Minimum Cost Of Ropes GFG

There are given **N** ropes of different lengths, we need to connect these ropes into one rope. The cost to connect two ropes is equal to sum of their lengths.

The task is to connect the ropes with minimum cost. Given **N** size array **arr[]** contains the lengths of the ropes.

Example:
$$[4, 3, 2, 6] \Rightarrow \text{Sum}$$
: $(3 + 2 = 5) \Rightarrow [4, 5, 6] \Rightarrow \text{Sum}$: $(5 + (4 + 5) = 14) \Rightarrow [9, 6] \Rightarrow \text{Sum}$: $(14 + (9 + 6) = 29)$

Output: 29

Approach 1: Function to find the minimum rope cost using a brute force approach

- **Function Purpose:** To find the minimum rope cost using a brute force approach.
- Explanation:
 - The **minRopeCostBruteForce** function iteratively finds the two smallest elements in the array.
 - It calculates the cost of merging these two smallest elements and updates the array by replacing them with the merged element.
 - The process continues until only one element remains in the array, and the total rope cost is calculated.
- Time Complexity: O(n^2), where n is the size of the array, because for each element, the function finds the two smallest elements.
- Space Complexity: O(1) as no extra data structures are used.

Approach 2: Function to find the minimum rope cost using an optimized approach with a min heap

- **Function Purpose:** To find the minimum rope cost using an optimized approach with a min heap.
- Explanation:
 - The minRopeCost function uses a min heap (priority queue) to efficiently keep track of the smallest elements.
 - It initializes a priority queue with the elements from the input array, ensuring the smallest elements are always at the front.
 - The function repeatedly extracts the two smallest elements, calculates the cost of merging them, and inserts the merged element back into the min heap.

- The process continues until only one element remains in the heap, and the total rope cost is calculated.
- Time Complexity: O(n * log(n)), as each insertion and extraction operation on the min heap takes logarithmic time.
- Space Complexity: O(n) for the min heap.

Conclusion:

Approach 2, which uses an optimized approach with a min heap, is significantly
more efficient with a time complexity of O(n * log(n)) compared to the O(n^2) time
complexity of the brute force approach.