In general, Variational Autoencoders (VAE) and Conditional Variational Autoencoders (CVAE) are two types of generative models designed to learn a latent representation of data. The primary difference between them lies in the conditional aspect of CVAE, where the latent space is not only dependent on the input data but also on additional conditional information, such as class labels.

Given that we're using Multilayer Perceptron (MLP) architectures for both the VAE and CVAE models, let's summarize the potential differences in latent space distribution and draw some conclusions:

### VAE (MLP):

### • Latent Space Structure:

- The VAE's latent space is learned solely from the input data without considering any class labels.
- The model tries to capture the underlying structure of the entire dataset in an unsupervised manner.

### • Latent Space Distribution:

- In a VAE, the latent space is expected to have a smooth and continuous distribution.
- Randomly generated points in the latent space should produce realistic and diverse samples when passed through the decoder.

### **CVAE (MLP):**

## • Latent Space Structure:

- The CVAE's latent space is conditioned not only on the input data but also on class labels.
- The model explicitly encodes information about different classes in the latent space.

# • Latent Space Distribution:

- The conditional nature of the latent space might lead to distinct clusters or regions corresponding to different classes.
- Samples generated from specific regions in the latent space might be representative of certain classes.

#### **Observations:**

## Smoothness vs. Conditional Separation:

• In VAE (MLP), the latent space is expected to be smooth and continuous, capturing shared features across the entire dataset.

• In CVAE (MLP), the latent space might exhibit conditional separation, where different regions correspond to different classes, potentially leading to a more structured distribution.

### Diversity in Random Samples:

- Randomly generated samples in the VAE (MLP) latent space should exhibit diverse and realistic variations in the data.
- In CVAE (MLP), the diversity might be conditioned on specific classes, meaning generated samples might be diverse within the characteristics of a particular class.

### • Interpretability:

• The CVAE (MLP) might offer better interpretability, as specific regions in the latent space could be associated with certain classes.

#### **Conclusions:**

### • **VAE** (MLP):

- Suitable for unsupervised learning tasks where capturing the overall structure of the data is essential.
- Latent space is expected to be smooth and continuous.

### • CVAE (MLP):

- Suitable for tasks where class information is crucial, and you want the latent space to reflect class-specific characteristics.
- Latent space may exhibit conditional separation, providing interpretability and control over generated samples.

Choosing between VAE (MLP) and CVAE (MLP) depends on the task at hand and the importance of incorporating class information into the latent space. The observed differences in latent space distribution reflect the design choices and objectives of each model type.