

A Multifaceted Approach to Maths Anxiety

Lyron Winderbaum

February 11, 2019

Abstract

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetur id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

Nam dui ligula, fringilla a, euismod sodales, sollicitudin vel, wisi. Morbi auctor lorem non justo. Nam lacus libero, pretium at, lobortis vitae, ultricies et, tellus. Donec aliquet, tortor sed accumsan bibendum, erat ligula aliquet magna, vitae ornare odio metus a mi. Morbi ac orci et nisl hendrerit mollis. Suspendisse ut massa. Cras nec ante. Pellentesque a nulla. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Aliquam tincidunt urna. Nulla ullamcorper vestibulum turpis. Pellentesque cursus luctus mauris.

1 Literature Review

Prevalence

Maths anxiety is hugely prevalent, and consequently the literature describing research around it is extensive. The Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) (2013) 2012 Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA) report states that across OECD countries, over 30% of 15 year old students “get very nervous doing mathematics problems”, and over 60% of students “worry about getting poor grades in mathematics”.

Why is Maths Anxiety Important?

As teachers our foremost concern should be for the wellbeing of our students. It has been shown that students with a high level of maths anxiety often literally experience the anticipation of a maths task as visceral pain (Lyons & Beilock, 2012b). This helps to emphasise the clear and overwhelming moral imperative (and ethical duty of care) on us to do everything in our power to protect students in our care from maths anxiety.

Even if the wellbeing issue was not enough, there is also a clear maths anxiety-performance connection, and a complex web of stakeholders surrounding a student's academic success in maths. One example of this is highlighted by Foley et al. (2017) who juxtaposes the internationally rising demand for Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics (STEM) professionals with the negative correlation between maths anxiety and performance shown in the 2012 PISA (OECD, 2013) report to highlight the relevance of addressing maths anxiety in filling this demand. This relationship between maths anxiety and maths-qualified professionals in the workforce is supported throughout the literature: when a student has low self-concept (correlated with high maths anxiety), they will tend not to enroll in maths beyond the minimum requirements for graduation (Ashcraft, Krause, & Hopko, 2007), and students' affect towards maths can predict their university major

(LeFevre, Kulak, & Heymans, 1992). Beyond this example, the list of stakeholders in a student's academic success in maths goes on and on: parents; the student's themselves; schools (which are often funded based on the results of standardised testing such as National Assessment Program — Literacy and Numeracy (NAPLAN)), and teachers amongst them.

Students' success in maths, as well as their wellbeing, is important to many parties for many reasons. The one thing all the stakeholders agree on is that addressing maths anxiety is important, what nobody can agree on is exactly what maths anxiety is, how to measure it, and how to intervene and address it.

Frameworks for Understanding the Maths Anxiety-Performance Link

Due to the importance of the maths anxiety-performance link, the bulk of the literature is focused on it. Only a few studies focus on maths anxiety itself (primarily functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) studies such as those of Young, Wu, and Menon (2012) or Lyons and Beilock (2012b)). There are good reasons for focusing on the maths anxiety-performance link rather than maths anxiety in isolation, as discussed above. In terms of understanding this link however, there seem to be two distinct theories being pursued amongst the academy and I will adopt the terminology of Ramirez, Shaw, and Maloney (2018) to describe them: the “Disruption Account” and the “Reduced Competency Account”. Ramirez et al. (2018) go on to make a convincing argument that although these two theories might seem to compete, they are not actually mutually exclusive and instead quite compatible with each other. Ramirez et al. (2018) suggests a third “Interpretation Account” which encapsulates observations made by both lines of research, see Figure 1. This seems to be a fairly new idea, and one we will aim to test in this study.




Figure 1: The Interpretation Account of Ramirez et al. (2018) for the maths anxiety-performance link showing how the Disruption Account and the Reduced Competency Account can be compatible.

First, a little more detail on the existing theories. The “Disruption Account” is currently considered as the dominant theory, seemingly spearheaded by the work of Ashcraft et al. and is based on a body of work centered around the concept of working memory (Ashcraft & Kirk, 2001; Ashcraft & Krause, 2007). Specifically, the thought is that maths anxiety takes up students working memory, which prevents them from using that working memory to complete maths tasks and hence impacts performance. The “Reduced Competency Account”, which seems to be less popular in recent times, claims that lower ability in maths leads to negative experiences associated to maths, and that these negative experiences cause maths anxiety to develop. There is also a significant body of work to support this hypothesis, including the meta-analysis of Hembree (1990) discussed above and more recent follow-up work such as the large longitudinal study of Ma and Xu (2004) which found that although past maths anxiety was correlated with future maths performance it was a small effect, while past maths performance had a strong effect on future maths anxiety.

