Outline of Lecture 11

- User-defined datatypes revisited
- Recursive and polymorphic datatypes
- More about modules
- Abstract data types

User-defined datatypes revisited

• The general form of datatypes (seen so far):

where Con_i are constructors and t_{ij} are types names

- Each constructor (function) Con_i takes arguments of the types t_{i1} ... t_{ik_i} and returns a result of the type TypeName
- Two possible extensions: datatypes can be recursive and/or polymorphic

Recursive datatypes

Types are often naturally described in terms of themselves.

• Very simple arithmetic expressions, e.g. (17-5)+11:

```
data Expr = Lit Integer |
Add Expr Expr |
Sub Expr Expr
```

The above expression: Add (Sub (Lit 17) (Lit 5)) (Lit 11)

• Trees of integers:

```
data NTree = NilT |
Node Integer NTree Ntree
```

A tree is either nil or is given by combining a value and two sub-trees, e.g., Node 10 NilT NilT

Recursive datatypes (cont.)

Writing functions (based on primitive recursion) for a recursive datatype:

```
eval :: Expr -> Integer

eval (Lit n) = n
eval (Add e1 e2) = (eval e1) + (eval e2)
eval (Sub e1 e2) = (eval e1) - (eval e2)
```

- At the non-recursive case, base cases (Lit n here), the value is given outright
- At the recursive cases, the function value can be based on function applications on the respective sub-expressions
- Recursive evaluation typically matches (follows) the recursive datatype structure

Recursive datatypes (cont.)

Example: the depth of an integer tree

```
depth :: NTree -> Integer

depth NilT = 0
depth (Node _ t1 t2) p = 1 + max (depth t1) (depth t2)
```

Example: how many times the number occurs in an integer tree

Mutually recursive types

In describing one type, it is often useful to use others; these in turn may refer back to the original type.

This gives us a pair of mutually recursive datatypes

```
data Person = Adult Name Address Bio |
Child Name
data Bio = Parent String [Person] |
NonParent String
```

Mutually recursive functions may be needed in those cases:

```
showPerson (Adult nm ad bio) =
  show nm ++ show ad ++ showBio bio
  ...
showBio (Parent st perList)
  st ++ concat (map showPerson perList)
  ...
```

Polymorphic datatypes

- Datatype descriptions can contain the type variables a, b, ... defining polymorphic types.
- The type variables appear after the type name, e.g.,

- Examples of such pairs: Pr 2 3 :: Pairs Integer, orPr [] [9] :: Pairs [Int], or Pr [] [] :: Pairs [a]
- A function testing equality of such pairs:

```
equalPair :: Eq a => Pairs a -> Bool
equalPair (Pr x y) = (x == y)
```

Polymorphic datatypes (cont.)

• The built-in type of lists can be redefined as follows:

```
infixr 5 :::
data List a = NilL | a ::: (List a)
  deriving (Eq,Ord,Show,Read)
```

• Here **fixity declaration** infixr 5 ::: defines the fixity strength (5) and the kind of associativity (right) for the ::: operator. The values are the same as it is defined for the pre-defined operator : .

Binary trees

• A polymorphic binary tree can be defined as follows:

```
data Tree a = Nil | Node a (Tree a) (Tree a)
  deriving (Eq,Ord,Show,Read)
```

- The functions depth and occurs are as before
- Example: a function collapsing a tree into a list by traversing the left side first

```
collapse :: Tree a -> [a]
collapse Nil = []
collapse (Node x t1 t2) =
  collapse t1 ++ [x] ++ collapse t2
```

Binary trees (cont.)

- We can define higher-order functions for such datatypes too
- For instance, a version of the map function for trees can be defined as:

```
mapTree :: (a-> b) -> Tree a -> Tree b
mapTree Nil = Nil
mapTree f (Node x t1 t2) =
  Node (f x) (mapTree f t1) (mapTree f t2)
```

The union type, Either

 Datatype definition can take more than one type parameter. In general, we can form a type whose elements come from either a or b:

```
data Either a b = Left a | Right b
  deriving (Eq,Ord,Show,Read)
```

 To define a function from Either a b to, for example, Int, we have to deal with two cases

```
fun :: Either a b -> Int
fun (Left x) = ... x ...
fun (Right y) = ... y ...
```

• Example: a higher-order function on Either a b

```
either :: (a->c) -> (b->c) -> Either a b -> c
either f g (Left x) = f x
either f g (Right y) = g y
```

Modelling program errors

How should a program to deal with a situation which should not occur?

- By generating an exception (the function error :: String -> a in Haskell)
- By returning a pre-defined (dummy) value:

The problem: how to distinguish an error from the normal case generating the same value?

• Error datatypes, such as Maybe

Modelling program errors (cont.)

Why, instead of a dummy value, not to return an error value as a result?

