Operator Overloading Parameter Passing II Invisible Functions I

CS 311 Data Structures and Algorithms Lecture Slides Wednesday, August 26, 2020

Glenn G. Chappell
Department of Computer Science
University of Alaska Fairbanks
ggchappell@alaska.edu
© 2005-2020 Glenn G. Chappell
Some material contributed by Chris Hartman

Unit Overview Advanced C++ & Software Engineering Concepts

Major Topics: Advanced C++

- Expressions
- Parameter passing I
 - Operator overloading
 - Parameter passing II
 - Invisible functions I
 - Integer types
 - Managing resources in a class
 - Containers & iterators
 - Invisible functions II
 - Error handling
 - Using exceptions
 - A little about Linked Lists

Major Topics: S.E. Concepts

- Invariants
- Testing
- Abstraction

Review

An **expression** is something that has a value. Determining that value is **evaluation**.

Every expression has a **type**.

```
// int is a type.
int abc;
vector<int> vv; // vector<int> is a type
abc
                  // Expressions of type int
34
abc * 34 + vv[2]
42.7
                  // Expression of type double
cout << "Hello" // Expression of type std::ostream</pre>
                  // Expression of type std::vector<int>
VV
```

A C++ expression is either an Lvalue or an Rvalue—never both.

An **Lvalue** has a value that persists beyond the current expression. Variables, things pointed to by pointers, and parts of Lvalues are all Lvalues.

```
abc // Lvalue
*p // Lvalue
vv[3] // Lvalue
x.qq // Lvalue
```

```
Historically, the "L" in "Lvalue" stood for "left", as in the left side of an assignment operator.

But in C++, a const variable is still an Lvalue.

So a C++ Lvalue is, roughly, an expression that can go on the left side of an assignment operator, or could, if it were not const.
```

We can take the address of an Lvalue. If it is non-const, then we can pass it by reference.

An **Rvalue** is an expression that is not an Lvalue.

Yes, "R" originally stood for "right", but it is probably best not to think of it that way.

Rvalue means not-an-Lvalue.

Example: "a = b;" The expression "b" is an Lvalue, not an Rvalue.

Important. An Rvalue is something that is about to go away. That means that we can "mess it up" without causing problems.

Consider the following C++ code.

```
nn = rst;
cc = nn + 4;
for (int i = 0; i < 5; ++i)
    cout << cc + i << "\n";</pre>
```

Classify each of the following expressions as *Lvalue* or *Rvalue*.

Answers are on the next slide.

```
    nn
    cc + i
    rst
    i < 5</li>
    4
    nn + 4
    "\n"
```

Consider the following C++ code.

```
nn = rst;
cc = nn + 4;
for (int i = 0; i < 5; ++i)
    cout << cc + i << "\n";</pre>
```

Classify each of the following expressions as Lvalue or Rvalue.

Answers:

C++ provides three primary ways to pass a parameter or return a value.

By value:

By reference:

By reference-to-const (some people say "const reference"):

```
void p3(const Foo & x); // Pass x by reference-to-const
const Foo & r3(); // Return by reference-to-const
```

	By Value	By Reference	By Reference- to-Const
Makes a copy	YES ⊗	NO 😊	NO ©
Allows for polymorphism	NO ⊗	YES ©	YES ☺
Allows implicit type conversions	YES 😊	NO ⊗	YES ©
Allows passing of:	Any copyable value 😊	Non-const Lvalues ⊗?	Any value 🙂

For many purposes, when we pass objects, reference-to-const combines the best features of the first two methods.

For most parameter passing, we pass either by value or by reference-to-const.

- By value: simple types (int, char, etc.), pointers, iterators.
- By reference-to-const: larger objects, or things we are not sure of.

We normally return by value.

And then there are special cases ...

We pass by reference, if we want to send the value of the parameter back to the caller.

We *might* return by reference or by reference-to-const, if we are returning a value that is not going away.

The former if the caller gets to modify the value; the latter if not.

Operator Overloading

Operator Overloading Basics [1/2]

- C++ allows **overloading** of most operators.
 - Define standard operators for new types.
 - No new operators, and no changes in precedence, associativity, or arity.

- **Overload**: use the same name for two things.
- **Arity**: number of operands.

Function name is operator plus the symbol, e.g., operator -.

Subtraction for a class Num as a **global** function:

```
Num operator-(const Num & a, const Num & b);
```

Or as a member function; the first operand is the object (*this):

```
class Num {
public:
    Num operator-(const Num & b) const;
```

2020-08-26 CS 311 Fall 2020 13

Operator Overloading Basics [2/2]

Operators with the same symbol are distinguished by parameters.

```
Num operator-(const Num & a, const Num & b); // a-b
Num operator-(const Num & a); // -a
```

Some cannot be distinguished by the parameters we would expect: in particular, ++a and a++. The latter gets a dummy int; it is always zero and may be ignored

Operator Overloading Stream Operators [1/2]

To input or print our objects we use C++ standard-library streams.

- We will look at stream insertion (operator<<).
- Stream extraction (operator>>) is similar.

The stream insertion operator:

- Takes an output stream (std::ostream) and some object.
- Returns the output stream.

As we have observed, this makes the following work:

```
cout << a << b; // Same as (cout << a) << b;
```

Operator Overloading Stream Operators [2/2]

Stream insertion:

- Must be global.
 - Otherwise, it is a member of std::ostream, which we cannot write.
- Gets its stream by reference.
 - Because it modifies the stream (by outputting to it).
- Gets its object to be printed by reference-to-const.
- Returns its stream by reference.
 - The stream is not going away. Also, we do not copy streams.

