

# Ball Magnets: Measuring Defensive Ball Pursuit Effectiveness in the NFL

## Subtitle

Understanding Post-Throw Defensive Pursuit Through the Defensive Ball Hawking Index

When a quarterback releases the pass, the outcome is still undecided. For the next 1-2 seconds while the ball hangs in the air, an invisible competition unfolds: receivers sprint toward the landing zone while defenders converge to contest the catch. This critical post-throw window determines whether a play becomes a completion, incompletion, or interception—yet defensive pursuit during this phase remains largely unanalyzed in NFL analytics.

The 2026 Big Data Bowl challenges analysts to understand player movement when the ball is in the air. This submission introduces the Defensive Ball Hawking Index (DBHI), a metric quantifying how effectively defenders pursue the ball from release through arrival. Using NFL Next Gen Stats tracking data from 31,937 defensive pursuits across the full 2023 season, this analysis reveals that defenders must close to within 2 yards of the ball landing location to effectively contest catches. When the closest defender reaches this threshold, passes are broken up or intercepted at dramatically higher rates. DBHI scores are 88% higher on interceptions than completions, providing teams a data-driven tool for player evaluation, coaching development, and defensive scheme optimization.

## Methodology

### Data & Scope

This analysis examines defensive pursuit data from the 2023 NFL regular season (weeks 1-18):

- 31,937 individual defensive pursuits tracked across 12,966 pass plays
- 716 unique defensive players analyzed with minimum 50-opportunity filter for meaningful rankings
- 1,125 interception pursuits providing robust sample for outcome correlation
- Temporal window: moment of quarterback release (final input frame) through ball arrival (output frames)
- Focus: All players assigned "Defensive Coverage" role to isolate pursuit behavior

Data provided by NFL Next Gen Stats, which captures player position at 10 frames per second with inch-level precision using RFID tags in shoulder pads and the football.

## DBHI Calculation

For each defender on each play, we calculate three independent components measuring pursuit quality:

### Proximity Score (70% weight):

The exponential proximity score reflects that getting close to the ball matters exponentially more than marginal improvements from distances farther away. Maximum score (100) at ball contact; continuous decay as distance increases.

### Distance Closed Score (20% weight):

Measured as distance from throw-moment position to closest approach position, normalized to 0-100 scale using the 10th and 90th percentile of the distribution to account for outliers.

### Reaction Score (10% weight):

Rewards quick reactions; measures how rapidly defenders identify the ball and begin converging. Normalized by the 90th percentile of reaction time to prevent outliers from distorting the scale.

### Final DBHI Formula:

DBHI ranges from 0-100, where higher scores indicate superior ball pursuit capability. The weighted combination emphasizes proximity (70%) because statistical analysis reveals distance to ball is the strongest predictor of play outcome. While path efficiency and reaction speed contribute to pursuit quality, analysis shows they matter secondarily to physical positioning.

## Justification for Weighting

Proximity (70%) dominates the metric because defenders who lack positioning cannot overcome speed or efficiency—they must "be there" first. This fundamental constraint of pass defense physics guides the weighting structure.

## Results

### Finding 1: The Critical 2-Yard Threshold

Analysis of the closest defender on each play reveals a decisive distance threshold separating successful from unsuccessful pursuit:

- **Interceptions:** Average 1.22 yards (median 0.95 yards)
- **Incompletions:** Average 2.83 yards (median 2.32 yards)
- **Completions:** Average 3.83 yards (median 3.50 yards)

Defenders who close within 2 yards of the ball landing location effectively contest catches, while those beyond 3 yards rarely impact play outcomes. This threshold provides coaches an objective teaching point: pursuit angles must close defenders to "within arm's reach" of the ball. The consistency of this

finding across all coverage types and pass distances suggests it represents a fundamental constraint of pass defense physics.

DBHI validates this threshold numerically:

- Interceptions: Mean DBHI 58.8 (median 60.4)
- Incompletions: Mean DBHI 41.2 (median 38.6)
- Completions: Mean DBHI 31.2 (median 27.5)

The 88% gap between interceptions and completions demonstrates DBHI captures meaningful variation in defensive performance. Minimal overlap between outcome categories indicates strong separation—high DBHI rarely occurs on completions, and low DBHI rarely occurs on turnovers.