• We define the datatype:

```
data Maybe a = Nothing | Just a
  deriving (Eq,Ord,Read,Show)
```

It is essentially the type a with an extra value Nothing added

Redefining divide:

• Finding a value in a list (from the module Data.List):

```
find :: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> Maybe a
```

Modelling program errors (cont.)

 In general case, when a function f gives an error when some condition cond holds:

The results of such functions are now not of the original output type, say a, but of type Maybe a.

- We can now either transmit error further, trap it, or raise an error
- Example: transmitting the error through mapMaybe

```
mapMaybe :: (a->b) -> Maybe a -> Maybe b
mapMaybe g Nothing = Nothing
mapMaybe g (Just x) = Just (g x)
```

Datatypes and type classes

Building more complex type classes and their instances usually goes hand in hand with declaration of new datatypes

Example: movable geometrical objects

```
data Vector = Vec Float Float

class Movable a where
  move :: Vector -> a -> a
  reflectX :: a -> a
  reflectY :: a -> a
  reflect180 :: a -> a
  reflect180 = reflectX . reflectY
```

Datatypes and type classes (cont.)

```
data Point = Point Float Float
  deriving Show

instance Movable Point where
  move (Vec v1 v2) (Point c1 c2) =
    Point (c1+v1) (c2+v2)
  reflectX (Point c1 c2) = Point c1 (-c2)
  reflectY (Point c1 c2) = Point (-c1) c2
  rotate180 (Point c1 c2) = Point (-c1) (-c2)
```

Modules: importing and exporting revisited

- There are a number of module controls, declaring which module declarations (functions and types) are visible and can be used when imported
- It is important to know whilst building or using a hierarchy of modules
- Visibility restrictions can be given either in a module declaration (module M (...)) or during module import (import M (...), import M hiding (...))

Modules: simple example

```
module Ant where

data Ants = ...
antEater x = ...

module Bee where
import Ant
beeKeeper x = ...
```

All visible definitions from Ant can be used in Bee

Modules: export controls

We can control what is exported (visible) by following the module name with a list of what is to be exported, e.g.,

```
module Bee (beeKeeper, Ants(..), antEater)
where
...
```

In the case of datatypes, the notation TypeName(..) indicates that the datatype is exported together with all its constructors (i.e., its implementation structure is revealed)

If (...) is omitted, then the datatype acts as an **abstract data type** and can be accessed only via exported operations

Modules: export controls (cont.)

If all the definitions are to be exported, we can write

```
module Bee (beeKeeper, module Ant) where ...
```

or equivalently

```
module Bee (module Bee, module Ant) where ...
```

The simple header module Ant where ... is equivalent to

```
module Ant (module Ant) where ...
```

Modules: the Main module

- Each system of modules should contain a top-level module Main, defining the name main
- In a compiled system, main is the expression that is evaluated first, when the compiled code is run
- In an interpreter like GHCi, it is of less significance
- A module without a header is treated as

```
module Main(main) where ...
```

Modules: import controls

Similarly, we can control what is imported (out of visible module declarations), e.g., choosing not to import antEater

```
import Ant (Ants(..))
...
```

or, alternatively, what names should be hidden, e.g.,

```
import Ant hiding (antEater)
...
```

Abstract datatypes in Haskell

- Abstract datatype: has a clearly defined and agreed interface (signature of ADT), allowing to separate the tasks of using and implementing the datatype
- As a result, we can modify modify the implementation without having any effect on the user

Abstract datatypes in Haskell (cont.)

A simple example: building a store of numerical variables and their values for the numerical expression calculator

```
module Store (
Store, -- abstract datatype Store
initial, -- initial value of Store
value, -- Store -> Var -> Integer
update -- Store -> Var -> Integer -> Store
) where
```

This gives us the signature of the ADT Store

Abstract datatypes in Haskell (cont.)

One possible (hidden) implementation of the ADT Store:

```
data Store = Store [(Integer, Var)]
initial :: Store
initial = Store []
value :: Store -> Var -> Integer
value (Store []) _ = 0
value (Store (n,w):sto) v
  | v==w = n
  | otherwise = value (Store sto) v
update :: Store -> Var -> Integer -> Store
update (Store sto) v n = Store ((n,v):sto)
```

The newtype construct

Instead of

```
data Store = Store [(Integer, Var)]
```

we could use

```
newtype Store = Store [(Integer, Var)]
```

The same effect as declaring a data type with one unary constructor but which is implemented in a more efficient fashion.

Reuses the representation of the type it contains but treats it as a separate type.

ADTs: making instances of type classes

We could also declare Store belonging to particular type classes such as Show and Eq:

```
instance Eq Store where
  (Store sto1) == (Store sto2) = (sto1 == sto2)
instance Show Store where
  show (Store sto) = show sto
```