

## *Boolean Algebras, Boolean Rings, and Stone's Theorem*

---

We saw in Sec. 2 that a *Boolean algebra of sets* can be defined as a class of subsets of a non-empty set which is closed under the formation of finite unions, finite intersections, and complements. Our purpose in this appendix is threefold: to define abstract Boolean algebras by means of lattices; to show that the theory of these systems can be regarded as part of the general theory of rings; and to prove the famous theorem of Stone, which asserts that every Boolean algebra is isomorphic to a Boolean algebra of sets.

The reader will recall that a *lattice* is a partially ordered set in which each pair of elements  $x$  and  $y$  has a greatest lower bound  $x \wedge y$  and a least upper bound  $x \vee y$ , and that these elements are uniquely determined by  $x$  and  $y$ . It is easy to show (see Problem 8-5) that the operations  $\wedge$  and  $\vee$  have the following properties:

$$x \wedge x = x \quad \text{and} \quad x \vee x = x; \quad (1)$$

$$x \wedge y = y \wedge x \quad \text{and} \quad x \vee y = y \vee x; \quad (2)$$

$$x \wedge (y \wedge z) = (x \wedge y) \wedge z \quad \text{and} \quad x \vee (y \vee z) = (x \vee y) \vee z; \quad (3)$$

$$(x \wedge y) \vee x = x \quad \text{and} \quad (x \vee y) \wedge x = x. \quad (4)$$

We shall see in the next paragraph that these properties are actually characteristic of lattices. Before proceeding further, however, we remark that

$$x \leq y \Leftrightarrow x \wedge y = x.$$

This fact serves to motivate the following discussion.

Let  $L$  be a non-empty set in which two operations  $\wedge$  and  $\vee$  are defined, and assume that these operations satisfy the above conditions. We

shall prove that a partial order relation  $\leq$  can be defined in  $L$  in such a way that  $L$  becomes a lattice in which  $x \wedge y$  and  $x \vee y$  are the greatest lower bound and least upper bound of  $x$  and  $y$ . Our first step is to notice that  $x \wedge y = x$  and  $x \vee y = y$  are equivalent; for if  $x \wedge y = x$ , then  $x \vee y = (x \wedge y) \vee y = (y \wedge x) \vee y = y$ , and similarly  $x \vee y = y$  implies  $x \wedge y = x$ . We now define  $x \leq y$  to mean that either  $x \wedge y = x$  or  $x \vee y = y$ . Since  $x \wedge x = x$ , we have  $x \leq x$  for every  $x$ . If  $x \leq y$  and  $y \leq x$ , so that  $x \wedge y = x$  and  $y \wedge x = y$ , then  $x = x \wedge y = y \wedge x = y$ . If  $x \leq y$  and  $y \leq z$ , so that  $x \wedge y = x$  and  $y \wedge z = y$ , then

$$x \wedge z = (x \wedge y) \wedge z = x \wedge (y \wedge z) = x \wedge y = x,$$

so  $x \leq z$ . This completes the proof that  $\leq$  is a partial order relation. We now show that  $x \wedge y$  is the greatest lower bound of  $x$  and  $y$ . Since  $(x \wedge y) \vee x = x$  and  $(x \wedge y) \vee y = (y \wedge x) \vee y = y$ , we see that  $x \wedge y \leq x$  and  $x \wedge y \leq y$ . If  $z \leq x$  and  $z \leq y$ , so that  $z \wedge x = z$  and  $z \wedge y = z$ , then  $z \wedge (x \wedge y) = (z \wedge x) \wedge y = z \wedge y = z$ , so  $z \leq x \wedge y$ . It is easy to prove, by similar arguments, that  $x \vee y$  is the least upper bound of  $x$  and  $y$ .

This characterization of lattices brings the theory of these systems somewhat closer to ordinary abstract algebra, in which operations (instead of relations) are usually placed in the foreground.

A lattice is said to be *distributive* if it has the following properties:

$$x \wedge (y \vee z) = (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge z) \quad (5)$$

and

$$x \vee (y \wedge z) = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee z). \quad (6)$$

It is useful to know that (5) and (6) are equivalent to one another. For if (5) holds, then

$$\begin{aligned} (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee z) &= [(x \vee y) \wedge x] \vee [(x \vee y) \wedge z] \\ &= x \vee [(x \vee y) \wedge z] \\ &= x \vee [(x \wedge z) \vee (y \wedge z)] \\ &= [x \vee (x \wedge z)] \vee (y \wedge z) \\ &= x \vee (y \wedge z), \end{aligned}$$

and a similar computation shows that (6) implies (5). We shall say that a lattice is *complemented* if it contains distinct elements 0 and 1 such that

$$0 \leq x \leq 1 \quad (7)$$

for every  $x$  (these elements are clearly unique when they exist), and if each element  $x$  has a *complement*  $x'$  with the property that

$$x \wedge x' = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad x \vee x' = 1. \quad (8)$$

We now define a *Boolean algebra* to be a complemented distributive lattice.

It is quite possible for an element of a complemented lattice to have many different complements. In a Boolean algebra, however, each