n , and let P_1 be the unique subgroup of P of order 3. Let N_1 be the normalizer of P_1 in G. Then N_1 is a dihedral group of order $\ell - \epsilon$. It follows from the Green correspondence (see Remark 2.3) that the k[G]-module structure of $H^0(X,\Omega_X)$ is uniquely determined by its $k[N_1]$ -module structure together with its Brauer character. The $k[N_1]$ -module structure of $H^0(X,\Omega_X)$ can be determined from its k[H]-module structure for the 3-hypo-elementary subgroups H of N_1 that are isomorphic to dihedral groups of order $2 \cdot 3^n$, respectively to cyclic groups of order $(\ell - \epsilon)/2$. Note that in all cases N_1 has a unique cyclic subgroup of order $(\ell - \epsilon)/2$. If $\ell \equiv -\epsilon \mod 4$ then N_1 has a unique conjugacy class of dihedral subgroups of order $2 \cdot 3^n$, whereas if $\ell \equiv \epsilon \mod 4$ then N_1 has precisely two conjugacy classes of dihedral subgroups of order $2 \cdot 3^n$.

We determine the k[G]-module structure of $H^0(X, \Omega_X)$ following four key steps:

- (1) Determine the ramification data $X \longrightarrow X/\Gamma$ for $\Gamma \leq G$ such that either Γ is a cyclic group of order $(\ell \epsilon)/2$ or a dihedral group of order $2 \cdot 3^n$, or Γ is a maximal cyclic group of order prime to 3.
- (2) Determine the k[H]-module structure of $H^0(X, \Omega_X)$ when H is a subgroup of N_1 that is either dihedral of order $2 \cdot 3^n$ or cyclic of order $(\ell \epsilon)/2$. Use this to determine the $k[N_1]$ -module structure of $H^0(X, \Omega_X)$.
- (3) Determine the Brauer character of $H^0(X, \Omega_X)$ as a k[G]-module.
- (4) Use (2) and (3), together with the Green correspondence to determine the k[G]-module structure of $H^0(X, \Omega_X)$.

Step (1) is accomplished in Section 5.1 and is a computation based on Remark 4.1(ii) and the subgroup structure of $G = \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{F}_{\ell})$ as given in [16, Sect. II.8]. Steps 2 and 3 are accomplished in Sections 5.2 and 5.3 using the key steps in the proof of Theorem 1.1, which are summarized in Remark 3.4. For Step (4), which is accomplished in Section 5.4, we use [7]. Note that we have to distinguish four different cases according to the congruence classes of ℓ modulo 3 and 4. The precise k[G]-module structure of $H^0(X, \Omega_X)$ in all four cases can be found in Propositions 5.4.1 - 5.4.4.

- 5.1. The ramification data of $X \longrightarrow X/\Gamma$ for certain $\Gamma \leq G$. We first determine the ramification of $X \longrightarrow X/\Gamma$ for certain 3-hypo-elementary subgroups Γ of G. We need to consider two cases.
- 5.1.1. The ramification data when $\ell \equiv -\epsilon \mod 4$. In this case there is a unique conjugacy class in G of dihedral groups of order $2 \cdot 3^n$. We fix subgroups of G as follows:
 - (a) a cyclic subgroup $V = \langle v \rangle$ of order $(\ell \epsilon)/2 = 3^n \cdot m$, where m is odd;
 - (b) a dihedral group $\Delta = \langle v', s \rangle$ of order $2 \cdot 3^n$, where $v' = v^m \in V$ is an element of order 3^n and $s \in N_G(V) V$ is an element of order 2;
 - (c) a cyclic subgroup $W = \langle w \rangle$ of order $(\ell + \epsilon)/2$;
 - (d) a cyclic subgroup R of order ℓ .

Note that $N_G(V)$ is a dihedral group of order $\ell - \epsilon$, $N_G(W)$ is a dihedral group of order $\ell + \epsilon$, and $N_G(R)$ is a semidirect product with normal subgroup R and cyclic quotient group of order $(\ell - 1)/2$. We now use Remark 4.1(ii) to determine the ramification data of $X \longrightarrow X/\Gamma$ for $\Gamma \in \{V, \Delta, W, R\}$.

(1) Let $x \in X$ be a closed point such that $G_x \cong \Sigma_3$. Let I be the unique subgroup of order 3 in V. Since all subgroups of G isomorphic to Σ_3 are conjugate in G, we can choose a closed point $x \in X$ such that $G_x = \langle I, s \rangle \cong \Sigma_3$. If $g \in G$ then $\Gamma_{gx} = gG_xg^{-1} \cap \Gamma$ can only be non-trivial if $\Gamma \in \{V, \Delta, W\}$.

Suppose first that Γ contains a subgroup of order 3. Then $\Gamma \in \{V, \Delta\}$ and $I \leq \Gamma$ is the unique subgroup of order 3 in Γ . Let $g \in G$. Then $\Gamma_{gx} = gG_xg^{-1} \cap \Gamma$ contains I if and only if $G_x \geq g^{-1}Ig$, which happens if and only if $I = g^{-1}Ig$. In other words, this happens if and only if $g \in N_G(I) =$ $N_G(V)$. Therefore,

$$\#\{gG_x \; ; \; g \in G, I \le \Gamma_{qx}\} = \#(N_G(V)/G_x) = (\ell - \epsilon)/6.$$

If $\Gamma = \Delta$, we also need to analyze the case when $\Gamma_{qx} \cong \Sigma_3$. This happens if and only if $g \in N_G(V)$ and $gG_xg^{-1}\cap\Delta$ contains an element of order 2. Since each element of order 2 in G_x is conjugate to s by a unique element of I, this happens if and only if there exists a unique element $\tau \in I$ such that $g\tau^{-1}s\tau g^{-1}\in\Delta$. Since each element of order 2 in Δ is conjugate to s by a unique element in $\langle v'\rangle$, this happens if and only if there exists a unique $\tilde{g} \in \langle v' \rangle$ with $\tilde{g}^{-1}g\tau^{-1} \in C_G(s)$. Since $\tilde{g}^{-1}g\tau^{-1} \in N_G(V)$ and $N_G(V) \cap C_G(s) = \{e, s\} \leq \Delta$, it follows that $g \in N_G(V)$ satisfies $\tilde{g}^{-1}g\tau^{-1} \in C_G(s)$ if and only if $g \in \Delta$. Thus

$$\#\{gG_x \; ; \; g \in G, \Delta_{gx} \cong \Sigma_3\} = \#\{gG_x \; ; \; g \in \Delta\} = \#(\Delta/G_x) = 3^{n-1}.$$

We obtain

(5.3)
$$\#\{x' \in X \text{ closed }; V_{x'} \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\} = (\ell - \epsilon)/6 = 3^{n-1} \cdot m,$$

(5.4)
$$\#\{x' \in X \text{ closed} : \Delta_{x'} \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\} = (\ell - \epsilon)/6 - 3^{n-1} = 3^{n-1} \cdot (m-1),$$

(5.5)
$$\#\{x' \in X \text{ closed }; \Delta_{x'} \cong \Sigma_3\} = 3^{n-1}.$$

If $\Gamma = \Delta$, it can also happen that $\Gamma_{gx} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$ for some $g \in G$. This happens if and only if $g \in G - N_G(V)$ and $gG_xg^{-1} \cap \Delta$ has order 2. Since each element of order 2 in G_x is conjugate to s by a unique element of I, this happens if and only if there exists a unique element $\tau \in I$ such that $g\tau^{-1}s\tau g^{-1}\in\Delta$. Since each element of order 2 in Δ is conjugate to s by a unique element in $\langle v' \rangle$, this happens if and only if there exists a unique $\tilde{g} \in \langle v' \rangle$ with $\tilde{g}^{-1}g\tau^{-1} \in C_G(s)$. We have $C_G(s) = N_G(s)$ is a dihedral group of order $\ell + \epsilon$. Moreover, $C_G(s) \cap N_G(V) = \{e, s\}$, which means that the number of $g \in G - N_G(V)$ such that $\tilde{g}^{-1}g\tau^{-1} \in C_G(s)$ for unique $\tilde{g} \in \langle v' \rangle$ and $\tau \in I$ is equal to $(\#\langle v'\rangle)(\#C_G(s)-2)(\#I)$. Hence

$$\#\{gG_x: g \in G, \Delta_{gx} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\} = (\#\langle v' \rangle)(\#C_G(s) - 2)(\#I)/6$$

meaning

(5.6)
$$\#\{x' \in X \text{ closed }; \ \Delta_{x'} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\} = 3^n \left(\frac{\ell + \epsilon}{2} - 1\right).$$

Suppose finally that $\Gamma = W$. Then it can only happen that $\Gamma_{gx} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$ for some $g \in G$. This happens if and only if $g \in G$ and $gG_xg^{-1} \cap W$ has order 2. Since W has a unique element of order 2 given by $w' = w^{(\ell+\epsilon)/4}$ and each element of order 2 in G_x is conjugate to s by a unique element of I, this happens if and only if there exists a unique element $\tau \in I$ such that $g\tau^{-1}s\tau g^{-1}=w'$. Let $g_0 \in G$ be a fixed element with $g_0 w' g_0^{-1} = s$, then this happens if and only if $g_0 g \tau^{-1} \in C_G(s)$. Since $C_G(s) = N_G(s)$ is a dihedral group of order $\ell + \epsilon$ and 3 does not divide $\ell + \epsilon$, it follows that the number of $g \in G$ such that $g_0g\tau^{-1} \in C_G(s)$ is equal to $(\ell + \epsilon)(\#I)$. Hence

$$\#\{gG_x \; ; \; g \in G, W_{gx} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\} = (\ell + \epsilon)(\#I)/6$$

meaning

(5.7)
$$\#\{x' \in X \text{ closed }; W_{x'} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\} = \frac{\ell + \epsilon}{2}.$$

(2) Let $x \in X$ be a closed point such that $G_x \cong \mathbb{Z}/\ell$. Since all subgroups of G of order ℓ are conjugate, we can choose a closed point $x \in X$ such that $G_x = R$. If $g \in G$ then $\Gamma_{gx} = gG_xg^{-1} \cap \Gamma$ can only be non-trivial if $\Gamma = R$. Moreover, R_{gx} is non-trivial if and only if it is equal to R, which happens if and only if $g \in N_G(R)$. Thus

$$\#\{gG_x \; ; \; g \in G, R_{qx} \cong \mathbb{Z}/\ell\} = \#(N_G(R)/G_x)$$

meaning

(5.8)
$$\#\{x' \in X \text{ closed} ; R_{x'} \cong \mathbb{Z}/\ell\} = (\ell - 1)/2.$$

- 5.1.2. The ramification data when $\ell \equiv \epsilon \mod 4$. In this case $\ell \epsilon$ is divisible by 12, and m is even. There are precisely two conjugacy classes in G of dihedral groups of order $2 \cdot 3^n$. We fix subgroups of G as follows:
 - (a) a cyclic subgroup $V = \langle v \rangle$ of order $(\ell \epsilon)/2 = 3^n \cdot m$, where m is even;
 - (b) two non-conjugate dihedral groups $\Delta_1 = \langle v', s \rangle$ and $\Delta_2 = \langle v', vs \rangle$ of order $2 \cdot 3^n$, where $v' = v^m$ and $s \in N_G(V) V$ is an element of order 2;
 - (c) a cyclic subgroup $W = \langle w \rangle$ of order $(\ell + \epsilon)/2$;
 - (d) a cyclic subgroup R of order ℓ .

Similar to §5.1.1, $N_G(V)$ is a dihedral group of order $\ell - \epsilon$, $N_G(W)$ is a dihedral group of order $\ell + \epsilon$, and $N_G(R)$ is a semidirect product with normal subgroup R and cyclic quotient group of order $(\ell - 1)/2$. We now use Remark 4.1(ii) to determine the ramification data of $X \longrightarrow X/\Gamma$ for $\Gamma \in \{V, \Delta_1, \Delta_2, W, R\}$.

(1) Let $x \in X$ be a closed point such that $G_x \cong \Sigma_3$. Let I be the unique subgroup of order 3 in V. There are two conjugacy classes of subgroups of G isomorphic to Σ_3 , which are represented by $\langle I, s \rangle$ and $\langle I, vs \rangle$. Since there is exactly one branch point in X/G such that the ramification points in X above it have inertia groups isomorphic to Σ_3 , only one of these two conjugacy classes occurs as inertia groups. Without loss of generality, assume there exists a closed point $x \in X$ such that $G_x = \langle I, s \rangle \cong \Sigma_3$. If $g \in G$ then $\Gamma_{gx} = gG_xg^{-1} \cap \Gamma$ can only be non-trivial if $\Gamma \in \{V, \Delta_1, \Delta_2, W\}$.

