IEOR 240: Homework 4

Arnaud Minondo

October 10, 2022

Problem 1:

```
Let S = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 | x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \ge 1 \text{ and } x_1, x_2 \ge 0\}
Let S_l = \{(t, (x_1, x_2, x_3)) \in \mathbb{R} \times S \text{ s.t. } t \ge 2x_1, t \ge 3x_2, t \ge 4x_3t \ge -4x_3\}
By definition of S_l, \forall (t, x) \in S_l, t \ge \max(2x_1, 3x_2, |4x_3|).
```

As a first result we have that $\min_{(t,x)\in S_l}(t) \ge \min_{(t,x)\in S_l}(\max(2x_1,3x_2,|4x_3|)) = \min_{x\in S}(\max(2x_1,3x_2,|4x_3|))$ as the left side only depends on $x\in S$.

As a second result we can notice that $(\min_{x \in S}(\max(2x_1, 3x_2, |4x_3|)), x^*) \in S_l$, so $\min_{(t,x) \in S_l}(t) \leq \min_{x \in S}(\max(2x_1, 3x_2, |4x_3|))$.

The results combined yield that the two min have to be equal. Moreover the problem where $(t, x) \in S_l$ is linear.

Problem 2:

2.(a)

The reduced cost is 0 because of the complementary slackness theorem.

2.(b)

After the strong duality theorem : objective value are equal thus 0.5*12+2*10+(b)*8=2*7+4*3=26 and conclude that (b)=0.

2.(c)

The allowable decrease for constraint 3 is 2 as 8-6=2 and we can decrease the constraint of two without changing the solution of the problem.

2.(d)

The optimal solution will not change, x = (2, 0, 4, 0) is still optimal but the objective value changes and it is : 28.

2.(e)

If you decrease C1 to 10, the solution of the dual does not change, $\overline{y} = (0.5, 2, 0)$ is still optimal and the new objective value is : 25.

2.(f)

We need to compute the reduced cost of x_5 , which is equal to 3-2*0.5+2*24*0=-2 which is negative so the objective function value can't be increased as it is a maximization problem. The solution is still optimal.

Problem 3:

3.(a)

This is false: let (\mathcal{P}) max $(x_1 - x_2)$ s.t. $x_1 + x_2 = 1$ and $x_1 + x_2 = -1$. Its dual constraints is the same and obviously both are infeasible.

3.(b)

This is false: Let the problem be $\min(x_1 + x_2)$ s.t. $x_1 + 2x_2 \ge 2$, $-x_1 - 2x_2 \ge -2$ and $x_1, x_2 \ge 0$ then after some computations you have the dual solution is $y_1 = \frac{1}{2}, y_2 = 0$.

3.(c)

This is false: consider the problem $\max(x_1+x_2)$ s.t. $x_1 \leq 1$, $x_2 \leq 1$ and $x_1, x_2 \geq 0$, its dual is: $\min(-y_1-y_2), y_1 \leq -1, y_2 \leq -1$ and the optimal sol is $y_1=y_2=-1$. Now consider the proble $\max(2x_1+2x_2)$ s.t. $x_1 \leq 1, x_2 \leq 1$ and $x_1, x_2 \geq 0$ which is the same as the original but only with the coefficient multiplied by two. The dual is: $\min(-y_1-y_2)$ s.t. $y_1 \leq -2, y_2 \leq -2$ and $y_1, y_2 \leq 0$ with optimal solution $y_1=y_2=-2$. We can see that the solution of the dual changed.

3.(d)

As $x = 0_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ is feasible whatever are the value of a_1, a_2 the problem is feasible.

3.(e)

Suppose
$$\forall z \in \mathbb{R}^m, (\forall j \in [1; m], \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij} z_i \le 0, z_j \ge 0) \implies z = 0.$$

Let w be a certificate of infeasibility. $w^T A \leq 0$ and we can notice that $\forall j \in [1, m], (w^T A)_j = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij} z_i \leq 0$.

Moreover, $w \ge 0$ so w verifies the first two conditions so w = 0 which means that $w^T b = 0$ which is in contradiction with $w^T b < 0$ the last condition of the unfeasibility certificate.

So there does not exist any infeasibility certificate therefore after the theorem of alternatives, the problem is always feasible.