Global function:

```
Num operator-(const Num & a, const Num & b);
```

Member function:

Which is better: global or member?

```
class Num {
public:
   Num operator-(const Num & b) const;
```

Suppose there is an implicit type conversion from double to Num.

- If we write Num Num as a global, then we get, for free,
 - Num double
 - double Num
- But if it is a member, then we only get the first one. ⊗

Operator Overloading Global vs. Member [2/2]

Use global functions for overloaded arithmetic, comparison, and bitwise operators that do not modify their first operand.

```
+ - binary * / % == != < > <= >= & | ^
```

Use global functions for overloaded operators whose first operand is a type you cannot add members to.

Common examples: stream insertion <<, stream extraction >>.

Use member functions for other overloaded operators.

```
• = [] unary * += -= *= /= ++ -- etc.
```

Parameter Passing II

Parameter Passing II Overview

There are actually four parameter-passing methods in C++: by value, by reference, by reference-to-const, and

By Rvalue reference—introduced in the 2011 C++ Standard:

```
Technically, there is a fifth method: by Rvalue reference-to-const:

void p5(const Foo && x);
const Foo && r5();

However, this method is, AFAIK, useless.
```

Parameter Passing II By Rvalue Reference [1/3]

```
void p4(Foo && x);
Foo && r4();
```

Passing by Rvalue reference is much like by reference-to-const:

- No copy is made.
- Proper calling of virtual functions works.
- Implicit type conversions are allowed.

The differences:

- Only non-const Rvalues may be passed by Rvalue reference.
- Rvalues prefer to be passed by Rvalue reference.
 - This matters when we overload a function. Given a choice of which version to use, preference is given to passing by Rvalue reference, when an Rvalue is passed.
- Modification of the passed value is allowed.

So, what is the point?

Parameter Passing II By Rvalue Reference [2/3]

Again:

- Only non-const Rvalues may be passed by Rvalue reference.
- Rvalues prefer to be passed by Rvalue reference.
- Modification of the passed value is allowed.

Suppose we have the following function:

```
void g(const Foo & p); // Takes any Foo value
```

But now we write another version:

```
void g(const Foo & p); // Gets Lvalues, cannot modify
void g(Foo && p); // Gets Rvalues, CAN modify
```

```
void g(const Foo & p); // Gets Lvalues, cannot modify
void g(Foo && p); // Gets Rvalues, CAN modify
```

How does this help?

- We have a way of figuring out whether an argument is an Rvalue that is, whether it is about to go away.
- In that case, we may be able to speed up processing by doing things that mess up the value of an Rvalue argument.

We do not pass by Rvalue reference very often.

Passing by Rvalue reference is mostly done in low-level code—in particular, when we construct new objects from existing objects of the same type. Given an Lvalue, we run a slow **copy constructor**. Given an Rvalue, we can run a fast **move constructor**.

More on this soon.

Parameter Passing II Summary

	By Value	By Reference	By Reference- to-Const	By Rvalue Reference
Makes a copy	YES ⊗	NO ©	NO ©	NO
Allows for polymorphism	NO ⊗	YES 😊	YES 😊	YES
Allows implicit type conversions	YES [©]	NO ⊗	YES 😊	YES
Allows passing of:	Any copyable value 😊	Non-const Lvalues ⊗?	Any value* 😊	Non-const Rvalues*

^{*}By reference-to-const and by Rvalue reference look similar. But Rvalues *prefer* to be passed by Rvalue reference.

```
void g(const Foo & x); // Can take any Foo value
```

However:

```
void g(const Foo & x); // Gets Lvalues, which it cannot modify void g(Foo && x); // Gets Rvalues, which it CAN modify
```

Invisible Functions I

Invisible Functions I Introduction [1/3]

Here is a simple class Dog:

```
// class Dog
// What member functions does this class have?
// Invariants: None. ← We will talk about this later.
class Dog {
                                               It is a good idea to make names of
// **** Dog: Data members ****
                                               data members easily recognizable.
private:
                                               My personal convention is to begin
                                               their names with an underscore ( ).
    int _a; Cat _b;
                                               Some other people end with an
                                               underscore, or begin with "m".
                                               I do not care which convention you
                                               use, but please mark these
}; // End class Dog
                                               identifiers somehow.
```

What member functions does class Dog have? See the next slide ...

2020-08-26 CS 311 Fall 2020 26

Invisible Functions I Introduction [2/3]

Class Dog has several invisible member functions. These are automatically written by the compiler. Prototypes for 6 of these are shown below.

Ctor means constructor. Dctor means destructor.

*Move ctors and move assignment operators were added in C++11.

These are the **Big Five**.

Invisible Functions I Introduction [3/3]

TO DO

 Look at some code that does possibly unexpected things using invisible functions.

See invisible.cpp.

The Point

- "Invisible functions" are real functions that are really called and really execute. If we let the compiler write them for us, then we never see them, but they are there.
- These functions provide useful functionality. Their existence is a Good Thing. But if we write them ourselves, then we need to exercise care, lest we produce code that behaves oddly.

Next we look at each of these six functions: what it is and when it is called. Then we discuss what the compiler may write for us, when it will do so, and when we should write the functions ourselves.

Invisible Functions I TO BE CONTINUED ...

Invisible Functions I will be continued next time.