

Random sample: Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  constitute a random sample on a random variable  $X$  if they are independent and each has the same distribution as  $X$ . We will abbreviate this by saying that  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  are iid i.e. independent and identically distributed.

MCITAMITRE

(1)

Population: The collection of all units of a specific type in a given region at a particular time is termed as a population or universe.

Example, A population of Rajshahi university students, a population of books in a library, a population of tree of a certain region/country etc.

Sample: A sample is a representative part of the population that is taken and considered for study.

Example, some students of Rajshahi university, some books in a library, some trees in a certain country etc.

Parameter: A parameter is an index associated with population. Any numerical value describing the a characteristic of a population is called a parameter. Example, population mean  $\mu$ , population variance  $\sigma^2$ , population proportion  $\pi$  etc.

Statistic: A statistic is a characteristic of a sample. Any function of sample observations or items is called statistic. Usually denoted by small English alphabet. Example, sample mean  $\bar{x}$ , sample variance  $s^2$ , sample proportion  $p$  etc.

Random sample: Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  be  $n$  i.i.d. random variables each having pdf  $f(x|\theta)$ , then the random variables  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  are said to be constitute(গঠন কৰি) a random sample of size  $n$  from  $f(x|\theta)$ .

Here,  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  are the sample-items.

2023/6/11 23:03

Question: Explain the concept of estimation with the example.

Answer:

Estimation: Estimation is the process of finding an estimate or approximation, which is a value that is useable for some purpose even if input data may be incomplete, uncertain or unstable.

Another definition, Let  $x$  be a random variable which represent some characteristic of the elements in a population whose density function is assumed  $f(x|\theta)$ ;  $\theta$  is unknown parameters. Again, Let the values  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  of a random sample  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  from  $f(x|\theta)$  can be observed sample values  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ , it is desired to estimate the value of the unknown parameter  $\theta$  or  $T(\theta)$  (sample function of  $\theta$ )

The estimation can be divided into two types

- ① Point estimation.
- ② Interval estimation.

For example, If  $f(x|\theta)$  is the normal density function that is

$$f(x|\theta) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(x-\mu)^2}{\sigma^2}\right]; -\infty < x < \infty$$

where the parameter  $\theta$  is  $(\mu, \sigma^2)$  and if it desired to estimate the mean that is  $T(\theta) = \mu$  then the statistic  $\bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$  is a possible point estimator or of  $T(\theta) = \mu$ .

Estimator: Any function of random sample  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  that are being used/observed say  $T_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  is called a statistic. If it is used to estimate the unknown parameter  $\theta$  of the distribution, it is called an estimator.

Example, Sample mean  $\bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ , sample variance  $s^2 = (n-1)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2$  are an estimator of the population mean  $\mu$  and population variance  $\sigma^2$  respectively.

Estimate: A particular value of the estimator is called an estimate.

Example, the sample mean  $\bar{x} = 5.6$  (say) is an estimate value of the estimator.

Point estimation: A point estimate is a single number that is used to estimate an unknown population parameter.

Another definition, suppose  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  is a sample from a density  $f(x|\theta)$  where  $\theta$  is unknown fixed value which can assume any value in one-dimensional real parameter space  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $t$  be a function of  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  so that  $t$  is a statistic and hence a random variable. If  $t$  is used to estimate  $\theta$  then  $t$  is called a point estimator of  $\theta$ . If the realized value of  $t$  from a sample is used for  $\theta$  then  $t$  is called a point estimate of  $\theta$ .

For example, if  $f(x|\theta)$  is the normal density function that is  $f(x|\theta) = (1/\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}) \exp[-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu/\sigma)^2]$  where the parameter  $\theta$  is  $(\mu, \sigma^2)$  and it is desired to estimate the mean, that is  $T(\theta) = \mu$  then the statistic  $\bar{x} = \bar{n}^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$  is a possible point estimator of  $T(\theta) = \mu$ .

Interval Estimation: The interval estimation is to define two statistic say  $t_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  and  $t_2(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  so that  $\{t_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), t_2(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)\}$  constitutes (start) an interval for which the probability can be determined that it contains the unknown  $T(\theta)$ .

For example if  $f(x|\theta)$  is the normal density that is  $f(x) = (\sigma\sqrt{2\pi})^{-1} \exp[-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu/\sigma)^2]$  where the parameter  $\theta$  is  $(\mu, \sigma^2)$  and if it is desired to estimate the mean is  $T(\theta) = \mu$ . Then the statistic  $\bar{x} = \bar{n}^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$  is a possible point estimation of  $T(\theta) = \mu$  and  $(\bar{x} - 2\sqrt{s^2/n}, \bar{x} + 2\sqrt{s^2/n})$  is a possible interval estimator of  $T(\theta) = \mu$ , where  $s^2 = (n-1)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2$ .

$\Rightarrow$  Properties or criteria of a good estimator:

- ① Unbiasedness
- ② Consistency
- ③ Efficiency
- ④ Sufficiency

(i) Unbiasedness: Any statistic whose mathematical expectation is equal to a parameter  $\theta$  is called an unbiased estimator of the parameter  $\theta$ . Otherwise the statistic is said to be biased.

Let  $t_n$  be a statistic calculated from a sample  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  of size  $n$  from density  $f(x|\theta)$ . If for all  $n$  and  $\theta$   $E(t_n) = \theta$ , then  $t_n$  is called an unbiased estimator of  $\theta$ .

In case  $t_n$  be a biased estimator the difference  $E(t_n) - \theta$  is the amount of bias and  $E(t_n - \theta)^2$  is called meansquare error. Meansquare-error of  $t_n$  = variance of  $t_n$  + bias<sup>2</sup>.

For example, if a random sample  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  of size  $n$  is drawn from a normal distribution/population with mean  $\theta$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} E(\bar{x}) &= \frac{1}{n} E(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} [E(x_1) + E(x_2) + \dots + E(x_n)] \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \cdot n \theta \\ &= \theta \\ \therefore E(\bar{x}) &= \theta \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{And, } E(s^2) &= \frac{1}{n-1} E \left[ \sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \right] \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{(n-1)} E \left[ \frac{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2}{\sigma^2} \right] \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{(n-1)} E(X_{n-1}^2) \\ &= \sigma^2 (n-1)^{-1} \cdot (n-1) \\ &= \sigma^2. \end{aligned}$$

2023/6/11 23:03

$$\therefore E(\sigma^2) = \sigma^2$$

Thus,  $\bar{x}$  and  $s^2$  are unbiased estimators of  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$  respectively.