Suppose first that Γ contains a subgroup of order 3. Then $\Gamma \in \{V, \Delta_1, \Delta_2\}$ and $I \leq \Gamma$ is the unique subgroup of order 3 in Γ . We argue as in §5.1.1 to see that

$$\#\{gG_x \; ; \; g \in G, I \le \Gamma_{gx}\} = \#(N_G(V)/G_x) = (\ell - \epsilon)/6.$$

If $\Gamma = \Delta_1$, we also need to analyze the case when $\Gamma_{gx} \cong \Sigma_3$. Arguing as in §5.1.1, we see this happens if and only if there exist unique elements $\tau \in I$ and $\tilde{g} \in \langle v' \rangle$ with $\tilde{g}^{-1}g\tau^{-1} \in C_G(s)$. If $z = v^{(\ell - \epsilon)/4}$ is the unique non-trivial central element of $N_G(V)$, then $C_G(s) \cap N_G(V) = \{e, s, z, zs\}$. Since $\tilde{g}^{-1}g\tau^{-1} \in N_G(V)$, it follows that $g \in N_G(V)$ satisfies $\tilde{g}^{-1}g\tau^{-1} \in C_G(s)$ if and only if $g \in \Delta_1$ or $g \in z\Delta_1$. Thus

$$\#\{gG_x \; ; \; g \in G, (\Delta_1)_{gx} \cong \Sigma_3\} = \#\{gG_x \; ; \; g \in \Delta_1 \text{ or } g \in z\Delta_1\} = 2 \cdot \#(\Delta_1/G_x) = 2 \cdot 3^{n-1}.$$

We obtain

(5.9)
$$\#\{x' \in X \text{ closed }; V_{x'} \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\} = (\ell - \epsilon)/6 = 3^{n-1} \cdot m,$$

(5.10)
$$\#\{x' \in X \text{ closed}; (\Delta_1)_{x'} \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\} = (\ell - \epsilon)/6 - 2 \cdot 3^{n-1} = 3^{n-1} \cdot (m-2),$$

(5.11)
$$\#\{x' \in X \text{ closed }; (\Delta_2)_{x'} \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\} = (\ell - \epsilon)/6 = 3^{n-1} \cdot m,$$

(5.12)
$$\#\{x' \in X \text{ closed }; (\Delta_1)_{x'} \cong \Sigma_3\} = 2 \cdot 3^{n-1}.$$

In all three cases $\Gamma \in \{V, \Delta_1, \Delta_2\}$, it can also happen that $\Gamma_{gx} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$ for some $g \in G$. Arguing similarly as in §5.1.1, we obtain

(5.13)
$$\#\{x' \in X \text{ closed }; V_{x'} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\} = \frac{\ell - \epsilon}{2} = 3^n \cdot m,$$

(5.14)
$$\#\{x' \in X \text{ closed } ; (\Delta_1)_{x'} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\} = 3^n \left(\frac{\ell - \epsilon}{2} - 2\right),$$

(5.15)
$$\#\{x' \in X \text{ closed } ; (\Delta_2)_{x'} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\} = 3^n \left(\frac{\ell - \epsilon}{2}\right).$$

Since #W is not divisible by any divisor of 6ℓ , it follows that $W_{x'} = \{e\}$ for all closed points $x' \in X$.

(2) Let $x \in X$ be a closed point such that $G_x \cong \mathbb{Z}/\ell$. As in §5.1.1, we have that $\Gamma_{gx} = gG_xg^{-1} \cap \Gamma$ can only be non-trivial if $\Gamma = R$. Moreover,

(5.16)
$$\#\{x' \in X \text{ closed }; R_{x'} \cong \mathbb{Z}/\ell\} = (\ell - 1)/2.$$

- 5.2. The $k[N_1]$ -module structure of $H^0(X,\Omega_X)$. Recall that P is a Sylow 3-subgroup of G, P_1 is the unique subgroup of P of order 3, and $N_1 = N_G(P_1)$, so N_1 is a dihedral group of order $\ell - \epsilon$. In this section, we first determine the k[H]-module structure of $H^0(X,\Omega_X)$ for the 3-hypo-elementary subgroups H of N_1 that are isomorphic to dihedral groups of order $2 \cdot 3^n$, respectively to cyclic groups of order $(\ell - \epsilon)/2$. We then use this to determine the $k[N_1]$ -module structure of $H^0(X,\Omega_X)$. Again, we need to consider two cases.
- 5.2.1. The $k[N_1]$ -module structure when $\ell \equiv -\epsilon \mod 4$. We use the notation from §5.1.1. In particular, $V = \langle v \rangle$ is a cyclic group of order $(\ell - \epsilon)/2 = 3^n \cdot m$, where m is odd, and $\Delta = \langle v', s \rangle$ is a dihedral group of order $2 \cdot 3^n$, where $v' = v^m$ and $s \in N_G(V) - V$ is an element of order 2. Moreover, let I be the unique subgroup of V of order 3. We use the key steps in the proof of Theorem 1.1, as summarized in Remark 3.4, to determine the k[H]-module structure of $H^0(X, \Omega_X)$ for $H \in \{V, \Delta\}$.

In both cases, it follows from §5.1.1 that the subgroup of the Sylow 3-subgroup $P_H = \langle v' \rangle$ of H generated by the Sylow 3-subgroups of the inertia groups of all closed points in X is equal to $I = \langle \tau \rangle$, where $\tau = (v')^{3^{n-1}}$. Moreover, there are precisely $3^{n-1} \cdot m$ closed points x in X with $H_x \geq I$. In particular, the non-trivial lower ramification groups for any closed point $x \in X$ with $I \leq H_x$ are $H_{x,1} = I$ and $H_{x,2} = \{e\}$. Let Y = X/I. For $1 \le t \le m$, let $y_{t,1}, \ldots, y_{t,3^{n-1}} \in Y$ be points that ramify in X. For $0 \le j \le 2$, we obtain that \mathcal{L}_j from Proposition 3.1 is given as $\mathcal{L}_j = \Omega_Y(D_j)$, where, by the proof of Proposition 3.1 or by step (1) of Remark 3.4,

(5.17)
$$D_{j} = \begin{cases} \sum_{t=1}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{3^{n-1}} y_{t,i} &, j = 0, 1, \\ 0 &, j = 2. \end{cases}$$

Since $3^{n-1} \cdot m$ points in Y = X/I ramify in X, the Riemann-Hurwitz theorem shows that

(5.18)
$$g(Y) - 1 = 3^{n-1}m \cdot \frac{(\ell + \epsilon)(\ell - 6) - 8}{12}.$$

(a) We first consider the case H = V, so $H \cong (\mathbb{Z}/3^n) \times (\mathbb{Z}/m)$, where 3 does not divide m. By §5.1.1, we have either $V_x = I$ or $V_x = \{e\}$ for all closed points $x \in X$. If Z = X/V, then $Y = X/I \longrightarrow X/V = Z$ is unramified with Galois group $\overline{V} = V/I$.

Hence Proposition 3.3, or step (2) of Remark 3.4, gives the following in this situation for M = $\operatorname{Res}_V^G H^0(X,\Omega_X)$. Let $\gamma(j)$ be the Brauer character of the k-dual of $(M^{(j+1)}/M^{(j)})$ for $j \in \{0,1,2\}$. Then

$$\gamma(j) = \delta_{j,2} \,\beta_0 + n_j(V) \,\beta(k[\overline{V}])$$

where

$$n_0(V) = n_1(V) = \frac{1}{\#\overline{V}} (3^{n-1}m + g(Y) - 1) = 1 + \frac{(\ell + \epsilon)(\ell - 6) - 8}{12}$$

and

(5.19)
$$n_2(V) = \frac{1}{\#\overline{V}} (g(Y) - 1) = \frac{(\ell + \epsilon)(\ell - 6) - 8}{12}.$$

In particular, $n_1(V) = n_2(V) + 1$. Since β_0 and $\beta(k[\overline{V}])$ are self-dual, we obtain that the Brauer character of $M^{(j+1)}/M^{(j)}$, for $j \in \{0,1,2\}$, is equal to

$$\beta(M^{(1)}/M^{(0)}) = \beta(M^{(2)}/M^{(1)}) = (n_2(V) + 1) \beta(k[\overline{V}]),$$

$$\beta(M^{(3)}/M^{(2)}) = \beta_0 + n_2(V) \beta(k[\overline{V}]).$$

Using the notation of Remark 2.4, there are m isomorphism classes of simple k[V]-modules, represented by $S_0^{(V)}, S_1^{(V)}, \ldots, S_{m-1}^{(V)}$, where we use the superscript (V) to indicate these are simple k[V]-modules.

Using the proof of Theorem 1.1, or step (3) of Remark 3.4, it follows that $\operatorname{Res}_V^G \operatorname{H}^0(X, \Omega_X) = \operatorname{Res}_V^G M$ is a direct sum of n_2 copies of k[V] together with an indecomposable k[V]-module of k-dimension $2 \cdot 3^{n-1} + 1$ with socle $S_0^{(V)}$ and m-1 indecomposable k[V]-modules of k-dimension $2 \cdot 3^{n-1}$ with respective socles given by $S_1^{(V)}, \ldots, S_{m-1}^{(V)}$. Writing $U_{a,b}^{(V)}$ for an indecomposable k[V]-module of k-dimension k with socle isomorphic to $S_a^{(V)}$, we have

$$\operatorname{Res}_{V}^{G} \operatorname{H}^{0}(X, \Omega_{X}) \cong n_{2}(V) k[V] \oplus U_{0, 2 \cdot 3^{n-1} + 1}^{(V)} \oplus \bigoplus_{t=1}^{m-1} U_{t, 2 \cdot 3^{n-1}}^{(V)}$$

where $n_2(V)$ is as in (5.19).

(b) We next consider the case $H = \Delta$, so $H \cong (\mathbb{Z}/3^n) \rtimes_{\chi} (\mathbb{Z}/2)$. In particular, there are precisely two isomorphism classes of simple $k[\Delta]$ -modules, represented by $S_0^{(\Delta)}$ and $S_1^{(\Delta)}$, and $S_{\chi} \cong S_1^{(\Delta)}$. By §5.1.1, the possible isomorphism types for non-trivial inertia groups Δ_x for closed points $x \in X$ are either Σ_3 or $\mathbb{Z}/3$ or $\mathbb{Z}/2$. Moreover, there are precisely 3^{n-1} (resp. $3^{n-1}(m-1)$, resp. $3^n((\ell+\epsilon)/2-1))$ closed points x in X with $\Delta_x \cong \Sigma_3$ (resp. $\Delta_x \cong \mathbb{Z}/3$, resp. $\Delta_x \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$). Using the notation introduced above, suppose that the inertia groups of the points in X above the points $y_{1,1},\ldots,y_{1,3^{n-1}}\in Y$ are isomorphic to Σ_3 , whereas the inertia groups of the points in X above the remaining $y_{t,1},\ldots,y_{t,3^{n-1}}\in Y$, for $2\leq t\leq m$, are isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/3$. If $Z=X/\Delta$, then $Y=X/I\longrightarrow X/\Delta=Z$ is tamely ramified with Galois group $\overline{\Delta}=\Delta/I$.

The ramification data of the tame cover $Y = X/I \longrightarrow Z = X/\Delta$ is as follows. There are precisely $(\ell + \epsilon)/2$ points in Z that ramify in Y. Moreover, the inertia group of each of the $3^{n-1}(\ell + \epsilon)/2$ points in Y lying above these points in Z is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2$. Let $z_1 \in Z$ be the unique point that ramifies in X with inertia group isomorphic to Σ_3 , and let $z_2, \ldots, z_{(\ell + \epsilon)/2}$ be the points in Z that ramify in X with inertia group isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2$. Define $y_1 = y_{1,1} \in Y$ and let $y_2, \ldots, y_{(\ell + \epsilon)/2} \in Y$ be points lying above $z_2, \ldots, z_{(\ell + \epsilon)/2}$, respectively. For all $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, (\ell + \epsilon)/2\}$, it follows that $\overline{\Delta}_{y_i}$ is a subgroup of order 2 in $\overline{\Delta}$ and the fundamental character θ_{y_i} is the unique non-trivial character of $\overline{\Delta}_{y_i}$. In particular, the Brauer characters $\operatorname{Ind}_{\overline{\Delta}_{y_i}}^{\overline{\Delta}}(\theta_{y_i})$, for $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, (\ell + \epsilon)/2\}$, are all equal to the Brauer character of the projective indecomposable $k[\overline{\Delta}]$ -module whose socle is non-trivial. Moreover, for $j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, we have that $\ell_{y_i, j} \in \{0, 1\}$ such that $\ell_{y_i, j} \equiv -\operatorname{ord}_{y_i}(D_j) \mod (\#\overline{\Delta}_{y_i})$ is only non-zero for $(i, j) \in \{(1, 0), (1, 1)\}$. Let $M = \operatorname{Res}_{\Delta}^G \operatorname{H}^0(X, \Omega_X)$, and fix $j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. Following Proposition 3.3, or step (2) of Remark 3.4, we obtain that the Brauer character of the k-dual of

 $S_{\gamma^j} \otimes_k (M^{(j+1)}/M^{(j)})$ is equal to

$$\gamma(j) = \delta_{j,2} \,\beta_0 + \left(\frac{\ell + \epsilon}{4}\right) \operatorname{Ind}_{\overline{\Delta}_{y_1}}^{\overline{\Delta}}(\theta_{y_1}) - (1 - \delta_{j,2}) \operatorname{Ind}_{\overline{\Delta}_{y_1}}^{\overline{\Delta}}(\theta_{y_1}) + n_j(\Delta) \,\beta(k[\overline{\Delta}])$$

where

$$n_0(\Delta) = n_1(\Delta) = \frac{1}{\#\overline{\Delta}} \left(3^{n-1}m + g(Y) - 1 \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\ell + \epsilon}{2} - 1 \right) \left(-\frac{1}{2} \right)$$
$$= \frac{m+1}{2} + \frac{m((\ell + \epsilon)(\ell - 6) - 8)}{24} - \frac{\ell + \epsilon}{8}$$

and

$$(5.20) n_2(\Delta) = \frac{1}{\#\overline{\Delta}} (g(Y) - 1) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\ell + \epsilon}{2}\right) \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{m((\ell + \epsilon)(\ell - 6) - 8)}{24} - \frac{\ell + \epsilon}{8}.$$

In particular,

$$n_1(\Delta) = n_2(\Delta) + (m+1)/2.$$

Let $P(\overline{\Delta}, 0)$ (resp. $P(\overline{\Delta}, 1)$) be a projective indecomposable $k[\overline{\Delta}]$ -module with trivial (resp. non-trivial) socle. Then $\operatorname{Ind}_{\overline{\Delta}_{y_1}}^{\overline{\Delta}}(\theta_{y_1}) = \beta(P(\overline{\Delta}, 1))$ and $\beta(k[\overline{\Delta}]) = \beta(P(\overline{\Delta}, 0)) + \beta(P(\overline{\Delta}, 1))$. Since β_0 , $\beta(P(\overline{\Delta}, 0))$ and $\beta(P(\overline{\Delta}, 1))$ are self-dual, we obtain that the Brauer character of $M^{(j+1)}/M^{(j)}$ is equal to

$$\begin{split} \beta(M^{(1)}/M^{(0)}) &= \left(n_2(\Delta) + \frac{m+1}{2}\right) \beta(P(\overline{\Delta},0)) + \left(n_2(\Delta) + \frac{\ell+\epsilon}{4} - 1 + \frac{m+1}{2}\right) \beta(P(\overline{\Delta},1)), \\ \beta(M^{(2)}/M^{(1)}) &= \left(n_2(\Delta) + \frac{m+1}{2}\right) \beta(P(\overline{\Delta},1)) + \left(n_2(\Delta) + \frac{\ell+\epsilon}{4} - 1 + \frac{m+1}{2}\right) \beta(P(\overline{\Delta},0)), \\ \beta(M^{(3)}/M^{(2)}) &= \beta_0 + n_2(\Delta) \beta(P(\overline{\Delta},0)) + \left(n_2(\Delta) + \frac{\ell+\epsilon}{4}\right) \beta(P(\overline{\Delta},1)) \\ &= \left(n_2(\Delta) + 1\right) \beta(P(\overline{\Delta},0)) + \left(n_2(\Delta) + \frac{\ell+\epsilon}{4} - 1\right) \beta(P(\overline{\Delta},1)) + \beta(S_\chi), \end{split}$$

where we rewrote the Brauer character of $M^{(3)}/M^{(2)}$ to reflect the fact that, by step (2) of Remark 3.4, the quotient $M^{(3)}/M^{(2)}$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of the simple $k[\overline{\Delta}]$ -module S_{χ} and a projective $k[\overline{\Delta}]$ -module. As above, let $S_0^{(\Delta)}, S_1^{(\Delta)}$ be representatives of the 2 isomorphism classes of simple $k[\Delta]$ -modules, such that $S_{\chi} \cong S_1^{(\Delta)}$.