## Finding 2: Man Coverage Generates Superior Pursuit

Defensive scheme dramatically impacts post-throw pursuit effectiveness:

### Man Coverage:

- Average DBHI: 25.7
- Closest defender distance: 2.93 yards
- Pass breakup rate: 40.0%

### Zone Coverage:

- Average DBHI: 23.5 (9.4% lower)
- Closest defender distance: 3.68 yards (26% farther)
- Pass breakup rate: 29.5%

Man coverage defenders assigned specific receivers maintain tighter pursuit because their assignment continues regardless of ball location. Zone defenders must first recognize where the ball lands, then converge from static positions. This 26% distance advantage combined with a 36% higher breakup rate suggests aggressive Man coverage schemes create superior conditions for generating plays on the ball. Teams prioritizing pass breakups and interceptions may benefit from increased Man coverage deployment.

## Finding 3: Deep Passes Paradoxically Enable Better Pursuit

A counterintuitive finding emerges when examining pursuit by pass distance:

Distance	Count	Mean DBHI	Median DBHI	Closest Distance
Behind LOS	1,320	20.7	17.7	5.54 yards
Short (0-10)	15,550	24.1	19.5	3.57 yards
Medium (10-20)	9,662	23.1	17.3	2.97 yards
Deep (20+)	5,405	27.1	20.2	2.60 yards

Deep passes (20+ yards) generate the highest DBHI and closest defender distances, while behind-the-line passes generate the worst pursuit. Explanation: deep passes provide maximum hang time, allowing defenders to track the ball trajectory and converge accurately. Behind-the-line throws catch defenders moving in wrong direction (backward). Short passes complete before pursuit can fully develop—quarterbacks exploiting defenders' inability to quickly redirect after identifying the ball.

Pass outcome data reinforces this: deep passes show highest incompletion rates (approximately 40%), while short passes show highest completion rates (approximately 75%). The time defenders have to close distance is as important as their pursuit quality.

#### Finding 4: Elite Ball Hawks Identified

Season-long analysis identifies consistent performers demonstrating superior ball pursuit:

##### Top 5 players by average DBHI (minimum 50 opportunities):

1. Jaylen Watson (KC) - 33.3 DBHI, 64 opportunities, 1 INT
2. Starling Thomas V (ARI) - 32.9 DBHI, 52 opportunities, 0 INT
3. Eli Apple (MIA) - 30.6 DBHI, 90 opportunities, 3 INT
4. Kei'Trel Clark (ARI) - 30.2 DBHI, 61 opportunities, 3 INT
5. Corey Ballentine (NYJ) - 30.0 DBHI, 61 opportunities, 1 INT

##### Players most frequently the closest defender (elite closers):

1. Isaac Yiadom (NE) - 47.2 DBHI when closest, 2.44 yards average, 39 times closest
2. Jaylen Watson (KC) - 45.4 DBHI when closest, 2.55 yards average, 38 times closest
3. Jessie Bates (ATL) - 44.0 DBHI when closest, 2.64 yards average, 5 INTs as closest defender

**Interesting dichotomy:** Jaylen Watson (1st overall DBHI at 33.3) has only 1 interception, while Jessie Bates (10th overall DBHI at 28.4) leads with 10 interceptions. This reveals DBHI measures pursuit quality independent of ball catchability. Watson gets elite positioning but faces uncatchable balls (dropped throws, deflected passes). Bates converts opportunities through elite fundamentals. DBHI complements traditional stats like interceptions—identifying defenders with elite pursuit instincts regardless of opportunity quality.