### (ii) Consistency:

Let  $t_n$  be a statistic calculated from a sample  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  of size  $n$  from density  $f(x|\theta)$ .

$$\text{If } P[|t_n - \theta| < \epsilon] = 1 - \delta \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

where  $\epsilon$  and  $\delta$  are arbitrary small positive numbers then  $t_n$  is called a consistent estimator of  $\theta$ .

consistency is a large sample property. It is not defined for a small sample. A statistic is said to be consistent estimator of the population parameter if it approaches the parameter as the sample size increases.

For example, if  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  is a random sample from a population with finite mean  $E(x_i) = \mu < \infty$ . Now, we have

$$\text{B} \quad \bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

$$\therefore E(\bar{x}) = \mu$$

and  $E(\bar{x}) = \mu$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Hence, sample mean  $\bar{x}_n$  is always a consistent estimator of the population mean  $\mu$ .

### (iii) Efficiency:

If  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  be a sample from density  $f(x|\theta)$  and  $t$  be an unbiased consistent estimator of  $\theta$  and further no other estimators have variance less than that of  $t$ , then  $t$  is said to be the most efficient estimator of  $\theta$  (also simply called efficient estimator of  $\theta$ ).

Let  $t^*$  be any other unbiased statistic. The efficiency of  $t^*$  is the ratio of reciprocal of the variance of  $t^*$  to the amount of information in the data. Actually, the efficiency of  $t^*$  is measured by

$$e(t^*) = \frac{v(t)}{v(t^*)} \quad \dots \dots \dots \text{①}$$

The efficiency of  $t^*$  represents the fraction of the relevant information available actually utilized by  $t^*$ . Since  $v(t) \leq v(t^*)$  the efficiency of any statistic varies between 0 to 1.

For example, Let  $x \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  and  $x_1, x_2, x_3$  be a random sample, then

$$T_1 = \frac{x_1 + x_2 + x_3}{3} \sim N\left(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{3}\right)$$

$$T_2 = \frac{1}{2}(x_1 + x_2) \sim N\left(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)$$

Here, both  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are unbiased estimators of  $\mu$ . But  $\text{var}(T_1) < \text{var}(T_2)$  implies that  $T_1$  is more efficient than  $T_2$ .

### Sufficiency:

An estimator is said to be sufficient for a parameter if it contains all the information in the sample regarding the parameter.

If  $T = t(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  is an estimator of a parameter  $\theta$ , based on a sample  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  of size  $n$  from the population with density  $f(x|\theta)$  such that the conditional distribution of  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  given  $T$  is independent of  $\theta$ , then  $T$  is sufficient estimator for  $\theta$ .

For example,  $x \sim B(n, \theta)$   
sample:  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$

$$\therefore f(x) = \binom{n}{x} \theta^x (1-\theta)^{n-x}$$

$$\text{Now, } p(x) = \theta^{\sum x_i} (1-\theta)^{Nn - \sum x_i} \quad [\text{Bernoulli dist}]$$

$$\therefore p(\sum x_i) = \binom{n}{\sum x_i} \theta^{\sum x_i} (1-\theta)^{Nn - \sum x_i}$$

Now,

$$\frac{p(x)}{p(\sum x_i)} = 1 / \binom{n}{\sum x_i}$$

which is independent of  $\theta$ .

So,  $\sum x_i$  is a sufficient estimator of  $\theta$ .

### Theorem: Gramer-Rao Lower Bound (CRLB):

Suppose

(i)  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  are independent random variables each with density  $f(x|\theta)$ .  $\theta \in \Omega$  an open interval on the real line.

(ii)  $t$  is an estimator of parameter  $\theta$ .

(iii)  $E(t) = \theta + b(\theta)$  where  $b(\theta)$  is the bias of  $t$  and

is a differential function of  $\theta$ .

(iv) The following regularity conditions hold

(a) for almost all  $x$ ,  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta}$  exist &  $\neq 0$ .

(b)  $\frac{\delta}{\delta \theta} \int \dots L = \int \dots \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta}$  which is possible when limit of integration are independent of  $\theta$ .

(c)  $E \left[ \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta} \right]^2 > 0$  for  $\theta \in \Omega$

$$(d) \frac{\delta}{\delta \theta} \int \dots t L$$

$$= \int \dots t \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta}$$

Then for all  $\theta \in \Omega$  Bias part [Unbiased  $\Rightarrow$  numerator  
is zero]

$$V(t) \geq \frac{[1 + b'(\theta)]^2}{n E \left[ \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta} \right]^2}$$

$$= \frac{[1 + b'(\theta)]^2}{E \left[ \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta} \right]^2}$$

$$= - \frac{''}{E \frac{\partial^2 \log L}{\partial \theta^2}}$$

where  $b'(\theta)$  is the first differential of  $b(\theta)$  with respect to  $\theta$ .

P.T.O.

Question: Derive the Cramer Rao lower bound (CRLB) for the variance of an unbiased estimator  $t$  of the parameter  $\theta$ .

state and prove the Cramer Rao Lower bound

Proof:

Statement: Suppose



(i)  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  are independent random variables each with density  $f(x|\theta)$ .  $\theta \in \Omega$  an open interval on the real line.

(ii)  $t$  is an estimator of  $\theta$ .

(iii)  $E(t) = \theta + b(\theta)$  where  $b(\theta)$  is the bias of  $t$  and is a differentiable function of  $\theta$ .

(iv) The following regularity conditions hold

(a) for almost all  $x$ ,  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta}$  ( $L$  is a likelihood function) must exist for all  $\theta \in \Omega$ .

(b)  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \int \dots \int L = \int \dots \int \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta}$  which is possible when the limits of integration are independent of  $\theta$ .

(c)  $E \left[ \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta} \right]^2 > 0$  for  $\theta \in \Omega$

(d)  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \int \dots \int t L = \int \dots \int t \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta}$ .

