ARCHITECTURE

Blix - Al Photo Editor



The Spanish Inquisition

Name	Student Number
Armand Krynauw	u04868286
Jake Mileham	u21692492
Dino Gironi	u21630276
Karel Olwage	u21555258
Francois Combrinck	u21729752

Contents

1	Des	ign Strategy	2
2	Arcl	nitectural Styles	2
3	Guality Requirements		
	3.1	Performance	3
	3.2	Customizability	3
	3.3	Usability	3
	3.4	Security	4
	3.5	Compatibility	4
4	Arcl	nitectural Design & Patterns	4
	4.1	Model-View-Controller (MVC)	4
	4.2	Publish-Subscribe	5
	4.3	Client-Server	5
5	Con	straints	6
6	Tecl	nnology Choices	6
	6.1	Svelte	6
	6.2	Tailwind CSS	6
	6.3	Electron	7
	6.4	Firebase	7

1 Design Strategy

The architectural design strategy for this software engineering project used two main approaches: decomposition and design based on quality requirements.

- Decomposition Strategy: The decomposition strategy involved breaking the system down
 into individual components or subsystems. These components were then designed and
 implemented independently, and their interactions were defined. This approach allowed for
 a more modular design, which made the system easier to understand, maintain, and extend.
- Requirements Strategy: The design based on quality requirements strategy involved identifying the key quality requirements for the system and then designing the system to meet those requirements. This approach ensured that the system would be reliable, efficient, secure, and usable.

2 Architectural Styles

3 Quality Requirements

3.1 Performance

- Description: The application should demonstrate efficient speed and responsiveness when
 editing large images and handling multiple projects. Real-time processing of graph-based
 image operations should be seamless and should not cause any delay during editing. The
 application should be able to support the execution of multiple system plugins that should
 not cause any significant performance degradation.
- Quantification: The performance requirement will be measured by defining specific metrics, such as the maximum size of images that can be edited without lag, the duration of time it takes for the application to load and display images of various sizes, and the average time taken for the application to process a graph-based image operation. The application's performance with different numbers of open projects and running plugins can also be benchmarked by measuring the percentage of CPU and RAM usage and observing performance during peak usage.

3.2 Customizability

- **Description**: The system be extensible and customizable to the extent where they can change the theme of the system to their liking. Customize application command shortcuts as they see fit and change the layout of the system with hot-swappable tiles. The system should also allow for custom plugin creation and customization.
- Quantification: The system should support a minimum of five themes. The system should allow the shortcut customization of base system commands and plugin commands. The system should allow users to rearrange the the base layout and add custom plugin tiles. The system will provide a plugin development API that allows users to create and customize their own plugins.

3.3 Usability

- Description: The system should be clear and concise on how it should be operated. The
 system must ensure that users can easily navigate and use the system without external
 assistance or unwarranted difficulty. The system should provide keyboard navigation for
 primary parts of the system to make powers users more efficient. All functionality must be
 properly documented such that high-end users can easily perform complex operations.
- **Quantification**: The percentage of users who are able complete a given set of tasks should be above specified threshold. User errors rates should be kept to a minimum and users should have and easy and pleasant time interacting with the system.

3.4 Security

- Description: The application must ensure the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of user data by protecting against unauthorized access, disclosure, modification, or destruction.
 Furthermore, the application and its plugin system must be resilient to attacks from thirdparty entities and malicious users.
- Quantification: The application should isolate and sandbox plugin code to prevent it from
 accessing sensitive user information and core system functionality. The application should
 use encryption for sensitive user data both at-rest and in-transit.

3.5 Compatibility

- Description: The application must be compatible with major operating systems such as Windows, macOS, and Linux distributions such as Ubuntu and Debian. It should support image file formats commonly used in the industry such as JPEG, PNG, GIF, BMP, and RAW from popular camera brands.
- **Quantification**: The application must be able to run without errors on the latest stable versions of the aforementioned operating systems. It must be able to import and export image files with the aforementioned formats without any data loss or corruption.

4 Architectural Design & Patterns

Three main architectural patterns where identified to decompose the base system into components and subsystems.

4.1 Model-View-Controller (MVC)

The MVC pattern was chosen in order to create clear distinction between the user interface, business logic and system data. This trinity-based setup enables separation of concerns and allows for the system to be easily extended and maintained. Each of the three components play their parts as follows:

- **Model**: The 'model' in our system is the core backend graph running on the Node.js server within the Electron application. This represents the core state of the system, and is the central source of truth for all other views in the system. In a sense, it acts as a central database which all other 'services' utilize.
- Views: Our system consists of multiple views which all derive representations from the core
 graph *model*. Some examples of views in the system include the frontend *Svelvet* graph
 which the user interacts with, as well as the text-based (e.g. JSON) model which is passed

to the LLM AI assistant. When changes take place within the core graph, these views are automatically updated to reflect them.

• **Controllers**: These are the 'middle-men' between each view, and the core graph. As our system is an Electron application, the frontend views must be able to communicate with the core graph over Electron's IPC (*Interprocess Communication*) protocol.

