

Lecture 05

Evaluating the Proposal

- Once the proposals are generated, they are evaluated
- It is necessary to check
 1. Technical Feasibility
 2. Operational Feasibility
 3. Economical Feasibility
- Risk Analysis
- Identify the areas (uncertain) of high risks for which uncertainty about getting successful outcome
 - Identify and Special attention take

Economical Feasibility

- **Cost Benefit Analysis**

- **Cost** : Place actual money value against any purchase or activity needed to implement the project
- **Benefit** : Place money value against any benefits that will accrue from a new system created by the project
- Two steps
 1. Estimation of Costs and Benefits
 2. Determine whether a project is worthwhile or not

Estimation of Costs and Benefits

- Goal is to produce a list of what is required to implement the project
- List of the new system benefits
- Evaluating Costs
 - Tangible Items (Direct value can be attached)
 - Intangible Items (Direct value can't be attached , guess)
- Evaluating Benefits
 - Tangible Items (Direct value can be attached)
 - Intangible Items (Direct value can't be attached , guess)

Cost of Tangible Items

1. Equipment costs for the new system
 - Computing equipments costs, accommodation costs, furniture costs etc.
2. Personnel Costs – Analyst, Designers, Programmers etc.
3. Material Costs – Stationary, manuals, documents etc.
4. Conversion Cost – New forms, Procedures etc.
5. Training Costs – Users, developers etc.
6. Other Costs – Consultants costs, travel, management overhead etc.
- Value can't be precisely determined & subjective judgment
 - How much save to complete the project earlier
 - Provide new information to the decision makers

Benefits of Tangible & Intangible Items

1. Measure by actual value
 - Reduce of production cost
 - Reduce the processing cost

2. Can't be measure (only subjective judgement)
 - Increase the sales in the market
 - Wider market of marketing data
 - Maintain good business image

Determine whether a project is worthwhile or not

1. Payback method (Forward)

2. Present Value method(backward)

- **Payback method (Forward)**

- Define time required to recover the initial cost
- We know how much a project will cost to start
- Know cost and benefits for each succeeding year

- **Example**

- Cost at start is \$50,000 (project tenure is 5 years)
 - Benefits - \$10,000 at the end of 1st year
 - \$20,000 at the end of 2nd year
 - \$30,000 at the end of 3rd year
 - \$10,000 at the end of 4th year
 - \$5,000 at the end of 5th year
- } payback period is between 2nd and 3rd year

Determine whether a project is worthwhile or not (Cont.)

- We assume for banking system, and rate of interest is 10% per annum
- **Present Value method(backward)**
- Determine how much money it is worthwhile for investing now in order to receive a given return in some years time
- Project benefits are estimated for each year from today
- Then compute the present value of savings
- If the present cost exceeds the present value, then it is not worthwhile

Determine whether a project is worthwhile or not (Cont.)

- Example
 - At $t=0$, Initial investment is \$50,000
 - At $t=1$, Benefit = \$10,000 then the amount deposited in the 0th year = $\$10000 / (1 + 10/100)$
 - At $t=2$, Benefit = \$20,000 then the amount deposited in the 0th year = $\$20000 / (1 + 10/100)^2$
 - At $t=3$, Benefit = \$30,000 then the amount deposited in the 0th year = $\$30000 / (1 + 10/100)^3$
 - At $t=4$, Benefit = \$10,000 then the amount deposited in the 0th year = $\$10000 / (1 + 10/100)^4$
 - At $t=5$, Benefit = \$5,000 then the amount deposited in the 0th year = $\$,5000 / (1 + 10/100)^5$
- Sum of the present value > Initial investment

COCOMO (COntstructive COst MModel) Model

- COCOMO I
- Barry Boehm introduce it
- Hierarchy of software estimation model
- Model takes the following form :
 1. Model1(Basic COCOMO) : is a static single valued model that computes software development effort (and cost) as a function of program size expressed in estimated line of code
 2. Model2(Intermediate COCOMO) : computes software development effort as a function of program size and a set of "cost drivers" that include subjective assessments of product, hardware, personnel and project attributes

COCOMO (COntstructive COst MOdel) Model

- Model3(Advanced COCOMO) :incorporates all characteristics of the intermediate version with an assessment of the cost driver's impact on each step (analysis, design etc.) of the software engineering process
- COCOMO may applied to 3 classes of the software projects :
 1. Organic mode: Small, simple s/w project
 - Ex. Thermal analysis program
 2. Semi-detached mode: Intermediate size and complexity
 - Ex. Transaction processing
 3. Embedded mode:

COCOMO (COntstructive COSt MOdel) Model

- Basic COCOMO equation take the form :
- Effort= $E = a_b (KLOC) \exp(b_b)$ person-months
- Duration= $C_b(E) \exp(d_b)$ months
- Cost driver attributes:

1. Product attributes

- I. Required s/w reliability
- II. Size of application database
- III. Complexity of the product

COCOMO (COConstructive COSt MOdel) Model

2. H/W attributes

- I. Run-time performance constraints
- II. Memory constraints
- III. Volatility of the virtual m/c environment
- IV. Required turnaround time

3. Personnel attributes

- I. Analyst capability
- II. S/w engineer capability
- III. Applications experience
- IV. Virtual m/c experience
- V. Programming Language experience

4. Product attributes

- I. Use of s/w tools
- II. Application of S/w engineering methods
- III. Required development schedule

Attributes value (Table by Boehm)

S/w project	a_a	b_b	c_c	d_d
Organic	2.4	1.05	2.5	0.38
Semidetached	3.0	1.12	2.5	0.35
Embedded	3.6	1.20	2.5	0.32

Attributes value (Table by Boehm)

S/w project	a_i	b_i
Organic	3.2	1.05
Semidetached	3.0	1.12
Embedded	2.8	1.20

COCOMO (Cont.)

- Each of the 15 attributes is rated on a 6-point scale that ranges from **very low** to **extra high**
- Based on the rating **effort multiplier** is determined from table published by Boehm
- Product of effort multipliers results is an effort adjustment factor (EAF) ranges from 0.9 to 1.4
- Intermediate COCOMO Equation takes the form :

$$E = a_i (KLOC) \exp(b_i) \times EAF$$

COCOMO II

- Hierarchy of estimation models that address the following areas :
 - 1. Application composition model** : used during early stage of s/w engg., when prototyping of user interfaces, consideration of s/w and system interaction, assessment of performance and evaluation of technology maturity are paramount.
 - 2. Early design stage model** : used once requirements have been stabilized and basic s/w architecture has been established
 - 3. Post- architecture stage model** : used during the construction of the s/w

COCOMO II (Cont.)

- Sizing information :
 - i. Object points
 - ii. Function points
 - iii. Lines of source code
- The COCOMO II in application composition modes uses object points
- An indirect s/w measure that is computed using counts of the number of (1) **Screens** (at the user interface), (2) **Reports** and (3) **Components** (likely to be required to build the application)

COCOMO II (Cont.)

- Each object instance (screen or report) is classified into one of three complexity levels

Object type	Complexity weight		
	Simple	Medium	Difficult
Screen	1	2	3
Report	2	5	8
Components			10

- Object point count** is determined by multiplying the original number of object instances by the weighting factor in the table
- Summing to obtain total object point
- When component based development or general s/w reuse is to be applied, the % of reuse is estimated and the object point count is adjusted

COCOMO II (Cont.)

- New Object Point, $NOP = (\text{Object Point}) \times [(100 - \%reuse)/100]$
- Productivity Rate, $PROD = NOP / \text{Person-month}$
- Estimated Effort, $E = NOP / PROD$
- Productivity rate :

Developer's Experience/Capacity	Very low	Low	Nominal	High	Very High
Environment Maturity/Capacity	Very low	Low	Nominal	High	Very High
PROD	4	7	13	25	50

Problems

1. Using COCOMO I

- Determine the Effort to develop of a Software product
 - Determine the Duration to develop of a Software product
 - Determine the Number of People engaged to develop of a Software product.
-
- Input of your program is Lines of Code and Effort Adjustment Factor.
 - Also determine the type of project (i) Organic, (ii) Semi-detached and (iii) Embedded.

Problems

- Using COCOMO II
 - Determine the Object Point to develop of a Software product
 - Determine the New Object Point to develop of a Software product
 - Determine the Effort to develop of a Software product
 - Determine the Number of People engaged to develop Software product, if Duration of development of the software is 5 years.
- If the software consist of 10 Screens, 4 Reports and 15 3GL Components. Assume component based development and 60% reuse is applied.