Binomial and Fibonacci Heaps in Racket (rkt-heaps)

Abhinav Jauhri abhinav jauhri@gmail.com

Abstract. Library¹ providing data structures viz. Binomial heap [3] and Fibonacci heap[1] are described along with primitive operations, performance and usage.

1 Introduction

rkt - heaps library is made for demonstration in a contest, $Lisp\ In\ Summer\ Projects^2$. The goals of any participant in the contest were to build and demonstrate a project using any LISP-based technology. rkt - heaps uses Racket language belonging to the Lisp/Scheme family.

Section 1 & 2 describe Binomial and Fibonacci heaps respectively. Section 3 has performance results to complement the theoretical run-time bounds. Comparison with an existing library for Binary heaps³ in Racket is also added for evaluation purposes. Appendix includes syntactics of using this library in Racket.

2 Binomial Heaps

Binomial heaps are a collection of heap-ordered Binomial trees with a pointer min to the root of a tree having the minimum value amongst all elements in the heap. They allow the following operations:

- 1. makeheap(i) Makes a new heap with only one element i.
- 2. findmin(h) Returns the minimum value amongst all elements in the heap.
- 3. insert(h, i) Adds element i to heap h
- 4. deletemin(h) Deletes the element with minimum value from h
- 5. meld(h, h') Combines two heaps h and h' into one

Before studying costs and implementation of the mentioned operations, some terms need brief explanations for reader's convenience.

1. Amortized cost - Amortization analysis is cost of a sequence fo operations spread over the entire sequence[2]. Amortized costs may not always give

¹ Will be referred as rkt - heaps throughout the paper

² http://lispinsummerprojects.org/

³ http://docs.racket-lang.org/data/Binary_Heaps.html

Operation	Amortized Cost
makeheap	O(1)
findmin	O(1)
insert	O(1)
deletemin	$O(\log n)$
meld (eager)	$O(\log n)$
meld (lazy)	O(1)

Table 1: Amortized costs for Binomial Heaps

the exact cost of an operation. An operation may be expensive or cheaper, but the average cost over a sequence of operations is small and defined as amortized cost.

2. rank - States the number of children of an element. For Binomial and Fibonacci heaps, only when no *decrement* and *delete* operations are performed, the rank of a root element is k with 2^k elements.

Making a new heap with one element - makeheap, and using the min pointer to get the minimum value - findmin, both require constant number of steps. Although, it may not be intuitive to see why *insert* takes just constant amortized cost. Inserting a value is equivalent to making a heap with a single element and then merging it with the existing heap. In melding, combining two trees with same rank k leads to a tree of rank k+1. If one already exists in either of the heaps, then a tree of rank k+2 is made. This happens recursively till there is single tree of some rank (> k) in the resulting heap. Each time roots of trees are combined, the heap property is imposed⁴. For instance, inserting in a Binomial heap having only one tree with rank 2, will not require combining another tree of rank 2 untill 3 elements have been inserted. Hence, using amortized analysis the average cost over n operations is O(1) for insert. Similarly, the same idea of combining of roots of trees can be used for deletemin operation. Take all children of the min root and meld them with the remaining trees in the heap, and update the min pointer, costing $O(\log n)$. For a more detailed analysis, refer [2].

meld eager version works in the manner as described above but for the lazy version, all roots of one heap are added to the set of roots of the other heap. This may result in having more than one binomial tree of rank k in the resulting heap. Any subsequent call to a deletemin operation will correct the heap such that only one binomial tree of rank k exists. This costs $O(\log n)$.

Binomial heap in rkt - heaps is an array-based purely functional implementation leveraging Racket's *vectors* library. Being purely functional ensures there is no mutation of previously created data structures. Although, this leads to anomalies in runtime costs for certain operations like *insert*. With reference to Table 1, the total cost for n inserts is linear, O(n); but in a purely functional

⁴ Heap property ensures that all children of a tree rotted at r have their values ordered with its parent's value and that the same ordering applies across the heap

implementation, the insert operation cost for n inserts aggregates to $O(n^2)$. The reason for such an anomaly is due to cloning of heap vector at every insert operation. This is validated in Fig:1. The curve binomial-insert (quadratic) signifies the divisor(total expected cost) for the dividend(total time) is quadratic, and therefore the curve has slope almost zero⁵. Alternatively, taking the divisor as linear, the total run-time cost depicts a curve with slope due to increase cost of cloning the vector, which is to say that nth insertion step clones the (n-1)th heap vector⁶. Modifying the structuring of the heap to a doubly linked list(DDL) wherein all roots of trees have pointers to its right and left root elements is imperative to ensure constant number of steps for each insert (used for analyzing run-time costs in [2]). The DDL implementation and cost shall be discussed in later sections of this paper. The array implementation was done out of pedagogical curiosity of the author.

