

INDENG 262A : Homework 2

Arnaud Minondo

September 29, 2022

Problem 1.4

$\min(2x_1 + 3|x_2 - 10|)$ s.t. $|x_1 + 2| + |x_2| \leq 5$

Let $u_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $u_0 \geq x_2 - 10$ and $u_0 \geq 10 - x_2$

Then $u_0 \geq |x_2 - 10|$ so $2x_1 + 3u_0 \geq 2x_1 + 3|x_2 - 10|$ and $\min_{x_1, x_2, u_0}(2x_1 + 3u_0) \geq \min_{x_1, x_2, u_0}(2x_1 + 3|x_2 - 10|)$

But let $u_0 = |x_2 - 10|$ and $2x_1 + 3u_0 = 2x_1 + 3|x_2 - 10|$ so $\min_{x_1, x_2, u_0}(2x_1 + 3u_0) = \min_{x_1, x_2, u_0}(2x_1 + 3|x_2 - 10|)$.

To linearize $|x_1 + 2| + |x_2| \leq 5$:

Introduce $u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that, $u_1 \geq x_1 + 2$ and $u_1 \geq -2 - x_1$ and $u_2 \geq x_2$ and $u_2 \geq -x_2$ and $u_1 + u_2 \leq 5$

The first problem which is non linear is equivalent to this linear problem :

$\min(2x_1 + 3u_0)$ s.t.	$u_0 \geq x_2 - 10$ $u_0 \geq 10 - x_2$ $u_1 \geq x_1 + 2$ $u_1 \geq -2 - x_1$ $u_2 \geq x_2$ $u_2 \geq -x_2$ $u_1 + u_2 \leq 5$
--------------------------	--

Problem 1.9

In this problem I introduced a tensor $T = (s_{igj})_{(i,g,j) \in \llbracket 1; I \rrbracket \times \llbracket 1; G \rrbracket \times \llbracket 1; J \rrbracket}$ where s_{igj} represents the number of students from neighborhood i of grade g going to school j .

So that finding T gives an attribution of each student to a school.

Moreover : we can notice that $\sum_{j=1}^J s_{igj} = S_{ig}$ as a student has a school, no students has no school to

say so. And $\sum_{i=1}^I s_{igj} \leq C_{jg}$ as the number of students in a school and of a certain grade can not exceed the school capacity.

The problem becomes :

$\min\left(\sum_{i,j} d_{ij} \sum_{g=1}^G s_{igj}\right) \text{ s.t. } \forall (i, g, j) \in \llbracket 1; I \rrbracket \times \llbracket 1; G \rrbracket \times \llbracket 1; J \rrbracket, \sum_{j=1}^J s_{igj} = S_{ig}, \sum_{i=1}^I s_{igj} \leq C_{jg}, s_{igj} \geq 0$

Problem 1.11

Define $\forall r \in \llbracket 0; N - 3 \rrbracket, S_r = \{(i_0, i_1, \dots, i_r) \in \llbracket 2; N - 1 \rrbracket^r \text{ s.t. } \forall p, q \in \llbracket 0; r \rrbracket^2, p \neq q \implies i_p \neq i_q\}$

And define : $\forall k \in \llbracket 2; N - 1 \rrbracket, S_{rk} = \{s \in S_r, k \in s\}$

Now notice that a transaction from devise 1 to devise N is uniquely identified by the intermediate devise and the order in which they are exchanged.

Let $r \in \llbracket 0; N - 3 \rrbracket, p = (p_0, p_1, \dots, p_r) \in S_r$, r is the number of intermediate devise used to change 1 in N, p is identifying which devise is being exchange from which devise so that $\forall i \in \llbracket 0; r - 1 \rrbracket, p_i$ is exchanged for p_{i+1} and if $r = 0$ it means 1 is directly exchanged to N.

