# CS6301: Optimization in Machine Learning

Extra Lecture: Practical Aspects of Gradient Descent

#### Rishabh Iyer

Department of Computer Science University of Texas, Dallas https://sites.google.com/view/cs-6301-optml/home

March 9th, 2020



#### Gradient Descent in Practice: Fixed Learning Rate

```
def gd(funObj,w,maxEvals,alpha,X,y,lam, verbosity):
    [f,g] = funObj(w,X,y,lam)
    funEvals = 1
    funVals = []
    while (1):
        [f,g] = funObj(w,X,y,lam)
        optCond = LA.norm(g, np.inf)
        if (verbosity > 0):
            print(funEvals, alpha, f, optCond)
        w = w - alpha*g
        funEvals = funEvals+1
        if ((optCond < 1e-2) and (funEvals > maxEvals)
            break
        fun Vals.append(f)
    return funVals
```

#### Gradient Descent in Practice: Basic Version

• Run this by invoking:

$$funV = gd(LogisticLoss, w, 200, 1e-1, X, y, 1, 1, 10)$$

- Try running this with different values of learning rates:
  - $\alpha = 1e 1, 1e 3, 1e 5, ...$
- How do we find the optimal learning rate every time?
- Can there be better strategies to adapt the learning rates?
- Next, we shall see a few line search based strategies.



- ullet We don't want to tune lpha every time
- This is the idea behind line search
- Simple Line search strategy:
  - ullet Start with a large value of lpha
  - Divide  $\alpha$  by 1/2 if it doesn't satisfy Armijo's condition:

$$f(w - \alpha g) \le f(w) - \gamma \alpha ||g||^2$$

- Basically find  $\alpha$  such that there is a reduction in function value by atleast  $\gamma \alpha ||g||^2$
- Idea: Choose  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  such that this happens.



#### Line Search:

- ullet Start with a large value of lpha
- Divide  $\alpha$  by 1/2 if it doesn't satisfy Armijo's condition:  $f(w \alpha g) \le f(w) \gamma \alpha ||g||^2$ .
- Basically find  $\alpha$  such that there is a reduction in function value by atleast  $\gamma \alpha ||g||^2$
- Set gamma appropriately (for example, gamma = 1e-4 works generally). LS should not be much sensitive to gamma (try it empirically)

```
wp = w - alpha*g
[fp,gp] = funObj(wp,X,y,lam)
while fp > f - gamma*alpha*np.dot(g.T, g):
    alpha = alpha/2
    wp = w - alpha*g
    [fp,gp] = funObj(wp,X,y,lam)
```

- ullet Danger with the simple backtracking is that lpha may quickly become very small quickly
- Easy fix: Reset  $\alpha$  every time!
- Issue with this: Too many function evaluations lost in repeated backtracking!
- Takeaway: V2 Armijo LS same as V1 except with an additional line in the beginning alpha = 1 before every line search.



- Just halving the step size ignores the information collected during line search!
- Reduce the number of backtracks using a polynomial interpolation!
- Minimize a quadratic passing through f(w), f'(w) and  $f(w \alpha g)$
- ullet Choose lpha using a polynomial interpolation as follows:

$$\alpha = \frac{\alpha^2 g^T g}{2(\textit{fcurr} + \alpha g^T g - f)}$$

- Here fcurr is the function evaluation with the current value of  $\alpha$  and f is the function value before starting backtracking!
- Takeaway: V3 Armija same as V1 just changing the alpha reduction to the polynomial interpolation!



- Final Issue to fix is better initialization of  $\alpha$ .
- Initializing  $\alpha=1$  is too large in practice
- Wasted backtracks because of this.
- Use a hueristic like  $\alpha = 1/||g||$
- On subsequent iterations again use a polynomial interpolation:

$$\alpha = \min(1, 2(f_{old} - f)/g^T g)$$

A lot of this is tried empirically and based on empirical knowledge...



#### Final Armijo v4 Line Search: Putting everything together

- Initialize alpha = 1/||g|| in the very beginning (instead of initializing with 1), i.e. in the zeroth iteration.
- Use the Polynomial interpolation instead of simply halving the alpha in the line search
- In subsequent iterations, reset alpha as

$$\alpha = \min(1, 2(f_{old} - f)/g^T g)$$

before the line search



#### Accelerated Gradient Descent

- Algorithm:
  - Define  $\lambda_0=0, \lambda_t=rac{1+\sqrt{1+4\lambda_{t-1}^2}}{2}$  and  $\gamma_t=rac{1-\lambda_t}{\lambda_{t+1}}.$
  - Note  $\gamma_t \leq 0$
  - Initialize  $x_1 = y_1$  as an arbitrary point
  - Step 1:  $y_{t+1} = x_t \alpha \nabla f(x_t)$  (like normal GD)
  - Step 2:  $x_{t+1} = (1 \gamma_t)y_{t+1} + \gamma_t y_t = y_{t+1} \gamma_t (y_{t+1} y_t)$  (slide a little bit further than  $y_{t+1}$  towards the previous point  $y_t$ !)
- Use the Armijo V4 Line Search to select alpha



#### Conjugate gradient Descent

- Conjugate Gradient Framework:
  - Set  $x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k d_k$
  - Set  $d_{k+1} = -g_{k+1} + \beta_k d_k$  where  $\beta_k$  is set based on the below schemes!
- Fletcher–Reeves CG algorithm:  $\beta_k = \frac{||\nabla f(x_k)||^2}{||\nabla f(x_{k-1})||^2}$
- Polak–Ribière:  $\beta_k = \frac{\nabla f(x_k)^T (\nabla f(x_k) \nabla f(x_{k-1}))}{\|\nabla f(x_{k-1})\|^2}$
- Hestenes–Stiefel:  $\beta_k = \frac{\nabla f(x_k)^T (\nabla f(x_k) \nabla f(x_{k-1}))}{d_k^T (\nabla f(x_k) \nabla f(x_{k-1}))}$
- You can use a line search algorithm similar to Gradient Descent!



### Barzelia Bowrein Step Length

- Approach 1:  $\alpha_k = \frac{s_{k-1}^T s_{k-1}}{s_{k-1}^T y_{k-1}}$
- Approach 2: $\alpha_k == \frac{s_{k-1}^T y_{k-1}}{y_{k-1}^T y_{k-1}}$
- ullet Again, use a Line Search on top of this to get the value of lpha
- The rest of the algorithm is exactly similar to Gradient Descent!

