Purity inference

Jacques Garrigue

October 4, 2019

The value restriction, even in its relaxed form, makes us lose polymorphism even in cases where all functions are pure. This is especially galling for combinator libraries, where code is built through function applications.

Can we do better than that? The value restriction is only a weakened form of the original strong/weak type variable distinction present in Standard ML 90. It is a radical one: we only keep weak variables, deeming strong ones not modular enough.

Reintroducing strong variables would certainly be theoretically be fine, but there are practical reasons for their demotion. Here we will argue for a coarser grain approach: distinguish between pure and impure bindings in the environment. Our soundness criterion is as follows: a binding can be marked as pure if all its polymorphic type variables would be strong using the original SML 90 weak/strong discipline. This also shows the limitation of this approach: while SML 90 allowed mixing strong and weak type variables in the same polymorphic type, we allow only one category.

$$s ::= \mathsf{p} \mid \mathsf{i} \qquad \mathsf{p} \land s = s \qquad \mathsf{i} \land s = \mathsf{i}$$

$$\Sigma \vdash x : s \quad (x : s) \in E \qquad \frac{\Sigma, x : \mathsf{p} \vdash M : s}{\Sigma \vdash \mathsf{fun} \ x \to M : s} \qquad \frac{\Sigma \vdash M_1 : s_1 \quad \Sigma \vdash M_2 : s_2}{\Sigma \vdash M_1 M_2 : s_1 \land s_2}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma \vdash M_1 : s_1 \quad \dots \quad \Sigma \vdash M_n : s_n}{\Sigma \vdash (M_1, \dots, M_n) : s_1 \land \dots \land s_n} \qquad \frac{\Sigma \vdash M_1 : s_1 \quad \Sigma \vdash M_2 : s_2}{\Sigma \vdash (M_1; M_2) : s_2}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma \vdash M_1 : s_1 \quad \Sigma, x : s_1 \vdash M_2 : s_2}{\Sigma \vdash \mathsf{let} \ x = M_1 \ \mathsf{in} \ M_2 : s_2} \qquad \frac{\Sigma, x : \mathsf{p} \vdash M_1 : s_1 \quad \Sigma, x : s_1 \vdash M_2 : s_2}{\Sigma \vdash \mathsf{let} \ \mathsf{rec} \ x : \forall \alpha. \sigma = M_1 \ \mathsf{in} \ M_2 : s_2} \qquad \frac{\Sigma \vdash r : s_1 \quad \vdash_{\mathsf{lab}} l : s_2}{\Sigma \vdash r.l : s_1 \land s_2}$$

$$\vdash_{\mathsf{lab}} l : \begin{cases} \mathsf{i} \quad \mathsf{when} \ l \ \mathsf{is} \ \mathsf{a} \ \mathsf{polymorphic} \ \mathsf{field} \\ \mathsf{p} \quad \mathsf{otherwise} \end{cases} \qquad \vdash_{\mathsf{mut}} l : \begin{cases} \mathsf{i} \quad \mathsf{when} \ l \ \mathsf{is} \ \mathsf{a} \ \mathsf{mut} \ \mathsf{also} \ \mathsf{make} \ \mathsf{the} \ \mathsf{expression} \ \mathsf{impure}. \end{cases}$$

$$\vdash_{\mathsf{mut}} l : \begin{cases} \mathsf{i} \quad \mathsf{when} \ l \ \mathsf{is} \ \mathsf{a} \ \mathsf{mut} \ \mathsf{also} \ \mathsf{make} \ \mathsf{the} \ \mathsf{expression} \ \mathsf{impure}. \end{cases}$$

$$\vdash_{\mathsf{mut}} l : \begin{cases} \mathsf{i} \quad \mathsf{when} \ l \ \mathsf{is} \ \mathsf{a} \ \mathsf{mut} \ \mathsf{also} \ \mathsf{make} \ \mathsf{the} \ \mathsf{expression} \ \mathsf{impure}. \end{cases}$$

