Arman Vardanyan

DSA - Assignment 1 – Search in dynamic sets

This documentation is about manipulations in dynamic sets. There are 6 parts

- Splay Tree implementation
- AVL Tree implementation
- Their comparison
- Separate chaining Hash Table
- Robin Hood Hash Table
- Their comparison

So let's start from what files my project contains for first part There are.

- Node.js wich is the object, its represents each element in the tree

```
- public class Node {
    private Node parent;
    private Node leftHeir;
    private Node rightHeir;
    private int heightvalue = 0;
    private int value;
```

• • • •

MainTree.java file which contains main logic of Binary Tree.
 Here are some function as for example.

```
public class MainTree {
    private Node root;

    public MainTree(int value) {
        root = new Node(value);
    }
    public Node getRoot() {
        return root;
    }
}
```

```
public Node search(int key) {,,,}

public void insert(int key) {,,,}

public void rotate(Node node) {,,,}

public Node restructure(Node a) {,,,}

private void printNode(Node node) {,,,}
```

etc...

than I have AVLTree.java wich contains AVL Tree implementation

```
// Rebalances a given node in the AVLTree by performing rotations as
necessary
public void rebalanceNode(Node node) {
    while (node != null) {
        updateHeight(node);
        if (lisNodeBalanced(node)) {
            node = restructure(getTallerChild(getTallerChild(node)));
            updateHeight(node.getLeftHeir());
            updateHeight(node.getRightHeir());
        }
        node = node.getParent();
    }
}

// Rebalances the AVLTree after an insertion
public void rebalanceInsertion(Node node) {
        rebalanceNode(node);
}

// Rebalances the AVLTree after a deletion
public void rebalanceDeletion(Node node) {
        if (node != getRoot()) {
            rebalanceNode(node.getParent());
        }
}
```

Also AVLTester.java

```
public class AVLTreeTester {
    public void run() {
        AVLTree tree = new AVLTree(10);
        tree.insert(5);
        tree.insert(3);
        tree.insert(2);
        tree.insert(1);
        tree.insert(4);
        tree.insert(4);
        tree.insert(25);
        tree.insert(15);
        tree.insert(15);
        tree.inorder(tree.getRoot());
        System.out.println();
        tree.remove(25);
        tree.inorder(tree.getRoot());
}
```

and SplayTree.java

```
public class SplayTree extends MainTree {
            } else if (isZigZig(node, parent, grandparent)) {
                rotate(parent);
                rotate(node);
                rotate(node);
   public void rebalanceAccess(Node accessedNode) {
   public void rebalanceDelete(Node deletedNode) {
```

and SplayTreeTester.java

```
public class SplayTreeTester {
   public void run() {
       SplayTree splayTree = new SplayTree(10);
       splayTree.insert(7);
       splayTree.insert(1);
       splayTree.insert(2);
       splayTree.insert(2);
       splayTree.insert(6);
       splayTree.insert(3);
       splayTree.insert(25);
       splayTree.insert(25);
       splayTree.insert(15);
       splayTree.inorder(splayTree.getRoot());
       System.out.println();
       splayTree.inorder(splayTree.getRoot());
       System.out.println();
       splayTree.search(6);
       splayTree.search(6);
       splayTree.inorder(splayTree.getRoot());
       System.out.println();
       splayTree.search(3);
       splayTree.search(3);
       splayTree.inorder(splayTree.getRoot());
    }
}
```

tester files are not similar but they are working very similar and can better provide their difference.

So, what is the difference between Splay Tree and AVL Tree. At first it was very difficult to understand what the difference was, except for the method, since with chaotic tests the results were + - the same. speed was sometimes more influenced by open programs in the computer than by the program itself, since the compiler always tries to do everything very quickly.

After in-depth study of the issue, I found out which method is better in which cases and began to test them with more problematic cases. They are both BVS-s with good performance, but shape of AVL Tree is always constrained, so that means that that the height of the tree never exceeds $O(\log n)$. This shape is better for deletions and insertions and not change during searches. In compare Splay trees can maintain efficient by reshaping the tree depending on problem. Splay trees are more memory efficient than AVL trees because they don't need to store balance information at the nodes. However, AVL trees are more useful in multi-threaded environments with a lot of searches because searching in AVL tree can be performed in parallel, while searches in Splay trees cannot. The difference is that in Splay trees, after each

operation, we try to keep the tree almost perfectly balanced so that subsequent operations take less time. Also they are very similar that we are getting about Log(n) time in both Binary Trees.