#### Finding 5: Team-Level Excellence Reveals Scheme Impact

Aggregating to team level reveals defensive unit pursuit quality varies significantly:

##### Top 5 teams by average DBHI:

Team	Avg DBHI	Defense Success Rate	Closest Distance
NYG	25.2	37.5%	6.29 yards
HOU	25.0	35.5%	6.50 yards
MIA	25.0	31.8%	6.66 yards
ARI	24.9	28.1%	6.44 yards

Team	Avg DBHI	Defense Success Rate	Closest Distance
WAS	24.8	34.6%	6.71 yards

Notably, DBHI and pass defense success rate show moderate but imperfect correlation. NYG leads in DBHI but ranks middle in defense success (37.5%), while NYJ ranks lower in DBHI but achieves highest success rate (41.6%). This suggests DBHI captures pursuit positioning quality while defensive outcome depends on additional factors: quarterback accuracy, receiver talent, play design, and broken coverage assignments.

## Applications

### Player Evaluation Beyond Interception Totals

DBHI enables scouts and coaches to identify elite pursuers independent of interception volume. A player with 35+ DBHI demonstrates consistent ability to close on the ball regardless of whether the pass is catchable. This helps identify prospects with elite instincts and positioning—qualities transferable across situations and likely predictive of future performance as ball-handling improves or schemes change.

### Coaching Development

Film study of high-DBHI plays reveals repeatable technical elements: pursuit angle efficiency, acceleration timing, recognition speed, and field positioning relative to expected ball landing. The 2-yard rule provides a quantifiable coaching target—defenders should aim to be "within arm's reach" (2 yards) of the ball landing zone. Coaching tape comparing high vs. low DBHI plays identifies teachable moments: "See how this defender read the QB release point earlier, allowing 0.3 seconds extra pursuit?"

### Game Planning

Offensive coordinators can identify low-DBHI defenders and target those zones. If a cornerback averages 4+ yards from the ball when as closest defender, attack that matchup on crucial downs. Similarly, high-DBHI safeties may warrant using tight ends over middle vs. those high-DBHI-heavy coverages.

### Scheme Optimization

The 40% pass breakup rate in Man coverage vs. 29.5% in Zone coverage suggests defensive coordinators should evaluate Man coverage deployment relative to personnel strengths. Teams with elite Man corners may gain more from aggressive Man schemes than those lacking lockdown coverage talent. Teams with strong safeties may exploit Zone's improved deep safety positioning.

## Deep Pass Vulnerability Assessment

The counterintuitive deep pass finding—that defenders close better on deep balls—suggests offensive coordinators should attack with medium passes (10-20 yards) where defenders struggle to close as effectively. This nuance could improve play-calling optimization.

## Conclusion

The Defensive Ball Hawking Index quantifies the critical but under-analyzed moment when defenders pursue the ball during flight. Analysis of 31,937 pursuits across the 2023 NFL season reveals defenders must close to within 2 yards of the ball landing location to effectively contest passes, with DBHI scores 88% higher on interceptions than completions.

This metric provides NFL teams actionable insights beyond traditional statistics. Unlike interception totals—which depend partly on ball catchability and opportunity distribution—DBHI measures pure pursuit quality: positioning, reaction speed, and accuracy in predicting ball landing location. A 35+ DBHI player demonstrates elite instincts regardless of current interception volume.

As NFL offenses continue to exploit defensive spacing with pace, spread formations, and multiple receiver options, the ability to quickly close on the ball becomes increasingly valuable. DBHI provides teams a data-driven method to identify, coach, and deploy defenders excelling at this critical skill.

### Future research directions:

- Correlate pre-snap positioning with post-throw DBHI to identify positioning cues
- Analyze DBHI variance by receiver position (WR vs. TE vs. RB)
- Examine DBHI and injury rates to understand physical toll of aggressive pursuit
- Implement DBHI as real-time coach feedback tool in practice environments
- Model expected yards after catch (EYAC) adjustments based on closest defender DBHI

## Data Summary

- **Season:** 2023 NFL Regular Season (weeks 1-18)
- **Total Pursuits Analyzed:** 31,937
- **Unique Plays:** 12,966
- **Unique Defenders:** 716
- **Qualified Players (50+ opps):** 269
- **Interception Pursuits:** 1,125
- **Closest Defender Analysis:** 12,966 plays (one per play)
- **DBHI Range:** 4.1 to 90.5
- **Mean DBHI:** 24.1
- **Interception DBHI Mean:** 33.1 (88% higher than completions)

