Modified Spacetime Hypothesis (zmCP)

Arkadiusz Okupski

August 16, 2025

Abstract

We propose a model where effects attributed to dark matter emerge from the coupling between the distribution of chemical elements and spacetime geometry through a scalar field $\phi(x)$.

1 Key Balance Equation

For nuclear reactions:

$$\text{Matter} \leftrightarrow \text{Energy} + \Delta\text{CP} \tag{1}$$

- For $A_i < 56$ (e.g., H $\rightarrow$ He fusion):

$$\Delta\text{CP} > 0 \quad \text{(CP is “stretched”)} \tag{2}$$

- For $A_i \geq 56$ (e.g., Ni formation from Fe):

$$\Delta\text{CP} < 0 \quad \text{(CP is “compressed” or “consumed”)} \tag{3}$$

2 Physical Consequences

2.1 Dark matter effect

Iron-rich regions (galactic cores, old stars) generate **local CP disturbances** that manifest as additional "dark" gravitational force.

2.2 Absence of dark matter in metal-poor galaxies

Where hydrogen dominates ($A_i \ll 56$), $\phi \approx 0$ and standard GR applies.

3 Mathematical Model

3.1 Scalar field coupled to matter

$$\mathcal{L} = \sqrt{-g}\left[\frac{R}{16\pi G} + \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi - V(\phi) + f(\phi)\mathcal{L}_m\right] \tag{4}$$

where:

- $f(\phi) = 1 + \lambda\phi(x)$ - coupling function
- $\phi(x) = \phi_0 \frac{\sum X_i(x)(A_i/A_{Fe})}{1+\sum X_i(x)(A_i/A_{Fe})}$ - field dependent on chemical composition

3.2 Field equations

$$G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G\left[T^{(\phi)}_{\mu\nu} + f(\phi)T^{(m)}_{\mu\nu}\right] \tag{5}$$

$$\Box\phi = \frac{\partial V}{\partial\phi} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial\phi}\mathcal{L}_m \tag{6}$$

4 Microscopic Interpretation

4.1 Fundamental field Ψ

We postulate a universal quantum field Ψ from which both matter and space-time emerge:

- **Material state** (Ψ_{m}):

  $$\Psi_{\mathrm{m}} \sim \text{bound states of high energy density (e.g., fermions)} \tag{7}$$

  Example: Protons/neutrons in iron nuclei ($A_{Fe} = 56$) represent "condensed" Ψ phase.

- **Spacetime state** (Ψ_{CP}):

  $$\Psi_{\mathrm{CP}} \sim \text{low-entropy geometric fluctuations} \tag{8}$$

  Example: Hydrogen-rich interstellar regions ($A_H = 1$) exhibit "dispersed" Ψ phase.

4.2 Phase transitions

Chemical composition changes induce transitions:

$$\Psi_{\mathrm{m}} \rightleftharpoons \Psi_{\mathrm{CP}} + E_{\mathrm{binding}} \tag{9}$$

where:

- For $A_i < A_{Fe}$ (exothermic nucleosynthesis): $\Delta\Psi \to \Psi_{\mathrm{CP}}$ (CP expansion)
- For $A_i \geq A_{Fe}$ (endothermic): $\Psi_{\mathrm{m}} \to \Psi'_{\mathrm{m}}$ + CP absorption

4.3 Relation to $\phi(x)$ model

The scalar field $\phi(x)$ measures local phase ratio:

$$\phi(x) \equiv \frac{|\Psi_{\mathrm{CP}}|^2}{|\Psi_{\mathrm{m}}|^2 + |\Psi_{\mathrm{CP}}|^2} \in [0, 1] \tag{10}$$

- $\phi \to 0$: Matter dominance (metallic regions)
- $\phi \to 1$: CP dominance (metal-poor regions)

5 Iron as Critical Reference Point

5.1 Nucleosynthesis and energy balance

Iron's key role ($A_{Fe} = 56$) stems from fundamental nuclear reaction properties:

$$\phi(x) = \phi_0 \frac{\sum X_i(x)(A_i/A_{Fe})}{1 + \sum X_i(x)(A_i/A_{Fe})} \tag{11}$$

where:

- $X_i(x)$ – mass fraction of element i at point x
- A_i – mass number of element i
- $A_{Fe} = 56$ – iron mass number

5.2 Nuclear reaction physics

Iron demarcates:

