

One also has a particular case of the Thm above, when one has
Cartesian fibrations in spaces, i.e. $p: D \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ s.t.

$\forall x \in \mathcal{L} \quad p^{-1}(x)$ is an ∞ -groupoid. (These are sometimes called right fibrations).

In the model of quasi-categories $p: D \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ is a right fibration.
if $\forall n \geq 1$ the diagram

$$\Lambda^n : \rightarrow D$$

$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \downarrow \\ \Delta^n & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{all } i \text{ in } \overbrace{\quad}^{\longrightarrow} \end{array}$ has a lift. for

Thm 2: For any ∞ -category \mathcal{L} one has an equivalence:

$$St : RFib(\mathcal{L}) \xrightleftharpoons{\sim} F_n(\mathcal{L}^{op}, Spcl) : U_n$$

where $RFib(\mathcal{L}) \subseteq \text{Cart}(\mathcal{L})$ is the subcategory generated by the Cartesian fibrations in spaces.

Just to fix notation (we leave as an exercise to spell out the details of their definitions) one has dual results:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{oo-cat. of coCartesian} & =: St: \text{coCart}(\mathcal{L}) & \xrightleftharpoons{\sim} F_n(\mathcal{L}, \text{Cat}_{\infty}) : U_n \\ \text{fibrations } / \mathcal{L} & & \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{oo-cat. of} & =: LFib(\mathcal{L}) & \xrightleftharpoons{\sim} F_n(\mathcal{L}, Spcl) \\ \text{left fibrations } / \mathcal{L} & & \end{array}$$

↑ ↑
 St U_n

the natural diagrams
in this and the
dual case. commute.

See Mazel-Gee - All about the Grothendieck Construction +

A user's guide to ∞ /Cartesian fibrations for nice discussions
of this.

Examples: (i) for any object $X \in \mathcal{L}$ one has.

$\mathcal{L}^X \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ is a right fibration and

$\text{St}(\mathcal{L}^X \rightarrow \mathcal{L}) : \mathcal{L}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Spc}$ corresponds to a functor $\text{Hom}(-, \mathcal{L}(X))$ which is contravariant in $-$.

Similarly, $\mathcal{L}^{X/-} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ is a left fibration w/ $\text{St}(\mathcal{L}^{X/-} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}(X), -)$.

(ii) More generally, let $F : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'$ be a functor between ∞ -cats.

$\text{Fun}([\mathbb{I}], \mathcal{D}) \times \mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{F} \text{Fun}([\mathbb{I}], \mathcal{D}') = \mathcal{D}'$. is a Cartesian fibration.

and a morphism in \mathcal{D} is \mathcal{D} -Cartesian iff its image in \mathcal{D}' is an isomorphism.

(iii) Q: How to encode $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(-, -) : \mathcal{D}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \text{Spc}$. as a functor?

Aus: \exists an ∞ -category $\text{Tw}(\mathcal{D}) \xrightarrow{p} \mathcal{D}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{D}$, s.t. p is a left fibration & right fibration whose straightening corresponds to

$\gamma : \mathcal{D}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \text{Spc}$.

$(X, Y) \mapsto \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(X, Y)$.

Construction 1: Recall $I \star J$ is the linearly ordered set where $I, J \subseteq I \star J$ are subsets w/ their initial order & $i \leq j \iff i \in I, j \in J$.

Let Q: $\Delta^{\bullet} \rightarrow \Delta$

$$[n] \mapsto [n] \star [n]^{\text{op}} \simeq [2n+1].$$

$$T_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{E})_n := \mathcal{E}(Q([n])).$$

E.g. $T_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{E})_0 = \{f: X_0 \rightarrow Y_0\}.$

$$T_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{E})_1 = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} X_0 \rightarrow Y_0 \\ \downarrow \quad \uparrow \\ X_1 \rightarrow Y_1 \end{array} \right\}$$

$$T_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{E})_2 = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} X_0 \rightarrow Y_0 \\ \downarrow \quad \uparrow \\ X_1 \rightarrow Y_1 \\ \downarrow \quad \uparrow \\ X_2 \rightarrow Y_2 \end{array} \right\}.$$

