

Tutorial on non-parametric estimation

TSIA202b

Roland Badeau

Exercise 1 (*White noise & Periodogram*)

Let y_t be a (centered) Gaussian white noise of variance σ^2 and let

$$Y(v_k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{t=0}^{N-1} y_t e^{-2i\pi v_k t}; \quad v_k = \frac{k}{N} \quad k \in \{0, \dots, N-1\}$$

where $Y(v_k)$ represents the discrete Fourier transform (DFT) normalized (and evaluated at the Fourier frequency v_k).

1. Compute the covariances

$$\mathbb{E} \left(Y(v_k) \overline{Y(v_r)} \right), \quad k, r = 0, \dots, N-1$$

2. Use the result of the previous question to conclude that the periodogram $\hat{S}_{P,yy}(v_k) = |Y(v_k)|^2$ is an unbiased estimator of the power spectral density (PSD) of y_t .
3. Explain why this estimator remains unbiased, even in the case where $v \neq v_k$ (give an intuitive explanation).

Exercise 2 (*Reduction of the mean squared error (MSE)*)

Let \hat{x} be an estimate of an unknown parameter x . Suppose that \hat{x} is unbiased (i.e. $\mathbb{E}(\hat{x}) = x$) and let $\sigma_{\hat{x}}^2$ be the mean square error (MSE) of \hat{x} :

$$MSE(\hat{x}) := \sigma_{\hat{x}}^2 := \mathbb{E} \left((\hat{x} - x)^2 \right)$$

(it should be noted that since \hat{x} is unbiased, $\sigma_{\hat{x}}^2$ is equal to the variance of \hat{x}). For a fixed constant ρ , we define:

$$\tilde{x} = \rho \hat{x}$$

another estimator of x . The coefficient ρ , often called the "reduction or regression coefficient", can be chosen in such a way as to minimize the MSE of \tilde{x} (denoted $\sigma_{\tilde{x}}^2$) and to make the latter smaller than $\sigma_{\hat{x}}^2$.

1. (General result) Let \hat{y} be an estimator (biased or not) of an unknown parameter y . Prove that:

$$MSE(\hat{y}) = \mathbb{E} \left((\hat{y} - \mathbb{E}(\hat{y}))^2 \right) + (\mathbb{E}(\hat{y}) - y)^2$$

2. Deduce that the MSE of \tilde{x} reaches its minimum value:

$$\sigma_{\tilde{x}_0}^2 = \rho_0 \sigma_{\tilde{x}}^2$$

for:

$$\rho_0 = \frac{x^2}{x^2 + \sigma_{\tilde{x}}^2}$$

We will now apply this result to the case of the periodogram. In the course, we have seen that the spectral estimation based on the periodogram $\hat{S}_{P,XX}$ is asymptotically unbiased and has an MSE equal to the square of the value of the power spectral density (PSD) S_{XX} :

$$\mathbb{E}(\hat{S}_{P,XX}(\nu)) \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} S_{XX}(\nu), \quad \mathbb{E} \left((\hat{S}_{P,XX}(\nu) - S_{XX}(\nu))^2 \right) \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} S_{XX}(\nu)^2$$

3. Show that the estimate of the "optimal periodogram" (in the sense mentioned above) is:

$$\tilde{S}_{P,XX}(\nu) = \hat{S}_{P,XX}(\nu) / 2$$

and that the MSE of $\tilde{S}_{P,XX}(\nu)$ is equal to half the MSE of $\hat{S}_{P,XX}(\nu)$. Then propose a method to estimate the PSD of the signal from this new estimator minimizing the MSE.

Exercise 3 (Estimation of the asymptotic maximum likelihood of $\hat{S}_{P,XX}(\nu)$ from $\hat{S}_{P,XX}(\nu)$)

It has been proven in the course that, asymptotically w.r.t. N , the average of $\hat{S}_{P,XX}(\nu)$ is $S_{XX}(\nu)$ and its variance is $S_{XX}(\nu)^2$. In this exercise, we assume that the $\hat{S}_{P,XX}(\nu)$ are Gaussian. This results in the fact that the probability density of $\hat{S}_{P,XX}(\nu)$ is (for the sake of clarity, we omit the dependency in ν):

$$p_{S_{XX}}(\hat{S}_{P,XX}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi S_{XX}^2}} \exp \left(-\frac{(\hat{S}_{P,XX} - S_{XX})^2}{2S_{XX}^2} \right)$$

1. Show that the maximum likelihood estimate (MLE) of S_{XX} by $\hat{S}_{P,XX}$ (defined as the maximum argument S_{XX} of $p_{S_{XX}}(\hat{S}_{P,XX})$) is given by:

$$\tilde{S}_{P,XX} = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \hat{S}_{P,XX}$$

2. Compare $\tilde{S}_{P,XX}$ with the estimate of S_{XX} presented in Exercise 2, in terms of bias and MSE.