Using the proof of Theorem 1.1, or step (3) of Remark 3.4, it follows that $\operatorname{Res}_{\Delta}^G \operatorname{H}^0(X,\Omega_X) = \operatorname{Res}_{\Delta}^G M$ is a direct sum of $n_2(\Delta)+1$ copies of the projective $k[\Delta]$ -module with socle S_0 and $n_2(\Delta)+\frac{\ell+\epsilon}{4}-1$ copies of the projective $k[\Delta]$ -module with socle S_1 together together with an indecomposable $k[\Delta]$ -module of k-dimension $2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1$ with socle $S_1^{(\Delta)}$ and (m-1)/2 indecomposable $k[\Delta]$ -modules of k-dimension $2\cdot 3^{n-1}$ with socle $S_0^{(\Delta)}$ and (m-1)/2 indecomposable $k[\Delta]$ -modules of k-dimension $2\cdot 3^{n-1}$ with socle $S_1^{(\Delta)}$. Writing $U_{a,b}^{(\Delta)}$ for an indecomposable $k[\Delta]$ -module of k-dimension b with socle isomorphic to $S_a^{(\Delta)}$, we have

$$\operatorname{Res}_{\Delta}^{G} H^{0}(X, \Omega_{X}) \cong (n_{2}(\Delta) + 1) U_{0,3^{n}}^{(\Delta)} \oplus \left(n_{2}(\Delta) + \frac{\ell + \epsilon}{4} - 1\right) U_{1,3^{n}}^{(\Delta)} \oplus U_{1,2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1}^{(\Delta)} \oplus \left(\frac{m-1}{2}\right) U_{0,2\cdot 3^{n-1}}^{(\Delta)} \oplus \left(\frac{m-1}{2}\right) U_{1,2\cdot 3^{n-1}}^{(\Delta)}$$

where $n_2(\Delta)$ is as in (5.20).

We now want to use (a) and (b) above to determine the $k[N_1]$ -module structure of $\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)$. Using the notation introduced in §5.1.1, $P=\langle v' \rangle$ is a Sylow 3-subgroup of G and $P_1=I$ is the unique subgroup of P of order 3. Hence $N_1=N_G(P)=\langle v,s \rangle$ is a dihedral group of order $\ell-\epsilon=2\cdot 3^n\cdot m$. There are 2+(m-1)/2 isomorphism classes of simple $k[N_1]$ -modules. These are represented by 2 one-dimensional $k[N_1]$ -modules $S_0^{(N_1)}$ and $S_1^{(N_1)}$, which are the inflations of the two simple $k[\Delta]$ -modules $S_0^{(\Delta)}$ and $S_1^{(\Delta)}$, together with (m-1)/2 two-dimensional simple $k[N_1]$ -modules $\widetilde{S}_1^{(N_1)},\ldots,\widetilde{S}_{(m-1)/2}^{(N_1)}$, where $\widetilde{S}_t^{(N_1)}=\mathrm{Ind}_V^{N_1}S_t^{(V)}$ for $1\leq t\leq (m-1)/2$. The indecomposable $k[N_1]$ -modules are uniserial, where the projective modules all have length 3^n . For $\{i,j\}=\{0,1\}$, the projective cover of $S_i^{(N_1)}$ has descending composition factors

$$S_i^{(N_1)}, S_j^{(N_1)}, S_i^{(N_1)}, \dots, S_j^{(N_1)}, S_i^{(N_1)}.$$

For $t \in \{1, ..., (m-1)/2\}$, the composition factors of the projective cover of $\widetilde{S}_t^{(N_1)}$ are all isomorphic to $\widetilde{S}_t^{(N_1)}$. For $i \in \{0, 1\}$, we write $U_{i,b}^{(N_1)}$ for an indecomposable $k[N_1]$ -module of k-dimension b whose socle is isomorphic to $S_i^{(N_1)}$. For $t \in \{1, ..., (m-1)/2\}$, we write $\widetilde{U}_{t,b}^{(N_1)}$ for an indecomposable $k[N_1]$ -module of k-dimension b whose socle is isomorphic to $\widetilde{S}_t^{(N_1)}$. By (a) and (b) above, we obtain

(5.21)
$$\operatorname{Res}_{N_{1}}^{G} \operatorname{H}^{0}(X, \Omega_{X}) \cong \left(\frac{(\ell+\epsilon)(\ell-9)+16}{24}\right) U_{0,3^{n}}^{(N_{1})} \oplus \left(\frac{(\ell+\epsilon)(\ell-3)-32}{24}\right) U_{1,3^{n}}^{(N_{1})} \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{t=1}^{(m-1)/2} \left(\frac{(\ell+\epsilon)(\ell-6)-8}{12}\right) \widetilde{U}_{t,3^{n}}^{(N_{1})} \oplus U_{1,2\cdot3^{n-1}+1}^{(N_{1})} \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{t=1}^{(m-1)/2} \widetilde{U}_{t,2\cdot3^{n-1}}^{(N_{1})}\right) \right) U_{1,2\cdot3^{n-1}+1}^{(N_{1})} \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{t=1}^{(m-1)/2} \widetilde{U}_{t,2\cdot3^{n-1}}^{(N_{1})}\right) U_{1,2\cdot3^{n-1}+1}^{(N_{1})} \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{t=1}^{(m-1)/2} \widetilde{U}_{t,2\cdot3^{n-1}}^{(N_{1})}\right) U_{1,2\cdot3^{n-1}+1}^{(N_{1})} \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{t=1}^{(m-1)/2} \widetilde{U}_{t,2\cdot3^{n-1}}^{(N_{1})}\right) U_{1,3^{n}}^{(N_{1})} U_{1,3$$

5.2.2. The $k[N_1]$ -module structure when $\ell \equiv \epsilon \mod 4$. We use the notation from §5.1.2. In particular, $V = \langle v \rangle$ is a cyclic group of order $(\ell - \epsilon)/2 = 3^n \cdot m$, where m is even, and $\Delta_1 = \langle v', s \rangle$ and $\Delta_2 = \langle v', vs \rangle$ are two non-conjugate dihedral groups of order $2 \cdot 3^n$, where $v' = v^m$ and $s \in N_G(V) - V$ is an element of order 2. Moreover, let I be the unique subgroup of V of order 3. Similarly to §5.2.1, we use the key steps in the proof of Theorem 1.1, as summarized in Remark 3.4, to determine the k[H]-module structure of $H^0(X, \Omega_X)$ for $H \in \{V, \Delta_1, \Delta_2\}$.

In all cases, it follows from §5.1.2 that the subgroup of the Sylow 3-subgroup $P_H = \langle v' \rangle$ of H generated by the Sylow 3-subgroups of the inertia groups of all closed points in X is equal to $I = \langle \tau \rangle$, where $\tau = (v')^{3^{n-1}}$. Moreover, there are precisely $3^{n-1} \cdot m$ closed points x in X with $H_x \geq I$. Let Y = X/I. For $1 \leq t \leq m$, let $y_{t,1}, \ldots, y_{t,3^{n-1}} \in Y$ be points that ramify in X. For $0 \leq j \leq 2$, we obtain that \mathcal{L}_j from Proposition 3.1 is given as $\mathcal{L}_j = \Omega_Y(D_j)$, where D_j has the same form as in (5.17). Since $3^{n-1} \cdot m$ points in Y = X/I ramify in X, the Riemann-Hurwitz theorem shows that g(Y) satisfies the same equation as in (5.18).

The ramification data is slightly more difficult than in §5.2.1, but the arguments are very similar. We therefore just list the final answers for each $H \in \{V, \Delta_1, \Delta_2\}$.

(a) We first consider the case H=V, so $H\cong (\mathbb{Z}/3^n)\times (\mathbb{Z}/m)$, where 3 does not divide m. Using the notation of Remark 2.4, there are m isomorphism classes of simple k[V]-modules, represented by $S_0^{(V)}, S_1^{(V)}, \ldots, S_{m-1}^{(V)}$, where we use the superscript (V) to indicate these are simple k[V]-modules. Moreover, the projective indecomposable k[V]-modules all have length 3^n . Writing $U_{a,b}^{(V)}$ for an indecomposable k[V]-module of k-dimension k with socle isomorphic to k-dimension k-dim

$$\operatorname{Res}_{V}^{G} \operatorname{H}^{0}(X, \Omega_{X}) \cong n_{2}(V) \, k[V] \oplus \bigoplus_{t=1}^{m/2} U_{2t-1, 3^{n}} \oplus U_{0, 2 \cdot 3^{n-1} + 1}^{(V)} \oplus \bigoplus_{t=1}^{m-1} U_{t, 2 \cdot 3^{n-1}}^{(V)}$$

where

$$n_2(V) = \frac{(\ell + \epsilon)(\ell - 6) - 14}{12}.$$

(b) We next consider the case $H=\Delta_1$, so $H\cong (\mathbb{Z}/3^n)\rtimes_{\chi}(\mathbb{Z}/2)$. In particular, there are precisely two isomorphism classes of simple $k[\Delta_1]$ -modules, represented by $S_0^{(\Delta_1)}$ and $S_1^{(\Delta_1)}$, and $S_{\chi}\cong S_1^{(\Delta_1)}$. Moreover, the projective indecomposable $k[\Delta_1]$ -modules all have length 3^n . Writing $U_{a,b}^{(\Delta_1)}$ for an indecomposable $k[\Delta_1]$ -module of k-dimension b with socle isomorphic to $S_a^{(\Delta_1)}$, we have

$$\operatorname{Res}_{\Delta_{1}}^{G} \operatorname{H}^{0}(X, \Omega_{X}) \cong (n_{2}(\Delta_{1}) + 1) U_{0,3^{n}}^{(\Delta_{1})} \oplus \left(n_{2}(\Delta_{1}) + \frac{\ell - \epsilon}{4} - 1\right) U_{1,3^{n}}^{(\Delta_{1})} \oplus U_{1,2 \cdot 3^{n-1} + 1}^{(\Delta_{1})} \oplus \left(\frac{m}{2}\right) U_{0,2 \cdot 3^{n-1}}^{(\Delta_{1})} \oplus \left(\frac{m}{2} - 1\right) U_{1,2 \cdot 3^{n-1}}^{(\Delta_{1})}$$

where

$$n_2(\Delta_1) = \frac{m((\ell+\epsilon)(\ell-6)-8)}{24} - \frac{\ell-\epsilon}{8}.$$

(c) Finally, we consider the case $H=\Delta_2$, so $H\cong (\mathbb{Z}/3^n)\rtimes_{\chi}(\mathbb{Z}/2)$. Again, there are precisely two isomorphism classes of simple $k[\Delta_2]$ -modules, represented by $S_0^{(\Delta_2)}$ and $S_1^{(\Delta_2)}$, and $S_{\chi}\cong S_1^{(\Delta_2)}$. Moreover, the projective indecomposable $k[\Delta_2]$ -modules all have length 3^n . Writing $U_{a,b}^{(\Delta_2)}$ for an indecomposable $k[\Delta_2]$ -module of k-dimension b with socle isomorphic to $S_a^{(\Delta_2)}$, we have

$$\operatorname{Res}_{\Delta_{2}}^{G} \operatorname{H}^{0}(X, \Omega_{X}) \cong (n_{2}(\Delta_{2}) + 1) U_{0,3^{n}}^{(\Delta_{2})} \oplus \left(n_{2}(\Delta_{2}) + \frac{\ell - \epsilon}{4} - 1\right) U_{1,3^{n}}^{(\Delta_{2})} \oplus U_{1,2 \cdot 3^{n-1} + 1}^{(\Delta_{2})} \oplus \left(\frac{m}{2} - 1\right) U_{0,2 \cdot 3^{n-1}}^{(\Delta_{2})} \oplus \left(\frac{m}{2}\right) U_{1,2 \cdot 3^{n-1}}^{(\Delta_{2})}$$

where

$$n_2(\Delta_2) = \frac{m((\ell+\epsilon)(\ell-6)-8)}{24} - \frac{\ell-\epsilon}{8}.$$