Then for all  $\theta \in \Omega$

$$V(t) \geq \frac{[1+b'(\theta)]^2}{n E \left[ \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta} \right]^2}$$

$$= \frac{[1+b'(\theta)]^2}{E \left[ \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta} \right]^2} \\ = - \frac{[1+b'(\theta)]^2}{E \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \log L}{\partial \theta^2} \right]}$$

where,  $b'(\theta)$  is the first derivative of  $b(\theta)$  w.r.t  $\theta$

Proof:

We know,

$$L = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i | \theta) \quad \dots \dots \dots \textcircled{i}$$

Since  $L$  is the joint density of the observation

$$\int \dots \int L dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_n = 1 \quad \dots \dots \dots \textcircled{ii}$$

Now, suppose the first and second differentials of  $L$  exist. Then taking the first derivation of  $\textcircled{ii}$  w.r.t  $\theta$  on both sides,

$$\int \dots \int \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta} dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_n = 0$$

$$\text{or } \int \dots \int \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta} \cdot \frac{1}{L} \cdot L dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_n = 0$$

$$\text{or } \int \dots \int \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta} \cdot L dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_n = 0 \quad \therefore \textcircled{iii}$$

$$\text{or } E \left[ \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta} \right] = 0 \quad \dots \dots \dots \textcircled{iv}$$

$$\text{or } E(\phi) = 0 \text{ where } \phi = \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta}$$

Again, differentiating (iii) w.r.t.  $\theta$ .

$$\int \cdots \int \left[ \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta} \cdot \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta} + L \cdot \frac{\partial^2 \log L}{\partial \theta^2} \right] dx_1 dx_2 \cdots dx_n = 0$$

$$\text{or, } \int \cdots \int \left[ \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta} \cdot \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta} \cdot \frac{1}{L} \cdot L + L \cdot \frac{\partial^2 \log L}{\partial \theta^2} \right] dx_1 dx_2 \cdots dx_n = 0$$

$$\text{or, } \int \cdots \int \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta} \right)^2 \cdot L + L \cdot \frac{\partial^2 \log L}{\partial \theta^2} \right] dx_1 dx_2 \cdots dx_n = 0$$

$$\text{or, } \int \cdots \int \left( \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta} \right)^2 L dx_1 dx_2 \cdots dx_n + \int \cdots \int \frac{\partial^2 \log L}{\partial \theta^2} L dx_1 dx_2 \cdots dx_n = 0$$

$$\text{or, } E \left( \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta} \right)^2 + E \left( \frac{\partial^2 \log L}{\partial \theta^2} \right) = 0$$

$$\text{or, } E \left( \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta} \right)^2 = -E \left( \frac{\partial^2 \log L}{\partial \theta^2} \right).$$

Now,

$$E(t) = \theta + b(\theta)$$

$$= \int \cdots \int t L dx_1 dx_2 \cdots dx_n.$$

$$\therefore \frac{\partial E(t)}{\partial \theta} = [1 + b'(\theta)] = \int \cdots \int t \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta} dx_1 dx_2 \cdots dx_n.$$

$$= \int \cdots \int t \cdot \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta} \cdot L dx_1 dx_2 \cdots dx_n$$

$$= E \left( t, \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta} \right)$$

$$= E(t, \Phi) \text{ since } \Phi = \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta}.$$

$$= \text{Cov}(t, \Phi)$$

since  $E(\Phi) = 0$ .

$$\text{or, } [1 + b'(\theta)]^2 = [\text{Cov}(t, \Phi)]^2$$

$$\leq V(t) \cdot V(\Phi)$$

by schwartz's inequality.

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} V(t) &> \frac{[1 + b'(\theta)]^2}{V(\Phi)} \\ &= \frac{[1 + b'(\theta)]^2}{E \left[ \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta} \right]^2} \\ &= - \frac{[1 + b'(\theta)]^2}{E \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \log L}{\partial \theta^2} \right]} \\ &= - \frac{[1 + b'(\theta)]^2}{n E \left[ \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta} \right]^2} \end{aligned}$$

In case,  $t$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\theta$ . i.e.  $E(t) = \theta$ . Then,

$$V(t) \geq \frac{1}{V(\Phi)}$$

(proved)

Proof: we know

$$L = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i | \theta)$$

$$= L(\theta | x_i)$$

Since  $L$  is the density joint density of the observations

$$\int \cdots \int L dx_1 dx_2 \cdots dx_n = 1 \quad \dots \dots \textcircled{I}$$

Now suppose the first and second differentials of  $L$  exist. Then taking first derivative wrt to  $\theta$  on both sides.

$$\int \cdots \int \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta} dx_1 dx_2 \cdots dx_n = 0$$

$$\text{or } \int \cdots \int \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta} \cdot \frac{1}{L} \right) L dx_1 dx_2 \cdots dx_n = 0$$

$$\text{or } \int \cdots \int \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta} \cdot L dx_1 dx_2 \cdots dx_n = 0$$

$$\text{or } E \left( \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta} \right) = 0 \quad \dots \dots \textcircled{II}$$

Differentiating  $\textcircled{II}$  again

$$\int \cdots \int \left[ \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta} \cdot \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta} + L \cdot \frac{\partial^2 \log L}{\partial \theta^2} \right] dx_1 \cdots dx_n = 0$$

$$\text{or } \int \cdots \int \left[ \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta} \cdot \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta} \cdot \frac{1}{L} \cdot L + L \cdot \frac{\partial^2 \log L}{\partial \theta^2} \right] dx_1 \cdots dx_n = 0$$

$$\text{or } \int \cdots \int \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta} \right)^2 \cdot L + L \cdot \frac{\partial^2 \log L}{\partial \theta^2} \right] dx_1 \cdots dx_n = 0$$

$$\text{or } \int \cdots \int \left( \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta} \right)^2 dx_1 \cdots dx_n + \int \cdots \int \frac{\partial^2 \log L}{\partial \theta^2} \cdot L dx_1 \cdots dx_n = 0$$

$$\text{or } E \left[ \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta} \right]^2 + E \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \log L}{\partial \theta^2} \right] = 0$$