When changes to local view state take place (e.g. If the user were to add a new node to the frontend graph), the controllers are responsible for instructing the core backend graph that these changes have taken place. Then after the core graph has applied these changes in a manner that is consistent (forms a valid directed compute graph), all subscriber views are notified of the changes and are updated accordingly.

4.2 Publish-Subscribe

Our implementation layers the Publish-Subscribe architectural pattern on top of the MVC pattern to endow the system with multiple-reactivity. The PubSub architecture allows one central source of information to be propagated to a multitude of subscribers, in our case the core graph and each of its views respectively. PubSub is a highly effective pattern as it abstracts the notion of state propagation into a composable set of participant modules which can be easily added/removed from the system. This helps us future-proof the application and allows for scalability as the system grows.

As an example, let's say down the line we wanted to add a command line utility to compute a given graph without needing the user interface to be loaded; In such a situation we could easily disable the UI graph subscriber, and add alongside a 'command line utility' subscriber, which still communicates with the core graph, but does so entirely through the standard input/output streams of the command line.

Thus by using this architecture we have effectively made core parts of the system 'hot-swappable'.

4.3 Client-Server

The Large Language Model AI assistant is a significant part of the system which we are building, and at the time of writing all major LLMs (which provide the sufficient level of intelligence that we are looking for) are hosted on the cloud. As our app is hosted natively on this users machine, this means we must also enable remote communication to the respective LLM API's.

From this perspective, the Blix application exists as a client to the LLM API server, and must be able to perform remote HTTP requests as such. Google has recently announced the release of their PaLM models as open source, however, and so we are currently investigating the possibility of hosting the LLM locally on the users machine. In such a situation the client-server architecture would still apply, except that the server 'LLM API' would be hosted locally on the users machine, and all communication would be done over the loopback interface.

5 Constraints

6 Technology Choices

6.1 Svelte

Svelte is an open-source JavaScript framework for building user interfaces. It is designed to be highly efficient and focused on delivering optimal performance by shifting the work from the runtime to the build process. One of the key visions of Blix is to provide a fast and responsive user interface with lightning fast reactivity. Additionally due to the large scope of the project, it is important to ensure that the system is as lightweight as possible. Svelte is the perfect choice for this as it compiles the application into highly performant JavaScript, instead of simulating a virtual DOM for performing reactive page updates.

Pros:

- · Fast and responsive user interface with lightning fast reactivity.
- Svelte is a highly scalable framework that allows for the creation of large scale applications.
- Reusability of components shortens development time.

Cons:

- Svelte is a relatively new framework and as such has a smaller community than other frameworks such as React and Vue.
- Svelte has a smaller ecosystem than other frameworks such as React and Vue.
- Svelte is lacking in stabilty as it is still a relatively new framework.

6.2 Tailwind CSS

Tailwind CSS is an utility-first CSS framework that provides a set of pre-designed, low-level utility classes. Tailwind CSS focuses on providing a comprehensive set of utility classes that you can combine to build custom user interfaces. Tailwind CSS is the perfect choice for Blix as it allows for the creation of a custom user interface that is unique to Blix. Additionally, Tailwind CSS is highly scalable and allows for the creation of large scale applications.

Pros:

- Tailwind CSS is a scalable framework that allows for the creation of large scale applications.
- Tailwind CSS is a customizable framework that allows for the creation of a custom user interface that is unique to Blix.

 Tailwind CSS is a responsive framework that allows for the creation of a responsive user interface.

Cons:

- The class names can make the HTML markup harder to read and maintain, especially for larger projects.
- Tailwind CSS generates a large CSS file due to the extensive collection of utility classes.
- The class names can make the markup harder to read and maintain, especially for larger projects.

6.3 Electron

Electron allows developers to build cross-platform desktop applications using web technologies such as HTML, CSS, and JavaScript. Electron allows developers to locally host a web application within a desktop application. This allows for the creation of a desktop application that is highly scalable and can be easily ported to other platforms. **Pros:**

- Highly scalable framework that allows for the creation of large scale applications.
- Electron provides a comprehensive set of APIs that allows for the creation of a desktop application that is unique to Blix.
- Allows code reusage as the same codebase can be used to create a desktop application and a web application.
- Leverages the power of Node.js modules to provide

Cons:

- Electron generates a large executable file due to the extensive collection of APIs.
- High memory usage due to the extensive collection of APIs.
- Dependent on chromium browser updates.

6.4 Firebase

Firebase is a mobile and web application development platform developed by Firebase, Inc. in 2011, then acquired by Google in 2014. Firebase provides a comprehensive set of APIs that allows developers to build, manage, and deploy applications. Firebase is the perfect choice for

Blix as it provides a comprehensive set of APIs that allows for the creation of a highly scalable application.

Pros:

- Real time database that allows for the creation of a highly scalable application.
- Cloud storage that allows for efficient data storage and retrieval.
- Quick and easy authentication that allows for the creation of a secure application.

Cons:

- Lack of flexibility as Firebase is a closed source platform.
- Limited server side logic as Firebase is a closed source platform.
- Scalability and latency considerations is an external service.