So lets go to next part, to Hash Tables. I implemented to types of Hash Table algorythms, Separate chaining and Robin hood. So these are file

SeparateChainingHashTable.java

```
private Integer capacity;
   private Integer key;
    public HashNode(Integer key, String value) {
```

```
Objects.requireNonNull(value, "Value must not be null");
       HashNode newNode = new HashNode(key, value);
       chains[bucketIndex] = newNode;
   private Integer getBucketIndex(Integer key) {
currentNode = currentNode.next) {
   public String remove(final Integer key) {
```

```
HashNode previous = head;
HashNode current = head.next;
while (current != null) {
    if (current.key.equals(key)) {
        previous.next = current.next;
        size--;
        return current.value;
    }
    previous = current;
    current = current.next;
}
return null;
}
```

HashTableSeparateTester.java

```
public class HashTableSeparateTester {
    public void run () {
        SeparateChainingHashTable table = new SeparateChainingHashTable(10);

        table.put(1, "apple");
        table.put(2, "banana");
        table.put(3, "cherry");
        table.put(4, "date");
        System.out.println(table.size());
        System.out.println(table.get(1)); // apple
        System.out.println(table.get(2)); // banana
        System.out.println(table.get(3)); // cherry
        System.out.println(table.get(4)); // date

        table.put(5, "apple");
        System.out.println(table.remove(2));
        System.out.println(table.get(2));
    }
}
```

RobinHoodHashTable.java

```
import java.util.Arrays;

public class RobinHoodHashTable {
    private final int size;
    private final String[] keys;
    private final int[] values;
    private final int[] distances;

public RobinHoodHashTable(int size) {
        this.size = size;
        keys = new String[size];
        values = new int[size];
        distances = new int[size];
        Arrays.fill(distances, -1);
    }
}
```

```
private int hash(String key) {
public void put(String key, int value) {
    int currentDistance = 0;
        int distance = getAbsoluteDistance(index, hash(keys[index]));
            swap(index, distance);
            currentDistance = distances[index];
        currentDistance++;
```

```
return removedKey;
int distance = Math.abs(b - a);
int tempValue = values[index];
int tempDistance = distances[index];
```

RobinHoodHashTableTester.java

```
public void run () {
    RobinHoodHashTable table = new RobinHoodHashTable(10);

    table.put("apple", 1);
    table.put("banana", 2);
    table.put("cherry", 3);
    table.put("date", 4);
    table.put("phone", 7);
    table.put("bottle", 9);
    table.put("knife", 10);
    table.put("table", 11);
    table.put("chair", 12);

    System.out.println(table.get(1)); // apple
    System.out.println(table.get(2)); // banana
    System.out.println(table.get(3)); // cherry
    System.out.println(table.get(4)); // date

    table.put("apple", 5);
    System.out.println();
    System.out.println(table.remove(2)); // banana
    System.out.println(table.get(2)); // null

    System.out.println(table.get(5)); // apple
    System.out.println(table.get(5)); // apple
    System.out.println(table.get(8)); // null
}
```

So, here we have two Hash Tables and as it was in previous examples, here I also got asem problem, they were very similar in intelijIDEA. So I tried to understand what is difference between them except implementation. The Separate Chaining's concept includes a method where each index key is built with a linked list. The Robin Hoods concept says that keys and values are stored in a contiguous array. One of main privilege of separate chaining is that it allows for efficient handling od collisions, as they can be resolved with simple linked list traversal. But the idea behind Robin Hoods strategy is to minimize the difference in spacing berween elements and their ideal indexes, which improves searching performance. To insert an element into Robin Hood hash table, the key is first hashed to find the corredsponding index in the array.

In general Separate Chaining is more memory efficient and works well when the number of collisions is relatively low. But the Robin Hood hashing is more computationally expensive, but can handle high collision rates more efficiently.