$$\text{or } E \left[ \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta} \right]^2 = - E \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \log L}{\partial \theta^2} \right]$$

$$E(t) = \theta + b(\theta)$$

$$= \int \cdots \int L dx_1 dx_2 \cdots dx_n$$

$$\text{or } \frac{\partial E(t)}{\partial \theta} = 1 + b'(\theta)$$

$$= \int \cdots \int t \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta} dx_1 dx_2 \cdots dx_n$$

$$= \int \cdots \int t \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta} \cdot \frac{1}{L} \cdot L dx_1 dx_2 \cdots dx_n$$

$$= \int \cdots \int t \cdot \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta} \cdot L dx_1 dx_2 \cdots dx_n$$

$$= E(t \cdot \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta})$$

$$= E(t \cdot \phi) \text{ where } \phi = \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta}$$

$$= \text{cov}(t \cdot \phi) \text{ since } E(\phi) = 0$$

$$\text{or } [1 + b(\theta)]^2 = [\text{cov}(t \cdot \phi)]^2$$

$$\leq \sqrt{t} \cdot \sqrt{\phi}$$

by schwartz's inequality.

Therefore,

$$\sqrt{t} \geq \frac{[1 + b(\theta)]^2}{\sqrt{\phi}}$$

$$= \frac{[1 + b(\theta)]^2}{E \left[ \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta} \right]^2}$$

$$= - \frac{[1+b'(\theta)]^2}{E \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta}}$$

$$= \frac{[1+b'(\theta)]^2}{n E \left( \frac{\partial \log f}{\partial \theta} \right)^2}$$

(proved)

In case  $t$  is an unbiased estimator  $E(t) = \theta$   
and  $V(t) \geq \frac{1}{E \left( \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta} \right)^2}$

$$= \frac{1}{V(\phi)} \quad \dots \dots \dots \textcircled{④}$$

The condition for equality  
we have

$$[1+b'(\theta)]^2 = [\text{cov}(t, \phi)]^2$$

$$\text{And } [1+b'(\theta)]^2 \leq V(t) \cdot V(\phi)$$

Hence for equality the following condition should satisfy

$$[\text{cov}(t, \phi)]^2 = V(t) \cdot V(\phi)$$

when  $\rho_{t\phi}^2 = 1$ , where  $\rho_{t\phi}$  is the correlation coefficient between  $t$  and  $\phi$ .

p.t.o.

If  $P_{t\phi}^2 = 1$   $t$  and  $\phi$  are linearly related and the relationship is of the form

$$\phi = At + B, \dots \dots \dots \textcircled{*}$$

where  $A$  and  $B$  are constants and can be function of  $\theta$ .

Taking expectation on both side in equation  $\textcircled{*}$ , then

$$E(\phi) = A E(t) + B$$

$$\text{or, } \phi = A E(t) + B \dots \dots \dots \textcircled{***}$$

Now  $\textcircled{*} - \textcircled{***}$ , then

$$A [t - E(t)] = \phi$$

$$\text{or } E(\phi^2) = A^2 E[t - E(t)]^2 \quad \begin{matrix} \text{squaring and taking} \\ \text{expectation on both} \end{matrix}$$

$$\text{or } E(\phi^2) = A^2 V(t)$$

$$\text{or } V(\phi) = A^2 V(t)$$

$$\text{or, } V(t) = \frac{V(\phi)}{A^2}$$

$$\text{or, } V(t) = \frac{1}{V(\phi) \cdot A^2} \quad \left[ \because V(\phi) = \frac{1}{V(t)} \right]$$

$$\text{or, } [V(t)]^2 = \frac{1}{A^2}$$

$$\text{or, } V(t) = \frac{1}{A}$$

Thus  $A$  is the reciprocal of the variance of MVB unbiased estimator  $t$ .

Question: If the statistic  $t$  be such that  $\phi = \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta}$  (where  $L = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i | \theta)$  is the likelihood function of  $\theta$ ) can be expressed in the form  $\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta} = A(t - E(t))$  or  $A(t - \bar{\theta})$ , then  $t$  is an MVB unbiased estimator of  $\theta$ , with variance  $\frac{1}{A}$ . or

Establish the condition under which minimum variance unbiased estimator (MVUE) attains?

Find the minimum variance bound <sup>unbiased</sup> estimator (MVUE).

Proof:

From Cramer Rao inequality, we know.

$$V(t) \geq \frac{[1+b'(\theta)]^2}{E\left[\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta}\right]^2}$$

$$\text{or, } V(t) \geq \frac{[1+b'(\theta)]^2}{V\left(\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta}\right)}$$

$$\text{or, } V(t) \geq \frac{[1+b'(\theta)]^2}{V(\phi)}$$

$$\text{where, } \phi = \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta}$$

The condition for equality, we have

$$[1+b'(\theta)]^2 = [\text{Cov}(t, \phi)]^2$$

$$\text{And, } [1+b'(\theta)]^2 \leq V(t) \cdot V(\phi)$$

Now,

$$[\text{Cov}(t, \phi)]^2 = V(t) \cdot V(\phi)$$

This is satisfied when  $P_{t\phi}^2 = 1$ , where  $t$  and  $\phi$   $P_{t\phi}$  is the correlation coefficient between  $t$  and  $\phi$ . If  $P_{t\phi}=1$ ,  $t$  and  $\phi$  are linearly related and relationship is of the form.

$$\phi = At + B \quad \dots \dots \quad (1.1)$$

Taking expectation on both sides in (1.1), we have

$$E(\phi) = A E(t) + B$$

$$0 = A E(t) + B \quad \dots \dots \quad (1.2)$$

Subtracting (1.2) from (1.1) we have.

$$\phi = A[t - E(t)]$$

$$\text{or, } \phi^2 = A^2 [t - E(t)]^2$$

$$\text{or, } E(\phi^2) = A^2 E[t - E(t)]^2 \\ = A^2 V(t)$$

$$\text{or, } V(t) = \frac{E(\phi^2)}{A^2} \quad \because E(\phi) = 0$$

$$= \frac{V(\phi)}{A^2}$$

$$\therefore V(t) = \frac{V(\phi)}{A^2} \quad \dots \dots \quad (1.3)$$

Also, we know

$$V(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{1}{V(t)} \text{ since } t \text{ is MVBE estimator}$$

$$\therefore V(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{1}{V(t)}$$

Substituting this in (1.3), we have.

$$V(t) = \frac{1}{A^2 \cdot V(\hat{\theta})}$$

$$\text{or, } [V(t)]^2 = \frac{1}{A^2}$$

$$\text{or } V(t) = \frac{1}{A}$$

$$\therefore V(t) = \frac{1}{A}$$

Thus, A is the reciprocal of the variance of MVBE of t.

