

1. (Sp '19 Midterm 2 #3) Convexity of Sets

Determine if each set C given below is convex. Prove that each set is convex or provide an example to show that it is not convex. You may use any techniques used in class or discussion to demonstrate or disprove convexity.

- (a) $C = \{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x_1 x_2 \geq 0\}$, where $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \end{bmatrix}^\top$.

Solution: Consider points $\vec{z}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^\top$ and $\vec{z}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^\top$. Note that $\vec{z}_1 \in C$ and $\vec{z}_2 \in C$, but $\vec{z}_3 := \frac{\vec{z}_1 + \vec{z}_2}{2} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.5 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix}^\top \notin C$ since $(-0.5) \cdot 0.5 < 0$.

- (b) $C = \{X \in \mathbb{S}^n \mid \lambda_{\min}(X) \geq 2\}$, where \mathbb{S}^n is the set of symmetric matrices in $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $\lambda_{\min}(X)$ is the minimum eigenvalue of X .

Solution: C is convex. Consider $X_1, X_2 \in C$. The minimum eigenvalue of X is given by

$$\lambda_{\min}(X) = \min_{\vec{z} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|\vec{z}\|_2 = 1} \vec{z}^\top X \vec{z}. \quad (1)$$

Thus we have

$$\min_{\vec{z} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|\vec{z}\|_2 = 1} \vec{z}^\top X_1 \vec{z} \geq 2 \quad \text{and} \quad (2)$$

$$\min_{\vec{z} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|\vec{z}\|_2 = 1} \vec{z}^\top X_2 \vec{z} \geq 2. \quad (3)$$

C is convex if for any scalar $\theta \in [0, 1]$, we have $X_\theta \doteq \theta X_1 + (1 - \theta)X_2 \in C$. Plugging in the above, we have

$$\lambda_{\min}(X_\theta) = \min_{\vec{z} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|\vec{z}\|_2 = 1} \vec{z}^\top X_\theta \vec{z} \quad (4)$$

$$= \min_{\vec{z} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|\vec{z}\|_2 = 1} \vec{z}^\top (\theta X_1 + (1 - \theta)X_2) \vec{z} \quad (5)$$

$$= \min_{\vec{z} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|\vec{z}\|_2 = 1} [\theta \vec{z}^\top X_1 \vec{z} + (1 - \theta) \vec{z}^\top X_2 \vec{z}] \quad (6)$$

$$\geq \min_{\vec{z} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|\vec{z}\|_2 = 1} \theta \vec{z}^\top X_1 \vec{z} + \min_{\vec{z} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|\vec{z}\|_2 = 1} (1 - \theta) \vec{z}^\top X_2 \vec{z} \quad (7)$$

$$\geq \theta 2 + (1 - \theta)2 \quad (8)$$

$$= 2. \quad (9)$$

Thus, $X_\theta \in C$, and therefore C is convex.

- (c) Let $\mathcal{H}(\vec{w})$ denote the hyperplane with normal direction $\vec{w} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{H}(\vec{w}) = \{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \vec{x}^\top \vec{w} = 0\}. \quad (10)$$

Let $P : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be given by

$$P(\vec{x}) = \operatorname{argmin}_{\vec{y} \in \mathcal{H}(\vec{w})} \|\vec{y} - \vec{x}\|_2. \quad (11)$$

Let

$$C = \{P(\vec{x}) \mid \vec{x} \in \mathcal{B}\} \quad (12)$$

where $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \|\vec{x}\|_2 \leq 1\}$.

Solution: C is convex. Let $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (n-1)}$ denote the matrix with columns forming a basis for $H(\vec{w})$. Then the optimization problem for $P(\vec{x})$ can be written as

$$P(\vec{x}) = Q \left[\operatorname{argmin}_{\vec{w} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \|Q\vec{w} - \vec{x}\|_2^2 \right] \quad (13)$$

and has the closed form solution $P(\vec{x}) = Q(Q^\top Q)^{-1}Q^\top \vec{x} = L\vec{x}$ for $L \doteq Q(Q^\top Q)^{-1}Q^\top$. Note that $P(\vec{x})$ is linear in \vec{x} .

Method 1:

\mathcal{B} is a convex set and P is an affine operator. Affine transformations of convex sets are convex, so we conclude directly that C is convex.

