

Akhil  
Mathew

## Deformation th & Partition Lie Algebras

$X/\mathbb{C}$  smooth variety.

Recall: 1) Deformations of  $X$  over  $\mathbb{C}[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^2) \rightarrow H^1(T_X)$ .

2)  $\text{Aut} (1^{\text{st}} \text{ order def.}) \rightarrow H^0(T_X)$ .

3) Given a first order deformation  $y \in H^1(T_X)$ ,  
then  $[y, y] \in H^2(T_X)$  vanishes  $\Leftrightarrow y$  extends to a def'n.  
over  $\mathbb{C}[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^3)$ .

Principle:  $R\Gamma(X, T_X)$  together w/ natural structure determine  
the def. th of  $X$ .

Ex: Consider

$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{local Artinian} \\ \mathbb{C}\text{-algs.} \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow \text{Sets.}$

$A \mapsto \text{iso. classes of def'n's of } X \text{ over } A.$

Ex: One can regard  $R\Gamma(X, T_X)$  as a DGLA.

(explicitly  $\bullet$  in the Dolbeault complex).

Generally, given a DGLA  $\mathfrak{g}$  one has

$\text{Fg: Art. } \mathbb{C}\text{-algs.} \rightarrow \text{Sets.}$

$A \rightsquigarrow \mathfrak{m}_A \subseteq A$ , consider  $\mathfrak{m}_A \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ .  $\rightsquigarrow$  solutions of the  
Maurer-Cartan eq.  $dx + \frac{1}{2}[x, x] = 0$  w/  $x \in (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{m}_A)'$   
 $\rightsquigarrow$  quotient by  $\mathfrak{g}$ -gauge equivalences.

Principle: (Deligne, Drinfeld, Feigin)

Any def'n problem comes from a DGLA.

Ex: Deforming a sheaf  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1(\text{End}(\mathcal{E}))$ .

Ex: (Bogomolov-Tian-Todorov)  $X$  smooth proj.,  $\omega_X = \mathcal{O}_X$ .

Then  $X$  has unobstructed deformations.

In fact,  $R\Gamma(T_X)$  is abelian, i.e. DGLA w/ zero diff. & trivial bracket.

Ex: (Goldman-Hillson)

$X$  a compact Kähler manifold,  $G$  an alg. group.

Consider the variety of grp homo's:

$$R(\Lambda, X, G) = \{f: \Lambda, X \rightarrow G\}.$$

If  $f \in R(\Lambda, X, G)$  corresponds to a bdd image, then has quadratic singularities at  $f$ .

Strategy: associated DGLA is formal (q.-iso. to a DGLA)  
 $\Rightarrow$  quadratic singularities. w/d=0

This principle becomes a theorem in DAG.

Def:  $k$  a field,  $SCR_k$

$$Art_k \subset SCR_k$$

||

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (i) H_0(R) \text{ is local Artinian;} \\ (ii) \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H_n(R) \text{ is f.d. over } k \end{array} \right\}$$



Defn: A formal moduli problem is a functor  
 $F: \text{Art}_k \rightarrow \text{Spc}$  s.t.

(i)  $F(k) \simeq *$ .

(ii) if 
$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_1 & \rightarrow & A_2 \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ A_3 & \rightarrow & A_4 \end{array}$$
 is a pull back in  $\text{Art}_k$  s.t.  
 $H_0(A_2) \twoheadrightarrow H_0(A_4)$  &  
 $H_0(A_3) \twoheadrightarrow H_0(A_4)$

Rk: Any formal mod.  $\Rightarrow F(A_1) \simeq F(A_2) \times_{F(A_4)} F(A_3)$ .

prob.  
gives a  
deformation  
problem.

In practice, most geometric situations (e.g., formal completion of schemes, stacks, def's of alg. objects) produce formal mod. problems.

Thm: (Lurie-Pridham): Suppose  $\text{char } k = 0$ , there is an eq. of  $\infty$ -cats:

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Moduli}_k \\ \text{"} \\ \text{(formal moduli)} \\ \text{pbs.} \end{array} \cong \text{DGLA}_k.$$

$$F \mapsto T_* F[-1].$$

Extensions of this result by: Hennian, Nuiten, Gai's gary-Rosen blyum.

Ex:  $G$  an alg. group.

$$BG^\wedge \rightarrow \text{Lie alg. of } G.$$

In  $\text{char. } 0$ , formal groups  $\simeq$  Lie Alge.

Ex: (pro-representable formal moduli pbs.)  
Gives a correspondence.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} A \in \mathcal{SCK}_k \text{ s.t.} \\ H_0(A) \text{ is complete local ring} \\ + H_i(A) \text{ f.g. module} \end{array} \right\} \xrightarrow{\text{anti}} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} g \in \mathcal{DGL}_A \text{ s.t.} \\ H^i(g) = 0 \\ i \leq 0 \\ \& \text{ f.d. in each degree} \end{array} \right\}$$

Rk: The above goes back to Quillen, where the connectivity constraints are a bit different.  $\therefore$

Main theorem (Bourbaki-M.):

There is a mixed  $\text{Lie}_{\pi}$  on  $\mathcal{D}(k)$ . s.t.

(i)  $\text{Mod}_{\text{Lie}_{\pi}} \cong \text{Lie}_{\pi}\text{-algebras}(\mathcal{D}(k))$ .  
(via tangent space functor)

(ii) In char. 0,  $\text{Lie}_{\pi}$  is a free Lie algebra (up to a shift).

(iii)  $\text{Lie}_{\pi}(V) \cong \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} (C^{\infty}(\sum^{\infty} \mathbb{T}^n) \otimes V^{\otimes n}) \Sigma_n$

Defn: (Partition complex).

$\overline{\Pi}_n =$  nerve of poset of nontrivial partitions of  $1, \dots, n$ .

Naturally a finite simplicial complex w/  $\Sigma_n$   $\curvearrowright$ .

$\overline{\Pi}_n$  has the homotopy type of a wedge of  $(n-1)$ -spheres.

+  $H_{n-1}(\overline{\Pi}_n, \mathbb{Z}) \cong n^{\text{th}}$  Lie rep. of  $\Sigma_n$  (Barcelo).

The cohomology of a free  $\text{Lie}_{\pi}$ -alg. can be worked out.

On  $H^k(g)$ ,  $g$  a  $\text{Lie}_{\pi}$ -alg: - there is a Lie bracket.

- analog of Steenrod ops. in top.  
(satisfy Adams relations)

$\Rightarrow p=2$ , Goerss.

$\Rightarrow p>2$ , one writes down a basis of the cch. of a free algebras (Arone-Brantner).