Matrix Theory (EE5609) Assignment 17

Arkadipta De MTech Artificial Intelligence AI20MTECH14002

Abstract—This document proves the invertibility of a certain linear operator.

All the codes for the figure in this document can be found at

https://github.com/Arko98/EE5609/blob/master/ Assignment 17

1 Problem

Let T be a linear operator on the finite-dimensional space \mathbb{V} . Suppose there is a linear operator U on \mathbb{V} such that TU = I. Prove that T is invertible and $U = T^{-1}$. Give an example which shows that this is false when \mathbb{V} is not finite-dimensional.

2 SOLUTION

2.1 Proof

Let $T: \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{V}$ be a linear operator, where \mathbb{V} is a finite dimensional vectors space and $U: \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{V}$ is also a linear operator such that,

$$TU = I \tag{2.1.1}$$

Where, I is an identity transformation. Now we know that linear transformations are functions. Hence,

$$TU = I$$
 is a function (2.1.2)

$$\implies I: \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{V} \tag{2.1.3}$$

Such that T(V) = V. Defining $TU : \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{V}$ to be a linear operator, we have

$$T[U(V_i)] = V_i \qquad [V_i \in \mathbb{V}] \tag{2.1.4}$$

Now we show in the below Table that T is one-one and onto as follows,

Proof	Conclusion
Let $V_1, V_2 \in \mathbb{V}$ then,	
If $V_1 \neq V_2$ then,	T is one-one function
$T[U(\mathbf{V_1})] \neq T[U(\mathbf{V_2})]$	
T is linear operator on	
finite dimensional	T is onto function
vector space	

TABLE 1: Proof of Invertibility of transformation

Hence we get from Table 1 that, T is invertible. Hence we get the following,

$$TT^{-1} = I$$
 (2.1.5)

1

Where T^{-1} is an inverse function of linear operator T. Hence,

$$TT^{-1} = I = TU$$
 (2.1.6)

$$\implies T^{-1}(TT^{-1}) = T^{-1}(TU)$$
 (2.1.7)

$$\implies T^{-1}(I) = IU \tag{2.1.8}$$

$$\implies T^{-1} = U \tag{2.1.9}$$

Hence from (2.1.9) it is proven that T is invertible and $T^{-1} = U$

2.2 Example

Let D be the differential operator $D: \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{V}$ where \mathbb{V} is a space of polynomial functions in one variable x over \mathbb{R} . We first prove that the vector space \mathbb{V} is infinite dimensional.

Suppose to the contrary that V is finite dimensional vector space and is given by the span of k polynomials in V which are, p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k where m denote the maximum of the degrees of these k polynomials. Hence, x^{m+1} is a vector in V but it cannot be written as a linear combination of p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k because taking linear combinations of polynomials of degree at most m cannot give polynomials of degree higher than m. Hence, V is

infinite dimensional vector space.

$$D(c_0 + c_1 x + \dots + c_n x^n) = c_1 + c_2' x + \dots + c_n' x^{n-1}$$
(2.2.1)

From (2.2.1), the operator D is a linear operator from the vector space V. It is not a one-one operator. We give argument supporting the statement as follows. Let, two different elements from the vector space \mathbb{V} be $(c_1 + x^m)$ and $(c_2 + x^m)$. Now,

$$D(c_1 + x^m) = mx^{m-1} (2.2.2)$$

$$D(c_2 + x^m) = mx^{m-1} (2.2.3)$$

From (2.2.2) and (2.2.3) we see that even though $(c_1 + x^m) \neq (c_2 + x^m)$, we have

$$D(c_1 + x^m) = D(c_2 + x^m)$$
 (2.2.4)

And, $U: \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{V}$ is another linear operator such that,

$$U(c_0 + c_1 x + \dots + c_n x^n) = c_0 x + c_1 \frac{x^2}{2} + \dots + c_n \frac{x^{n+1}}{n+1}$$
(2.2.5)

Now, $DU: \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{V}$ is a linear operator such that,

$$DU(c_0 + c_1 x + \dots + c_n x^n)$$
 (2.2.6)

$$= D[U(c_0x + c_1\frac{x^2}{2} + \dots + c_n\frac{x^{n+1}}{n+1})] \qquad (2.2.7)$$

$$= D[c_0x + c_1\frac{x^2}{2} + \dots + c_n\frac{x^{n+1}}{n+1}]$$
 (2.2.8)

$$= c_0 + c_1 \frac{2x}{2} + \dots + c_n \frac{(n+1)x^n}{n+1}$$
 (2.2.9)

$$= c_0 + c_1 x + \dots + c_n x^n \tag{2.2.10}$$

Hence, from (2.2.10),

$$DU = I \tag{2.2.11}$$

Again $UD : \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{V}$ is a linear operator such that,

$$UD(c_0 + c_1x + \dots + c_nx^n)$$
 (2.2.12)

$$= U[D(c_0x + c_1\frac{x^2}{2} + \dots + c_n\frac{x^{n+1}}{n+1})] \qquad (2.2.13)$$

$$= U[c_1 + c_2'x + \dots + c_n'x^{n-1}]$$
 (2.2.14)

$$= c_1 x + c_2 \frac{x^2}{2} + \dots + c_n \frac{x^n}{n}$$
 (2.2.15)

Hence, from (2.2.15),

$$UD \neq I \tag{2.2.16}$$

Hence, from (2.2.11) and (2.2.16), D is not invertible.