Complexities in Finding Effective Interventions

These theoretical views are of course broad oversimplifications of what is an incredibly complex and interconnected topic. They also imply very different approaches for intervention. The “Reduced Competency Account” would imply interventions to boost maths performance and hence allow students to experience success in math should also help to reduce maths anxiety. The results of Supekar, Luciano, Chen, and Menon (2015) seem to support this hypothesis as when students are given an intensive 8-week tutoring program to boost their maths skills, this is associated to a reduction in maths anxiety. The earlier work by Faust (1996) further supports this by demonstrating an anxiety-complexity effect in which low and high maths anxiety groups performed similarly on low complexity problems, but in high complexity problems the high anxiety groups performance was impacted. On the other hand, Jansen et al. (2013) showed that it is not necessarily that simple, by showing that when students experience more success they attempt more problems and perform better. However their improved performance is almost completely predicted by the number of problems they attempted, not their experience of success, and their level of maths anxiety was not affected in a significant way. Which raises an important moral question: if we have interventions that improve students performance, but not their maths anxiety

On the other side of attempted interventions are those in line with the “Disruption Account”, in which the maths anxiety itself is addressed in the hopes that will free up extra working memory and hence boost students performance. One fairly direct and successful attempt at this is that of Park, Ramirez, and Beilock (2014), in which they used expressive writing exercises to help guide students self-perceived narratives about their maths experiences and thereby reduce their maths anxiety. Notably the approach of Park et al. (2014) is in line with successful treatments for clinical anxiety disorders (see McNally (2007); Becker, Darius, and Schaumberg (2007); Foa et al. (2005)). Another approach that has shown success in this vein does not attempt to directly reduce the anxiety experienced, but rather reappraise it's symptoms (Jamieson, Peters, Greenwood, & Altose, 2016). This is

another technique from clinical psychology in which stress is reconceptualised as a coping tool, an evolutionary method for heightening performance in response to a challenge to be overcome, instead of a symptom of exposure to something to be feared and avoided. This change in the perspective students have of stress is also very much in line with the “Interpretation Account” of Ramirez et al. (2018).

The work of Wang et al. (2015) showed the role that intrinsic motivation has mediating the relationship between maths anxiety and performance, and suggested the importance of a mindset centred on viewing the process of learning maths as one of “productive struggle”. This reconceptualisation to a ‘productive struggle’ model is supported by other literature as well, Lin-Siegler, Ahn, Chen, Fang, and Luna-Lucero (2016) exposes students in a classroom to struggles experienced by famous scientists in order to help normalise the concept of productive struggle, and Hiebert and Grouws (2007) discuss the importance of this same concept in a maths context.

One of the implications of the “Interpretation Account” not predicted by either of the two major theories out there right now is that after an intervention the other, untreated, direction will re-establish the cycle shown in Figure 1 and that in the longterm the intervention will be relatively ineffective even if it has shortterm results. Several authors have mentioned the need for more longitudinal research surrounding interventions for maths anxiety (Suárez-Pellicioni, Núñez-Peña, & Colomé, 2016; Chang & Beilock, 2016). Notably there is the study of Ma and Xu (2004) mentioned above, but otherwise there are very few such longitudinal studies, and those that exist have shown mixed results. My hypothesis is that with a multi-faceted approach targetted multiple causation pathways interlinking maths anxiety and performance, the entire cycle can be disrupted and result in a more positive long-term effects than individual interventions alone.

2 Research Proposal

I propose a multifaceted intervention in which multiple links in the cycle (see Figure 1) are attacked simultaneously to disrupt the maths anxiety-performance link. I hypothesise that this approach will result in a more longlived effect on both students wellbein (anxiety) and maths performance. The four facets of the proposed intervention are:

- An intensive tutoring program to boost students math abilities, along the same lines as that of Supekar et al. (2015).
- Coaching around reappraisal of physiological signs of stress (increased heart rate, sweaty palms, etc.) such as that of Jamieson et al. (2016) to interpret these signs as an evolutionary trait that increases fitness and performance in response to a challenge, instead of interpreting them as signs of danger to run from and avoid.
- Guided expressive writing, such as the approach of Park et al. (2014), inline with existing exposure therapy approaches from clinical psychology.
- Coaching around perceiving the process of learning maths as one of “productive struggle” (Hiebert & Grouws, 2007).

