

Artificial Intelligence – Semester Project Guidelines

1. Objective

The purpose of this semester project is to provide students with an opportunity to apply **Artificial Intelligence (AI) concepts, algorithms, and design methodologies** to solve real-world or cybersecurity-related problems.

Students will design, implement, and evaluate a complete **AI-driven system**, integrating:

- **Search algorithms,**
- **Constraint satisfaction and optimization,**
- **Supervised and unsupervised learning,** and
- **Reinforcement learning** concepts.

The project will mirror **industry-level workflows**, emphasizing data pipelines, reproducibility, explainability, and AI ethics.

2. Learning Outcomes

By the end of this project, students will be able to:

- Formulate AI problems with measurable goals and evaluation metrics.
- Implement search and learning algorithms efficiently.
- Handle real-world datasets (preprocessing, feature engineering, scaling).
- Develop and evaluate ML and RL models using modern libraries.
- Apply explainable AI techniques (SHAP, LIME).
- Document and present AI-driven solutions using professional reporting standards.

3. Project Lifecycle and Weekly Integration

| Weeks | Course Topics | Project Phase / Expected Deliverables |
|----------------|---|---|
| Week 7 | <i>Introduction to AI & Intelligent Agents</i> | Define problem domain, objectives, and agent type (reflex, model-based, goal-based, or learning agent). Draft Project Proposal . |
| Week 8 | <i>Search Strategies (Uninformed & Informed)</i> | Implement initial search/optimization-based solution (e.g., BFS, DFS, A*, Best-First). Prepare Agent Design Report . |
| Week 9 | <i>Constraint Satisfaction Problems (CSPs)</i> | Model part of your system as a CSP (variables, domains, constraints). Implement backtracking or arc consistency. |
| Week 10 | <i>Machine Learning Foundations & Supervised Learning</i> | Acquire/prepare dataset. Apply data preprocessing, feature selection, and train at least two supervised models (e.g., Decision Tree, Logistic Regression, Random Forest). |

| | | |
|----------------|---|---|
| Week 11 | <i>Advanced ML (SVMs, Neural Networks, CNNs)</i> | Integrate advanced ML or deep learning model. Perform hyperparameter tuning and visualize learning results. |
| Week 12 | <i>Unsupervised Learning & Reinforcement Learning</i> | Extend system using clustering or reinforcement learning (Q-learning, DQN). Analyze model behavior on unseen or adversarial data. |
| Week 13 | <i>Recap & Final Presentation</i> | Integrate components, finalize report, and deliver presentation + demo . |

4. Project Categories (Select One Domain)

A. Cybersecurity Intelligence

- **Examples:** Intrusion Detection, Phishing Detection, Network Traffic Analysis
- **Techniques:** Random Forest, SVM, ANN, CNN, Autoencoders
- **Datasets:** UNSW-NB15, CICIDS2017, NSL-KDD
- **Metrics:** Precision, Recall, F1-score, ROC-AUC

B. Optimization & Search Systems

- **Examples:** Routing optimization, resource allocation, scheduling
- **Techniques:** A*, Hill-Climbing, Genetic Algorithms, CSPs
- **Evaluation:** Cost minimization, time efficiency, convergence

C. Intelligent Agent Simulations

- **Examples:** Multi-agent security systems, autonomous defenders, logistics bots
- **Techniques:** Model-based, goal-based, and learning agents
- **Evaluation:** Decision accuracy, adaptability, and computational efficiency

D. Predictive Analytics

- **Examples:** Threat prediction, log-based anomaly detection, behavior forecasting
- **Techniques:** Regression, Ensemble Learning, Time Series (LSTM, Prophet)
- **Evaluation:** RMSE, MAE, R², trend accuracy

E. Reinforcement Learning Applications

- **Examples:** Adaptive intrusion response, defense automation, game-based control
- **Techniques:** Q-learning, SARSA, DQN, PPO
- **Evaluation:** Reward convergence, policy stability, exploration balance

F. NLP & Threat Intelligence

- **Examples:** Text classification, malicious domain detection, sentiment analysis
- **Techniques:** TF-IDF, Word2Vec, BERT, Logistic Regression

- **Datasets:** Phishing Email Corpus, URLNet
- **Evaluation:** F1, ROC-AUC, confusion matrix

5. Deliverables and Timeline

| Deliverable | Description | Due Week |
|--|--|----------|
| 1. Proposal Report (4–5 pages) | Problem statement, motivation, objectives, dataset source, AI approach, and evaluation plan. | Week 7 |
| 2. Progress Report I | Agent/search algorithm implementation with working example. | Week 9 |
| 3. Progress Report II | Data preprocessing pipeline + baseline ML models with performance metrics. | Week 8 |
| 4. Progress Report III | Integration of advanced ML/DL or RL model with interpretability and optimization. | Week 10 |
| 5. Final Report + Demo Presentation | Full working system, final evaluation, report, slides, and GitHub repository. | Week 13 |