$$\vdash_{\mathsf{mut}} l : \begin{cases} \mathsf{i} \quad \mathsf{when} \ l \ \mathsf{is} \ \mathsf{a} \ \mathsf{mut} \ \mathsf{also} \ \mathsf{field} \ \mathsf{p} \ \mathsf{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\vdash_{\mathsf{mut}} l : \begin{cases} \mathsf{i} \quad \mathsf{when} \ l \ \mathsf{is} \ \mathsf{a} \ \mathsf{mut} \ \mathsf{also} \ \mathsf{field} \ \mathsf{p} \ \mathsf{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\vdash_{\mathsf{mut}} l : \begin{cases} \mathsf{i} \quad \mathsf{when} \ l \ \mathsf{is} \ \mathsf{a} \ \mathsf{mut} \ \mathsf{also} \ \mathsf{field} \ \mathsf{p} \ \mathsf{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\vdash_{\mathsf{mut}} l : \begin{cases} \mathsf{i} \quad \mathsf{when} \ l \ \mathsf{is} \ \mathsf{a} \ \mathsf{mut} \ \mathsf{also} \ \mathsf{field} \ \mathsf{p} \ \mathsf{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\vdash_{\mathsf{mut}} l : \begin{cases} \mathsf{i} \quad \mathsf{when} \ l \ \mathsf{is} \ \mathsf{a} \ \mathsf{mut} \ \mathsf{a} \ \mathsf{o} \ \mathsf{o} \ \mathsf{field} \ \mathsf{o} \ \mathsf{o}$$

This solution is implemented by my purity-attribute branch: https://github.com/garrigue/ocaml/tree/purity-attribute. Typed examples are at: https://github.com/garrigue/ocaml/blob/purity-attribute/tests/typing-poly/purity.ml.

One limitation of the current implementation is that simultaneous let-definitions (using and) are type as though they were tuples in the above rules.

Examples

```
(* Basic *)
let some x = Some x;;
                                                    (* constructor functions can be pure *)
val some : 'a -> 'a option [@@pure]
let a = some (fun x \rightarrow x);;
                                                 (* pure applications can be generalized *)
val a : ('a -> 'a) option [@@pure]
let r = ref;;
                                                           (* impure things are not pure! *)
val \ r : \ 'a \rightarrow \ 'a \ ref
let c = r (fun x \rightarrow x);;
                                              (* relaxed value restriction still applies *)
val c : ('_weak1 -> '_weak1) ref
let c' = contents=fun x -> x;;
val c' : ('_weak2 -> '_weak2) ref
(* Modules *)
module type T = sig val some : 'a -> 'a option [@@pure] end;;
module M : T = struct let some x = Some x end;;
module M : T
module M' : T = struct let some x = let r = ref x in Some !r end;;
Error: Signature mismatch:
        Values do not match:
         val some : 'a -> 'a option
        is not included in
         val some : 'a -> 'a option [@@pure]
(* Beware of polymorphism *)
type mkref = {mkref: 'a. 'a -> 'a ref};;
                                                                      (* polymorphic field *)
let f x = let r = x.mkref [] in fun y \rightarrow r := [y]; List.hd !r;;
val f : mkref \rightarrow 'a \rightarrow 'a
                                                                    (* impure field access *)
let f \{mkref\} = let r = mkref[] in fun y -> r := [y]; List.hd !r;;
val f : mkref \rightarrow 'a \rightarrow 'a
                                                                          (* impure pattern *)
(* Beware of polymorphic recursion *)
let rec f x = let r = mkref x [] in fun y \rightarrow r := [y]; List.hd !r
and mkref : 'a. mkref -> 'a -> 'a ref = fun x -> x.mkref;;
val \ f : mkref \rightarrow `a \rightarrow `a
                                                                (* call to mkref is impure *)
val mkref : mkref -> 'a -> 'a ref
let rec id : 'a. 'a \rightarrow 'a = fun x \rightarrow id x;;
val id : 'a \rightarrow 'a
                                                  (* impure due to polymorphic recursion *)
let rec id : 'a. 'a \rightarrow 'a = fun x \rightarrow x;;
val id : 'a -> 'a [@@pure]
                                                          (* pure since no recursive call *)
```