One has a functor $\rho: T_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{E}^{\text{op}}$. (this is a right fibration)

$$X_0 \rightarrow Y_0 \mapsto (X_0, Y_0)$$

$$Y_0 := \text{st}^R(\rho) : \mathcal{E}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{E}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Sp}.$$

Construction 2: Consider the morphism in $\text{Cart}(\mathcal{E})$ given by the diagram:

$$\alpha := \text{ev}_0 \times \text{ev}_1$$

$$F_n([1], \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E}$$

$$\text{ev}_0 \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow p_1$$

$$\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\mathcal{E}}} \mathcal{E}$$

(b) Applying unstraightening we get:

$$U_n(\alpha) : \text{underslice}_{\mathcal{E}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{const}_{\mathcal{E}}, \text{ where}$$

$$\text{und } \text{ev}_0 := \text{underslice}_{\mathcal{E}} : \mathcal{E}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Cat}^{\text{op}}, \quad U_n(p_1) =: \text{const}_{\mathcal{E}} : \mathcal{E}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Cat}^{\text{op}}.$$

$$x \mapsto \mathcal{E}^{X_1 -} \qquad \qquad \qquad x \mapsto \mathcal{E}$$

Notice $U_{n(\alpha)} \in \text{Fun}([I], \text{Fun}(\mathcal{E}^{\text{op}}, \text{Cat}_{\infty})) \simeq \text{Fun}(\mathcal{E}^{\text{op}} \times [I], \text{Cat}_{\infty})$.
 $\widetilde{U}_{n(\alpha)} \in \text{Fun}(\mathcal{E}^{\text{op}}, \text{Fun}([I], \text{Cat}_{\infty})). = \text{Fun}(\mathcal{E}^{\text{op}} \times [I], \text{Cat}_{\infty})$.

Moreover, since $\text{ev}_*(\widetilde{U}_{n(\alpha)}) = \mathcal{E}$, one has $\widetilde{U}_{n(\alpha)}: \mathcal{E}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_{\infty}/\mathcal{E}$.

11

Claim: $\widetilde{U}_{n(\alpha)}$ factors as: $\mathcal{E}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{U}_{n(\alpha)}} (\text{Fib}(\mathcal{E}))^{\sim} \downarrow \mathcal{E}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_{\infty}/\mathcal{E}$.

$$\widetilde{Y}_0 := S\text{t} \circ \widetilde{U}_{n(\alpha)}: \mathcal{E}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{E}, \text{Spc}).$$

$$Y_0: \mathcal{E}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \text{Spc}.$$

Exercise: Both constructions agree. [HA Prop. 5.2.1.1].

(iv) Adjoint functors.

Let $F: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a functor between two ∞ -categories.

This determines a ∞ -Cartesian fibration $\tilde{F} \rightarrow [I]$ by construction.

Def'n: F admits a right adjoint F^R if
 $\tilde{F} \rightarrow [I]$ is also a Cartesian fibration, i.e.

$$F^R = S\text{t}(\tilde{F}) : [I]^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_{\infty}, \text{ which corresponds to.}$$

$$F^R: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}.$$

One can similarly make sense of left adjoints.

- Equivalence of ∞ -categories

The following could be called the fundamental theorem of ∞ -categories.

Thm: Let $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a functor between ∞ -cats, then F is an equivalence* if and only if the following hold:

* This means

- F is fully faithful, i.e. $\forall X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$ the map

Induces an

eq. on hCats.

$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} \underset{\mathcal{D}}{\text{Hom}}(F(X), F(Y))$ is an isomorphism

- F is essentially surjective, i.e. $\forall X \in \mathcal{D}$ there exists $Y \in \mathcal{C}$ s.t. $F(Y) \simeq X$ in \mathcal{D} .

