2 Cost functions	inverse).
2 Cost functions $MSE = {}^{1} \sum_{n}^{N} [r_{n} + f(r_{n})]^{2} \text{ Not good}$	5 Likelihood
$MSE = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} [y_n - f(x_n)]^2 \text{ Not good}$	Probability of observing the data given a
with outliers. MAE = $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N}  y_n - f(x_n) $	set of parameters and inputs : $p(y X, w) =$
2.1 Convexity	$\prod p(y_n x_n, w) = \prod \mathcal{N}(y_n x_n^T w, \sigma^2)$
A line joining two points never intersects with the function anywhere else.	Best model maximises log-likelihood
$f(\lambda u + (1 - \lambda)v) \le \lambda f(u) + (1 - \lambda)f(v)$ with	$\mathcal{L}_{LL} = -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum (y_n - x_n^T w)^2 + cst.$
$\lambda \in [0;1]$ . A strictly convex function has	6 Regularization
a unique global minimum $w^*$ . Sums of	6.1 Ridge Regression
convex functions are convex.  A function must always lie above its li-	$\mathcal{L}(w) = \frac{1}{2} (y - Xw)^T (y - Xw) + \frac{\lambda}{2}   w  _2^2 \to$
nearisation $\mathcal{L}(u) \geq \mathcal{L}(w) + \nabla \mathcal{L}(w)^T (u - u)^T$	$w_{ridge}^* = (XX^T + \lambda I_D)^{-1}X^Ty = X^T(XX^T + X^T)^{-1}X^Ty = X^T(XX$
$w$ ) $\forall u, w$ .	$(\lambda I_N)^{-1}y$
A set is convex iff the line segment bet-	Can be considered a MAP estimator :
ween any two points of $\mathcal{C}$ lies in $\mathcal{C}$ :	$w_{ridge}^* = argmin_w - log(p(w X, y))$
$\theta u + (1 - \theta)v \in \mathcal{C}$	6.2 Lasso
3 Optimisation	Sparse solution. $\mathcal{L}(w) = \frac{1}{2N}(y - Xw)^T(y - Xw)^T$
Gradient $\nabla \mathcal{L} := \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(w)}{\partial w_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(w)}{\partial w_D} \end{bmatrix}$	$(Xw) + \lambda   w  _1$ 7 Model Selection
3.1 Gradient descent	7.1 Bias-Variance decomposition
$w^{(t+1)} = w^{(t)} - \gamma \nabla \mathcal{L}(w^{(t)})$ . Very sensitive	Small dimensions : large bias, small va-
to ill-conditioning.	riance. Large dimensions : small bias, lar-
GD - Linear Reg	ge variance.
$\mathcal{L}(w) = \frac{1}{2N} (y - Xw)^T (y - Xw) \to$	8 Classification 8.1 Optimal
$\nabla \mathcal{L}(w) = -\frac{1}{N} X^{T} (y - Xw).$	$\hat{y}(x) = argmax_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} p(y x)$
Cost : $O_{error}(N*D) = 2N*D+N$ and $O_{weights} = 2N*D+D$ .	8.2 Logistic regression
	$\sigma(z) = \frac{e^z}{1 + e^z}$ to limit the predicted values
3.2 SGD	$y \in [0;1]$ $(p(1 x) = \sigma(x^T w) \text{ and } p(0 x) =$
$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{N} \sum \mathcal{L}_n(w)$ with update $w^{(t+1)} = 0$	$1 - \sigma(x^T w)).$
$w^{(t)} - \gamma \nabla \mathcal{L}_n(w^{(t)}).$	Likelihood
3.3 Mini-batch SGD	$p(y X,w) = \prod p(y_n x_n) = \prod_{n:y_n=0} p(y_n = y_n)$
$\mathbf{g} = \frac{1}{ B } \sum_{n \in B} \nabla \mathcal{L}_n(w^{(t)})$ with update	$0 x_n \prod_{n:y_n=K}p(y_n = K x_n) =$
$w^{(t+1)} = w^{(t)} - \gamma \mathbf{g}.$	$\prod_{k=1}^{K} \prod_{n=1}^{N} [p(y_n = k x_n, w)]^{\tilde{y}_{nk}}  \text{where}$
3.4 Subgradient at $\emph{w}$	$tildey_{nk} = 1 \text{ if } y_n = k.$
$\mathbf{g} \in \mathbb{R}^D$ such that $\mathcal{L}(u) \ge \mathcal{L}(w) + \mathbf{g}^T(u - w)$ .	For binary classification
Example subgradient for MAE : $h(e) =$	$p(y X, w) = \prod p(y_n x_n) = \prod_{n:y_n=0} p(y_n = x_n)$
$ e  \rightarrow g(e) = sgn(e)$ if $e \neq 0, \lambda$ otherwise.	$0 x_n)\prod_{n:y_n=1}p(y_n = 1 x_n) =$
We get the gradient : $\nabla \mathcal{L}_{MAE} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \nabla_{\beta} f(x_{\beta})$	$\prod_{n=1}^{N} \sigma(x_{n}^{T} w)^{y_{n}} [1 - \sigma(x_{n}^{T} w)]^{1-y_{n}}$
$-\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n}sgn(x_{n})\nabla f(x_{n}).$	Loss $\mathcal{L}(w) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} ln(1 + exp(x_n^T w)) - y_n x_n^T w$
3.5 Projected SGD $(t+1)$ $\mathcal{D}$ $(t+1)$ $\mathcal{D}$ $(t+1)$ $(t+1$	which is convex in $w$ .
$w^{(t+1)} = \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}[w^{(t)} - \gamma \nabla \mathcal{L}(w^{(t)})]$	Gradient
<b>3.6 Newton's method</b> Second order (more expensive $O(ND^2 +$	$\nabla \mathcal{L}(w) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_n (\sigma(x_n^T w) - y_n) =$
$D^3$ ) but faster convergence).	$X^{T}[\sigma(Xw) - y]$ (no closed form solu-
$w^{(t+1)} = w^{(t)} - \gamma^{(t)} (H^{(t)})^{-1} \nabla \mathcal{L}(w^{(t)})$	tion).
$w \leftarrow v - w \leftarrow v - y \leftarrow (II \leftarrow v) - v \mathcal{L}(w \leftarrow v)$	Hessian