Instruments for Measuring Maths Anxiety

In order to track the effectiveness of these interventions, we will be collating assessment results as a measure of performance, but will also want to measure maths anxiety and maths affect/ self-concept.

Significant work has been done over the years to develop psychometrics to measure maths anxiety, almost exclusively consisting of self-reporting surveys (with the exception of some more modern fMRI work, such as that of Lyons and Beilock (2012a)). One of the earliest instruments for measuring maths anxiety was the

Maths Anxiety Rating Scale (MARS) 98-item 5-point Likert scale of Richardson and Suinn (1972). Since then, many different groups have split off and created various revised versions of a number of offshoots of this original idea, but all rely on a self-reporting survey. One of the more recently developed scales is the Maths Anxiety Scale — Revised (MAS-R) of Bai, Wang, Pan, and Frey (2009), which has been shown to be remarkably self consistent by incorporating both positive and negative affect items (Bai, 2011), and due to this property as well as the fact that it is short and easy to implement is the one we will use to measure maths anxiety.

Jansen et al. (2013) modified the Perceived Competence Scale for Children (Harter, 1982) to measure “Math Competence”. Although this extended scale doesn’t seem to have comparative consistency studies done on it, the internal checks Jansen et al. (2013) were quite rigorous and so we will use their instrument to measure maths self-concept, although in English.

Timeline

Each intervention will be introduced sequentially, with at least a 3-week gap between them to allow for a multivariate time-varying autoregressive model to be fitted in order to investigate individual effects.

The proposed timeline for 2020 is shown in Figure 2 in which the bulk of the study will be conducted, but to summarise:

- 2019: Assessment instruments and instruction programs will be developed and fine-tuned.
- Teacher coaching sessions will begin immediate commencing 2020.
- Term 1 Week 4: Intensive tutoring program begins.
- Term 1 Week 7: Guest Speaker on productive struggle, and teachers will start implementing productive struggle program.

2. RESEARCH PROPOSAL

9




Figure 2: Gantt Chart

	Unit Cost	Units	Sub Total
Development Costs			
Teacher Coaching Sessions	\$180.00	26	\$4,680.00
Guided Expressive Writing Exercises	\$300.00	3	\$900.00
MAS-R Survey	\$2,400.00	1	\$2,400.00
Self-Concept/ Self-Efficacy Survey	\$2,400.00	1	\$2,400.00
Staff Wages for Interventions			
Teacher Coaching Sessions	\$120.00	26	\$3,120.00
Intensive Tutoring Program (per hour per student)	\$60.00	600	\$36,000.00
Guest Speakers (per session)	\$300.00	5	\$1,500.00
Running Costs/ Admin			
Printing and Stationary (per student)	\$50.00	30	\$1,500.00
Teacher Debriefing Sessions	\$500.00	4	\$2,000.00
Data Analysis and Project Management			
PI wages (per week)	\$2,280.00	60	\$136,800.00
Data Collation (per week)	\$2,280.00	8	\$18,240.00
Data Analysis (per week)	\$2,280.00	10	\$22,800.00
			Total
			\$232,340.00

Table 1: Budget

- Term 2 Week 4: Guest speaker will return, refresh productive struggle talk and give reappraisal talk. Teachers will begin reappraisal program while continuing to use examples of productive struggle.
- Term 2 Week 7: Expressive writing exercise.
- Terms 3 and 4: productive struggle, reappraisal, and expressive writing exercises are continued by teachers, tutoring program ends in term 3.
- 2021: Students will return to ordinary classes.

There will be a number of streams of ongoing primary data collection throughout:

- During school holidays there will be teacher debriefing sessions in which the teachers will be asked to provide feedback on their perspectives on the effects of the interventions.
- Academic results of students will be collated for an entire three year 2019-2021 period.
- Students will complete self-surveys on both maths anxiety, and maths self-concept the year prior, during, and the year after the interventions. These will occur before and after the introduction of each facet of the intervention, allowing for multivariate interaction effects to be modelled.
- The expressive writing tasks will yield written work from each student describing their narrative view of their journey learning maths, which can also be used to assess their self-concept/ efficacy in a deeper way.