We now want to use (a), (b) and (c) above to determine the $k[N_1]$ -module structure of $\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)$. Using the notation introduced in §5.1.2, $P=\langle v'\rangle$ is a Sylow 3-subgroup of G and $P_1=I$ is the unique subgroup of P of order 3. Hence $N_1=N_G(P)=\langle v,s\rangle$ is a dihedral group of order $\ell-\epsilon=2\cdot 3^n\cdot m$, where m is even. There are 4+(m/2-1) isomorphism classes of simple $k[N_1]$ -modules. These are represented by 4 one-dimensional $k[N_1]$ -modules $S_{0,0}^{(N_1)}, S_{0,1}^{(N_1)}, S_{1,0}^{(N_1)}$ and $S_{1,1}^{(N_1)}$ such that $S_{i_1,i_2}^{(N_1)}$ restricts to $S_{i_1}^{(\Delta_1)}$ and to $S_{i_2}^{(\Delta_2)}$ for $i_1,i_2\in\{0,1\}$, together with (m/2-1) two-dimensional simple $k[N_1]$ -modules $\widetilde{S}_1^{(N_1)},\ldots,\widetilde{S}_{(m/2-1)}^{(N_1)}$, where $\widetilde{S}_t^{(N_1)}=\mathrm{Ind}_V^{N_1}S_t^{(V)}$ for $1\leq t\leq (m/2-1)$. The indecomposable $k[N_1]$ -modules are uniserial, where the projective modules all have length 3^n . If $\{i,j\}=\{0,1\}$ then the projective cover of $S_{i,i}^{(N_1)}$ has descending composition factors

$$S_{i,i}^{(N_1)}, S_{j,j}^{(N_1)}, S_{i,i}^{(N_1)}, \dots, S_{j,j}^{(N_1)}, S_{i,i}^{(N_1)}$$

and the projective cover of $S_{i,j}^{(N_1)}$ has descending composition factors

$$S_{i,j}^{(N_1)}, S_{i,i}^{(N_1)}, S_{i,j}^{(N_1)}, \dots, S_{i,i}^{(N_1)}, S_{i,j}^{(N_1)}.$$

For $t \in \{1, \ldots, (m/2-1)\}$, the composition factors of the projective cover of $\widetilde{S}_t^{(N_1)}$ are all isomorphic to $\widetilde{S}_t^{(N_1)}$. For $i_1, i_2 \in \{0, 1\}$, we write $U_{i_1, i_2, b}^{(N_1)}$ for an indecomposable $k[N_1]$ -module of k-dimension b whose socle is isomorphic to $S_{i_1, i_2}^{(N_1)}$. For $t \in \{1, \ldots, (m/2-1)\}$, we write $\widetilde{U}_{t, b}^{(N_1)}$ for an indecomposable $k[N_1]$ -module of

k-dimension 2b whose socle is isomorphic to $\widetilde{S}_t^{(N_1)}$. By (a), (b) and (c) above, we obtain

$$\operatorname{Res}_{N_{1}}^{G} \operatorname{H}^{0}(X, \Omega_{X}) \cong \left(\frac{(\ell + \epsilon)(\ell - 6) - 14}{24} - \frac{\ell - \epsilon}{8} + 1\right) U_{0,0,3^{n}}^{(N_{1})} \oplus \left[\frac{(\ell + \epsilon)(\ell - 6) - 2}{24}\right] U_{0,1,3^{n}}^{(N_{1})} \oplus \left[\frac{(\ell + \epsilon)(\ell - 6) - 2}{24}\right] U_{1,0,3^{n}}^{(N_{1})} \oplus \left[\frac{(\ell + \epsilon)(\ell - 6) - 14}{24} + \frac{\ell - \epsilon}{8} - 1\right) U_{1,1,3^{n}}^{(N_{1})} \oplus \left[\bigoplus_{t=1}^{\lfloor (m-2)/4\rfloor} \left(\frac{(\ell + \epsilon)(\ell - 6) - 14}{12}\right) \widetilde{U}_{2t,3^{n}}^{(N_{1})} \oplus \bigoplus_{t=1}^{\lfloor m/4\rfloor} \left(\frac{(\ell + \epsilon)(\ell - 6) - 2}{12}\right) \widetilde{U}_{2t-1,3^{n}}^{(N_{1})} \oplus U_{1,1,2\cdot3^{n-1}+1}^{(N_{1})} \oplus U_{0,1,2\cdot3^{n-1}}^{(N_{1})} \oplus \bigoplus_{t=1}^{\lfloor m/2-1} \widetilde{U}_{t,2\cdot3^{n-1}}^{(N_{1})}$$

where, as before, |r| denotes the largest integer that is less than or equal to a given rational number r.

5.3. The Brauer character of $H^0(X, \Omega_X)$ as a k[G]-module. In this section, we compute the values of the Brauer character of $H^0(X, \Omega_X)$ as a k[G]-module. We use the notation from the previous two sections, §5.1 and §5.2. We determine the values of the Brauer character $\beta(H^0(X, \Omega_X))$ for all elements $g \in G$ that are 3-regular, i.e. whose order is not divisible by 3. By [16, Sect. II.8], the elements of order ℓ fall into 2 conjugacy classes. Let r_1 and r_2 be representatives of these conjugacy classes. Since all subgroups of G of order ℓ are conjugate, we can assume, without loss of generality, that $R = \langle r_1 \rangle = \langle r_2 \rangle$. In fact, if $1 \le \mu \le \ell - 1$ is such that $\mathbb{F}^*_{\ell} = \langle \mu \rangle$ then we can choose $r_2 = r_1^{\mu}$. Moreover, for $i \in \{1, 2\}$ and $1 \le a \le (\ell - 1)/2$, we have that $(r_i)^{a^2}$ is conjugate to r_i . All elements $g \in G$ of a given order $\neq \ell$ lie in a single conjugacy class. We first determine the value of the Brauer character $\beta(H^0(X, \Omega_X))$ at r_1 and r_2 .

5.3.1. The Brauer character of $H^0(X, \Omega_X)$ at elements of order ℓ . By §5.1.1 and §5.1.2, we have either $R_x = R$ or $R_x = \{e\}$ for all closed points $x \in X$, and there are precisely $(\ell - 1)/2$ closed points x in X with $R_x = R$. In particular, this means that $X \longrightarrow X/R$ is tamely ramified. Letting Y = X and Z = X/R, we have g(Y) - 1 = g(X) - 1 as in (4.3).

There are precisely $(\ell-1)/2$ points in Z that ramify in Y=X. Moreover, the inertia group of each of the $(\ell-1)/2$ points in Y=X lying above these points in Z is equal to R. Let $z_1,\ldots,z_{(\ell-1)/2}\in Z$ be the points in Z that ramify in Y=X with inertia group equal to R. Let $y_1,\ldots,y_{(\ell-1)/2}$ be points lying above $z_1,\ldots,z_{(\ell-1)/2}$, respectively. Following Proposition 3.3, or step (2) of Remark 3.4, we obtain that the Brauer character of the k-dual of $\operatorname{Res}_R^G H^0(X,\Omega_X)$ is equal to

$$\beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{(\ell-1)/2} \sum_{t=0}^{\ell-1} \frac{t}{\ell} (\theta_{y_i})^t + n_0(R) \beta(k[R])$$

where

$$n_0(R) = \frac{1}{\#R} (g(X) - 1) + \frac{\ell - 1}{2\ell} \left(-\frac{\ell - 1}{2} \right) = \frac{(\ell - 1)(\ell - 11)}{24}.$$

Suppose $\theta_{y_1}(r_1) = \xi_{\ell}$ is a primitive ℓ^{th} root of unity. Then it follows that

$$\{\theta_{y_i}(r_1)\;;\;1\leq i\leq (\ell-1)/2\}=\{(\xi_\ell)^{a^2}\;;\;1\leq a\leq (\ell-1)/2\}.$$

Hence

(5.23)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{(\ell-1)/2} \sum_{t=0}^{\ell-1} \frac{t}{\ell} (\theta_{y_i})^t (r_1) = \sum_{a=1}^{(\ell-1)/2} \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{t=0}^{\ell-1} t (\xi_{\ell})^{a^2 t} = \sum_{a=1}^{(\ell-1)/2} \frac{1}{(\xi_{\ell})^{a^2} - 1}.$$

(a) If $\ell \equiv 1 \mod 4$ then -1 is a square mod ℓ . Since

$$\frac{1}{(\xi_{\ell})^{a^2} - 1} + \frac{1}{(\xi_{\ell})^{-a^2} - 1} = \frac{(\xi_{\ell})^{-a^2} - 1 + (\xi_{\ell})^{a^2} - 1}{((\xi_{\ell})^{a^2} - 1)((\xi_{\ell})^{-a^2} - 1)} = -1$$

(5.23) becomes

$$\sum_{i=1}^{(\ell-1)/2} \sum_{t=0}^{\ell-1} \frac{t}{\ell} (\theta_{y_i})^t (r_1) = -\frac{\ell-1}{4}.$$

Therefore, since $\theta_{y_i}(r_2) = \theta_{y_i}(r_1^{\mu})$, we get

(5.24)
$$\beta(H^0(X,\Omega_X))(r_1) = 1 - \frac{\ell - 1}{4} = \beta(H^0(X,\Omega_X))(r_2).$$

(b) Next suppose $\ell \equiv -1 \mod 4$. Using Gauss sums, we see that there exists a choice of square root of $-\ell$, say $\sqrt{-\ell}$, such that

(5.25)
$$\sum_{a=1}^{(\ell-1)/2} (\xi_{\ell})^{a^2} = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{-\ell}}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{a=1}^{(\ell-1)/2} (\xi_{\ell})^{\mu a^2} = \frac{-1 - \sqrt{-\ell}}{2}.$$

Letting $\square_{\ell} \subset \{1, \dots, \ell-1\}$ be the set of squares in \mathbb{F}_{ℓ}^* , it follows that $\{\ell - t ; t \in \square_{\ell}\}$ is the set of non-squares in \mathbb{F}_{ℓ}^* , since -1 is not a square mod ℓ . Then (5.23) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{t=0}^{\ell-1} \sum_{a=1}^{(\ell-1)/2} t \left(\xi_{\ell} \right)^{a^{2}t} = \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{t \in \square_{\ell}} t \left(\frac{-1 + \sqrt{-\ell}}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{t \in \square_{\ell}} (\ell - t) \left(\frac{-1 - \sqrt{-\ell}}{2} \right) \\
= \frac{\sqrt{-\ell}}{\ell} \sum_{t \in \square_{\ell}} t - \frac{\ell - 1}{4} \left(1 + \sqrt{-\ell} \right).$$

Let $h_{\ell} = h_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-\ell})}$ be the class number of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-\ell})$, and let χ be the quadratic character mod ℓ . By [27, Ex. 4.5], we have

$$\ell h_{\ell} = -2 \sum_{a=1}^{(\ell-1)/2} \chi(a) a + \ell \sum_{a=1}^{(\ell-1)/2} \chi(a) = -\sum_{a=1}^{\ell-1} \chi(a) a$$

which implies

$$\frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{t \in \square_{\ell}} t = \frac{\ell - 1}{4} - \frac{h_{\ell}}{2}.$$

Therefore, (5.23) becomes

$$\frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{t=0}^{\ell-1} \sum_{a=1}^{(\ell-1)/2} t \left(\xi_{\ell}\right)^{a^2 t} = -\frac{\ell-1}{4} - \frac{h_{\ell}}{2} \sqrt{-\ell}.$$

Using $\theta_{y_i}(r_2) = \theta_{y_i}(r_1^{\mu})$ and (5.25), we get

(5.26)
$$\beta(H^{0}(X,\Omega_{X}))(r_{1}) = 1 - \frac{\ell-1}{4} - \frac{h_{\ell}}{2}\sqrt{-\ell};$$

(5.27)
$$\beta(H^{0}(X,\Omega_{X}))(r_{2}) = 1 - \frac{\ell-1}{4} + \frac{h_{\ell}}{2}\sqrt{-\ell}.$$

5.3.2. The Brauer character of $H^0(X, \Omega_X)$ when $\ell \equiv -\epsilon \mod 4$. We use the notation from §5.1.1. In particular, v is an element of order $(\ell - \epsilon)/2 = 3^n \cdot m$, where m is odd, s is an element of order 2, and w is an element of order $(\ell + \epsilon)/2$. Let $v'' = v^{3^n}$ be of order m. Then a full set of representatives for the conjugacy classes of 3-regular elements of G is given by

$$\{e, r_1, r_2, s, (v'')^i, w^j\}$$

where $1 \le i \le (m-1)/2$ and $1 \le j < (\ell + \epsilon)/4$.

From §5.3.1, we know the values of $\beta(H^0(X,\Omega_X))$ at r_1 and r_2 . The other values of $\beta(H^0(X,\Omega_X))$ are as follows:

(5.28)
$$\beta(H^0(X,\Omega_X))(e) = 1 + \frac{(\ell^2 - 1)(\ell - 6)}{24},$$

(5.29)
$$\beta(\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X))(s) = 1 - \frac{\ell + \epsilon}{4},$$

(5.30)
$$\beta(H^{0}(X, \Omega_{X}))((v'')^{i}) = 1,$$

$$\beta(\mathcal{H}^0(X,\Omega_X))(w^j) = 1.$$

when $(v'')^i \neq e$ and $w^j \notin \{e, s\}$. Note that we obtain the values in (5.28) - (5.30) from §5.2.1.

We next consider the case $W = \langle w \rangle$. By §5.1.1, we have either $W_x \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$ or $W_x = \{e\}$ for all closed points $x \in X$, and there are precisely $(\ell + \epsilon)/2$ closed points x in X with $W_x \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$. In particular, this means that $X \longrightarrow X/W$ is tamely ramified. Letting Y = X and Z = X/W, we have g(Y) - 1 = g(X) - 1 as in (4.3).

