

# Graph Networks for Wind Nowcasting

**EE-452.** Graph Networks offer a flexible way of handling non-regular dataset such as sparse measures in the 3D space.

## 1 Introduction

Air Traffic Controllers (ATC) need to have access to reliable wind speed forecasts to organize the airspace efficiently. However, at cruising altitudes, the only measures that one has access to are measured by airplanes that record the wind along their trajectories. Therefore, air traffic controllers need to have very short-term forecasts of about 30 minutes. In that range, called nowcasting, one gets the most accurate forecast by extrapolating from the latest measures available rather than trying to solve expensive numerical equations solvers. It is in that range that deep learning methods can offer a lot, as meteorological data is often highly available, and deep learning methods thrive in that environment.

### 1.1 Problem formulation

Let  $\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{I}, \mathbb{O}$  be three bounded metric spaces, and define two functions  $f : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$ , mapping from data to the input space, and  $g : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{O}$  mapping to the output space. In the case of wind nowcasting,  $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^+$ , which corresponds to 3 spatial coordinates and one temporal and  $\mathbb{I} = \mathbb{O} = \mathbb{R}^2$  which encode the wind in the  $(x, y)$  plane. We want to learn the transformation which maps a function  $f$  to  $g$ . We only have access to  $f$  by data  $(x, i) \in \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{I}$  of measures  $i$  at position  $x$  and we know  $g$  only through a set  $(x', o) \in \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{O}$  of measurements  $o$  at position  $x'$ . So we learn SFTs from data using multiple sets of measures corresponding to many  $(f, g)$  pairs with the objective of minimizing the distance in the output metric space  $\mathbb{O}$  between predictions and references.

## 2 Related works

### 2.1 Graph Element Networks (GENs)

Graph Element Networks [Alet et al., 2019] aim to model SFTs using a non-regular graphs with nodes in the underlying space  $\mathbb{X}$ . Each measurement  $(x, i)$  is encoded using a small MLP and contributes to the neighbouring nodes values. The model then process this latent variable using  $T \in \mathbb{N}$  steps of message passing, the exact formulation of its message passing scheme can be found in the appendix. In order to predict at a new query position, the model linearly extrapolates

in latent space, and decodes using a small MLP modelling the transformation from latent to output space.

[AP] advantages –  
drawbacks

## 2.2 Finite Element Networks

Traditional PDEs solvers model the domain using regular grids, but this has some drawbacks as some part of the space might be more complicated to model than the other. One way of dealing with this problem is to use a Finite Element method approach [Hughes, 2012], which uses a non-regular graph that can be denser in the more complex regions and coarser in the smoother regions.

[AP] advantages –  
drawbacks

## 3 Exploration

### 3.1 Graph Types

Usually the mesh for FEM simulations is created beforehand with denser region where we expect the field to behave more complicated way. Meshes for this simulation are usually a triangulation of the region of interest. The triangulation subdivides the space into non overlapping triangles, such that each triangle has either a side or a vertex in common or are disjoint. A density parameter defines the fineness of the triangulation. The graph generated by such this triangulation scheme is such that there is an edge between two nodes only if they are close in the euclidean sense. The degree distribution of these graphs has only small values corresponding to the local neighborhood.

[AP] TODO : graph

For GENs, the original way of creating graphs is to create a grid mesh that covers the whole space, and to encode the node position as parameters of the model, so that it can be optimized by gradient descent during training. In that case, the graph structure remains similar to the initial grid structure.

[AP] TODO : graph

In both approaches, only those graph structures have been used. In this work, we will consider three approaches for our graph topology. The first one, considered as baseline is constructed as follows: First we will take the  $k$ -means of the measure positions and we will add edges between the nearest neighbors. The second one will be a random network where the nodes position and their edges are taken at random, we will try different parameters  $p$  and analyse its effect on the quality of the model.

We will use a totally different approach for the last graph structure, we will try to design a custom Barabási-Albert model.

[AP] TODO : graph

### 3.2 Features

In this work we will focus on nodes and edges features as we are using the graph network to do regression over the whole space. We won't need to aggregate the features over the whole graph.

### 3.3 Nodes features

As described in section 2.1, GENs only use nodes features that are computed by aggregating the learned embeddings of the measures based on their distance. We will visualise their value in some very unbalanced cases and the effect of message passing on the features. This will help us to choose the message passing steps and guide us through the analysis of the different graph structures.

We assume that this part is the most important to get a good model. So we will explore different strategies and measure their impact on the model. First we will try to use no encoder and aggregate the normalized measured values. With this approach, we delegate the whole processing to the graph networks, and we think this should be already a strong baseline as the outputs

Model	Nodes		
	10	100	1000
Random Network, $p = 1\%$	0.80 $\pm$ 0.04	0.71 $\pm$ 0.02	0.70 $\pm$ 0.02
Random Network, $p = 10\%$	0.76 $\pm$ 0.03	0.70 $\pm$ 0.02	0.76 $\pm$ 0.02
K-Means, 3 nn.	0.68 $\pm$ 0.01	0.69 $\pm$ 0.02	0.68 $\pm$ 0.01
K-Means, BA	0.69 $\pm$ 0.01	0.68 $\pm$ 0.01	<b>0.66 <math>\pm</math> 0.02</b>

Table 1: Graph Types results

values are strongly related to these initial values. We will then try to use fixed sine-cosine positional encodings [Vaswani et al., 2017] and 3D positional fixed sine-cosine positional encodings [Chu et al., 2021].

### 3.4 Edge features

A contribution of this work is to add edge features to GENs. We think that a good graph networks for space modelling should be able to compute approximation of the derivatives of values in the graph. We will take Euler scheme as an inspiration and have an explicit design for edge features that allows this kind of computation. We will make an ablation with learned features and see their effect on the quality of the model.

Euler equation:

[AP] TODO: sketch

$$\rho \mathbf{g} - \nabla p = \rho \frac{d\mathbf{v}}{dt} \quad (1)$$

## 4 Exploitation

Here the node features is the most informative, maybe the edge features depending on the exploitation of 1.

### 4.1 Graph Types

Have a good baseline.

## 5 Communication

- Good report
- Github
- Streamlit

## References

[Alet et al., 2019] Alet, F., Jeewajee, A. K., Villalonga, M. B., Rodriguez, A., Lozano-Perez, T., and Kaelbling, L. (2019). Graph element networks: adaptive, structured computation and memory. In Chaudhuri, K. and Salakhutdinov, R., editors, *Proceedings of the 36th International Conference*

*on Machine Learning*, volume 97 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 212–222. PMLR.

[Chu et al., 2021] Chu, X., Tian, Z., Zhang, B., Wang, X., Wei, X., Xia, H., and Shen, C. (2021). Conditional positional encodings for vision transformers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2102.10882*.

[Hughes, 2012] Hughes, T. J. (2012). *The finite element method: linear static and dynamic finite element analysis*. Courier Corporation.

[Vaswani et al., 2017] Vaswani, A., Shazeer, N., Parmar, N., Uszkoreit, J., Jones, L., Gomez, A. N., Kaiser, L. u., and Polosukhin, I. (2017). Attention is all you need. In Guyon, I., Luxburg, U. V., Bengio, S., Wallach, H., Fergus, R., Vishwanathan, S., and Garnett, R., editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 30. Curran Associates, Inc.