

Optimization

Lusine Poghosyan

YSU

November 30, 2020

Line Search in Multidimensional Optimization

Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function that we wish to minimize.

Iterative algorithms for finding a minimizer of f are of the form

$$x^{(k+1)} = x^{(k)} + \alpha_k d^{(k)}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots,$$

where $x^{(0)}$ is the initial approximation.

α_k is called the step-size and $d^{(k)} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is called the search direction.

Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, $\gamma > 1$ and $\eta \in (\varepsilon, 1)$.

The *Armijo condition* ensures that α_k is not too large by requiring that

$$\Phi_k(\alpha_k) \leq \Phi_k(0) + \varepsilon \alpha_k \Phi'_k(0)$$

or

$$f\left(\mathbf{x}^{(k)} + \alpha_k \mathbf{d}^{(k)}\right) \leq f\left(\mathbf{x}^{(k)}\right) + \varepsilon \alpha_k \nabla f\left(\mathbf{x}^{(k)}\right)^T \mathbf{d}^{(k)}.$$

It also ensures that α_k is not too small by requiring that

$$\Phi_k(\gamma \alpha_k) \geq \Phi_k(0) + \varepsilon \gamma \alpha_k \Phi'_k(0)$$

or

$$f\left(\mathbf{x}^{(k)} + \gamma \alpha_k \mathbf{d}^{(k)}\right) \geq f\left(\mathbf{x}^{(k)}\right) + \varepsilon \gamma \alpha_k \nabla f\left(\mathbf{x}^{(k)}\right)^T \mathbf{d}^{(k)}.$$

The *Goldstein condition* (Armijo-Goldstein):

$$\Phi_k(\alpha_k) \leq \Phi_k(0) + \varepsilon \alpha_k \Phi'_k(0),$$

$$\Phi_k(\alpha_k) \geq \Phi_k(0) + \eta \alpha_k \Phi'_k(0).$$

The *Wolfe condition* (Armijo-Wolfe):

$$\Phi_k(\alpha_k) \leq \Phi_k(0) + \varepsilon \alpha_k \Phi'_k(0),$$

$$\Phi'_k(\alpha_k) \geq \eta \Phi'_k(0).$$

The *strong Wolfe condition*:

$$\Phi_k(\alpha_k) \leq \Phi_k(0) + \varepsilon \alpha_k \Phi'_k(0),$$

$$|\Phi'_k(\alpha_k)| \leq \eta |\Phi'_k(0)|.$$

Armijo backtracking algorithm to choose the step size α_k

- Step 1: We start with some candidate value $\alpha_k^{(0)}$ for the step size α_k . Take a contraction (backtracking) factor $\tau \in (0, 1)$ and $\ell = 0$.
- Step 2: If $\alpha_k^{(\ell)}$ satisfies a prespecified termination condition (usually the first Armijo inequality) then return $\alpha_k^{(\ell)}$ for α_k . If the condition is not satisfied, then take

$$\alpha_k^{(\ell+1)} = \tau \alpha_k^{(\ell)},$$

$$\ell \longmapsto \ell + 1$$

and do the Step 2.

Example

Assume we want to find the minimizer of

$$f(x_1, x_2) = 2x_1^2 + x_2^2,$$

using the line search method. We start with $(x_1^{(0)}, x_2^{(0)}) = (1, 1)^T$ and as search direction we take $d^{(0)} = -\nabla f(x^{(0)})$. In order to calculate the next approximation $x^{(1)}$ we need a step size α_0 which we are going to find by using Armijo backtracking algorithm. In Armijo backtracking algorithm let's take $\alpha_0^{(0)} = 2$, $\tau = 0.5$ and $\varepsilon = 0.1$. Then we calculate $(x_1^{(1)}, x_2^{(1)})$.

Theorem (Zoutendijk)

Consider any iteration of the form

$$x^{(k+1)} = x^{(k)} + \alpha_k d^{(k)}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots,$$

where $d^{(k)}$ is a descent direction and α_k satisfies the Wolfe (Armijo-Wolfe) conditions. Suppose that f is bounded below and that f is continuously differentiable in \mathbb{R}^n . Assume also that the gradient ∇f is Lipschitz continuous on \mathbb{R}^n , that is, there exists a constant $L > 0$ such that

$$\|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y)\| \leq L\|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \cos^2(\theta_k) \|\nabla f(x^{(k)})\|^2 < \infty,$$

$$\text{where } \cos(\theta_k) = -\frac{\nabla f(x^{(k)})^T d^{(k)}}{\|\nabla f(x^{(k)})\| \cdot \|d^{(k)}\|}.$$

The theorem implies

$$\cos(\theta_k) \|\nabla f(x^{(k)})\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

If we can ensure that $\cos(\theta_k) \geq \delta > 0$, then $\|\nabla f(x^{(k)})\| \rightarrow 0$.