

ASDS Statistics, YSU, Fall 2020

Lecture 23

Michael Poghosyan

21 Nov 2020

Contents

- ▶ Fisher Information
- ▶ Cramer-Rao Lower Bound (Cramer-Rao Inequality)
- ▶ MVUE
- ▶ The Method of Moments
- ▶ The Method of Maximum Likelihood Estimation

Fisher Information

Assume we have a parametric family of distributions \mathcal{F}_θ , $\theta \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}$, and $f(x|\theta)$ is the PD(M)F of \mathcal{F}_θ .

Fisher Information

Assume we have a parametric family of distributions \mathcal{F}_θ , $\theta \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}$, and $f(x|\theta)$ is the PD(M)F of \mathcal{F}_θ .

Definition: The following quantity is called **the Fisher Information** of the parametric family \mathcal{F}_θ :

$$I(\theta) = -\mathbb{E} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \ln f(X|\theta) \right) = \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f(X|\theta) \right)^2 \right],$$

where $X \sim \mathcal{F}_\theta$.

Example

Example: Calculate the Fisher Information for the $Bernoulli(p)$ family

Solution: OTB

Example

Example: Calculate the Fisher Information for the $Bernoulli(p)$ family

Solution: OTB

Example: Calculate the Fisher Information for the $Exp(\lambda)$ family

Solution: OTB

Example

Example: Calculate the Fisher Information for the $Bernoulli(p)$ family

Solution: OTB

Example: Calculate the Fisher Information for the $Exp(\lambda)$ family

Solution: OTB

Example: Calculate the Fisher Information for the $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ family (separately for the Parameter μ and σ^2)

Solution: OTB

Fisher Information, cont'd

Another interpretation of the Fisher Information is the following.

Fisher Information, cont'd

Another interpretation of the Fisher Information is the following.

It is easy to see that (under the regularity conditions)

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f(X|\theta) \right) = 0.$$

Fisher Information, cont'd

Another interpretation of the Fisher Information is the following.

It is easy to see that (under the regularity conditions)

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f(X|\theta) \right) = 0.$$

Then,

$$I(\theta) = \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f(X|\theta) \right)^2 \right] = \text{Var} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f(X|\theta) \right).$$

Fisher Information, cont'd

Another interpretation of the Fisher Information is the following.

It is easy to see that (under the regularity conditions)

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f(X|\theta) \right) = 0.$$

Then,

$$I(\theta) = \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f(X|\theta) \right)^2 \right] = \text{Var} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f(X|\theta) \right).$$

So the Fisher Information is the Variance of the Score function

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f(X|\theta).$$

Fisher Information in the Multidimensional case

Now assume that the parameter θ is d -dimensional. Then the Fisher Information Matrix is defined as

$$I(\theta) = \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\nabla_{\theta} \ln f(X|\theta) \right) \cdot \left(\nabla_{\theta} \ln f(X|\theta) \right)^T \right],$$

where $\nabla_{\theta} g(\theta)$ denotes the Gradient of $g(\theta)$ w.r.t θ .

Cramer-Rao Inequality, C-R Lower Bound

CR LB is a remarkable inequality, giving a lower bound for the Variance of an Unbiased Estimator.

Cramer-Rao Inequality, C-R Lower Bound

CR LB is a remarkable inequality, giving a lower bound for the Variance of an Unbiased Estimator. Under some regularity conditions on the family of Distributions \mathcal{F}_θ , the following holds:

Cramer-Rao Inequality, C-R Lower Bound

CR LB is a remarkable inequality, giving a lower bound for the Variance of an Unbiased Estimator. Under some regularity conditions on the family of Distributions \mathcal{F}_θ , the following holds:

Theorem (Cramer-Rao, Unbiased Case): Assume we have a Random Sample

$$X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{F}_\theta$$

and the Fisher Information for the family \mathcal{F}_θ is $I(\theta)$. Assume also that $\hat{\theta}$ is an unbiased estimator for θ obtained from our Random Sample. Then, under the above mentioned regularity conditions,

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}) \geq \frac{1}{n \cdot I(\theta)}.$$

Cramer-Rao Inequality, C-R Lower Bound, Biased Case

There is a version of C-R Inequality for the general (not necessarily UnBiased) case.

Cramer-Rao Inequality, C-R Lower Bound, Biased Case

There is a version of C-R Inequality for the general (not necessarily UnBiased) case.

