67% of RAG systems retrieve junk. Because their embeddings are trash.

Embeddings: The Hidden Backbone of RAG

Fix your embeddings, and you fix—retrieval, search accuracy, and hallucinations.





Why This Matters

- Most devs focus on retrieval and ignore embeddings.
- Bad embeddings = Wrong documents = AI hallucinations.
- Your RAG system lives or dies by embedding quality.





What Are Embeddings?

- Embeddings convert text into numbers.
- Numbers that capture meaning, not just words.

Example:

- Search: "best laptop for coding"
- Without embeddings: Returns exact matches only.
- With embeddings: Finds developer-friendly laptops, even if those words aren't there.





Your RAG System Fails

If your embeddings are weak:

- X Wrong retrieval -> Hallucinations.
- Weak context -> LLM gives bad answers.
- X Slow search -> Users get frustrated.

Fix embeddings -> Fix your RAG.





Hybrid Search

Most RAG systems only use dense embeddings.

- That's why they fail.
 - Dense embeddings -> Understand context & meaning.
 - Sparse embeddings -> Retrieve exact keyword matches.
- Hybrid search = The best of both worlds.
- Precise, relevant, context-aware retrieval.





The Battle of Embedding Models

Which One Should You Use?

- OpenAI (text-embedding-3-small, 3-large)
 - -> Best accuracy, but \$\$\$.
- Cohere (embed-multilingual-v3) -> Best for multilingual search.
- E5 & BGE (Open-Source) -> Free, customizable, but needs tuning.
- Fine-Tuned Models -> Best for domainspecific RAG, but requires expertise.
- Breakdown in next slide -> Swipe!





Model Comparison

Model	Strengths	Weaknesses	Best For
OpenAl (text- embedding- 3-small, 3- large)	State-of-the-art accuracy, plug- and-play	Expensive	General-purpose RAG, LLM- powered search
Cohere (embed- multilingual- v3)	Multilingual support, good for global apps	Some limitations on fine-tuning	Cross-language retrieval
E5 & BGE (Open- Source)	Free & customizable, strong retrieval	Needs manual tuning	Cost-effective RAG, search- heavy apps
Fine-Tuned Models	Domain-specific precision, optimized for niche tasks	High setup cost, requires expertise	Enterprise RAG with proprietary data





Fine-Tuning Embeddings:

Fine-tuning can 10X your retrieval accuracy.

When should you fine-tune?

- Legal/Medical RAG -> Captures industryspecific terms.
- Code retrieval -> Understands functions/classes.
- Customer support AI -> Learns productspecific language.

If generic embeddings are failing, fine-tune NOW.





Recap

- 67% of RAG systems retrieve junkbecause their embeddings are bad.
- **Hybrid search = More precise**, context-aware retrieval.
- Choose the right model -> OpenAl,
 Cohere, E5/BGE, or fine-tuned.
- Fine-tuning = Next-level accuracy for niche RAG systems.

Fix embeddings -> Fix retrieval -> Fix your RAG.





Liked This?

SAVE REPOST FOLLOW

Shivani Virdi

Which embedding model has worked best for you?