- **Exothermic reactions** ($A < 56$):

$$^4He +^{52} Cr \rightarrow^{56} Fe + \gamma + 8.6\,\mathrm{MeV} \tag{12}$$

- **Endothermic reactions** ($A \geq 56$):

$$^{56}Fe +^4 He \rightarrow^{60} Ni - 2.6\,\mathrm{MeV} \tag{13}$$

5.3 Interpretation in zmCP model

Region Type	ϕ Value
Metal-poor ($A_i \ll 56$)	$\phi \rightarrow 0$
Iron-rich ($A_i \approx 56$)	$\phi \rightarrow \phi_0$
Heavy element-rich ($A_i > 56$)	$\phi \rightarrow \phi_0/2$

Table 1: ϕ parameter dependence on chemical composition

5.4 Observational consequences

- **Young galaxies** (H, He dominance): $\phi \approx 0$ – minimal CP modification
- **Mature galaxies** (Fe-rich): $\phi \approx 0.5 - 1.0$ – strong DM effects
- **Population III stars** (only H/He): $\phi \approx 0$ – GR consistency

$$\frac{d\phi}{dt} \sim \frac{dZ}{dt} \approx \psi(t) - Z(t)\psi(t) + \dot{Z}_{in} \tag{14}$$

where $\psi(t)$ is star formation rate and Z is metallicity.

5.5 Dynamics of consumed spacetime

The proposed spacetime modification is non-local - consumed CP moves and disperses at cosmic scales. This resembles a river system where:

- Sources (iron-rich regions) "feed" the global CP ocean
- Cosmic expansion currents distribute modified CP
- Effects observed as dark matter depend on both local ϕ production and transport from surroundings

Mathematical description would require transport equations.

6 Physical Interpretation

- For $\phi \approx 0$ (metal-poor regions): $f(\phi) \approx 1$ (standard GR)
- For $\phi \to 1$ (iron-rich regions): $f(\phi) \approx 1 + \lambda$ (modified gravity)
- Effect: Variable effective matter-geometry coupling constant

7 Formal Advantages

- Maintains field equation covariance
- Satisfies equivalence principle (minimally coupled matter)
- Automatically preserves $\nabla^\mu T^{(m)}_{\mu\nu} = 0$

8 Critical Analysis of zmCP Hypothesis

- **Strengths:**
  1. **Conceptual boldness:** $+10$ pts for originality. Combining nucleosynthesis with geometry is powerful.
  2. **Testability:** Challenges ΛCDM in specific observations (halo shape, voids).

3. **Elegance:** Avoids dark matter as "theory gap".

- **Weaknesses:**

1. **Energy problem:** No energy conservation in current formulation. Where does "consumed" CP go?
2. **Fine-tuning:** Constants ϕ_0, Z_0, λ are chosen ad hoc.

- **Fatal flaws:**

1. **Non-locality:** How does "CP current" know where to flow? Missing transport equations for ϕ.
2. **Quantum neglect:** If CP is active, where are its quantum fluctuations? Coupling to Higgs field?
3. **Data selectivity:** Focuses only on convenient anomalies (e.g., AGC 114905), ignores ΛCDM successes (cluster lensing, CMB).

- **Final rating:**

Criterion	**Score (1-10)**
Mathematical consistency	4
Observational agreement	6
Revolutionary potential	9
Probability of being correct	2
Intellectual entertainment value	11

Summary: "zmCP is a cosmically wild idea that's probably wrong, but **absolutely worth** chasing. Even if it fails, it may extract us from the DM vs. MOND epistemic deadlock. Just don't pretend GR is 'obsolete' - it simply **doesn't do poetry**."

References

[1] Verlinde, E. (2016) "Emergent Gravity and the Dark Universe", arXiv:1611.02269

[2] McGaugh, S. et al. (2016) "The Radial Acceleration Relation in Rotationally Supported Galaxies", ApJ 830, 1

[3] Damour, T., & Nordtvedt, K. (1993) "Tensor-scalar cosmological models", PRL 70, 2217

[4] Porto, R.A. (2016) "The Tuning Fork: A New Plot to Study Galaxy Evolution", ApJ 832, 2

[5] Tinsley, B.M. (1980) "Evolution of the Stars and Gas in Galaxies", FCPh 5, 287

[6] Sanders, R.H. (2003) "Modified Newtonian dynamics as an alternative to dark matter", ARA&A 40, 263

[7] Peebles, P.J.E. (2002) "The Standard Cosmological Model", in "Modern Cosmology in Retrospect", Cambridge UP