Theorem (Cramer-Rao, General Case): Assume we have a Random Sample

$$X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{IID}{\sim} \mathcal{F}_\theta,$$

and we are using an Estimator $\hat{\theta}$ with the Expectation $k(\theta) = \mathbb{E}(\hat{\theta})$.
Then

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}) \geq \frac{[k'(\theta)]^2}{n \cdot I(\theta)}.$$

Cramer-Rao Inequality, C-R Lower Bound, Biased Case

There is a version of C-R Inequality for the general (not necessarily UnBiased) case.

Theorem (Cramer-Rao, General Case): Assume we have a Random Sample

$$X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{F}_\theta,$$

and we are using an Estimator $\hat{\theta}$ with the Expectation $k(\theta) = \mathbb{E}(\hat{\theta})$. Then

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}) \geq \frac{[k'(\theta)]^2}{n \cdot I(\theta)}.$$

In particular, if $\hat{\theta}$ is unbiased, then $k(\theta) = \theta$, so we will obtain the previous C-R Inequality.

Consequences of the C-R LB

Recall that for an Unbiased Estimator $\hat{\theta}$,

$$MSE(\hat{\theta}, \theta) = Var(\hat{\theta}).$$

Consequences of the C-R LB

Recall that for an Unbiased Estimator $\hat{\theta}$,

$$MSE(\hat{\theta}, \theta) = Var(\hat{\theta}).$$

So the C-R LB gives us

$$MSE(\hat{\theta}, \theta) \geq \frac{1}{n \cdot I(\theta)}.$$

Consequences of the C-R LB

Recall that for an Unbiased Estimator $\hat{\theta}$,

$$MSE(\hat{\theta}, \theta) = Var(\hat{\theta}).$$

So the C-R LB gives us

$$MSE(\hat{\theta}, \theta) \geq \frac{1}{n \cdot I(\theta)}.$$

And this is a fundamental restriction on the MSE: *you cannot do better when estimating θ than the Estimator with*

$$MSE(\hat{\theta}, \theta) = \frac{1}{n \cdot I(\theta)},$$

you cannot obtain smaller MSE using Unbiased Estimators!

Consequences of the C-R LB

Recall that for an Unbiased Estimator $\hat{\theta}$,

$$MSE(\hat{\theta}, \theta) = Var(\hat{\theta}).$$

So the C-R LB gives us

$$MSE(\hat{\theta}, \theta) \geq \frac{1}{n \cdot I(\theta)}.$$

And this is a fundamental restriction on the MSE: *you cannot do better when estimating θ than the Estimator with*

$$MSE(\hat{\theta}, \theta) = \frac{1}{n \cdot I(\theta)},$$

you cannot obtain smaller MSE using Unbiased Estimators!

And if there exists an Unbiased Estimator $\hat{\theta}$ with

$$MSE(\hat{\theta}, \theta) = \frac{1}{n \cdot I(\theta)},$$

we call $\hat{\theta}$ an **Efficient Estimator** for θ , and that Estimator is a MVUE for θ .

Notes

Note: Not always there exists an Unbiased Estimator with

$$MSE(\hat{\theta}, \theta) = Var(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{1}{n \cdot I(\theta)}.$$

Notes

Note: Not always there exists an Unbiased Estimator with

$$MSE(\hat{\theta}, \theta) = Var(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{1}{n \cdot I(\theta)}.$$

But even in that cases we can have MVUE (and, in that case, the minimum of the Variance will be $> \frac{1}{n \cdot I(\theta)}$).

Notes

Note: Not always there exists an Unbiased Estimator with

$$MSE(\hat{\theta}, \theta) = Var(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{1}{n \cdot I(\theta)}.$$

But even in that cases we can have MVUE (and, in that case, the minimum of the Variance will be $> \frac{1}{n \cdot I(\theta)}$).

Note: Sometimes, in different Textbooks, an Unbiased Estimator with Minimum Variance (not necessarily with $Var(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{1}{n \cdot I(\theta)}$) is called an **Efficient Estimator** for θ .

Example

Example: Show that in the Bernoulli Model, with a Random Sample

$$X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p), \quad p \in [0, 1],$$

the Estimator

$$\hat{p} = \bar{X}$$

is the MVUE of p .

Example

Example: Show that in the Bernoulli Model, with a Random Sample

$$X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p), \quad p \in [0, 1],$$

the Estimator

$$\hat{p} = \bar{X}$$

is the MVUE of p .

Example: Show that in the Poisson Model, with a Random Sample

$$X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda), \quad \lambda > 0,$$

the Estimator

$$\hat{\lambda} = \bar{X}$$

is the MVUE of λ .