3 Fibonacci Heaps

Fibonacci heaps are a generalization of Binomial heaps allowing additional operations other than those in Binomial heaps. Specifically, they allow deletion of an element from the heap, and modification of the value of an element. The prototypes for additional operations are as follows:

- 1. $decrement(h, i, \delta)$ Decrements the value of i by δ in h
- 2. delete(h, i) Deletes element i from the heap h

Operation	Amortized Cost
makeheap	O(1)
findmin	O(1)
insert	O(1)
deletemin	$O(\log n)$
meld (lazy)	O(1)
decrement	O(1)
delete	$O(\log n)$

Table 2: Amortized costs for Fibonacci Heaps

Fibonacci heaps are implemented in rkt-heaps using a DDL for its roots. Findings from array and functional based implementation of Binomial heaps, highlight the downsides of not having mutations which leads to much higher costs for performing primitive operations in contrast to what has been proved in literature. For this reason, Fibonacci heaps do not have a purely functional implementation in rkt-heaps.

⁵ The ratio of total cost and n^2 will be constant

⁶ Racket's vector API provides (vector-append $v_1v_2 \dots$), used for cloning, makes fresh copy of all elements of given vectors




Fig. 1: Anomaly in *insert* operations for Binomial heaps. The y-axis shows the (total runtime cost)/(total expected cost). For one curve, the total expected cost is linear in n, and for the other it is quadratic in n^2 . Total time is time for n insert operations and maintaining the heap state in-between each insert

As stated earlier that in a Fibonacci heap if only deletemin and meld operations were to be considered, then every tree becomes a binomial tree, and the heap Binomial. Thus the corollary that the size of tree rooted at r to be exponential in rank(r). The exponential size of a tree is also valid for Fibonacci heaps with operations like decrement and delete [2], and essential in analyzing amortized costs to be O(1) and $O(\log n)$ for decrement and delete operations respectively.


decrement operation mutates the value of the element, e, followed by check with its parent, e_p , on whether the heap property is violated or not. If it is, then the sub-tree rooted at element e, is added to the DDL of the heap. Every parent, starting at e_p is also added to DLL if the flag is marked. This happens till either a root element or an element which does not have the flag marked is reached. This flag is maintained in every element e_i , except root elements, and marked as true if one of its children have been removed or false otherwise. The sub-tree rooted at e_i shall also be cut from its parent if a second child of e_i is removed i.e. the element is marked and a decrement operation on a child violates the heap property or a child is deleted.

delete makes the value of the element to be deleted less than the min value using decrement and then calls deletemin. This costs $O(\log n)$.

4 Evaluation

For the evaluation section, we will omit comparisons between Binomial and Fibonacci heaps since one is purely functional and other is not. The functional technique was inefficient due to cloning of vectors as shown in Section 2. It is trivial to build on the Fibonacci heap implementation by excluding the *decrement* and *deletemin* operations to get a Binomial heap with lazy melds[2] at the same cost as shown for Fibonacci heaps in this section.

Exisiting library in Racket on Binary heaps are compared with Fibonacci implementation of rkt - heaps on operations which have equal expected time bounds viz. findmin(Figure 5) and deletemin(Figure 6).






Fig. 3: decrement operation has total expected cost of O(n). The graph looks like a mountain terrain because we are looking at amortized version of costs.





Fig. 4: delete operation has total expected cost of $O(n \log n)$. The sudden increase in the cost at $n = 70 \times 10^3$ could be due the increase in the constant # of steps by decrement operation overwhelming the log # of steps by deletemin






Fig. 6: Total expected cost for deletemin is $O(n \log n)$

5 Conclusions

In this paper:

- Binomial heaps included in rkt heaps do not run in expected time since they have been implemented in a functional style
- $-\ rkt-heaps$ provide an efficient implementation of Fibonacci heaps using double linked lists
- Fibonacci heaps perform better than the existing Racket library on Binary heaps
- Fibonacci heaps can be easily extended to work as Binomial heaps although it will not be purely functional

References

- 1. Michael L Fredman and Robert Endre Tarjan. Fibonacci heaps and their uses in improved network optimization algorithms. *Journal of the ACM (JACM)*, 34(3):596-615, 1987.
- 2. Dexter Kozen. The design and analysis of algorithms. Springer, 1992.
- 3. Jean Vuillemin. A data structure for manipulating priority queues. Communications of the ACM, 21(4):309-315, 1978.

Binomial heaps

Version 5.3.4

October 15, 2013

```
(require binomial)
```

Binomial Heaps

A *Binomial heap* is a data structure for maintaining a collection of elements, such that new elements can be added and the element of minimum value extracted efficiently. This implementation is purely functional hence *immutable*. *Binomial heap* allow only numbers to be stored in them.

heap-lazy? is just a check if the given argument complies with the binomial heap structure adopted in this implementation. *Binomial heaps* have a array-based implementation. All values of the heap are stored in a vector which is pointed by car of a pair. The cdr is the count/size of the heap. This pair is embedded within another pair's car. The cdr of the outer pair stores the min value of the heap.

```
(bino-makeheap val) \rightarrow heap-lazy? val: number?
```

Returns a newly allocated heap with only one element val.

Examples:

```
> (define h (bino-makeheap 1))
> h
'((#(1) . 1) . 1)
(bino-findmin h) → number?
h : heap-lazy?
```

Returns a minimum value in the heap h.