(showed)

3f

Question: Let  $x \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ . Find the MVBE of  $\mu$ .

Answer:

Given,  $x \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , then the density function

$$f(x|\mu, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{\sigma^2}\right] ; -\infty < x < \infty$$

We know

$$L = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i|\mu, \sigma^2)$$

$$= (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-n} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2}$$

Taking log on both sides, we have

$$\log L = \log c - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2 \quad \text{where } c = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-n}$$

$$= \log c - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2$$

Now, taking derivative w.r.t.  $\mu$ , we have

$$\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \mu} = 0 - \frac{2}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2 (-1)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sigma^2} (\sum x_i - n\mu)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sigma^2} (n\bar{x} - n\mu)$$

$$= \frac{n}{\sigma^2} (\bar{x} - \mu)$$

which can be expressed as  $\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta} = A(t - \theta)$  where  $A = \frac{n}{\sigma^2}$  and variance  $V(\theta) = A^2 = \frac{n^2}{\sigma^4}$ .

Therefore, we can say that  $\bar{x}$  is the MVBE of  $\mu$  with variance  $\frac{\sigma^2}{n}$ .

Question: Let  $x \sim E(\theta)$ . Find the MVBE of  $\theta$ .

Answer:

Given,  $x \sim E(\theta)$ , then the density function

2023/6/11 23:08

$$f(x_i|\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta} e^{-\frac{x_i}{\theta}} ; \quad i=0, 1, 2, \dots$$

We know the Likelihood function.

$$L = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i|\theta)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^n \left[ \frac{1}{\theta} e^{-\frac{x_i}{\theta}} \right]$$

$$= (\theta)^n e^{-\frac{1}{\theta} \sum x_i}$$

$$\log L = n \log \frac{1}{\theta} - \frac{1}{\theta} \sum x_i = -n \log \theta - \frac{1}{\theta} \sum x_i$$

$$\therefore \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta} = -n \cdot \frac{1}{\theta} + \frac{\sum x_i}{\theta^2}$$

$$= -\frac{n}{\theta} + \frac{n\bar{x}}{\theta^2}$$

$$= \frac{-n\theta + n\bar{x}}{\theta^2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\theta^2} n (\bar{x} - \theta)$$

$$= \frac{n}{\theta^2} (\bar{x} - \theta)$$

$$\therefore \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta} = \frac{n}{\theta^2} (\bar{x} - \theta)$$

which can be expressed as  $\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta} = A(\bar{x} - \theta)$  where  
 $A = \frac{n}{\theta^2}$  and variance  $V(\theta) = A^{-1} = \frac{\theta^2}{n}$

Therefore, we can say that  $\bar{x}$  is the MVUE of  $\theta$  with variance  $\sigma^2 \theta^2/n$ .

Question: If  $x \sim B(n, \theta)$ . Find the MVUE of  $\theta$ .

Answer:  
we are given

$x \sim B(n, \theta)$ , then the pmf is

$$f(x|n, \theta) = \binom{n}{x} \theta^x (1-\theta)^{n-x} ; \quad x=0, 1, 2, \dots, n$$

We know the Likelihood function.

$$L = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i|n, \theta)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^n \left[ \binom{n}{x_i} \theta^{x_i} (1-\theta)^{n-x_i} \right]$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{x_i} \theta^{\sum x_i} (1-\theta)^{\sum (n-x_i)}$$

Taking log on both sides.

$$\log L = \log \left[ \prod_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{x_i} \right] + \sum x_i \log \theta + \sum (n-x_i) \log (1-\theta)$$

$$\therefore \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta} = 0 + \sum x_i \cdot \frac{1}{\theta} + \sum (n-x_i) \cdot \frac{1}{(1-\theta)} \cdot (-1)$$

$$= \frac{\sum x_i}{\theta} - \frac{\sum (n-x_i)}{(1-\theta)}$$

$$= \frac{\sum x_i (1-\theta) - \sum (n-x_i) \cdot \theta}{\theta (1-\theta)}$$

$$= \frac{\sum x_i - \theta \sum x_i - \theta n^2 + \theta \sum x_i}{\theta (1-\theta)}$$

$$= \frac{\sum x_i - \theta n^2}{\theta (1-\theta)}$$

$$= \frac{n\bar{x} - \theta n^2}{\theta(1-\theta)}$$

$$= \frac{n(\bar{x} - n\theta)}{\theta(1-\theta)}$$

$$= \frac{n}{\theta(1-\theta)} (\bar{x} - n\theta) = \frac{n}{\theta(1-\theta)} \cdot n \left( \frac{\bar{x}}{n} - \theta \right)$$

which can be expressed in the form  $A(t-\theta)$   
where  $A = n/\theta(1-\theta)$  and variance  $v(t) = \theta(1-\theta)/n$ .

Therefore, we can say that  $\bar{x}$  is the MVUE of  $n\theta$  with variance  $(\theta(1-\theta))/n$ .

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{\sum x_i}{\theta} - \frac{\sum (1-x_i)}{(1-\theta)} \\ &= \frac{\sum x_i (1-\theta) - \theta \sum (1-x_i)}{\theta(1-\theta)} \\ &= \frac{\sum x_i - \theta \sum x_i - \theta \cdot n + \theta \sum x_i}{\theta(1-\theta)} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{\sum x_i - \theta n}{\theta(1-\theta)}$$

$$= \frac{n\bar{x} - n\theta}{\theta(1-\theta)}$$

$$= \frac{n(\bar{x} - \theta)}{\theta(1-\theta)}$$

$$= \frac{n}{\theta(1-\theta)} (\bar{x} - \theta)$$

which can be expressed as  $A(t-\theta)$  where  $A = \frac{\theta}{\theta(1-\theta)}$   
and variance  $v(t) = \frac{\theta(1-\theta)}{n}$ .