6. Technical Standards & Tools

| Component | Requirements / Recommendations |
|------------------------------|---|
| Language | Python (mandatory for ML/RL tasks) |
| Libraries | NumPy, Pandas, scikit-learn, TensorFlow, PyTorch, OpenAI Gym, XGBoost |
| Data Tools | Kaggle, UCI, UNSW-NB15, CICIDS2017 |
| Visualization | Matplotlib, Seaborn, TensorBoard, Plotly |
| Explainability Tools | SHAP, LIME |
| Version Control | GitHub/GitLab (with commit logs) |
| Experiment (optional) | Tracking Weights & Biases (W&B), MLflow |
| Deployment (optional) | Streamlit, Flask, or Docker |

7. Evaluation Rubrics (Detailed and Technical)

| Evaluation Component | Description | Weight |
|--|---|--------|
| 1. Problem Definition & Relevance | & Problem clarity, industry linkage, measurable objectives | 10% |
| 2. System Architecture & Design | & Modular pipeline (data → model → evaluation), scalability, architecture diagram | 15% |

| | | |
|---|--|-------------|
| 3. Implementation | Algorithmic Correct and efficient implementation of AI algorithms (search, CSP, ML, RL) | 20% |
| 4. Data Handling & Feature Engineering | Data quality, preprocessing, normalization, feature extraction, ethics | 15% |
| 5. Model Development & Evaluation | Model selection, parameter tuning, comparative analysis, validation (e.g., K-fold CV) | 15% |
| 6. Interpretability & Explainability | Use of SHAP/LIME, visualization of model insights, explainable decision-making | 10% |
| 7. Documentation & Presentation | Report quality, formatting, technical writing, demo clarity | 10% |
| 8. Scalability & Innovation | Creativity, reusability, performance optimization, or deployment | 5% |
| Total | | 100% |

8. Detailed Evaluation Matrix

| Criteria | Excellent (A) | Good (B) | Fair (C) | Poor (D/F) |
|----------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| Problem Formulation | Domain-specific, measurable, innovative | Clear but lacks technical novelty | Vague objectives | Unclear or trivial |
| Algorithmic Design | Correct, optimized, modular | Correct but limited optimization | Partial or inefficient | Incorrect implementation |
| Data Engineering | Robust pipeline, visual EDA, engineered features | Good preprocessing | Minimal effort | Raw/unprocessed |
| Model Evaluation | Multiple metrics, proper validation | Partial evaluation | Basic accuracy only | No evaluation |
| Explainability | SHAP/LIME visualization used | or Partial interpretation | Minimal effort | None |
| Report Presentation & | Industry-grade structure, clarity | visuals, Organized | Limited structure | Disorganized |

9. Reporting Format

Each report (especially final) must follow a structured **academic–industrial hybrid** format:

- Abstract**
- Introduction & Motivation**
- Problem Definition & Objectives**
- Literature Review**

5. **System Architecture** (with diagram)
6. **Data Description & Preprocessing**
7. **Algorithmic Implementation** (Search / ML / RL / CSP)
8. **Model Evaluation & Comparison**
9. **Explainability & Visualization**
10. **Results & Discussion**
11. **Ethical AI & Limitations**
12. **Conclusion & Future Work**
13. **References** (IEEE format)

10. Technical Best Practices

| Aspect | Requirement |
|----------------------------|---|
| Code Quality | Follow PEP8; use docstrings and modular functions. |
| Reproducibility | Include <code>requirements.txt</code> or <code>environment.yml</code> . |
| Version Control | Use Git; frequent commits with messages. |
| Experiment Tracking | Log results, models, and parameters. |
| Visualization | Include model performance plots (loss, accuracy, ROC). |
| AI Ethics | Avoid biased datasets; justify all data sources. |

11. Submission Checklist

1. Proposal (Week 3)
2. Progress Reports I–III (Weeks 5, 8, 12)
3. Final Report (Week 13)
4. Presentation Slides + Demo
5. GitHub Repository (Code + README + requirements.txt)
6. (Optional) Streamlit/Flask Deployment or MLflow Logging

12. Bonus Marks (Up to +10%)

| Criterion | Bonus |
|---|-------|
| Integration of Explainability (SHAP/LIME) | +2% |
| ML Experiment Tracking (MLflow/W&B) | +3% |
| Deployed Prototype (Flask/Streamlit/Docker) | +5% |

13. Example Real-World Project Ideas

| Domain | Project Title | AI Techniques |
|---------------------|--|--|
| Cybersecurity | Intrusion Detection using Random Forest & SHAP | Ensemble Learning, Explainable AI |
| Cyber Defense | Q-Learning based Intrusion Response Agent | Reinforcement Learning |
| Optimization | Hybrid A* + CSP Resource Allocation | Heuristic Search, Constraint Propagation |
| NLP Threat Intel | Phishing Email Detection using BERT | Transformer, Text Classification |
| Predictive Analysis | Time-Series Attack Forecasting | LSTM, ARIMA |
| Autonomous Systems | Multi-Agent Security Simulation | Goal-Based & Learning Agents |

14. Final Presentation

- **Duration:** 12–15 minutes per team
- **Format:**
 1. Problem introduction & motivation
 2. System architecture & algorithms
 3. Data pipeline & results
 4. Visualization and interpretability results
 5. Demo (if applicable)
 6. Q&A

Evaluation will focus on **clarity, technical mastery, and result justification**.

15. Group Policy

- **Group Size:** 2–3 members.
- Each member must contribute technically (e.g., ML module, visualization, RL model, or documentation).
- **Individual performance** verified via contribution logs, commits, and oral defense.