Method 2:

Let $\vec{z}_1, \vec{z}_2 \in C$. This means there exist $\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $\vec{z}_1 = L\vec{x}_1$ and $\vec{z}_2 = L\vec{x}_2$. For $\theta \in [0, 1]$, we consider $\vec{x}_\theta \doteq \theta\vec{x}_1 + (1 - \theta)\vec{x}_2$. Because \mathcal{B} is convex (since norm balls are convex), we have $\vec{x}_\theta \in \mathcal{B}$. Then,

$$\vec{z}_\theta \doteq \theta\vec{z}_1 + (1 - \theta)\vec{z}_2 \quad (14)$$

$$= \theta L\vec{x}_1 + (1 - \theta)L\vec{x}_2 \quad (15)$$

$$= L(\theta\vec{x}_1 + (1 - \theta)\vec{x}_2) \quad (16)$$

$$= L\vec{x}_\theta \quad (17)$$

$$= P(\vec{x}_\theta). \quad (18)$$

Thus, $\vec{z}_\theta \in C$, so C is convex by the definition of convexity.

2. (Sp '20 Midterm # 5) Subspace Projection

Consider a set of points $\vec{z}_1, \dots, \vec{z}_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$. The first principal component of the data, \vec{w}^* , is the direction of the line that minimizes the sum of the squared distances between the points and their projections on \vec{w}^* , i.e.,

$$\vec{w}^* = \operatorname{argmin}_{\|\vec{w}\|_2=1} \sum_{i=1}^n \|\vec{z}_i - \langle \vec{w}, \vec{z}_i \rangle \vec{w}\|^2.$$

In this problem, we generalize to finding the r -dimensional subspace (instead of a 1-dimensional line) that minimizes the sum of the squared distances between the points \vec{z}_i and their projections on the subspace. We assume that $1 \leq r \leq \min(n, d)$. We can represent an r -dimensional subspace by an orthonormal basis $(\vec{w}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_r)$, and we want to solve:

$$(\vec{w}_1^*, \dots, \vec{w}_r^*) = \operatorname{argmin}_{\substack{\|\vec{w}_i\|_2=1 \\ \langle \vec{w}_i, \vec{w}_j \rangle = 0 \ \forall i \neq j \\ 1 \leq i, j \leq r}} \sum_{i=1}^n \min_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r} \left\| \vec{z}_i - \sum_{k=1}^r \alpha_k \vec{w}_k \right\|^2. \quad (19)$$

Note that the inner minimization projects the point \vec{z}_i onto the subspace defined by $(\vec{w}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_r)$. The variables $\alpha_k \in \mathbb{R}$. This means that for an arbitrary point \vec{z} , this inner minimization

$$(\alpha_1^*, \dots, \alpha_r^*) = \operatorname{argmin}_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r} \left\| \vec{z} - \sum_{k=1}^r \alpha_k \vec{w}_k \right\|^2$$

has minimizers $\alpha_k^* = \langle \vec{w}_k, \vec{z} \rangle$.

(a) With the following definition of matrices Z and W :

$$Z = \begin{bmatrix} \uparrow & \dots & \uparrow \\ \vec{z}_1 & \dots & \vec{z}_n \\ \downarrow & \dots & \downarrow \end{bmatrix}, \quad W = \begin{bmatrix} \uparrow & \dots & \uparrow \\ \vec{w}_1 & \dots & \vec{w}_r \\ \downarrow & \dots & \downarrow \end{bmatrix},$$

show that we can rewrite the optimization problem in Equation (19) as:

$$(\vec{w}_1^*, \dots, \vec{w}_r^*) = \operatorname{argmin}_{\substack{\|\vec{w}_i\|_2=1 \\ \langle \vec{w}_i, \vec{w}_j \rangle = 0 \ \forall i \neq j \\ 1 \leq i, j \leq r}} \|Z - WW^\top Z\|_F^2. \quad (20)$$

Solution:

First, consider a single vector $\vec{z} \in \mathbb{R}^d$. For this vector, consider the optimization problem:

$$\min_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r} \left\| \vec{z} - \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i \vec{w}_i \right\|_2^2.$$