Therefore, we can say that  $\bar{x}$  is the MVUE of  $\theta$  with variance  $\theta(1-\theta)/n$ . Ans

Question: For Bernoulli distribution. Find MVUE of  $\theta$ .

Answer:

The pmf of bernoulli distribution is,

$$f(x|\theta) = \theta^x (1-\theta)^{1-x} ; x=0,1$$

We know,

$$L = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i|\theta)$$

$$= \theta^{\sum x_i} (1-\theta)^{\sum (1-x_i)}$$

$$\log L = \sum x_i \log \theta + \sum (1-x_i) \log (1-\theta)$$

$$\therefore \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta} = \sum x_i \frac{1}{\theta} + \sum (1-x_i) \frac{1}{(1-\theta)} \cdot (-1)$$

Question: Find MVUE of  $\theta$  for poission distribution.

Answer:

We know the pmf of poission distribution.

$$f(x|\theta) = \frac{\bar{\theta}^x \theta^x}{x!} ; x=0,1,2,\dots$$

We know

$$L = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i | \theta)$$
$$= \frac{e^{-n\theta} \cdot \theta^{\sum x_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^n (x_i)!}$$

$$\log L = -n\theta + \sum x_i \log \theta - \log [\prod_{i=1}^n (x_i)!]$$

$$\log L = -n\theta + \sum x_i \log \theta - \log c$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta} &= -n + \sum x_i \frac{1}{\theta} \\&= -n + \frac{n\bar{x}}{\theta} \\&= \frac{-n\theta + n\bar{x}}{\theta} \\&= \frac{n}{\theta} (\bar{x} - \theta) \\ \therefore \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta} &= \frac{n}{\theta} (\bar{x} - \theta)\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can say that  $\bar{x}$  is the MVUE of  $\theta$  with variance  $\sigma^2/n$ .

3g. Problem: If  $x \sim N(\theta, \sigma^2)$ . Then, find the MVUE of  $\sigma^2$ .

or

A random sample  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  is taken from a normal population with mean  $\theta$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ . Examine if  $\sum x_i^2/n$  is a MVUE of  $\sigma^2$ .

Solution:

Since  $x \sim N(\theta, \sigma^2)$

Then, the density function

$$f(x|\theta) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}x^2}, -\infty < x < \infty$$

We know,

$$\begin{aligned}L &= \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i | \theta) \\&= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}x_i^2} \\&= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\right)^n e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\sum x_i^2}\end{aligned}$$

Taking log on both sides, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\log L &= \frac{n}{2} \log \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\right) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum x_i^2 \cdot \log 2 \\&= \frac{n}{2} \log \frac{1}{2\pi} - \frac{n}{2} \log \sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum x_i^2\end{aligned}$$

Now, differentiating, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \sigma^2} &= 0 - \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\right) + \frac{\sum x_i^2}{2\sigma^4} \\&= \frac{\sum x_i^2}{2\sigma^4} - \frac{n}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \\&= \frac{n}{2\sigma^4} \left[ \frac{\sum x_i^2}{n} - \sigma^2 \right]\end{aligned}$$

We can write the form in the following term

$$\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta} = A [t - \theta]$$

where,  $A = \frac{n}{2\sigma^4}$ , and variance  $\text{var}(A) = \frac{2\sigma^4}{n}$ .

Therefore, we can say that  $\sum x_i^2/n$  is an MVBE of  $\sigma^2$  with variance  $\frac{2\sigma^4}{n}$ .

Hence,

The MVU of  $t'$  where  $t'$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\sigma$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{MVU of } \sigma^2) \cdot \left( \frac{\partial g(\theta)}{\partial \theta} \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{2\sigma^4}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{4\sigma^2} \quad \because \frac{\partial g(\theta)}{\partial \theta} = \frac{1}{2\sigma} \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{2n}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, an MVU of  $\sigma$  is  $\frac{\sigma^2}{2n}$  which is not attainable.

$\Rightarrow x$  is an  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  variate. Find the MVU of unbiased estimator of  $\sigma^2$  when  $\mu$  is known.

Sol<sup>n</sup>. Given that

$x$  is an  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  variate when  $\mu$  is known (here,  $\mu=0$ ) then,  $N(0, \sigma^2)$ .

Then the pdf of  $x$  is

$$f(x|\sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}}, -\infty < x < \infty$$

We know,

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i|\sigma^2) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma^2} e^{-\frac{x_i^2}{2\sigma^2}} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \left( \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \right)^n \frac{1}{\sigma^{2n}} \sum x_i^2$$

Taking log on both we have.

$$\begin{aligned} \log L &= \frac{n}{2} \log \left( \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \right) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum x_i^2 \log e \\ &= \frac{n}{2} \log \frac{1}{2\pi} - \frac{n}{2} \log \sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum x_i^2 \\ \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \sigma^2} &= 0 - \frac{n}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right) + \frac{\sum x_i^2}{2\sigma^4} \\ &= \frac{\sum x_i^2}{2\sigma^4} - \frac{n}{2} \cdot \left( \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right) \\ &= \frac{n}{2\sigma^4} \left[ \frac{\sum x_i^2}{n} - \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can say that  $\sum x_i^2/n$  is the MVBE of  $\sigma^2$  with variance  $\frac{2\sigma^4}{n}$ .

Problem:  $x$  is an  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  variate. Find an MVU of unbiased estimator of  $\sigma^2$  when  $\mu$  is unknown.

Answer:

Given that

$x$  is an  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , when  $\mu$  is unknown.

Then the density function of  $x$  is

$$f(x|\mu, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}, -\infty < x < \infty.$$

Now, the likelihood function is

$$L = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i|\mu, \sigma^2)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{x_i-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^2} \\
 &= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum (x_i - \mu)^2} \\
 &= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum (x_i - \mu)^2\right]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \log L &= \frac{n}{2} \log \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)} - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum (x_i - \mu)^2 - n \log \sigma \\
 &= \frac{n}{2} \log \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)} - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum (x_i - \mu)^2
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \sigma^2} &= 0 - \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\right) + \frac{\sum (x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^4} \\
 &= \frac{\sum (x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^4} - \frac{n}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \\
 &= \frac{n}{2\sigma^4} \left[ \frac{\sum (x_i - \mu)^2}{n} - \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \sigma^2} = \frac{n}{2\sigma^4} \left[ \frac{\sum (x_i - \mu)^2}{n} - \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right]$$

which can be expressed in the form as  
 $\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \sigma^2} = A [t - \theta]$ , where  $A = \frac{n}{2\sigma^4}$ .