Budget

This research is predicated on collaborating with a school and the maths faculty therein, and the leadership team at the school being on board. The budget pro-

posed above (see Table 1) presupposes 30 student participants either in one class or split across two classes, 1 – 2 teacher participants, and for the entire study to be performed over the three year period 2019-2021. Should additional funding be made available, it would be possible to extend the study to include a larger sample of students and teachers, and to continue monitoring the students into 2022-2023, which would offer very valuable additional data on the longer term effects of the interventions.

3 Ethics Issues

Some key ethical considerations include:

- All stakeholders (Teacher and student participants as well as parents and school leadership) will be given the full experimental details prior to commencement of the study.
- All published results will be fully anonymised.
- Students and parents will be made aware they have the option to opt-out at any time. Any student that wishes to be withdrawn during the study will be moved to a class not participating in the study. Withdrawn data will be omitted from all analyses, the only remaining trace a note of the total number of students that were withdrawn.
- Teachers will have complete autonomy running their classes, and will have the right to stop the study at any time should they believe it to be causing any harm (emotional, or academic) to the students. This judgement will be at the discretion of the teachers involved in consultation with the leadership team.

- All content addressing anxiety and other sensitive topics will include appropriate trigger warnings, a school councillor and a “cooling off space” will be available at all times should a student find themselves in distress.

4 Executive Summary

Glossary

fMRI functional magnetic resonance imaging. 3, 7

MARS Maths Anxiety Rating Scale. 8

MAS-R Maths Anxiety Scale — Revised. 8

NAPLAN National Assessment Program — Literacy and Numeracy. 3

OECD Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. 2, 18

PISA Programme for International Student Assessment. 2, 18

STEM Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics. 2

References

Ashcraft, M. H., & Kirk, E. P. (2001). The relationships among working memory, math anxiety, and performance. *Journal of experimental psychology: General*, 130(2), 224.

Ashcraft, M. H., & Krause, J. A. (2007, Apr 01). Working memory, math performance, and math anxiety. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 14(2), 243–248. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.3758/BF03194059> doi: 10.3758/BF03194059

- Ashcraft, M. H., Krause, J. A., & Hopko, D. R. (2007). Is math anxiety a mathematical learning disability? In D. B. Berch & M. M. M. Mazzocco (Eds.), *Why is math so hard for some children? The nature and origins of mathematical learning difficulties and disabilities* (p. 329-348). Baltimore, MD, US: Paul H Brookes Publishing.
- Bai, H. (2011). Cross-validating a bidimensional mathematics anxiety scale. *Assessment*, 18(1), 115-122. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1177/1073191110364312> (PMID: 20212074) doi: 10.1177/1073191110364312
- Bai, H., Wang, L., Pan, W., & Frey, M. (2009). Measuring mathematics anxiety: Psychometric analysis of a bidimensional affective scale. *Journal of Instructional Psychology*, 36(3).
- Becker, C. B., Darius, E., & Schaumberg, K. (2007). An analog study of patient preferences for exposure versus alternative treatments for posttraumatic stress disorder. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 45(12), 2861 - 2873. Retrieved from <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0005796707001118> doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2007.05.006>
- Chang, H., & Beilock, S. L. (2016). The math anxiety-math performance link and its relation to individual and environmental factors: a review of current behavioral and psychophysiological research. *Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences*, 10, 33 - 38. Retrieved from <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2352154616300882> (Neuroscience of education) doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cobeha.2016.04.011>
- Faust, M. W. (1996). Mathematics anxiety effects in simple and complex addition. *Mathematical Cognition*, 2(1), 25-62.
- Foa, E. B., Hembree, E. A., Cahill, S. P., Rauch, S. A. M., Riggs, D. S., Feeny, N. C., & Yadin, E. (2005). Randomized trial of prolonged exposure for posttraumatic stress disorder with and without cognitive restructuring: outcome at academic and community clinics. *Journal of consulting and clinical psychology*, 73(5).
- Foley, A. E., Herts, J. B., Borgonovi, F., Guerriero, S., Levine, S. C., & Beilock, S. L. (2017). The math anxiety-performance link: A global

