

#### 软件理论基础与实践

### IndProp: Inductively Defined Propositions

熊英飞 北京大学

## 复习: ev定义



```
Inductive ev : nat -> Prop :=
  | ev_0 : ev 0
  | ev_SS (n : nat) (H : ev n) : ev (S (S n)).
```

等价于如下逻辑推导式

$$\frac{ev\ 0}{ev\ (S\ (S\ n))}$$

## 分解证据



用destruct自动将所有S (S n)替换成0,导致定理无法证明。

#### remember



```
Theorem evSS ev remember : forall n,
  ev (S (S n)) \rightarrow ev n.
Proof.
  intros n E.
  (* E: ev (S (S n)) *)
  remember (S (S n)) as k eqn:Hk.
  (* Hk : k = S (S n))
     E: ev k *)
  destruct E as [|n' E'] eqn:EE.
  - (* Hk: 0 = S (S n))
       E: ev 0
       EE: E = ev 0 *)
    discriminate Hk.
  - (* E = ev S n' E' *)
    injection Hk as Heq. rewrite <- Heq. apply E'.
Qed.
```

remember策略把所有S (S n)替换成变量k, 之后destruct策略会自动替换该变量。

#### remember



```
Theorem evSS_ev_remember : forall n,
  ev (S (S n)) \rightarrow ev n.
Proof.
  intros n E.
  (* n : nat
     E: ev (S (S n)) *)
  remember (S (S n)) as k eqn:Hk.
  (* n, k : nat
     Hk : k = S (S n)
     E: ev k *)
  Show Proof.
  (* (fun (n : nat) (E : ev (S (S n))) =>
      let k := S (S n) in
      let Hk : k = S (S n)
      := eq_refl in ?Goal) *)
```

Remember采用let引入新的假设

# 能否不用remember达到同样的效果?



```
Theorem evSS_ev_remember : forall n,
  ev (S (S n)) -> ev n.
Proof.
  intros n E.
  assert (H:exists k, k = (S (S n))). {
    exists (S (S n)). reflexivity.
  }
  destruct H as [k Hk].
  rewrite <- Hk in E.
  (* n, k: nat
    E: ev k
    Hk: k = S (S n) *)</pre>
```

#### inversion



```
Theorem evSS_ev' : forall n,
  ev (S (S n)) -> ev n.
Proof.
  intros n E.
  inversion E as [| n' E' Heq].
  (* E = ev_SS n' E'*)
  apply E'.
Qed.
```

inversion策略针对归纳定义的命题进行了优化

- 对于每个constructor生成一个goal
- 将constructor的参数添加为假设(以上两项同destruct)
- 对比constructor产生的index和被分解的假设,添加等式,并智能改写目标
- 如果等式矛盾,删除对应目标

#### inversion



```
Theorem inversion_ex1 : forall (n m o : nat),
    [n; m] = [o; o] ->
    [n] = [m].

Proof.
    intros n m o H.

采用inversion:
    inversion H. reflexivity. Qed.

采用injection:
    injection H. intros. rewrite H0. rewrite H1.
    reflexivity. Qed.
```

Inversion可以起 injection的作用, 并自动引入和改写 (destruct会分解=)

```
Theorem inversion_ex2 : forall (n : nat),
   S n = 0 ->
   2 + 2 = 5.
Proof.
  intros n contra. inversion contra. Qed.
```

inversion也能替代 discriminate

## 复习: Induction



```
Theorem add_0_r : forall n:nat, n + 0 = n.
Proof. intros n.
(* n : nat
* n + 0 = n
 induction n as [| n' IHn'].
  - reflexivity.
(* n': nat
  IHn' : n' + 0 = n'
 * S n' + 0 = S n'
 *)
```

Induction将n的所有出现替换成对应的constructor调用 如果constructor包含递归参数,添加参数和对应归纳假设到假设区

## induction用于归纳定义的命题

```
Inductive ev : nat -> Prop :=
| ev_0 : ev 0
| ev_SS (n : nat) (H : ev n) : ev (S (S n)).
```

```
Lemma ev_even : forall n,
 ev n \rightarrow even n.
Proof.
  intros n F.
  induction E as [|n' E' IH].
  - (* E = ev_0 *) exists 0. reflexivity.
  - (* E': ev n'
                (constructor参数)
      IH: even n' (归纳假设)
      goal: even (S (S n')) (重写目标)
   unfold even in TH.
   destruct IH as [k Hk].
   rewrite Hk. exists (S k). simpl. reflexivity.
Qed.
```

## 归纳定义的关系



#### 等价于如下逻辑推导式

$$n \le n$$

$$\frac{n \le m}{n \le S m}$$

## 定理可以用相同方法证明



```
Theorem test le1:
  3 <= 3.
Proof.
  apply le n. Qed.
Theorem test le2:
  3 < = 6.
Proof.
  apply le_S. apply le_S. apply le_S. apply le_n.
Theorem test le3:
 (2 \leftarrow 1) \rightarrow 2 + 2 = 5.
Proof.
  intros H. inversion H. inversion H2. Qed.
```

## 作业



- 完成IndProp中standard非optional截止到case study(不含)之前的7道习题
  - 请使用最新英文版教材