Therefore, we can say that  $\frac{\sum (x_i - \mu)^2}{n}$  is the MVBUE of  $\sigma^2$  with variance  $\frac{2\sigma^4}{n}$ .

3h

Question: Establish the method of finding MVB for a unbiased estimator intended to estimate function of a parameter.

Answer:

Suppose we have found an MVB unbiased estimator  $\theta$ . This ease, we use MVB of unbiased estimator of a function of  $\theta$ .

Let,  $E(t) = g(\theta)$

$$\text{Now, } \text{MVB of } t = \frac{\left[ \frac{\partial E(t)}{\partial \theta} \right]^2}{n E \left[ \frac{\partial \log f}{\partial \theta} \right]^2} = \frac{1}{n E \left[ \frac{\partial \log f}{\partial \theta} \right]^2} \quad \because E(t) = \theta \quad \frac{\partial E(t)}{\partial \theta} = 1$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{MVB of } t' &= \frac{\left[ \frac{\partial E(t')}{\partial \theta} \right]^2}{n E \left[ \frac{\partial \log f}{\partial g(\theta)} \right]^2} = \frac{1}{n E \left[ \frac{\partial \log f}{\partial g(\theta)} \right]^2} \quad \because E(t') = g(\theta) \quad \frac{\partial E(t')}{\partial g(\theta)} = 1 \\
 &= \frac{1}{E \left[ \frac{\partial \log f}{\partial g(\theta)} \right]^2} \\
 &= \frac{1}{E \left[ \frac{\partial \log f}{\partial \theta} \cdot \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial g(\theta)} \right]^2}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\therefore E(t') = g(\theta) \\
 &\frac{\partial E(t')}{\partial g(\theta)} = 1
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{E\left(\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial g(\theta)}\right)^2} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\left(\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial g(\theta)}\right)^2 E\left(\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta}\right)^2} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\left(\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial g(\theta)}\right)^2} \cdot \frac{1}{E\left(\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta}\right)^2} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\left(\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial g(\theta)}\right)^2} \cdot \frac{1}{E\left(\frac{\partial \log t}{\partial \theta}\right)^2} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\left(\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial g(\theta)}\right)^2} \cdot \frac{MVB(t)}{E\left(\frac{\partial \log t}{\partial \theta}\right)^2} \\
 &= MVB(t) \cdot \left(\frac{\partial g(\theta)}{\partial \theta}\right)^2
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore MVB \text{ of } t' = MVB(t) \cdot \left(\frac{\partial g(\theta)}{\partial \theta}\right)^2$$

(showed)

Example:  $x$  is an  $\mu(0, \sigma^2)$  variate. Find an MVB unbiased estimator of  $\sigma$ .

Answer:

Given that:

$x$  is an  $N(0, \sigma^2)$  variate.

i.e.t.,  $\theta = \sigma^2$  and  $g(\theta) = \sigma$

Now, we know the likelihood function

$$\begin{aligned}
 L &= \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i | \theta) \\
 &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\theta}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{\theta}} \\
 &= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\theta}\right)^n e^{-\frac{1}{2\theta} \sum (x_i - \mu)^2}
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking log on both sides, we have.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \log L &= \frac{n}{2} \log \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\theta}\right) - \frac{1}{2\theta} \sum (x_i - \mu)^2 \\
 &= \frac{n}{2} \log \frac{1}{2\pi} - \frac{n}{2} \log \theta^2 - \frac{1}{2\theta} \sum (x_i - \mu)^2
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, differentiating  $\log L$  with respect to  $\theta^2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta^2} &= 0 - \frac{n}{2} \frac{1}{\theta^2} + \frac{1}{2\theta^4} \sum (x_i - \mu)^2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\theta^4} \sum (x_i - \mu)^2 - \frac{n}{2\theta^2} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\theta^4} \sum x_i^2 - \frac{n}{2\theta^2} \quad \text{Since } \mu = 0 \\
 &= \frac{n}{2\theta^4} \left[ \frac{\sum x_i^2}{n} - \theta^2 \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta^2} = \frac{n}{2\theta^4} \left[ \frac{\sum x_i^2}{n} - \theta^2 \right]$$

which can be expressed in the form  $\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta} = A(t - ER)$   
where  $A = \frac{n}{2\theta^4}$  and  $ER = \frac{2\theta^2}{n}$ .

Therefore, we can say  $\frac{\sum x_i^2}{n}$  is an MVB estimator of  $\theta^2$ .

Again, the MVB of  $t'$  where  $t'$  is an unbiased estimate of  $\theta$  is given by

$$(\text{MVB of } \theta^2) \cdot \left[ \frac{\partial g(\theta)}{\partial \theta} \right]^2$$

$$= \frac{2\theta^4}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{4\theta^2}$$

$$= \frac{\theta^2}{2n}$$

Thus, an MVB of  $\theta$  is  $\frac{\theta^2}{2n}$  which, which doesn't attained the (CRLB)<sub>X</sub>.

Example: To find the MVB unbiased estimator of  $\theta$  when  $x$  is a  $G(\frac{1}{\theta}, n)$  variate and  $n$  is known.

Answer:

We are given

$x$  is a  $G(\frac{1}{\theta}, n)$  variate and  $n$  is known.