There are precisely 2 points in Z that ramify in Y = X. Moreover, the inertia group of each of the $(\ell + \epsilon)/2$ points in Y = X lying above these points in Z is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2$. Let $z_1, z_2 \in Z$ be the points in Z that ramify in Y = X with inertia group isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2$. Let y_1, y_2 be points lying above z_1, z_2 , respectively. Since W has a unique subgroup of order 2, it follows that $W_{y_1} = W_{y_2}$ and the fundamental character $\theta_{y_1} = \theta_{y_2}$ is the unique non-trivial character of $W_{y_1} = W_{y_2}$. Following Proposition 3.3, or step (2) of Remark 3.4, we obtain that the Brauer character of the k-dual of $\operatorname{Res}_W^G H^0(X, \Omega_X)$ is equal to

$$\beta_0 + \operatorname{Ind}_{W_{y_1}}^W(\theta_{y_1}) + n_0(W) \beta(k[W])$$

where

$$n_0(W) = \frac{1}{\#W} (g(Y) - 1) - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{(\ell - \epsilon)(\ell - 6) - 6}{12}.$$

Note that β_0 , $\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{y_1}}^W(\theta_{y_1})$ and $\beta(k[W])$ are self-dual. Since $(\ell+\epsilon)/2$ is not divisible by 3, k[W] is semisimple. There are $(\ell+\epsilon)/2$ isomorphism classes of simple k[W]-modules, represented by $S_0^{(W)}, S_1^{(W)}, \ldots, S_{(\ell+\epsilon)/2-1}^{(W)}$, where we use the superscript (W) to indicate these are simple k[W]-modules. We obtain

$$\beta(\operatorname{Res}_W^G H^0(X, \Omega_X)) = \beta(S_0^{(W)}) + \sum_{t=1}^{(\ell+\epsilon)/4} \beta(S_{2t-1}^{(W)}) + n_0(W) \beta(k[W]).$$

This gives the values of $\beta(H^0(X,\Omega_X))$ in (5.31).

5.3.3. The Brauer character of $H^0(X, \Omega_X)$ when $\ell \equiv \epsilon \mod 4$. We use the notation from §5.1.2. In particular, v is an element of order $(\ell - \epsilon)/2 = 3^n \cdot m$, where m is even, s is an element of order 2, and w is an element of order $(\ell + \epsilon)/2$. Let $v'' = v^{3^n}$ be of order m. Then a full set of representatives for the conjugacy classes of 3-regular elements of G is given by

$$\{e, r_1, r_2, s, (v'')^i, w^j\}$$

where $1 \le i < m/2$ and $1 \le j \le \lfloor (\ell + \epsilon)/4 \rfloor$.

From §5.3.1, we know the values of $\beta(H^0(X,\Omega_X))$ at r_1 and r_2 . The other values of $\beta(H^0(X,\Omega_X))$ are as follows:

(5.32)
$$\beta(H^0(X,\Omega_X))(e) = 1 + \frac{(\ell^2 - 1)(\ell - 6)}{24},$$

(5.33)
$$\beta(\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X))(s) = 1 - \frac{\ell - \epsilon}{4},$$

(5.34)
$$\beta(H^{0}(X, \Omega_{X}))((v'')^{i}) = 1,$$

(5.35)
$$\beta(H^0(X, \Omega_X))(w^j) = 1.$$

when $(v'')^i \notin \{e, s\}$ and $w^j \neq e$. Note that we obtain the values in (5.32) - (5.34) from §5.2.2. Since the order of W is not divisible by any divisor of 6ℓ , we also obtain the values of $\beta(H^0(X, \Omega_X))$ in (5.35).

5.4. The k[G]-module structure of $H^0(X, \Omega_X)$. In this section, we determine the k[G]-module structure of $H^0(X, \Omega_X)$, using §5.1 - §5.3 together with [7]. We have to consider 4 cases.

5.4.1. The k[G]-module structure of $H^0(X, \Omega_X)$ when $\ell \equiv 1 \mod 4$ and $\ell \equiv -1 \mod 3$. This is the case when $\epsilon = -1$ and $\ell \equiv -\epsilon \mod 4$. By (5.21), the non-projective indecomposable direct summands of $\operatorname{Res}_{N_1}^G H^0(X, \Omega_X)$ are given by

(5.36)
$$U_{1,2\cdot3^{n-1}+1}^{(N_1)} \oplus \bigoplus_{t=1}^{(m-1)/2} \widetilde{U}_{t,2\cdot3^{n-1}}^{(N_1)}.$$

We first determine the Green correspondents of these summands, using the information in [7, §IV]. There are 1+(m-1)/2 blocks of k[G] of maximal defect n, consisting of the principal block B_0 and (m-1)/2 blocks $B_1, \ldots, B_{(m-1)/2}$, and there are $1+(\ell-1)/4$ blocks of k[G] of defect 0. There are precisely two isomorphism classes of simple k[G]-modules that belong to B_0 , represented by the trivial simple k[G]-module T_0 and a simple k[G]-module T_0 of T_0 of T_0 of T_0 one isomorphism class of simple T_0 of T_0 of T_0 one isomorphism class of simple T_0 of $T_$

$$(5.37) \quad \widetilde{\delta}_t^*(e) = \ell - 1; \quad \widetilde{\delta}_t^*(r_1) = -1 = \widetilde{\delta}_t^*(r_2); \quad \widetilde{\delta}_t^*(s) = 0 = \widetilde{\delta}_t^*(w^j); \quad \widetilde{\delta}_t^*((v'')^i) = -((\xi_m)^{ti} + (\xi_m)^{-ti})$$
where ξ_m is a fixed primitive m^{th} root of unity.

To determine the Green correspondents of the non-projective indecomposable direct summands of $\operatorname{Res}_{N_1}^G \operatorname{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)$, we use that there is a stable equivalence between the module categories of k[G] and $k[N_1]$. This allows us to use the results from $[2,\S X.1]$ on almost split sequences to be able to detect the Green correspondents. If n=1 then $U_{1,2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1}^{(N_1)}=U_{1,3^n}^{(N_1)}$ is a projective $k[N_1]$ -module. If n>1 then the Green correspondent of $U_{1,2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1}^{(N_1)}$ belongs to B_0 . Since the Green correspondent of $S_0^{(N_1)}$ is T_0 , it follows that the Green correspondent of $S_1^{(N_1)}$ is a uniserial k[G]-module of length $(3^n-1)/2$ whose composition factors are all isomorphic to \widetilde{T}_0 . We now follow the irreducible homomorphisms in the stable Auslander-Reiten quiver of B_0 starting with the Green correspondent of $S_1^{(N_1)}$ to arrive, after $2\cdot 3^{n-1}$ such morphisms, at a uniserial k[G]-module of length $(3^{n-1}-1)/2$ whose composition factors are all isomorphic to \widetilde{T}_0 . This must be the Green correspondent of $U_{1,2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1}^{(N_1)}$. For $n\geq 1$ and $1\leq t\leq (m-1)/2$, the Green correspondent of $\widetilde{U}_{1,2\cdot 3^{n-1}}^{(N_1)}$ belongs to the block B_t . Since $\ell-1\equiv -2\mod 3^n$, it follows that the Green correspondent of $\widetilde{U}_{1,2\cdot 3^{n-1}}^{(N_1)}$ is a uniserial k[G]-module of length 3^{n-1} whose composition factors are all isomorphic to \widetilde{T}_t .

Next, we determine the Brauer character β of the largest projective direct summand of $H^0(X, \Omega_X)$. Since $(3^{n-1}-1)/2=0$ when n=1, we do not need to distinguish between the cases n=1 and n>1. Using (5.24), (5.28) - (5.31) and (5.37), we obtain

Let Ψ_0 be the Brauer character of the projective k[G]-module cover $P(G, T_0)$ of T_0 , and let $\widetilde{\Psi}_t$ be the Brauer character of the projective k[G]-module cover $P(G, \widetilde{T}_t)$ of \widetilde{T}_t , $0 \le t \le (m-1)/2$. We have $1 + (\ell-1)/4$ additional Brauer characters of projective indecomposable k[G]-modules that are also irreducible: γ_1, γ_2 and $(\ell-5)/4$ characters η^G that are constructed from characters η of W with values

where η ranges over the characters of W that are not equal to their conjugate $\overline{\eta}$. Denote the corresponding projective indecomposable k[G]-modules by $P(G, \gamma_1)$, $P(G, \gamma_2)$ and $P(G, \eta^G)$, respectively.

If Φ_E is the Brauer character of the projective k[G]-module cover of the simple k[G]-module E and $\phi_{E'}$ is the Brauer character of the simple k[G]-module E', then

$$\langle \Phi_E, \phi_{E'} \rangle = \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{x \in G_3'} \Phi_E(x^{-1}) \phi_{E'}(x)$$

is the Kronecker symbol $\delta_{E,E'}$, where G'_3 denotes the 3-regular elements of G. Since

$$\Phi_E = \sum_{E'} C_{E',E} \; \phi_{E'}$$

where $C_{E',E}$ denotes the $(E',E)^{\text{th}}$ entry of the Cartan matrix and E' ranges over the simple k[G]-modules, we can find the multiplicities of Φ_E in $\widetilde{\beta}$ by computing $\langle \Phi_E, \widetilde{\beta} \rangle$ for all simple k[G]-modules E. For Φ_E belonging to blocks of maximal defect, we obtain:

$$\langle \Psi_0, \widetilde{\beta} \rangle = \frac{\ell - 5}{12};$$

$$\langle \widetilde{\Psi}_0, \widetilde{\beta} \rangle = \frac{(\ell - 5)(3^n + 1)}{24};$$

$$\langle \widetilde{\Psi}_t, \widetilde{\beta} \rangle = \frac{(\ell - 5)3^n}{12} \qquad (1 \le t \le (m - 1)/2).$$

For Φ_E belonging to blocks of defect 0, we get:

$$\langle \gamma_i, \widetilde{\beta} \rangle = \begin{cases} \frac{\ell - 17}{24} : \ell \equiv 1 \mod 8 \\ \frac{\ell - 5}{24} : \ell \equiv 5 \mod 8 \end{cases}$$
 $(i = 1, 2);$

(5.39)
$$\langle \eta^G, \widetilde{\beta} \rangle = \begin{cases} \frac{\ell - 5}{12} : \eta(s) = -1 \\ \frac{\ell - 17}{12} : \eta(s) = 1. \end{cases}$$

The Cartan matrix has the following form (see [7, §IV]):

$$\begin{pmatrix}
2 & 1 & & & & & & & & \\
1 & \frac{3^{n}+1}{2} & & & & & & & \\
& & 3^{n} & & & & & & \\
& & & & \ddots & & & & \\
& & & & & 1 & & \\
& & & & & \ddots & & \\
& & & & & \ddots & & \\
& & & & & & 1
\end{pmatrix}$$

where the 2 × 2 block in the top left corner corresponds to the principal block B_0 , the diagonal entries 3^n correspond to the blocks $B_1, \ldots, B_{(m-1)/2}$, and the remaining diagonal entries 1 correspond to the $1+(\ell-1)/4$ additional blocks of defect 0. This implies that

$$\widetilde{\beta} = \sum_{t=0}^{(m-1)/2} \frac{\ell - 5}{12} \, \widetilde{\Psi}_t + \langle \gamma_1, \widetilde{\beta} \rangle \, \gamma_1 + \langle \gamma_2, \widetilde{\beta} \rangle \, \gamma_2 + \sum_{\eta} \langle \eta^G, \widetilde{\beta} \rangle \, \eta^G.$$

Therefore, we have proved the following result:

Proposition 5.4.1. When $\ell \equiv 1 \mod 4$ and $\ell \equiv -1 \mod 3$, let $U_{\widetilde{T}_0,(3^{n-1}-1)/2}^{(G)}$ (resp. $U_{\widetilde{T}_t,3^{n-1}}^{(G)}$) denote the uniserial k[G]-module of length $(3^{n-1}-1)/2$ (resp. 3^{n-1}) with composition factors all isomorphic to \widetilde{T}_0 (resp. \widetilde{T}_t). In particular, if n=1 then $U_{\widetilde{T}_0,(3^{n-1}-1)/2}^{(G)}=0$. As a k[G]-module,

$$H^{0}(X, \Omega_{X}) \cong \bigoplus_{t=0}^{(m-1)/2} \frac{\ell - 5}{12} P(G, \widetilde{T}_{t}) \oplus \langle \gamma_{1}, \widetilde{\beta} \rangle P(G, \gamma_{1}) \oplus \langle \gamma_{2}, \widetilde{\beta} \rangle P(G, \gamma_{2}) \oplus \bigoplus_{\eta} \langle \eta^{G}, \widetilde{\beta} \rangle P(G, \eta^{G}) \oplus U_{\widetilde{T}_{0}, (3^{n-1}-1)/2}^{(G)} \oplus \bigoplus_{t=1}^{(m-1)/2} U_{\widetilde{T}_{t}, 3^{n-1}}^{(G)}$$

where $\langle \gamma_i, \widetilde{\beta} \rangle$ and $\langle \eta^G, \widetilde{\beta} \rangle$ are as in (5.38) and (5.39).

5.4.2. The k[G]-module structure of $H^0(X, \Omega_X)$ when $\ell \equiv -1 \mod 4$ and $\ell \equiv 1 \mod 3$. This is the case when $\epsilon = 1$ and $\ell \equiv -\epsilon \mod 4$. By (5.21), the non-projective indecomposable direct summands of $\operatorname{Res}_{N_{\ell}}^{G} H^0(X, \Omega_X)$ are again given as in (5.36).

