ASSIGNMENT 1

ARTUN DOJATH 2021113016

<u>O</u>J. (3) P: It rains

Q: Raju comies an umbiella

$$((\rho \rightarrow Q) \land Q) \longrightarrow \rho$$

ρ	0	$\rho \rightarrow \infty$	(P→@)/A	$((\rho \rightarrow \infty) \land \alpha) \rightarrow \rho$
F	F	τ	F	τ
F	τ	τ	τ	F
~	F	F	F	τ
T	τ	T	τ	7

toutology, the statement is invalid

Since it's not a

(b) A: weather is warm

B: sky is clear

C: We go swimming

D: We go boating

$$\left\{ \left[\left(A \wedge B \right) \longrightarrow \left(C \vee D \right) \right] \wedge \left[\sim \left(\sim C \longrightarrow \sim B \right) \right] \rightarrow \left(A \vee D \right) \right\}$$

and I'm attaching a screenshot here this is not a tautology : the statement is not valid A = true

Since the truth table is huge, I made it on excel

(a)
$$\neg (A \lor x) = \neg (true) \circ R \text{ false}) = \neg (true) z \text{ false}$$

(b) $A \lor (x \land x) = true \circ R (false and false) = true$

(G)
$$A \wedge (x \vee (8 \wedge x)) = T \wedge (F \vee (\tau \wedge F)) = T \wedge (F \vee F)$$

$$= T \wedge F = Folse$$

$$(A \wedge x) \vee \neg B \wedge \neg [(A \wedge x) \vee \neg B] = [(\tau \wedge F) \vee \neg \tau]$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} F \vee F \end{bmatrix} \wedge \neg (F \vee F) = F \wedge T = False$$

$$(\mathcal{O}) (P \wedge Q) \wedge (\neg A \vee X) = (P \wedge Q) \wedge (\neg T \vee F)$$

$$= (P \wedge Q) \wedge F = False (: anything \wedge F = false)$$

$$(\mathcal{F}) [(X \wedge Y) \rightarrow A] \rightarrow [X \rightarrow (Y \rightarrow A)]$$

$$= [(F \wedge F) \rightarrow T] \rightarrow [F \rightarrow (F \rightarrow T)]$$

$$= [F \rightarrow T] \rightarrow [F \rightarrow T] = T \rightarrow T = True$$

$$(\mathcal{O})$$

$$\frac{Q_3}{Q_3} \quad \text{(a)} \quad \rho \to \neg Q \quad , \quad \neg Q \to R \implies \rho \to R$$

$$\frac{\text{formal}}{\text{formal}} \quad \text{(proof)}$$

let
$$\neg Q = S$$

 $\therefore P \longrightarrow S$ and $S \longrightarrow R$
from hypothetical syllogism,
 $P \longrightarrow S$, $S \multimap R \Rightarrow P \longrightarrow R$

Conversion to CNF: $P \rightarrow \neg Q \qquad \neg Q \rightarrow R$ $= \neg P \vee \neg Q \qquad = Q \vee R$

Resolution method:

= (TRUE) VP = TRUE

Negation of our conclusion = FALSE

The empty clause is unsatisfiable (alwaysfalse)

Original premise is empty clause

Since conclusion is tautology, we have proved by resolution

Formal Proof

 $((\rho \vee Q) \wedge \neg P) \longrightarrow Q$ $= \neg ((\rho \vee Q) \wedge \neg P) \vee Q$

= (-(PVQ) VP) VQ

= ((-P1-Q) VP)VQ

= ((-R/P) / (-Q/P)) VQ

= (TRUE 1 (TO VP)) VO

= (- QVP)VQ

= PV(-QVQ)

= PV (TRUE) = TRUE

Conclusion is true

.: entailment = TRUE

hence proved