Then, the density function of  $x$  is

$$f(x|\theta, n) = \frac{e^{-x/\theta}}{\theta^n \sqrt{n!}}$$

P.T.D

We know, the likelihood function is

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i|\theta, n) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{e^{-x_i/\theta} \cdot x_i^{n-1}}{\theta^n \sqrt{n!}} \\ &= \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{\theta} \sum x_i} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{n-1}}{\theta^{nr} (\sqrt{n})^n} \end{aligned}$$

$$\log L = -\frac{1}{\theta} \sum x_i + \log \left[ \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{n-1} \right] - nr \log \theta - n \log(n)$$

Taking derivative with respect to  $\theta$ , we have

$$\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\sum x_i}{\theta^2} + 0 - \frac{nr}{\theta}$$

$$= \frac{n}{\theta^2} \left[ \frac{\sum x_i}{n} - \theta \right]$$

$$= \frac{n}{\theta^2} [\bar{x} - \theta]$$

$$= \frac{nP}{\theta^2} \left[ \frac{\bar{x}}{n} - \theta \right]$$

Hence, we say that  $\frac{\bar{x}}{P}$  is an MVB unbiased estimator of  $\theta$  with variance  $\frac{\theta^2}{nP}$ .

Example:  $x$  is a  $P(\mu)$  variate. To find the MVB of unbiased estimator of  $\mu^2$ .

Answer:

We are given

$x$  is a  $P(\mu)$  variate, then the density function.

$$f(x|\mu) = \frac{e^{-\mu} \cdot \mu^x}{x!}$$

We know the likelihood function.

$$\begin{aligned}L &= \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i|\mu) \\&= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\bar{e}^{\mu} \cdot (\mu)^{x_i}}{x_i!} \\&= \frac{\bar{e}^{n\mu} \cdot (\mu)^{\sum x_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^n (x_i!)}\end{aligned}$$

Taking log on both sides, we have

$$\log L = -n\mu + \sum x_i \log \mu - \log \left[ \prod_{i=1}^n (x_i!) \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \mu} &= -n + \frac{\sum x_i}{\mu} - 0 \\&= \frac{\sum x_i}{\mu} - n \\&= \frac{\sum x_i - n\mu}{\mu} \\&= \frac{n\bar{x} - n\mu}{\mu} \\&= \frac{n(\bar{x} - \mu)}{\mu} \\&= \frac{n}{\mu} [\bar{x} - \mu]\end{aligned}$$

which can be expressed in the form  $\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta} = A [L - \phi]$   
where  $A = \frac{n}{\mu}$ .

Therefore,  $\bar{x}$  is an MVU unbiased estimator with variance  $1/n$ .

Again,

$$\text{Let } \theta = \mu \text{ and } g(\theta) = \mu^2$$

Now,  $\frac{\partial g(\theta)}{\partial \theta} = 2\mu$ .

Now, the MVU of  $\mu^2$  is given as.

$$\begin{aligned}(\text{MVU of } \mu^2) &= \left[ \frac{\partial g(\theta)}{\partial \theta} \right]^2 \\&= \frac{\mu}{n} \cdot (2\mu)^2 \\&= \frac{4\mu^3}{n}\end{aligned}$$

which is the MVU unbiased estimator of  $\mu^2$ .

Note:

For  $2\mu$ , we let  $\theta = \mu$  and  $g(\theta) = 2\mu$ .  
Then,  $\bar{x}$  is the minimum MVUE of  $2\mu$  with variance  $4/A$ .

Similarly,  $30, \underline{(30+4)}, (30+4) \sim$

Asymptotically Most efficient Estimator:  
 If  $\frac{\text{E}(t^*)}{\text{MVB}} = \frac{\text{MVB}}{\text{V}(t^*)} = 1$ , then  $t^*$  is called an asymptotically most efficient estimator.

Question: Relationship between MVBE and MLE.

Solution:

Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  be a random sample of size  $n$  drawn from  $f(x|\theta)$ , where  $\theta$  is a parameter.

By definition of likelihood function..

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i|\theta)$$

Let  $T$  be the MVBE of  $\theta$ , then

$$\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta} = A[t - E(t)] = A[t - \bar{\theta}] ; \quad A = \frac{1}{\sqrt{V(t)}} \quad \text{--- (I)}$$

But we know MLE for solving  $\theta$  is

$$\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta} = 0 \quad \text{--- (II)}$$

From (I) and (II) we have

$$A[t - \bar{\theta}] = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow t - \bar{\theta} = 0$$

$$\therefore \hat{\theta} = t$$

which implies that MLE of  $\theta$  is  $t$

Therefore, we coincide that if an MVBE exists then MLE is the MB-MVBE.

### Sufficiency

Question: Define sufficient statistic.

Answer:

Sufficient statistic: Let  $f(x|\theta)$  be the density of a random variable  $x$  where  $\theta$  is known fixed parameter and  $\theta \in \Omega$ . Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  be a random sample from this density. Let  $t$  and  $t'$  are two statistics such that  $t'$  is not a function of  $t$ . If the conditional distribution of  $t'$  for given  $t$  be independent of  $\theta$ , it is called a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .

Question: Prove that, sufficient statistic is unique

Proof:

Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  be a sample from density  $f(x|\theta)$ .  $t$  be a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .

Let there be another distinct statistic  $t_1$ , which is a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} h(t, t_1 | \theta) &= g(t | \theta) h(t_1 | t) \\ &= g(t_1 | \theta) h(t | t_1) \end{aligned}$$

$t$  and  $t_1$  are thus functionally related as

$$t = K(t_1, \theta)$$

Since  $t$  and  $t_1$  are function of sample values. On  $t$  is functionally related to  $t_1$ .

Thus, a sufficient statistic is unique ..

Theorem: If an MV unbiased estimator exists it is always unique irrespective of whether any bound is attain.

Proof:

Let  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  be both MV unbiased estimators of  $\theta$  each with variance  $v$ . consider a new estimator

$$\bar{t} = \frac{t_1 + t_2}{2}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} v(\bar{t}) &= \frac{1}{4} \{ v(t_1) + v(t_2) + 2\text{cov}(t_1, t_2) \} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} [v(t_1) + v(t_2) + 2\{v(t_1), v(t_2)\}] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} [v + v + 2v] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} [4v] \\ &\leq v \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts the assumption that both  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  have minimum variance.

Now,  $v(\bar{t}) = v$  provided

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \text{cov}(t_1, t_2) &= \sqrt{v(t_1)v(t_2)} \\ &= \sqrt{v(t_1) \cdot v(t_1)} \\ &= v(t_1) \end{aligned}$$

which is true when  $t_1$  is identically equal to  $t_2$ .  
Hence, MV unbiased estimator is unique

(Showed)