Define $\forall r \in \llbracket 0; N-3 \rrbracket, \forall p \in S_r x_p$ which is the amount changed using the path p from 1 to N .
The problem is :

$$\max \left(\sum_{r=0}^{N-3} \sum_{(i_0, i_1, \dots, i_r) \in S_r} x_{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_r} r_{1i_0} r_{i_r N} \prod_{k=0}^r r_{i_k} \right) \text{ s.t. } \sum_{k=2}^{N-1} \sum_{p \in S_{r_k}} x_p \leq u_r$$

Problem 4.1

The corresponding dual problem is :

$$\begin{array}{ll} \max(3y_2 + 6y_3) \text{ s.t.} & 2y_1 + 3y_2 - y_3 \geq 1 \\ & 3y_1 + y_2 - y_3 \leq -1 \\ & -y_1 + 4y_2 + 2y_3 \leq 0 \\ & y_1 - 2y_2 + y_3 = 0 \\ & y_1 \leq 0, y_2 \geq 0 \end{array}$$

Problem 4.4

x^* is also a boundedness certificate : $x^{*T} A = (A^T x^*)^T = (Ax^*)^T = c^T$ so $x^{*T} A \leq c^T$ and $x^* \geq 0$ so x^* is a certificate of boundedness.

After the weak duality : $\min(c^T x) \geq x^{*T} c = c^T x^*$ as x^* is also feasible then $\min(c^T x) \leq c^T x^*$ and finally combining the two inequalities we have :

$$\min(c^T x) = c^T x^*, x^* \text{ is optimal}$$

Problem 4.8

(a)

\tilde{x} (resp. x^*) is optimal for \bar{c} (resp. c) so $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \bar{c}^T \tilde{x} \leq \bar{c}^T x$ (resp. $c^T x^* \leq c^T x$)

So : $(\bar{c} - c)^T (\tilde{x} - x^*) = \bar{c}^T \tilde{x} - \bar{c}^T x^* - c^T \tilde{x} + c^T x^*$ using the two conditions above it is clear that $\bar{c}^T \tilde{x} \leq \bar{c}^T x^*$ and $c^T x^* \leq c^T \tilde{x}$

Finally summing the two inequalities and reorganizing the terms we have :

$$(\bar{c} - c)^T (\tilde{x} - x^*) \leq 0$$

(b)

Firstly : $p^{*T} b = c^T x^*$.

As between the two problems only b has changed and it does not change the condition of feasibility of the dual then p^* is a certificate of boundedness for the changed dual and we can conclude that $p^{*T} \tilde{b} \leq c^T \tilde{x}$

So $p^{*T} \tilde{b} - p^{*T} b \leq c^T \tilde{x} - p^{*T} b = c^T \tilde{x} - c^T x^*$ and we have obtained that : $p^{*T} \tilde{b} - p^{*T} b \leq c^T \tilde{x} - c^T x^*$ which is the same thing as :

$$p^{*T} (\tilde{b} - b) \leq c^T (\tilde{x} - x^*)$$

Problem 4.26

Suppose both are true at the same time : then $\forall j \in \llbracket 1; n \rrbracket, (pA)_j = u_j > 0$ but $pAx = 0$ thus $\sum_{i=1}^n u_j x_j = 0$

with $x_j \geq 0$ thus $u_j x_j \geq 0$ so $\forall j \in \llbracket 1; n \rrbracket, u_j x_j = 0$ so $x_j = 0$ as $u_j \neq 0$ which contradicts $x \neq 0$.

So both can't be true at the same time.

Need to show that if one is false the other is true.

Let $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\forall i \in \llbracket 1; n \rrbracket, u_i = 1$ now notice that the condition $pA > 0$ is equivalent to $pA \geq u$.

Write the optimization problem $(\mathcal{P}) : \min(p^T 0_{\mathbb{R}^m})$ s.t. $pA \geq u^T$
 Its dual is $(\mathcal{D}) : \max(u^T x)$ s.t. $Ax = 0, x \geq 0$.

Suppose not (b) which means : $\forall p \in \mathbb{R}^m, pA$ is not greater or equal to 0. So (\mathcal{P}) is unfeasible. Thus (\mathcal{D}) is either unfeasible either unbounded. But $x = 0$ is a certificate of feasibility for (\mathcal{D}) so (\mathcal{D}) has to be unbounded.

Which means that $\exists w \in \mathbb{R}^n, w \geq 0, Aw = 0$ and $u^T w = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i > 0$ thus $w \neq 0$. Thus (a) is true.

So we have shown : $[(b) \implies \text{not (a)}]$ and $[\text{not (b)} \implies (a)]$ thus $[(b) \Leftrightarrow \text{not (a)}]$ or more simply either a is true or b is true.