

Pigeonhole Principle (PP) [Rosen]

Ex Among a group of 367 people, there must be at least two with the same birthday, because there are only 366 possible birthdays.
↓
(considering a leap year)

Ex For every integer n , there is a multiple of n that has only 0's & 1's in its decimal expansion.

Sol. Let n be a +ve integer.

Let us consider $(n+1)$ integers

1, 11, 111, 1111, ..., 1 (where the last integer in the list is the integer with $(n+1)$ in its decimal expansion)

∴ There can be n possible remainders when an integer is divided by n .

Because, there are $(n+1)$ integers in the list, by pigeonhole principle, there must be two with the same remainder when divided by n .

The larger of these integers less than the smaller one is a multiple of n , which has a decimal expansion consisting of entirely 0's & 1's

Corollary of the Pigeonhole principle :-

A function f from a set with $(k+1)$ or more elements to a set with k elements is not one-to-one

Proof: Suppose that for each element y in the codomain of f we have a box that contains all elements x of the domain of f such that $y = f(x)$.

Because the domain contains $(k+1)$ or more elements,

2 the codomain contains only k -elements, the pigeonhole principle tells us that one of these boxes contains two or more elements x of the domain.

$\therefore f$ cannot be one-to-one

Generalized Pigeonhole Principle [Rosen]

If N objects are placed into k boxes, then there must be at least one box containing at least $\lceil N/k \rceil$ objects.

Proof:
by contradiction

Suppose that none of the boxes contains more than $(\lceil N/k \rceil - 1)$ objects.

\therefore the total no. of objects is at most —

$$\lceil N/k \rceil < (N/k + 1)$$

$$k(\lceil N/k \rceil - 1) < k\left(\left(\frac{N}{k} + 1\right) - 1\right) = N.$$

$\Rightarrow k(\lceil N/k \rceil + 1) < N.$

This above is a contradiction because there are \leftarrow a total no. of N objects.

Problem (*) What is the minimum number of objects such that at least r of these objects must be in one of k -boxes when these objects are distributed among the boxes

\rightarrow When there are N objects, the generalized PP. tells us that there must be at least r -objects in one of the boxes as long as

$$\lceil N/k \rceil \geq r$$

eg Among 100 people, there are at least $\lceil 100/12 \rceil = 9$ who were born in the same month.

eg What is the minimum number of students required in a class to be sure that at least 6 will receive the same grade, if there are 5 possible grades A, B, C, D, F..

Ans The minimum no. of students needed to ensure that at least 6 persons receive the same grade is the smallest inter $\lceil N/5 \rceil = 6$ / 8

\therefore If there are 5 grades, then there can be minimum of $5 \cdot 5 = 25$ students, where each grade is distributed among 5 people.

If a grade has to be given to at least 6 persons, then the total no. of students

should be $25 + 1 = \underline{26}$

$$\textcircled{10} \lceil 26/5 \rceil = 6$$

PP (strong & averaging principle) [Richard A. Brualdi]

Eg Show that every sequence a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n+1} of $(n+1)$ real numbers contains either an increasing subsequence of length $(n+1)$ or a decreasing subsequence of length $(n+1)$.

Ans Subsequence:- If b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m is a sequence, then $b_{i_1}, b_{i_2}, \dots, b_{i_n}$ is a subsequence, provided that $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_n \leq m$.
 \therefore If sequence is $b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots, b_8$
then b_2, b_4, b_5, b_8 is a subsequence
but b_2, b_6, b_5 is not X.

prove by
assertion

for each $k=1, 2, \dots, n+1$, let m_k be the length of the longest increasing subsequence that begins with a_k .
ie for $k=1$, subsequence starting for a_1 of length 1.
 $k=2$, \dots a_2 of length $2^{n+1}=5$
 \vdots

Suppose $m_k \leq n$ for each $k=1, 2, \dots, n+1$, so that there is no increasing subsequence of length $(n+1)$.

$\therefore m_k \geq 1$ for each $k=1, 2, \dots, n+1$, the numbers m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{n+1} are $(n+1)$ integers between 1 to n .

By strong form of PP, $(n+1)$ integers of the numbers
 m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{n+1} are equal.

Let $m_{k_1} = m_{k_2} = \dots = m_{k_{n+1}}$

where $1 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_{n+1} \leq n+1$.

Suppose, for some $i=1, 2, \dots, n$, $a_{k_i} < a_{k_{i+1}}$

Then since $k_i < k_{i+1}$, one could take
a longest subsequence beginning with $a_{k_{i+1}}$ & put
 a_{k_i} in front to obtain an increasing subsequence
beginning with a_{k_i} .
 \rightarrow (longest subsequence begin with $a_{k_{i+1}}$)

\therefore the length of the new subsequence starting
with a_{k_i} will be $(m_{k_{i+1}} + 1)$

$$\Rightarrow m_{k_i} > m_{k_{i+1}} \quad \text{i.e.}$$

$$a_{k_i} \geq a_{k_{i+1}}$$

\therefore This is true for each $i=1, 2, \dots, n$, we have

$$a_{k_1} \geq a_{k_2} \geq \dots \geq a_{k_{n+1}}$$

Thus, $a_{k_1}, a_{k_2}, \dots, a_{k_{n+1}}$ is a decreasing
subsequence of length $(n+1)$