We first determine the Green correspondents of these summands, using the information in $[7, \S V]$. There are 1+(m-1)/2 blocks of k[G] of maximal defect n, consisting of the principal block B_0 and (m-1)/2 blocks $B_1, \ldots, B_{(m-1)/2}$, and there are $1+(\ell+1)/4$ blocks of k[G] of defect 0. There are precisely two isomorphism classes of simple k[G]-modules that belong to B_0 , represented by the trivial simple k[G]-module T_0 and a simple k[G]-module T_0 of t-dimension t. For each $t \in \{1, \ldots, (m-1)/2\}$, there is precisely one isomorphism class of simple t of t-dimension t-dimensi

(5.40)
$$\widetilde{\delta}_t^*(e) = \ell + 1; \quad \widetilde{\delta}_t^*(r_1) = 1 = \widetilde{\delta}_t^*(r_2); \quad \widetilde{\delta}_t^*(s) = 0 = \widetilde{\delta}_t^*(w^j); \quad \widetilde{\delta}_t^*((v'')^i) = (\xi_m)^{ti} + (\xi_m)^{-ti}$$
 where ξ_m is a fixed primitive m^{th} root of unity.

As in §5.4.1, we determine the Green correspondents of the non-projective indecomposable direct summands of $\operatorname{Res}_{N_1}^G \operatorname{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)$, by using that there is a stable equivalence between the module categories of k[G] and $k[N_1]$. If n=1 then $U_{1,2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1}^{(N_1)}=U_{1,3^n}^{(N_1)}$ is a projective $k[N_1]$ -module. If n>1 then the Green correspondent of $U_{1,2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1}^{(N_1)}$ belongs to B_0 . Note that the Green correspondent of $S_0^{(N_1)}$ (resp. $S_1^{(N_1)}$) is T_0 (resp T_1). This means that the Green correspondent of $U_{1,2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1}^{(N_1)}$ is the uniserial k[G]-module of length $2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1$ whose socle is isomorphic to T_1 . For $1\leq t\leq (m-1)/2$, the Green correspondent of $\widetilde{U}_{t,2\cdot 3^{n-1}}^{(N_1)}$ belongs to the block B_t . Since $\ell+1\equiv 2 \mod 3^n$, it follows that the Green correspondent of $\widetilde{U}_{t,2\cdot 3^{n-1}}^{(N_1)}$ is a uniserial k[G]-module of length $2\cdot 3^{n-1}$ whose composition factors are all isomorphic to \widetilde{T}_t .

Next, we determine the Brauer character $\widetilde{\beta}$ of the largest projective direct summand of $H^0(X, \Omega_X)$. For i = 0, 1, let Ψ_i be the Brauer character of the projective k[G]-module cover $P(G, T_i)$ of T_i . Define $\widetilde{\beta}'$ to be the function on the 3-regular conjugacy classes of G such that

$$\widetilde{\beta} = \delta_{n,1} \, \Psi_1 + \widetilde{\beta}'.$$

Using (5.26) and (5.27), (5.28) - (5.31) and (5.40), we obtain

$$\widetilde{\beta}'(e) = (\ell - 1) \left(\frac{(\ell + 1)(\ell - 10)}{24} - 1 \right);$$

$$\widetilde{\beta}'(r_1) = 1 - \frac{5(\ell - 1)}{12} - \frac{h_{\ell}}{2} \sqrt{-\ell};$$

$$\widetilde{\beta}'(r_2) = 1 - \frac{5(\ell - 1)}{12} + \frac{h_{\ell}}{2} \sqrt{-\ell};$$

$$\widetilde{\beta}'(s) = 2 - \frac{\ell + 1}{4};$$

$$\widetilde{\beta}'(w^j) = 2 \quad (w^j \notin \{e, s\});$$

$$\widetilde{\beta}'((v'')^i) = 0 \quad ((v'')^i \neq e).$$

Let $\widetilde{\Psi}_t$ be the Brauer character of the projective k[G]-module cover $P(G, \widetilde{T}_t)$ of \widetilde{T}_t , $1 \le t \le (m-1)/2$. We have $1 + (\ell+1)/4$ additional Brauer characters of projective indecomposable k[G]-modules that are also irreducible: γ_1, γ_2 and $(\ell-3)/4$ characters η^G that are constructed from characters η of W with values

where η ranges over the characters of W that are not equal to their conjugate $\overline{\eta}$. Denote the corresponding projective indecomposable k[G]-modules by $P(G, \gamma_1)$, $P(G, \gamma_2)$ and $P(G, \eta^G)$, respectively.

Similarly to §5.4.1, using the Cartan matrix given in [7, §V], we get

$$\widetilde{\beta}' = \frac{\ell - 19}{12} \Psi_1 + \sum_{t=1}^{(m-1)/2} \frac{\ell - 19}{12} \widetilde{\Psi}_t + \langle \gamma_1, \widetilde{\beta}' \rangle \gamma_1 + \langle \gamma_2, \widetilde{\beta}' \rangle \gamma_2 + \sum_{t=1}^{n} \langle \eta^G, \widetilde{\beta}' \rangle \eta^G$$

where

(5.41)
$$\langle \gamma_1, \widetilde{\beta}' \rangle = \begin{cases} \frac{\ell - 7}{24} - \frac{h_{\ell}}{2} & : \ell \equiv 3 \mod 8 \\ \frac{\ell + 5}{24} - \frac{h_{\ell}}{2} & : \ell \equiv 7 \mod 8; \end{cases}$$

(5.42)
$$\langle \gamma_2, \widetilde{\beta}' \rangle = \begin{cases} \frac{\ell - 7}{24} + \frac{h_{\ell}}{2} & : \ell \equiv 3 \mod 8 \\ \frac{\ell + 5}{24} + \frac{h_{\ell}}{2} & : \ell \equiv 7 \mod 8; \end{cases}$$

(5.43)
$$\langle \eta^G, \widetilde{\beta}' \rangle = \begin{cases} \frac{\ell - 7}{12} & : & \eta(s) = -1, \\ \frac{\ell + 5}{12} & : & \eta(s) = 1. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, we have proved the following result:

Proposition 5.4.2. When $\ell \equiv -1 \mod 4$ and $\ell \equiv 1 \mod 3$, let $U_{T_1,2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1}^{(G)}$ (resp. $U_{\widetilde{T}_t,2\cdot 3^{n-1}}^{(G)}$) denote the uniserial k[G]-module of length $2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1$ (resp. $2\cdot 3^{n-1}$) whose socle is isomorphic to T_1 (resp. whose composition factors all isomorphic to \widetilde{T}_t). In particular, if n=1 then $U_{T_1,2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1}^{(G)}=P(G,T_1)$ is a projective

 $indecomposable \ k[G]-module. \ As \ a \ k[G]-module,$

$$H^{0}(X,\Omega_{X}) \cong \left(\frac{\ell-19}{12} + \delta_{n,1}\right) P(G,T_{1}) \oplus \bigoplus_{t=1}^{(m-1)/2} \frac{\ell-19}{12} P(G,\widetilde{T}_{t}) \oplus \left\langle \gamma_{1},\widetilde{\beta}' \right\rangle P(G,\gamma_{1}) \oplus \left\langle \gamma_{2},\widetilde{\beta}' \right\rangle P(G,\gamma_{2}) \oplus \bigoplus_{\eta} \left\langle \eta^{G},\widetilde{\beta}' \right\rangle P(G,\eta^{G}) \oplus \left(1-\delta_{n,1}\right) U_{T_{1},2\cdot3^{n-1}+1}^{(G)} \oplus \bigoplus_{t=1}^{(m-1)/2} U_{\widetilde{T}_{t},2\cdot3^{n-1}}^{(G)}$$

where $\langle \gamma_1, \widetilde{\beta}' \rangle$, $\langle \gamma_2, \widetilde{\beta}' \rangle$ and $\langle \eta^G, \widetilde{\beta}' \rangle$ are as in (5.41), (5.42) and (5.43).

5.4.3. The k[G]-module structure of $H^0(X, \Omega_X)$ when $\ell \equiv 1 \mod 4$ and $\ell \equiv 1 \mod 3$. This is the case when $\epsilon = 1$ and $\ell \equiv \epsilon \mod 4$. By (5.22), the non-projective indecomposable direct summands of $\operatorname{Res}_{N_1}^G H^0(X, \Omega_X)$ are given by

$$(5.44) U_{1,1,2\cdot3^{n-1}+1}^{(N_1)} \oplus U_{0,1,2\cdot3^{n-1}}^{(N_1)} \oplus \bigoplus_{t=1}^{m/2-1} \widetilde{U}_{t,2\cdot3^{n-1}}^{(N_1)}.$$

We first determine the Green correspondents of these summands of $\operatorname{Res}_{N_1}^G \operatorname{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)$, using the information in [7, §III]. There are 1+(m/2) blocks of k[G] of maximal defect n, consisting of the principal block B_{00} , another block B_{01} and (m/2-1) blocks $B_1,\ldots,B_{(m/2-1)}$. Moreover, there are $(\ell-1)/4$ blocks of k[G] of defect 0. There are precisely two isomorphism classes of simple k[G]-modules that belong to B_{00} (resp. B_{01}), represented by the trivial simple k[G]-module $T_{0,0}$ and a simple k[G]-module $T_{1,1}$ of k-dimension ℓ (resp. by two simple k[G]-modules $T_{0,1}$ and $T_{1,0}$ of k-dimension $(\ell+1)/2$). For each $t \in \{1,\ldots,(m/2-1)\}$, there is precisely one isomorphism class of simple k[G]-modules belonging to B_t , represented by a simple k[G]-module \widetilde{T}_t of k-dimension $\ell+1$. Note that the Brauer character of \widetilde{T}_t , $1 \le t \le (m/2-1)$, is the restriction to the 3-regular classes of the ordinary irreducible character $\widetilde{\delta}_t^*$, $1 \le t \le (m/2-1)$, with the following values:

$$(5.45) \widetilde{\delta}_{t}^{*}(e) = \ell + 1; \quad \widetilde{\delta}_{t}^{*}(r_{1}) = 1 = \widetilde{\delta}_{t}^{*}(r_{2}); \quad \widetilde{\delta}_{t}^{*}((v'')^{i}) = (\xi_{m})^{ti} + (\xi_{m})^{-ti}; \quad \widetilde{\delta}_{t}^{*}(w^{j}) = 0$$

where ξ_m is a fixed primitive m^{th} root of unity and we allow i = m/2, which gives us $\widetilde{\delta}_t^*(s) = 2(-1)^t$.

As in the previous subsections, we determine the Green correspondents of the non-projective indecomposable direct summands of $\operatorname{Res}_{N_1}^G \operatorname{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)$, by using that there is a stable equivalence between the module categories of k[G] and $k[N_1]$. If n=1 then $U_{1,1,2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1}^{(N_1)}=U_{1,1,3^n}^{(N_1)}$ is a projective $k[N_1]$ -module. If n>1 then the Green correspondent of $U_{1,1,2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1}^{(N_1)}$ belongs to B_{00} . Note that the Green correspondent of $S_{0,0}^{(N_1)}$ (resp. $S_{1,1}^{(N_1)}$) is $T_{0,0}$ (resp $T_{1,1}$). This means that the Green correspondent of $U_{1,1,2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1}^{(N_1)}$ is the uniserial k[G]-module of length $2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1$ whose socle is isomorphic to $T_{1,1}$. On the other hand, the Green correspondent of $S_{0,1}^{(N_1)}$ is one of $T_{0,1}$ or $T_{1,0}$. We relabel the simple k[G]-modules, if necessary, to be able to assume that the Green correspondent of $S_{0,1}^{(N_1)}$ (resp. $S_{1,0}^{(N_1)}$) is $T_{0,1}$ (resp $T_{1,0}$). This means that the Green correspondent of $U_{0,1,2\cdot 3^{n-1}}^{(N_1)}$ is the uniserial k[G]-module of length $2\cdot 3^{n-1}$ whose socle is isomorphic to $T_{0,1}$. For $1 \leq t \leq (m/2-1)$, the Green correspondent of $\widetilde{U}_{t,2\cdot 3^{n-1}}^{(N_1)}$ belongs to the block B_t . Since $\ell+1\equiv 2$ mod 3^n , it follows that the Green correspondent of $\widetilde{U}_{t,2\cdot 3^{n-1}}^{(N_1)}$ is a uniserial k[G]-module of length $2\cdot 3^{n-1}$ whose composition factors are all isomorphic to \widetilde{T}_t .

Next, we determine the Brauer character $\widetilde{\beta}$ of the largest projective direct summand of $H^0(X, \Omega_X)$. For $i, j \in \{0, 1\}$, let $\Psi_{i,j}$ be the Brauer character of the projective k[G]-module cover $P(G, T_{i,j})$ of $T_{i,j}$. Define

 $\widetilde{\beta}'$ to be the function on the 3-regular conjugacy classes of G such that

$$\widetilde{\beta} = \delta_{n,1} \Psi_{1,1} + \widetilde{\beta}'.$$

Using (5.24), (5.32) - (5.35) and (5.45), we obtain

$$\widetilde{\beta}'(e) = (\ell - 1) \left(\frac{(\ell + 1)(\ell - 10)}{24} - 1 \right);$$

$$\widetilde{\beta}'(r_i) = 1 - \frac{5(\ell - 1)}{12} (i = 1, 2);$$

$$\widetilde{\beta}'(s) = -\frac{\ell - 1}{4};$$

$$\widetilde{\beta}'((v'')^i) = 0 ((v'')^i \notin \{e, s\});$$

$$\widetilde{\beta}'(w^j) = 2 (w^j \neq e).$$

Let $\widetilde{\Psi}_t$ be the Brauer character of the projective k[G]-module cover $P(G, \widetilde{T}_t)$ of \widetilde{T}_t , $1 \leq t \leq (m/2 - 1)$. We have $(\ell - 1)/4$ additional Brauer characters η^G of projective indecomposable k[G]-modules that are constructed from characters η of W with values

$$\eta^G(e) = \ell - 1; \quad \eta^G(r_1) = -1 = \eta^G(r_2); \quad \eta^G(s) = 0 = \eta^G((v'')^i); \quad \eta^G(w^j) = -(\eta(w^j) + \overline{\eta}(w^j))$$

where η ranges over the characters of W that are not equal to their conjugate $\overline{\eta}$. Denote the corresponding projective indecomposable k[G]-modules by $P(G, \eta^G)$.

