

<b>Assignment 3 - Deriving and Evaluating a DB</b>	<b>EE379K</b>	<b>EE382C</b>
<b><i>Part 1a: Deriving Deployment Blueprints (DB) -- Representation Graphic</i></b>		
Graphic provided	0.25	0.25
Solution elements identifiable in graphic	1	0.5
Graphic makes primary style evident	0.5	0.5
Similar style graphic shown in excerpt from reference	0.5	0.25
Every "box" and "line" element described	0.5	0.25
<b><i>Part 1b: Deriving Deployment Blueprints (DB) -- Representation Text</i></b>		
Mapping provided from SB solutions (in DB) to BB functions	1	1
Mapping provided to BB I/O	0.5	0.5
<b><i>Part 1c: Deriving Deployment Blueprints (DB) -- Derivation Rationale</i></b>		
Discussion addresses all needs from Assgn. 2, Part 1	1	0.5
4 styles or design guidelines discussed	1.5	1
References provided for each style or guideline	0.5	0.5
Conflicts identified or lack of conflicts discussed	0.5	0.5
Business blueprint refactoring discussed	0.5	0.5
<b><i>Part 2: Evaluating Solution Blueprint (SB) Compliance</i></b>		
CompFuncCoeff for every component-soln pair	0.25	0.25
CompFuncCoeff calculated correctly	0.25	0.25
CompDataCoeff for every component-soln pair	0.25	0.25
CompFuncBoundaryError for every solution	0.25	0.25
CompFuncBoundaryError calculated correctly	0.25	0.25
<b><i>Part 3: Planning Evaluation of DB Using an ATAM Quality Attribute Utility Tree</i></b>		
Level 1 with aggregation discussion	0.5	0.25
Level 2 with qualities from Assignment 2, Part 1	0.5	0.25
Level 3 with sub-factor(s) under each goal	0.5	0.25
Level 4 with objective(s) under each sub-factors	0.5	0.25
Level 4 rated by importance to system	0.5	0.25
Level 4 rated by difficulty	0.25	0.25
Level 5 with metric(s) under each objective	0.5	0.25
Level 5 rated by degree to which it accurately predicts/assesses whether the objective has been met	0.25	0.25
<b><i>General</i></b>		
2 different deployment blueprints provided	1	1
Quality of content and presentation	0.5	0.5
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>14.5</b>	<b>11</b>