Cheat sheet

1 Regression

→ regularization.

by Your Name, page 1 of 2

Simple  $y_n \approx f(\mathbf{x_n}) := w_0 + w_1 \mathbf{x_{n1}}$ 

Multiple  $y_n \approx f(\mathbf{x_n}) := w_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{D} w_j x_{nj} =$ 

 $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_n^T \mathbf{w}$  If D > N the task is under-

determined (more dimensions than data)

1.1 Linear Regression

3.7 Optimality conditions

an PSD  $\mathbf{H}(w^*) := \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{L}(w^*)}{\partial w \partial w^T}$ 

4.1 Normal Equation

4 Least Squares

Necessary:  $\nabla \mathcal{L}(w^*) = 0$  Sufficient: Hessi-

 $X^T(y - Xw) = 0 \Rightarrow w^*$ 

 $(XX^T)^{-1}X^Ty$  and  $\hat{y}_m = x_m^Tw^*$  Gram

matrix invertible iff rank(X) = D (use

SVD if this is the case to get pseudo-

• 
$$\eta_{general} = g^{-1}(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\psi(y_n))$$
**8.4 Nearest Neighbor Models**
Performs best in low dimensions.

**8.4.1 k-NN**
 $f_{S^{t,k}}(x) = \frac{1}{k}\sum_{n:x_n \in ngbh_{S^{t,k}(x)}}y_n$  Pick odd  $k$  so there is a clear winner. Large  $k \to large$  bias small variance (inv.)

**8.4.2 Error bound**

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{L}_{St}] \leq 2\mathcal{L}_{f^*} + 4c\sqrt{d}N^{-1/d+1}$$
**8.5 Support Vector Machines (SVM)**

Logistic regression with hinge loss:  $\min_w \sum_{n=1}^N [1 - y_n x_n^T w]_+ + \frac{\lambda}{2} ||w||^2$  where  $y \in [-1;1]$  is the label and  $hinge(x) = max\{0,x\}$ . Convex but not differentiable so need subgradient.

We can also use duality:  $\mathcal{L}(w) = max_{\alpha}G(w,\alpha)$ . For SVM  $min_w max_{\alpha \in [0,1]^N} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \alpha_n (1 - y_n x_n^T w) + \frac{\lambda}{2} ||w||^2$  differentiable and convex.