Examples:

```
> (define h (bino-meld (bino-makeheap 1) (bino-makeheap 2)))
> (bino-findmin h)
1
(bino-insert h val) → heap-lazy?
h : heap-lazy?
val : number?
```

Returns a newly allocated heap which is a copy of h along with val.

Examples:

```
> (define h (bino-makeheap 1))
> (bino-insert h 2)
'((#(#f 1 2) . 2) . 1)

(bino-deletemin h) → heap?
h : heap?
```

Returns a newly allocated heap with the min value of the given heap h removed.

Examples:

```
> (define h (bino-makeheap 1))
> (bino-deletemin h)
'((#() . 0) . #f)
(bino-meld h1 h2) → heap?
h1 : heap-lazy?
h2 : heap-lazy?
```

Returns a newly allocated heap by coupling h1 and h2.

```
> (define h (bino-meld (bino-makeheap 1) (bino-makeheap 2)))
> h
'((#(#f 1 2) . 2) . 1)
|(bino-count h) → exact-nonnegative-integer?
h : heap-lazy?
```

Returns the count of the elements in the heap h

```
> (define h (bino-meld (bino-makeheap 1) (bino-makeheap 2)))
> (bino-count h)
2
```

Fibonacci heaps

Version 5.3.4

October 15, 2013

```
(require fibonacci)
```

Fibonacci Heaps

A *Fibonacci heap* is a data structure for maintaining a collection of elements. In addition to the binomial heap operations, Fibonacci heaps provide two additional operations viz. *decrement* and *delete* exist. Although, it should be noted that that trees in *Fibonacci heaps* are not binomial trees as the implementation cuts subtrees out of them in a controlled way. The rank of a tree is the number of children of the root, and as with binomial heaps we only link two trees if they have the same rank.

Roots of binomial tress in the heap are stored in the form of a doubly linked list; each node has a reference to a left and right node.

```
(fi-makeheap val) \rightarrow fi-heap? val: number?
```

Returns a newly allocated heap with only one element val.

Examples:

```
> (define h (fi-makeheap 3))
> h
#<fi-heap>
(fi-findmin h) → number?
h : fi-heap?
```

Returns a minimum value in the heap h.

```
> (define h (fi-meld! (fi-makeheap 1) (fi-makeheap 2)))
> (fi-findmin h)
1

(fi-insert! h val) → void?
h : fi-heap?
val : number?
```

Updates the left right pointers of the min node to accommodate the new node with val, h, with a new node having val.

Examples:

```
> (define h (fi-makeheap 1))
> (set! h (fi-insert! h 2))
> (fi-heap-size h)
2
(fi-deletemin! h) → fi-heap?
h : fi-heap?
```

Updates the given heap h by removing the node with the minimum value and changing the reference to the new min node.

Examples:

```
> (define h (fi-meld! (fi-makeheap 1) (fi-makeheap 2)))
> (fi-deletemin! h)
> (fi-findmin h)
2
(fi-meld! h1 h2) → fi-heap?
h1 : fi-heap?
h2 : fi-heap?
```

Returns a newly allocated heap by coupling h1 and h2.

```
> (define h (fi-meld! (fi-makeheap 1) (fi-makeheap 2)))
```

```
> h
#<fi-heap>

(fi-decrement! h noderef delta) → void?
h : fi-heap?
noderef : node?
delta : number?
```

Updates the value of *noderef* and if the heap condition is violated, then parent of the *noderef* is checked and if already marked, it is removed. This happens recursively until the root of the tree is reached or a parent which is not marked.

Examples:

```
> (define h (fi-meld! (fi-makeheap 1) (fi-makeheap 2)))
> (fi-decrement! h (fi-heap-minref h) 2)
> (fi-findmin h)
-1
(fi-delete! h noderef) → void?
h : fi-heap?
noderef : node?
```

Updates the heap h by deleting the *noderef* and updating its parent and children. Here also if the parent is marked, then it is also removed from the tree and added as a root. Happens until the root of the tree is reached or a parent is not marked.

Examples:

```
> (define h (fi-meld! (fi-makeheap 1) (fi-makeheap 2)))
> (fi-delete! h (fi-heap-minref h))
> (fi-findmin h)
2
> (fi-heap-size h)
1
(fi-heap-minref h) → node?
h : fi-heap?
```

Returns the reference of the node which has the minimum value in h.

```
(fi-heap-size h) → exect-nonnegative-integer?
h : fi-heap?
```

Returns the size of the heap h.

```
(fi-node-val n) \rightarrow number? n: node?
```

Returns the value stored in the node n.

```
(fi-node-children n) → vector?
n : node?
```

Returns a vector of all children of node n. If n does not have any children then a empty vector will be returned.

```
(fi-node-parent n) → node?
n : node?
```

Returns the parent node of n if there exists one or #f.

```
(fi-node-left n) \rightarrow node?
n : node?
```

Returns n's left node in the circular linked list. If n is the only node in list then a reference of n will be returned.

```
(fi-node-right n) \rightarrow node? n: node?
```

Returns n's right node in the circular linked list.