We first expand the term inside the minimization problem as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \vec{z} - \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i \vec{w}_i \right\|_2^2 &= \|\vec{z}\|_2^2 + \left\| \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i \vec{w}_i \right\|_2^2 - 2 \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i \vec{w}_i, \vec{z} \right\rangle = \|\vec{z}\|_2^2 + \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^r \alpha_i \alpha_j \langle \vec{w}_i, \vec{w}_j \rangle - 2 \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i \langle \vec{w}_i, \vec{z} \rangle \\ &= \|\vec{z}\|_2^2 + \sum_{i=1}^r (\alpha_i^2 - 2\alpha_i \langle \vec{w}_i, \vec{z} \rangle) \end{aligned}$$

where for the final equality, we have used the fact that $\langle \vec{w}_i, \vec{w}_j \rangle = 0$ for $i \neq j$ and $\|\vec{w}_i\| = 1$ for all i . By taking derivatives, we see that the optimal value for α_i is $\langle \vec{w}_i, \vec{z} \rangle$. From this, we can conclude that for a fixed vector, \vec{z} , we get:

$$\min_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r} \left\| \vec{z} - \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i \vec{w}_i \right\|^2 = \left\| \vec{z} - \sum_{i=1}^r \langle \vec{w}_i, \vec{z} \rangle \vec{w}_i \right\|^2. \quad (21)$$

Now, observe that for a single vector, \vec{z} , we have:

$$WW^\top \vec{z} = W \begin{bmatrix} \langle \vec{w}_1, \vec{z} \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle \vec{w}_r, \vec{z} \rangle \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{i=1}^r \langle \vec{w}_i, \vec{z} \rangle \vec{w}_i.$$

Therefore, we get using the fact that the squared Frobenius norm of a matrix is the sum of the squared lengths of its columns:

$$\|Z - WW^\top Z\|_F^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \|\vec{z}_i - WW^\top \vec{z}_i\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \left\| \vec{z}_i - \sum_{j=1}^r \langle \vec{z}_i, \vec{w}_j \rangle \vec{w}_j \right\|^2.$$

From Equation 21, we conclude that the above expression is equivalent to 19.

Next, we will solve the optimization problem in Equation (20) using the SVD of Z .

- (b) Let σ_i refer to the i^{th} largest singular value of Z , and $l = \min(n, d)$. First **show** that,

$$\min_{\substack{\|\vec{w}_i\|_2=1 \\ \langle \vec{w}_i, \vec{w}_j \rangle=0 \forall i \neq j \\ 1 \leq i, j \leq r}} \|Z - WW^\top Z\|_F^2 \geq \sum_{i=r+1}^l \sigma_i^2.$$

Solution:

Let $Z = U\Sigma V^\top = \sum_{i=1}^l \sigma_i \vec{u}_i \vec{v}_i^\top$ denote the SVD of Z and let $Z_r = \sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i \vec{u}_i \vec{v}_i^\top$. Note that for any $W \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times r}$, $WW^\top Z$ is a matrix of rank at most r . Therefore, we get from the Eckart-Young theorem that:

$$\min_{\substack{\|\vec{w}_i\|=1 \\ \langle \vec{w}_i, \vec{w}_j \rangle=0 \forall i \neq j \\ 1 \leq i, j \leq r}} \|Z - WW^\top Z\|_F^2 \geq \|Z - Z_r\|_F^2 = \sum_{i=r+1}^l \sigma_i^2.$$

- (c) Again σ_i refers to the i^{th} largest singular value of Z , and $l = \min(n, d)$. **Show** that,

$$\min_{\substack{\|\vec{w}_i\|_2=1 \\ \langle \vec{w}_i, \vec{w}_j \rangle=0 \forall i \neq j \\ 1 \leq i, j \leq r}} \|Z - WW^\top Z\|_F^2 \leq \sum_{i=r+1}^l \sigma_i^2.$$

Hint: Find a W that achieves this upper bound.

Solution:

As before, let $Z = U\Sigma V^\top = \sum_{i=1}^l \sigma_i \vec{u}_i \vec{v}_i^\top$ denote the SVD of Z and $Z_r = \sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i \vec{u}_i \vec{v}_i^\top$. By picking $\vec{w}_i = \vec{u}_i$ for $i \in [r]$ in (20), we get that:

$$\min_{\substack{\|\vec{w}_i\|=1 \\ \langle \vec{w}_i, \vec{w}_j \rangle=0 \forall i \neq j \\ 1 \leq i, j \leq r}} \|Z - WW^\top Z\|_F^2 \leq \|Z - Z_r\|_F^2 = \sum_{i=r+1}^l \sigma_i^2.$$

From the previous part and this result, we conclude that an optimal solution to 19 are the top- r left singular vectors of Z which can be computed via the SVD of Z .