Can switch  $max$  and  $min$  when convex in  $w$  and concave in  $\alpha$ . This can make the formulation simpler:  $w(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \alpha_n y_n x_n = \frac{1}{\lambda} X^T diag(y) \alpha$  which yields the optimisation problem:

 $\max_{\alpha \in [0,1]^N} \alpha^T \mathbf{1} - \frac{1}{2\lambda} \alpha^T Y X X^T Y \alpha$  The

From duality  $w^* := X^T \alpha^*$  where  $\alpha^* := (K +$  $(\lambda I_N)^{-1}y$  and  $K = XX^T = \phi^T(x)\phi(x) =$ 

 $\kappa(x, x')$  (needs to be PSD and symmetric).  $\mu$ )<sup>T</sup> $\Sigma^{-1}(y - \mu)$ )

8.6 Kernel Ridge Regression

 $H(w) = X^T S X$  with  $S_{nn} = \sigma(x_n^T w)[1 -$ 

 $p(y|\eta) = h(y)exp[\eta^T \psi(y) - A(\eta)]$  where

 $A(\eta) = ln[\int_{V} h(y)exp[\eta^{T}\psi(y)]dy]$ 

 $\nabla^2 A(\eta) = \mathbb{E}[\psi \psi^T] - \mathbb{E}[\psi] \mathbb{E}[\psi^T]$ 

•  $\eta_{gaussian} = (\mu/\sigma^2, -1/2\sigma^2)$ 

•  $\eta_{bernoulli} = ln(\mu/1 - \mu)$ 

8.3 Exponential family

General form

 $\nabla A(\eta) = \mathbb{E}[\dot{\psi}(y)]$ 

Link function

 $\eta = g^{-1}(\mu) \Leftrightarrow \mu = g(\eta)$ 

•  $\eta_{poisson} = ln(\mu)$ 

• 
$$\eta_{paissim} = |\mu(\sigma^*, -1/2\sigma^*)$$
•  $\eta_{poisson} = \ln(\mu)$ 
•  $\eta_{pernoulli} = \ln(\mu/1 - \mu)$ 
•  $\eta_{general} = g^{-1}(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\psi(y_n))$ 

8.4. Nearest Neighbor Models
Performs best in low dimensions.

8.4.1 k-NN

8.4.1 k-NN

8.4.2 Error bound

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{L}_{St}] \leq 2\mathcal{L}_{f^*} + 4c\sqrt{d}N^{-1/d+1}$$

8.5. Support Vector Machines (SVM)
Logistic regression with hinge loss:  $\min_{m} \sum_{n=1}^{N} |1 - y_n x_n^T w|_+ + \frac{\lambda}{2} ||w||^2$  where  $y \in [-1,1]$  is the label and  $hinge(x) = \max_{m} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (1 - y_n x_n^T w) + \frac{\lambda}{2} ||w||^2$  where  $y \in [-1,1]$  is the label and  $hinge(x) = \max_{m} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (N_{\pi})(y_n^T x_n^T x_n^T$ 

Gaussian  $\mathcal{N}(y|\mu,\sigma^2)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}}exp(-\frac{(y-\mu)^2}{\sigma^2})$ 

Multivariate Gaussian  $\mathcal{N}(y|\mu, \sigma^2) \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^D det(\Sigma)}} exp(-\frac{1}{2}(y)$ 

9 Unsupervised Learning

 $min\mathcal{L}(z,\mu) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K} z_{nk} ||x_n - \mu_k||_2^2$ 

with  $z_{nk} \in \{0,1\}$  (unique assignments:

compute

 $\int 1 \text{ if } k = argmin_i ||x_n - \mu||^2$ 

2.  $\forall k$  compute  $\mu_k = \frac{\sum_n z_{nk} x_n}{\sum_n z_{nk}}$ 

Algorithm (Coordinate Descent)

0 otherwise

9.1 K-means clustering

 $\sum_{k} z_{nk} = 1).$ 

Issues

Bayes rule  $p(x|y) = \frac{p(y|x)p(x)}{p(y)}$ 

p(x|y)p(y) = p(y|x)p(x) where

 $(PQ + I_N)^{-1}P = P(QP + I_M)^{-1}$ 

Naming Joint distribution p(x,y) =

Logit  $\sigma(x) = \frac{\partial ln[1+e^x]}{\partial x}$