- phenomenon. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 26(1), 52-58. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1177/0963721416672463> doi: 10.1177/0963721416672463
- Harter, S. (1982). The perceived competence scale for children. *Child Development*, 53(1), 87-97. Retrieved from <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1129640>
- Hembree, R. (1990). The nature, effects, and relief of mathematics anxiety. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 21(1), 33-46. Retrieved from <http://www.jstor.org/stable/749455>
- Hiebert, J., & Grouws, D. A. (2007). The effects of classroom mathematics teaching on students' learning. *Second handbook of research on mathematics teaching and learning*, 1, 371-404.
- Jamieson, J. P., Peters, B. J., Greenwood, E. J., & Altose, A. J. (2016). Reappraising stress arousal improves performance and reduces evaluation anxiety in classroom exam situations. *Social Psychological and Personality Science*, 7(6), 579-587. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1177/1948550616644656> doi: 10.1177/1948550616644656
- Jansen, B. R., Louwerse, J., Straatemeier, M., der Ven, S. H. V., Klinkenberg, S., & der Maas, H. L. V. (2013). The influence of experiencing success in math on math anxiety, perceived math competence, and math performance. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 24, 190 - 197. Retrieved from <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1041608012001951> doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2012.12.014>
- LeFevre, J.-A., Kulak, A. G., & Heymans, S. L. (1992). Factors influencing the selection of university majors varying in mathematical content. *Canadian journal of behavioural science*, 24(3).
- Lin-Siegler, X., Ahn, J. N., Chen, J., Fang, F.-F. A., & Luna-Lucero, M. (2016). Even einstein struggled: Effects of learning about great scientists' struggles on high school students' motivation to learn science. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 108(3), 314.
- Lyons, I. M., & Beilock, S. L. (2012a). Mathematics anxiety: Separating the

- math from the anxiety. *Cerebral Cortex*, 22(9), 2102-2110. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhr289> doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhr289
- Lyons, I. M., & Beilock, S. L. (2012b). When math hurts: math anxiety predicts pain network activation in anticipation of doing math. *PloS one*, 7(10), e48076.
- Ma, X., & Xu, J. (2004). The causal ordering of mathematics anxiety and mathematics achievement: a longitudinal panel analysis. *Journal of Adolescence*, 27(2), 165 - 179. Retrieved from <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0140197103001064> doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adolescence.2003.11.003>
- McNally, R. J. (2007). Mechanisms of exposure therapy: How neuroscience can improve psychological treatments for anxiety disorders. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 27(6), 750 - 759. Retrieved from <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0272735807000074> (New Approaches to the Study of Change in Cognitive Behavioral Therapies) doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2007.01.003>
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). (2013). *Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA) 2012 results: ready to learn: students' engagement, drive and self-beliefs (volume iii): preliminary version*. PISA, OECD, Paris, France. Retrieved from <http://www.oecd.org/pisa/keyfindings/pisa-2012-results-volume-iii.htm> (viewed 4 Feb 2019)
- Park, D., Ramirez, G., & Beilock, S. L. (2014). The role of expressive writing in math anxiety. *Journal of experimental psychology. Applied*, 20 2, 103-11.
- Ramirez, G., Shaw, S. T., & Maloney, E. A. (2018). Math anxiety: Past research, promising interventions, and a new interpretation framework. *Educational Psychologist*, 1-20.
- Richardson, F. C., & Suinn, R. M. (1972). The mathematics anxiety rating scale: psychometric data. *Journal of counseling Psychology*, 19(6), 551.
- Suárez-Pellicioni, M., Núñez-Peña, M. I., & Colomé, À. (2016, Feb 01). Math anx-

- iety: A review of its cognitive consequences, psychophysiological correlates, and brain bases. *Cognitive, Affective, & Behavioral Neuroscience*, 16(1), 3–22. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.3758/s13415-015-0370-7> doi: 10.3758/s13415-015-0370-7
- Supekar, K., Iuculano, T., Chen, L., & Menon, V. (2015). Remediation of childhood math anxiety and associated neural circuits through cognitive tutoring. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 35(36), 12574–12583. Retrieved from <http://www.jneurosci.org/content/35/36/12574> doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0786-15.2015
- Wang, Z., Lukowski, S. L., Hart, S. A., Lyons, I. M., Thompson, L. A., Kovas, Y., ... Petrill, S. A. (2015). Is math anxiety always bad for math learning? the role of math motivation. *Psychological Science*, 26(12), 1863–1876. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797615602471> (PMID: 26518438) doi: 10.1177/0956797615602471
- Young, C. B., Wu, S. S., & Menon, V. (2012). The neurodevelopmental basis of math anxiety. *Psychological Science*, 23(5), 492–501. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797611429134> (PMID: 22434239) doi: 10.1177/0956797611429134