Similarly to the previous subsections, using the Cartan matrix given in [7, §III], we get

$$\widetilde{\beta}' = \frac{\ell - 25}{12} \Psi_{1,1} + \frac{\ell - 19 - 6(-1)^{m/2}}{24} (\Psi_{0,1} + \Psi_{1,0}) + \sum_{t=1}^{m/2-1} \frac{\ell - 19 - 6(-1)^t}{12} \widetilde{\Psi}_t + \sum_{t=1}^{m/2} \frac{\ell - 1}{12} \eta^G.$$

Therefore, we have proved the following result:

Proposition 5.4.3. When $\ell \equiv 1 \mod 4$ and $\ell \equiv 1 \mod 3$, let $U_{T_{1,1},2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1}^{(G)}$ (resp. $U_{T_{0,1},2\cdot 3^{n-1}}^{(G)}$) denote the uniserial k[G]-module of length $2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1$ (resp. $2\cdot 3^{n-1}$) whose socle is isomorphic to $T_{1,1}$ (resp. $T_{0,1}$). In particular, if n=1 then $U_{T_{1,1},2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1}^{(G)}=P(G,T_{1,1})$ is a projective indecomposable k[G]-module. Let $U_{\widetilde{T}_{\ell},2\cdot 3^{n-1}}^{(G)}$ denote the uniserial k[G]-module of length $2\cdot 3^{n-1}$ whose composition factors all isomorphic to \widetilde{T}_{t} . As a k[G]-module,

$$H^{0}(X, \Omega_{X}) \cong \left(\frac{\ell - 25}{12} + \delta_{n,1}\right) P(G, T_{1,1}) \oplus \frac{\ell - 19 - 6(-1)^{m/2}}{24} \left(P(G, T_{0,1}) \oplus P(G, T_{1,0})\right) \oplus \bigoplus_{t=1}^{m/2-1} \frac{\ell - 19 - 6(-1)^{t}}{12} P(G, \widetilde{T}_{t}) \oplus \bigoplus_{\eta} \frac{\ell - 1}{12} P(G, \eta^{G}) \oplus \left(1 - \delta_{n,1}\right) U_{T_{1,1}, 2 \cdot 3^{n-1} + 1}^{(G)} \oplus U_{T_{0,1}, 2 \cdot 3^{n-1}}^{(G)} \oplus \bigoplus_{t=1}^{m/2-1} U_{\widetilde{T}_{t}, 2 \cdot 3^{n-1}}^{(G)}.$$

5.4.4. The k[G]-module structure of $H^0(X, \Omega_X)$ when $\ell \equiv -1 \mod 4$ and $\ell \equiv -1 \mod 3$. This is the case when $\epsilon = -1$ and $\ell \equiv \epsilon \mod 4$. By (5.22), the non-projective indecomposable direct summands of $\operatorname{Res}_{N_1}^G H^0(X, \Omega_X)$ are again given as in (5.44).

We first determine the Green correspondents of the non-projective indecomposable direct summands of $\operatorname{Res}_{N_1}^G \operatorname{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)$, using the information in [7, §VI]. There are 1+(m/2) blocks of k[G] of maximal defect n, consisting of the principal block B_{00} , another block B_{01} and (m/2-1) blocks $B_1,\ldots,B_{(m/2-1)}$. Moreover, there are $(\ell-3)/4$ blocks of k[G] of defect 0. There are precisely two isomorphism classes of simple k[G]-modules that belong to B_{00} (resp. B_{01}), represented by the trivial simple k[G]-module T_0 and a

simple k[G]-module \widetilde{T}_0 of k-dimension $\ell-1$ (resp. by two simple k[G]-modules $T_{0,1}$ and $T_{1,0}$ of k-dimension $(\ell-1)/2$). For each $t \in \{1, \ldots, (m/2-1)\}$, there is precisely one isomorphism class of simple k[G]-modules belonging to B_t , represented by a simple k[G]-module \widetilde{T}_t of k-dimension $\ell-1$. Note that the Brauer character of \widetilde{T}_t , $0 \le t \le (m/2-1)$, is the restriction to the 3-regular classes of the ordinary irreducible character $\widetilde{\delta}_t^*$, $0 \le t \le (m/2-1)$, with the following values:

$$(5.46) \widetilde{\delta}_{t}^{*}(e) = \ell - 1; \quad \widetilde{\delta}_{t}^{*}(r_{1}) = -1 = \widetilde{\delta}_{t}^{*}(r_{2}); \quad \widetilde{\delta}_{t}^{*}((v'')^{i}) = -((\xi_{m})^{ti} + (\xi_{m})^{-ti}); \quad \widetilde{\delta}_{t}^{*}(w^{j}) = 0$$

where ξ_m is a fixed primitive m^{th} root of unity and we allow i=m/2, which gives us $\widetilde{\delta}_t^*(s)=-2\,(-1)^t$.

As in the previous subsections, we determine the Green correspondents of the non-projective indecomposable direct summands of $\operatorname{Res}_{N_1}^G H^0(X,\Omega_X)$, by using that there is a stable equivalence between the module categories of k[G] and $k[N_1]$. If n=1 then $U_{1,1,2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1}^{(N_1)} = U_{1,1,3^n}^{(N_1)}$ is a projective $k[N_1]$ -module. If n>1 then the Green correspondent of $U_{1,1,2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1}^{(N_1)}$ belongs to B_{00} . Since the Green correspondent of $S_0^{(N_1)}$ is T_0 , it follows that the Green correspondent of $S_1^{(N_1)}$ is a uniserial k[G]-module of length $(3^n-1)/2$ whose composition factors are all isomorphic to \widetilde{T}_0 . We now follow the irreducible homomorphisms in the stable Auslander-Reiten quiver of B_{00} starting with the Green correspondent of $S_1^{(N_1)}$ to arrive, after $2\cdot 3^{n-1}$ such morphisms, at a uniserial k[G]-module of length $(3^{n-1}-1)/2$ whose composition factors are all isomorphic to \widetilde{T}_0 . This must be the Green correspondent of $U_{1,1,2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1}^{(N_1)}$. On the other hand, the Green correspondent of $U_{0,1,2\cdot 3^{n-1}}^{(N_1)}$ belongs to the block B_{01} . Since $(\ell-1)/2\equiv -1\mod 3^n$, it follows that the Green correspondent of $U_{0,1,2\cdot 3^{n-1}}^{(N_1)}$ is a uniserial k[G]-module of length 3^{n-1} whose socle is isomorphic to either $T_{0,1}$ or $T_{1,0}$. By relabeling the simple k[G]-modules, if necessary, we are able to assume that the socle of the Green correspondent of $U_{0,1,2\cdot 3^{n-1}}^{(N_1)}$ is isomorphic to $T_{0,1}$. Note that the Brauer characters of $T_{0,1}$ and $T_{1,0}$ only differ with respect to their values at the elements of order ℓ in G. Since we have already chosen a square root of $-\ell$ to obtain (5.26) and (5.27), we let $s_{01} \in \{\pm 1\}$ be such that the Brauer character $\beta(T_{0,1})$ satsfies

(5.47)
$$\beta(T_{0,1})(r_1) = \frac{-1 + s_{01}\sqrt{-\ell}}{2}.$$

For $1 \leq t \leq (m/2-1)$, the Green correspondent of $\widetilde{U}_{t,2\cdot 3^{n-1}}^{(N_1)}$ belongs to the block B_t . Since $\ell-1 \equiv -2 \mod 3^n$, it follows that the Green correspondent of $\widetilde{U}_{t,2\cdot 3^{n-1}}^{(N_1)}$ is a uniserial k[G]-module of length 3^{n-1} whose composition factors are all isomorphic to \widetilde{T}_t .

Next, we determine the Brauer character $\widetilde{\beta}$ of the largest projective direct summand of $H^0(X, \Omega_X)$. Since $(3^{n-1}-1)/2=0$ when n=1, we do not need to distinguish between the cases n=1 and n>1. Using (5.26) and (5.27), (5.32) - (5.35), (5.46) and (5.47), we obtain

$$\widetilde{\beta}(e) = 1 + \frac{(\ell - 1)(\ell^2 - 7\ell + 4)}{24};$$

$$\widetilde{\beta}(r_1) = -\frac{\ell - 5}{6} - \frac{h_\ell + s_{01}}{2} \sqrt{-\ell};$$

$$\widetilde{\beta}(r_2) = -\frac{\ell - 5}{6} + \frac{h_\ell + s_{01}}{2} \sqrt{-\ell};$$

$$\widetilde{\beta}(s) = -\frac{\ell + 1}{4};$$

$$\widetilde{\beta}((v'')^i) = 0 \quad ((v'')^i \notin \{e, s\});$$

$$\widetilde{\beta}(w^j) = 1 \quad (w^j \neq e).$$

Let Ψ_0 be the Brauer character of the projective k[G]-module cover $P(G, T_0)$ of T_0 . For $\{i, j\} = \{0, 1\}$, let $\Psi_{i,j}$ be the Brauer character of the projective k[G]-module cover $P(G, T_{i,j})$ of $T_{i,j}$. Let $\widetilde{\Psi}_t$ be the Brauer character of the projective k[G]-module cover $P(G, \widetilde{T}_t)$ of \widetilde{T}_t , $0 \le t \le (m/2 - 1)$. We have $(\ell - 3)/4$

additional Brauer characters η^G of projective indecomposable k[G]-modules that are also irreducible and that are constructed from characters η of W with values

$$\eta^G(e) = \ell + 1; \quad \eta^G(r_1) = 1 = \eta^G(r_2); \quad \eta^G(s) = 0 = \eta^G((v'')^i); \quad \eta^G(w^j) = \eta(w^j) + \overline{\eta}(w^j)$$

where η ranges over the characters of W that are not equal to their conjugate $\overline{\eta}$. Denote the corresponding projective indecomposable k[G]-modules by $P(G, \eta^G)$.

Similarly to the previous subsections, using the Cartan matrix given in [7, §VI], we get

$$\widetilde{\beta} = \frac{\ell+1}{12} \widetilde{\Psi}_0 + \left(\frac{(\ell-5+6(-1)^{m/2})}{24} - \frac{s_{01}h_\ell+1}{2} \right) \Psi_{0,1} + \left(\frac{(\ell-5+6(-1)^{m/2})}{24} + \frac{s_{01}h_\ell+1}{2} \right) \Psi_{1,0} + \sum_{t=1}^{m/2-1} \frac{(\ell-5+6(-1)^t)}{12} \widetilde{\Psi}_t + \sum_{\eta} \frac{\ell-11}{12} \eta^G.$$

Therefore, we have proved the following result:

Proposition 5.4.4. When $\ell \equiv -1 \mod 4$ and $\ell \equiv -1 \mod 3$, let $U_{\widetilde{T}_0,(3^{n-1}-1)/2}^{(G)}$ (resp. $U_{\widetilde{T}_t,3^{n-1}}^{(G)}$) denote the uniserial k[G]-module of length $(3^{n-1}-1)/2$ (resp. 3^{n-1}) whose composition factors are all isomorphic to \widetilde{T}_0 (resp. \widetilde{T}_t). In particular, if n=1 then $U_{\widetilde{T}_0,(3^{n-1}-1)/2}^{(G)}=0$. Let $U_{T_{0,1},3^{n-1}}^{(G)}$ denote the uniserial k[G]-module of length 3^{n-1} whose socle is isomorphic to $T_{0,1}$. As a k[G]-module,

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X) &\cong \frac{\ell+1}{12} \, P(G,\widetilde{T}_0) \oplus \left(\frac{(\ell-5+6(-1)^{m/2})}{24} - \frac{s_{01}h_\ell+1}{2} \right) \, P(G,T_{0,1}) \oplus \\ & \left(\frac{(\ell-5+6(-1)^{m/2})}{24} + \frac{s_{01}h_\ell+1}{2} \right) \, P(G,T_{1,0})) \oplus \\ & \bigoplus_{t=1}^{m/2-1} \frac{(\ell-5+6(-1)^t)}{12} \, P(G,\widetilde{T}_t) \oplus \bigoplus_{\eta} \frac{\ell-11}{12} \, P(G,\eta^G) \oplus \\ & U_{\widetilde{T}_0,(3^{n-1}-1)/2}^{(G)} \oplus U_{T_{0,1},3^{n-1}}^{(G)} \oplus \bigoplus_{t=1}^{m/2-1} U_{\widetilde{T}_t,3^{n-1}}^{(G)}. \end{split}$$

Remark 5.4.5. The sign s_{01} from (5.47) depends on the relationship between the socle of the Green correspondent of $T_{0,1}$ and the values of the Brauer character of $T_{0,1}$ on elements of order ℓ . As in Theorem 1.4, let H_1 and H_2 be representatives of the two conjugacy classes of subgroups of G that are isomorphic to Σ_3 . By our definition of Δ_1 and Δ_2 in §5.1.2, we can choose $H_1 \leq \Delta_1$ and $H_2 \leq \Delta_2$. Recalling our definition of $S_{0,1}^{(N_1)}$, we see that the restriction of $T_{0,1}$ to H_1 (resp. H_2) is the direct sum of a 2-dimensional uniserial module whose socle is the trivial simple module (resp. the simple module corresponding to the sign character) and a projective module.

Since the Brauer character of a 2-dimensional uniserial module for Σ_3 in characteristic 3 does not determine its isomorphism class, it is not so easy to connect the two possibilities of square roots of $-\ell$ going into the values of the Brauer characters of $H^0(X, \Omega_X)$ and of $T_{0,1}$ at elements of order ℓ .

We do not have a formula in general for s_{01} when $\ell \equiv -1 \mod 12$. But, for example, if $\ell = 11$ then $h_{\ell} = 1$ and m = 2, which means that the multiplicity of $P(G, T_{0,1})$ in $H^0(X, \Omega_X)$ is equal to $-(s_{01} + 1)/2$. Since this number must be non-negative, it follows that $s_{01} = -1$ when $\ell = 11$.

