# Gaze and Touch

## **Armin Mokhtarian**

Aachen, Germany armin.mokhtarian@rwth-aachen.de

## Oliver Nowak for Submission City, Country e-mail address

#### **ABSTRACT**

#### **ACM Classification Keywords**

H.5.m. Information Interfaces and Presentation (e.g. HCI): Miscellaneous; See <a href="http://acm.org/about/class/1998">http://acm.org/about/class/1998</a>/ for the full list of ACM classifiers. This section is required.

## **Author Keywords**

Authors' choice; of terms; separated; by semicolons; include commas, within terms only; required.

### INTRODUCTION

Process from mouse input to touch and gaze

#### Gaze

- · Reasons for gaze input
  - Primary sensory organ
  - Very fast
  - No fatigue
  - "Why shouldn't I interact directly with a target, when I'm already look at it?"
- Selection techniques (also presenting example approaches)
  - Dwell Time
  - Blinking
- Problems with gaze
  - Technology
  - Not precise (eye jittery)
  - No muscle memory
  - Midas Touch problem
  - Time based Selection (Too early gaze change which unintentionally aborts selection)
  - Distraction (Eye is by nature a input organ, so it is also reacting on events in the peripheral vision)
  - Intention (Unintended starring at the screen, should not be recognized by the system as target selection)
- "What about Fitts' Law?"

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

CHI'16, May 07-12, 2016, San Jose, CA, USA

© 2017 Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM. ISBN 123-4567-24-567/08/06...\$15.00

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.475/123\_4

#### **Touch**

- Pro
  - Direct input
  - Widespread & familiar input method
  - Well-known gestures
- Contra
  - Fat Finger problem for small targets or on small screens
  - Reachability (How to interact on distant screens? (attention sharing) and How to interact with a target which is not directly in front of me (e.g. on tabletops)?)
  - Speed (Is it faster than gaze?)

#### **COMBINING GAZE WITH OTHER MODALITIES**

(Here we will present the different approaches/principles and cover the following topics)

- Transition from contras of mouse/touch/gaze only to gaze and touch
- Presenting different techniques
  - Different principles: 'Gaze selects, touch manipulates', Cursor-Warping, Gaze-Shifting etc.
  - Applications for Gaze+Touch (Interaction on distant displays/wall-sized displays, RST, Just speed up, FittâĂŹs Law)

#### **EVALUATION**

- More precise comparison of different techniques (not only Gaze+Touch methods, but also comparison to touch-only, gaze-only,...)
  - Speed
  - Comfort
  - Accuracy
  - Mental demanding?
  - User satisfaction
  - ...
- Contra
  - Fat Finger problem for small targets or on small screens

- Reachability (How to interact on distant screens? (attention sharing) and How to interact with a target which is not directly in front of me (e.g. on tabletops)?)
- Speed (Is it faster than gaze?)

## CONCLUSION

**REFERENCES**