Examples of limits & colimits:

(i) Kan extensions. Consider $\mathbb{Z}: \mathcal{C}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ a functor & \mathcal{D} another ∞ -cat. one has a restriction functor:

$$(-)_{\mathbb{Z}}: \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \xrightarrow{\quad \quad} \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}_0, \mathcal{D}).$$

$\xleftarrow{\text{LKE}_{\mathbb{Z}}} \quad \quad \quad \xrightarrow{\text{RKE}_{\mathbb{Z}}}$

The left Kan extension $\text{LKE}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is a left adjoint to \mathbb{Z} , and the right Kan extension $\text{RKE}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is a right adjoint to \mathbb{Z} .

These adjoints are not always defined. The following is a very useful criterion for when they exist:

Lemma: Let $\mathbb{Z}: \mathcal{C}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ and $F: \mathcal{C}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be functors.

Then

(a) if $\forall x \in \mathcal{C}$ $\underset{\mathcal{D}}{\lim}_{\mathcal{C}_0 \times \mathcal{C}} F$ exists, then $\text{LKE}_{\mathbb{Z}} F$ exists;

(b)

If $\forall x \in \mathcal{L}$, $\lim_{\substack{\leftarrow \\ \mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{L}^{X_1} \\ \mathcal{L}}} F$ exists, then $LKE_2(F)$ exists.

Moreover, in any of these cases we have:

$$LKE_2(F)(x) \simeq \operatorname{colim}_{\substack{Y \in \mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{L}^{X_1} \\ \mathcal{L}}} F(Y), \text{ and } RKE_2(F)(x) = \lim_{\substack{\rightarrow \\ \mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{L}^{X_1} \\ \mathcal{L}}} F(Y).$$

(ii) The ∞ -category Spc has all (small) limits & colimits.

Idea of proof: let $F: K \rightarrow \operatorname{Spc}$ be a diagram.

Notice. F admits a colimit $\Leftrightarrow LKE_2(F)$ exists where $\zeta: K \rightarrow K^\triangleright := K \amalg \infty$ w/ a single morphism from each vertex of K to ∞ .

Since $\begin{array}{c} f \\ \downarrow \\ U_n(F) \end{array} \rightarrow K$ is a ^{left} fibration classifying F .

The existence of $LKE_2(F)$ is equivalent to the existence of $\widetilde{U_n}(F) \rightarrow K^\triangleright$ a ^{right} fibration s.t. $\widetilde{U_n}(F) \times K \simeq U_n(F)$. ^{left}

Now the existence of $\widetilde{U_n}(F)$ follows

So one only needs to argue that $V_n(F)$ exists.

[Cisinski - Prop. 6.1.19]

Similarly, one can argue that all limits exist.

(iii) any prosheaf ∞ -category, i.e. $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{I}^{\text{op}}, \text{Spc})$ has all (small) limits & colimits.

(iv) Cat_{∞} has all (small) limits & colimits

To check this claim is a bit trickier. The idea is to use a general comparison between limits & colimits of an ∞ -category & homotopy limits & colimits of a simplicial cat. presenting it. Namely:

Prop: Let $F: \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a ^{simplicial} functor between fibrant simplicial categories,

Consider $N^{\text{h.c.}}(F): N^{\text{h.c.}}(\mathcal{I}) \rightarrow N^{\text{h.c.}}(\mathcal{C})$ the associated functor between the associated ∞ -categories.

Then

- F has a homotopy colimit.

↑
- $N^{\text{h.c.}}(F)$ has a colimit

A particularly important case is when \mathcal{C} is a combinatorial simplicial model category. Then $N^{\text{h.c.}}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{ref.}})$ is an ∞ -category w/ all (small) limits & colimits.

The strategy then is to find a comb. simp. model cat. realizing Cat_{∞} , see §3.1 [HTT]

(v) let Spc_* be the category of pointed spaces.

$R: \text{Spc}_* \rightarrow \text{Spc}_*$ then $\text{Spc}^R := \lim_{\leftarrow} (\dots \xrightarrow{R} \text{Spc}_* \xrightarrow{R} \text{Spc}_*)$.

$$x \mapsto \begin{matrix} x \\ x \\ x \end{matrix}$$

∞ -cat. of spectra