5.5. **Proof of Theorem** 1.4. Part (i) of Theorem 1.4 follows directly from Propositions 5.4.1 - 5.4.4. For part (ii), we notice that the maximal ideal \mathcal{P}_3 of A containing 3 corresponds uniquely to a place v of F over 3. In other words, $k(\mathcal{P}_3) = k(v)$. Let k_1 be a perfect field containing k(v) and let k be an algebraic closure of k_1 . Define $X_1 = k_1 \otimes_{k(v)} \mathcal{X}_v(\ell)$ where $\mathcal{X}_v(\ell)$ is as in (4.1). In particular, $X = X_3(\ell) = k \otimes_{k_1} X_1$.

Note that there exists a finite Galois extension k'_1 of k_1 such that $k'_1 \subseteq k$ and such that the primitive central idempotents of k[G] lie in $k'_1[G]$. This can be seen as follows. By the Theorem on Lifting Idempotents (see [10, Thm. (6.7) and Prop. (56.7)]), each primitive central idempotent e of k[G] can be lifted to a primitive central idempotent \hat{e} of W(k)[G] when W(k) is the ring of infinite Witt vectors over k. If F(k) is the fraction field of W(k) and $\overline{F(k)}$ is an algebraic closure of F(k), then we can use the formula for the primitive central idempotents of $\overline{F(k)}[G]$ (see [10, Prop. (9.21)]) to see that \hat{e} has coefficients in a cyclotomic extension of \mathbb{Q}_3 . This implies that \hat{e} has coefficients in the intersection of the maximal cyclotomic extension of \mathbb{Q}_3 and W(k). Therefore, \hat{e} has coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_3[\hat{\xi}]$ for some root of unity $\hat{\xi}$ whose order is relatively prime to 3. But this means that there exists a root ξ of unity in k whose order is relatively prime to 3 such that e lies in $k_1(\xi)[G]$. Since $k_1(\xi)$ is finite Galois over k_1 , we can take $k'_1 = k_1(\xi)$.

Let now k_2 be a finite field extension of k'_1 such that $k_2 \subseteq k$ and such that all the indecomposable k[G]modules occurring in the decomposition of $H^0(X,\Omega_X)$ are realizable over k_2 . Letting $X_2 = k_2 \otimes_{k_1} X_1$, we
obtain from Propositions 5.4.1 - 5.4.4 that the $k_2[G]$ -module $H^0(X_2,\Omega_{X_2})$ is a direct sum over blocks B_2 of $k_2[G]$ of modules of the form $P_{B_2} \oplus U_{B_2}$ in which P_{B_2} is a projective B_2 -module and U_{B_2} is either the zero
module or a single indecomposable non-projective B_2 -module. Moreover, one can determine P_{B_2} and U_{B_2} from the ramification data associated to the cover $X \longrightarrow X/G$. We have

$$k_2 \otimes_{k_1} \mathrm{H}^0(X_1, \Omega_{X_1}) \cong \mathrm{H}^0(X_2, \Omega_{X_2})$$

as $k_2[G]$ -modules, and

$$H^0(X_2, \Omega_{X_2}) \cong H^0(X_1, \Omega_{X_1})^{[k_2:k_1]}$$

as $k_1[G]$ -modules. Therefore, it follows from the Krull-Schmidt-Azumaya theorem that the decomposition of $H^0(X_1, \Omega_{X_1})$ into indecomposable $k_1[G]$ -modules is uniquely determined by the decomposition of $H^0(X_2, \Omega_{X_2})$ into indecomposable $k_2[G]$ -modules.

Consider next a block B_1 of $k_1[G]$ corresponding to a primitive central idempotent ϵ_1 . Then ϵ_1 is a sum of primitive central idempotents in $k_2[G]$

$$\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_{2.1} + \cdots + \epsilon_{2.l}$$

corresponding to blocks $B_{2,1}, \ldots, B_{2,l}$ of $k_2[G]$. Moreover, we have seen above that $\epsilon_{2,1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{2,l}$ lie in $k'_1[G]$ where k'_1 is a finite Galois extension of k_1 . In particular, this means that $Gal(k'_1/k_1)$ acts transitively on $\{\epsilon_{2,1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{2,l}\}$. Since every element in $Gal(k'_1/k_1)$ can be extended to an automorphism in $Aut(k_2/k_1)$, this means in particular that $Aut(k_2/k_1)$ acts transitively on $\{\epsilon_{2,1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{2,l}\}$.

Suppose the B_1 -module $\epsilon_1 \operatorname{H}^0(X_1, \Omega_{X_1})$ is a direct sum of a projective B_1 -module together with a direct sum of non-zero indecomposable B_1 -modules $U_{B_1,1}, \ldots, U_{B_1,t}$. We need to show that $t \leq 1$. Suppose t > 1. For all $1 \leq j \leq t$, we have

$$k_2 \otimes_{k_1} U_{B_1,j} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^l \epsilon_{2,i} (k_2 \otimes_{k_1} U_{B_1,j}).$$

Since this $k_2[G]$ -module is non-zero and since $\operatorname{Aut}(k_2/k_1)$ acts transitively on $\{\epsilon_{2,1},\ldots,\epsilon_{2,l}\}$, it follows that the $k_2[G]$ -module $\epsilon_{2,i}$ ($k_2 \otimes_{k_1} U_{B_1,j}$) is a non-zero $B_{2,i}$ -module for all $1 \leq i \leq l$. Since we have already seen above that $\epsilon_{2,i} \operatorname{H}^0(X_2,\Omega_{X_2})$ is a direct sum of a projective $B_{2,i}$ -module with at most one other non-projective indecomposable $B_{2,i}$ -module, it follows that $t \leq 1$. Note moreover, that the restriction of each projective indecomposable $B_{2,i}$ -module to a $k_1[G]$ -module is a projective B_1 -module. In other words, the $k_1[G]$ -module $\operatorname{H}^0(X_1,\Omega_{X_1})$ is a direct sum over blocks B_1 of $k_1[G]$ of modules of the form $P_{B_1} \oplus U_{B_1}$ in which P_{B_1} is a projective B_1 -module and U_{B_1} is either the zero module or a single indecomposable non-projective

 B_1 -module. Moreover, P_{B_1} and U_{B_1} are determined by the decomposition of

$$k_2 \otimes_{k_1} \epsilon_1 \operatorname{H}^0(X_1, \Omega_{X_1}) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^l \epsilon_{2,i} \operatorname{H}^0(X_2, \Omega_{X_2})$$

and we know from our discussion above that for all $1 \le i \le l$,

$$\epsilon_{2,i} \operatorname{H}^{0}(X_{2}, \Omega_{X_{2}}) = P_{B_{2,i}} \oplus U_{B_{2,i}}.$$

It follows that one can determine P_{B_1} and U_{B_1} from the modules $P_{B_{2,i}}$ and $U_{B_{2,i}}$ for $1 \le i \le l$. Therefore, one can determine P_{B_1} and U_{B_1} from the ramification data associated to the cover $X \longrightarrow X/G$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.4.

5.6. **Proof of Theorems** 1.2 **and** 1.3 **when** p = 3. Fix a place v of F over 3, and define $M_{\mathcal{O}_{F,v}}$ to be the $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}[G]$ -module

$$M_{\mathcal{O}_{F,v}} = \mathcal{O}_{F,v} \otimes_A \mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{X}(\ell), \Omega_{\mathcal{X}(\ell)})$$

which is flat over $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}$. Note that the residue fields $k(v) = A/\mathcal{P}_v$ and $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}/\mathfrak{m}_{F,v}$ coincide. Define

$$X_v = \mathcal{X}_v(\ell) = k(v) \otimes_A \mathcal{X}(\ell).$$

Then $M_{\mathcal{O}_{F,v}}$ is a lift of the k(v)[G]-module $H^0(X_v, \Omega_{X_v})$ over $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}$. As in (4.2), let $X = X_3(\ell)$ be the reduction of $\mathcal{X}(\ell)$ modulo 3 over $k = \overline{k(v)} = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. In other words, $X = k \otimes_{k(v)} X_v$ and $H^0(X, \Omega_X) = k \otimes_{k_v} H^0(X_v, \Omega_{X_v})$ as k[G]-modules. Since $H^0(X, \Omega_X) = \{0\}$ for $\ell < 7$, we can assume that $\ell \geq 7$.

The proof of Theorem 1.2 when p=3 follows now the same argumentation as in the case when p>3, where we use Propositions 5.4.1 - 5.4.4 and part (ii) of Theorem 1.4 instead of Lemma 4.2. In particular, we obtain that $M_{\mathcal{O}_{F,v}}$ is a direct sum over blocks B of $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}[G]$ of modules of the form $P_B \oplus U_B$ in which P_B is projective and U_B is either the zero module or a single indecomposable non-projective B-module. Define $M_B = P_B \oplus U_B$.

To prove Theorem 1.3 when p=3, we assume now that F contains a root of unity of order equal to the prime to 3 part of the order of G. Let \mathfrak{a} be the maximal ideal over 3 in A associated to v, so that \mathfrak{a} corresponds to the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{F,v}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}$. Since for different blocks B and B' of $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}[G]$, there are no non-trivial congruences modulo $\mathfrak{m}_{F,v}$ between M_B and $M_{B'}$ and since for a fixed block B of $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}[G]$, there are no non-trivial congruences modulo $\mathfrak{m}_{F,v}$ between P_B and P_B , the proof of Theorem 1.3 when $P_B=3$ follows now the same argumentation as in the case when $P_B=3$.

References

- [1] J. L. Alperin. Local representation theory, volume 11 of Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986. Modular representations as an introduction to the local representation theory of finite groups.
- [2] M. Auslander, I. Reiten, and S. O. Smalø. Representation theory of Artin algebras, volume 36 of Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997. Corrected reprint of the 1995 original.
- [3] P. Bending, A. Camina, and R. Guralnick. Automorphisms of the modular curve. In *Progress in Galois theory*, volume 12 of *Dev. Math.*, pages 25–37. Springer, New York, 2005.
- [4] D. J. Benson. Representations and cohomology. I, volume 30 of Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 1998. Basic representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras.
- [5] N. Borne. Cohomology of G-sheaves in positive characteristic. Adv. Math., 201(2):454-515, 2006.
- [6] H. Boseck. Zur Theorie der Weierstrasspunkte. Math. Nachr., 19:29-63, 1958.
- [7] R. Burkhardt. Die Zerlegungsmatrizen der Gruppen PSL(2, p^f). J. Algebra, 40(1):75–96, 1976.
- [8] C. Chevalley, A. Weil, and E. Hecke. Über das Verhalten der Integrale 1. Gattung bei Automorphismen des Funktionenkörpers. Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg, 10(1):358–361, 1934.
- [9] C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner. Methods of representation theory. Vol. I. Pure and Applied Mathematics (New York). John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1981. With applications to finite groups and orders, A Wiley-Interscience Publication.

- [10] C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner. Methods of representation theory. Vol. II. Pure and Applied Mathematics (New York). John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1987. With applications to finite groups and orders, A Wiley-Interscience Publication.
- [11] E. C. Dade. Blocks with cyclic defect groups. Ann. of Math. (2), 84:20-48, 1966.
- [12] F. Diamond. Congruences between modular forms: raising the level and dropping Euler factors. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 94(21):11143–11146, 1997. Elliptic curves and modular forms (Washington, DC, 1996).
- [13] L. V. Dieulefait, J. Jiménez Urroz, and K. A. Ribet. Modular forms with large coefficient fields via congruences. *Res. Number Theory*, 1:Art. 2, 14, 2015.
- [14] E. Hecke. Über ein Fundamentalproblem aus der Theorie der elliptischen Modulfunktionen. Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg, 6(1):235–257, 1928.
- [15] G. Hiss. A converse to the Fong-Swan-Isaacs theorem. J. Algebra, 111(1):279-290, 1987.
- [16] B. Huppert. Endliche Gruppen. I. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1967. Die Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 134.
- [17] J. Igusa. Kroneckerian model of fields of elliptic modular functions. Amer. J. Math., 81:561-577, 1959.
- [18] E. Kani. The Galois-module structure of the space of holomorphic differentials of a curve. J. Reine Angew. Math., 367:187–206, 1986.
- [19] S. Karanikolopoulos and A. Kontogeorgis. Representation of cyclic groups in positive characteristic and Weierstrass semi-groups. J. Number Theory, 133(1):158–175, 2013.
- [20] N. M. Katz and B. Mazur. Arithmetic moduli of elliptic curves. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1985.
- [21] S. Marques and K. Ward. Holomorphic differentials of solvable galois towers of curves over a perfect field. Preprint, arXiv:1507.07023v2, 2015.
- [22] C. Moreno. Algebraic Curves Over Finite Fields. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 1993.
- [23] S. Nakajima. Galois module structure of cohomology groups for tamely ramified coverings of algebraic varieties. J. Number Theory, 22(1):115–123, 1986.
- [24] K. A. Ribet. Congruence relations between modular forms. In Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. 1, 2 (Warsaw, 1983), pages 503-514. PWN, Warsaw, 1984.
- [25] M. Rzedowski-Calderón, G. Villa-Salvador, and M. L. Madan. Galois module structure of holomorphic differentials in characteristic p. Arch. Math. (Basel), 66(2):150–156, 1996.
- [26] R. C. Valentini and M. L. Madan. Automorphisms and holomorphic differentials in characteristic p. J. Number Theory, 13(1):106–115, 1981.
- [27] L. C. Washington. Introduction to cyclotomic fields, volume 83 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.
 - $F.B.: \ Department \ of \ Mathematics, \ University \ of \ Iowa, \ 14 \ MacLean \ Hall, \ Iowa \ City, \ IA \ 52242-1419, \ U.S.A. \\ \textit{E-mail address:} \ \ \textbf{frauke-bleher@uiowa.edu}$
 - T.C.: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA, PHILADELPHIA, PA 19104-6395, U.S.A. *E-mail address*: ted@math.upenn.edu
- A.K.: Department of Mathematics, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Panepistimioupolis, 15784 Athens, Greece

E-mail address: kontogar@math.uoa.gr