

Request for Change Form (RFC)

| RFC Number: | RFC-DX-2023-001 | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Revision Number: | 0 | | | | |

| • INITIATION To be accomplished by the I.T. Change INITIATOR | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|------------------|----------------------------|-------------|----------------|---------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| Initiator's Name: | Mary Jane S. Ballon | | | Date Req | uested: | C | October 9, 2023 | | | |
| Project Title: | New VPE System | | | Target Imp | olementati | on Date: | February 28, 2024 | | | |
| Reason for Change / Brief Description: | This change request for VPE System (Server CPH64) is due to the following reasons: 1) Operating System (Windows Server 2012) is End-of-Life (EOL) in October 2023; 2) CPH64 Server was assessed with vulnerabilities (e.g. SSL Certificate Signed Using Weak Hashing Algorithm); 3) VPE System needs feature enhancements; 4) No technical support for the old VPE system; 5) CPH64 hardware is candidate for decommissioning (8.5 years old); and 6) Database requires migration to a new VPE system/server. | | | | | | | | | |
| References: | ATTACHMENT (HARD/SOFT-COPY) ONLINE REFERENCE (Provide Link below) Link: https://learn.microsoft.com/en-us/lifecycle/announcements/windows-server-2012-r2-end-of-support | | | | | | | | | |
| Change Request Category: | □ NEW IMPLEMENTATION □ ENHANCEMENT □ DECOMMISSIONING | | | | | | | | | |
| Hardware Requirement: | n/a | | | | | | | | | |
| Software Requirement: | Database software (e.g. Postgres, SQL, etc.); Virtualized server (VM) for the New VPE System. | | | | | | | | | |
| *NOTE: Target implementation date may vary depending on the IT Project planning after approval | | | | | | | | | | |
| • EVALUATION To be accomplished by the I.T. Change EVALUATOR | | | | | | | | | | |
| Evaluator's Name: | Andrew R. Ignacio | | | Date Eva | aluated: | 0 | ctober 10, 2023 | | | |
| Change Request Type: | ☐ Standard ✓ Normal ☐ Emergency | | | | | | | | | |
| NOTE: All I.T. Changes categorized as STANDARD Change are exempted in the I.T. Change Management Process. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Proceed with the Change Request: | ✓ Yes In case of NO, what would be the Technical IMPACT OF NOT CHANGING: | | | | | | | | | |
| Change Request. | □ No □ | | | | | | | | | |
| Back-out Plan: | N/A (Not Applicable) ☑ Others (specify): Utilize the old Excel-based Vendor Performance Evaluation system for manual proceeding, until such time that the New VPE System is ready. Else, use the virtualized (VM) old VPE System. | | | | | | | | | |
| Analysis and Recommendation: | This IT Change request falls under the Normal category as defined in ISP-CP-7516 (Information Technology Change Management). Since risks were assessed, this should be authorized and therefore it should be scheduled to follow the complete IT change management process according to a standardized procedure. | | | | | | | | | |
| DE\#EW_T- b | :-hd b 4b | I.T. Ohanna I.I | 54D 14b - 1 T. O | | OEB | | | | | |
| REVIEW To be accompli | isnea by the i | | | urity WANA | | | | | | |
| I.T. Change Lead's Name: | | Arnel R | . Valondo | | Date | Reviewed: | October 11, 2023 | | | |
| Operation Impact: | Affected Users: Company-wide Division-wide Center-wide Departmental User (specify estimated number of users): | | | | | | | | | |
| total Destates. | Downtime (e.g. 4 hours): n/a | | | | | | | | | |
| Initial Decision: | ✓ Approve | ed t | Disapproved | | | | | | | |
| I.T. Security Manager's Name: | Delfin DG Jose, Jr. | | | | Date Reviewed: | | October 16, 2023 | | | |
| Security Risk: | ✓ Low Medium High Critical | | | | | | | | | |
| (Ref.: ISP-CP-6101 Risk Management) | Justification: Low impact due to migration from Physical to Virtual machine. | | | | | | | | | |
| Initial Decision: | ✓ Approve | ed [| Disapproved | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| ASSESSMENT and CONC | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| Overall Impact Level: | , | t for STANDAF | | Minor | | Major | | | | |
| Data Canaludad: | If MAJOR II | mpact level, dec | sision of I.T. Strategic C | Final Decis | | Ref. MOM No.: | Disapproved | | | |
| Date Concluded: | | | | Final Decis | SION. | Approved | Disapproved | | | |
| Final Justification: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Way Forward: | Proceed as planned Do not execute Consider alternatives | | | | | | | | | |
| | Others (specify): | | | | | | | | | |
| Important Notes: | Approver's Name and Signature: Demetrio P. Selga | | | | ga | | | | | |
| | RFC Approval Date: (n/a if disapproved) | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Acknowledgment Signatures | INIT | TIATOR: | EVALUAT | OR: | Р | ROJECT LEAD: | I.T. SECURITY MANAGER: | | | |
| | Date: | | Date: | | Date: | | Date: | | | |