

Database Management Systems (CSE 220)

Vikas Bajpai

Normalization:

- Set of rules to **allocate data** to tables.
- Purpose of Normalization:
 - To **minimize** the **redundancy**.
 - To minimize **anomalies*** related to insert, delete and update of data.
 - improve **storage efficiency, data integrity, and scalability**

Normalization:

- In the relational model, methods exist for quantifying how **efficient** a **database is**.
- These classifications are called normal forms (or NF), and there are algorithms for converting a given database between them.
- Normalization generally involves **splitting existing tables** into **multiple ones**, which must be re-joined or linked each time a query is issued.

Normalization:

- In simple words:
 - Normalization is a process of decomposing unsatisfactory "bad" relations by **breaking up their attributes into smaller relations**.
- The objective of normalization:
 - To create relations where every **dependency** is **on the key**, the whole key, and nothing but the key.

Insertion anomaly:

- It is a **failure** to **place information** into all the places in database.
- In a **properly normalized** database, information about a new entry needs to be inserted into only one place in the database.

Deletion anomaly:

- It is a **failure** to **remove information** about an existing database entry from **all places**.
- In a properly normalized database, the entry needs to be deleted from only one place.

Update anomaly:

- Is a **failure to update information** about an existing database entry from all places.

History:

- Edgar F. Codd first proposed the process of normalization and what came to be known as the **1st normal form** in his paper *A Relational Model of Data for Large Shared Data Banks*
Codd stated:

“There is, in fact, a very **simple elimination procedure** which we shall call normalization. Through decomposition non-simple domains are replaced by ‘*domains whose elements are atomic (non-decomposable) values.*’”

Normal Form

- Edgar F. Codd originally established three normal forms: 1NF, 2NF and 3NF. There are now others that are generally accepted, but 3NF is widely considered to be sufficient for most applications.
- Most tables when reaching 3NF are also in BCNF (Boyce-Codd Normal Form).

Normalization:

There is a sequence to normal forms:

1NF is considered the **weakest**,

2NF is **stronger** than 1NF,

3NF is **stronger** than 2NF, and

BCNF is considered the **strongest**

Also,


any relation that is in BCNF, is in 3NF;

any relation in 3NF is in 2NF; and

any relation in 2NF is in 1NF.

***We consider a relation in BCNF to be fully normalized.**

Normalization:



a relation in BCNF, is also in 3NF

a relation in 3NF is also in 2NF

a relation in 2NF is also in 1NF

Functional Dependency:

- Functional dependency is an **Integrity Constraint** (some not explicitly expressed in ER diagram).
- A functional dependency occurs when **one attribute** in a relation **uniquely determines another attribute**.

Functional Dependencies:

- We say an attribute, B, has a **functional dependency** on another attribute, A, if for any two records, which have the same value for A, then the values for B in these two records must be the same.
- We illustrate this as:
 $A \rightarrow B$

Functional Dependencies: Example

- Suppose we keep track of **employee email addresses**, and we only track one email address for each employee. Suppose each employee is identified by their **unique employee number**. We say there is a functional dependency of email address on employee number:

employee number \rightarrow email address

Functional Dependencies: Example

<u>EmpNum</u>	EmpEmail	EmpFname	EmpLname
123	jdoo@abc.com	John	Doe
456	psmith@abc.com	Peter	Smith
555	alee1@abc.com	Alan	Lee
633	pdoe@abc.com	Peter	Doe
787	alee2@abc.com	Alan	Lee

If EmpNum is the PK then the FDs:

EmpNum \rightarrow EmpEmail

EmpNum \rightarrow EmpFname



EmpNum \rightarrow EmpLname

must exist.

Functional Dependencies: Example

EmpNum \rightarrow EmpEmail
EmpNum \rightarrow EmpFname
EmpNum \rightarrow EmpLname

3 different ways
you might see
FDs depicted



Determinant:

Functional Dependency:

$\text{EmpNum} \rightarrow \text{EmpEmail}$

- Attribute on the LHS is known as the **determinant**
- EmpNum is a determinant of EmpEmail

Two types of Functional Dependencies:

1. Transitive dependency
2. Partial dependency

1. Transitive dependency


- Consider attributes A, B, and C, and where

$$A \rightarrow B \text{ and } B \rightarrow C.$$


- Functional dependencies are transitive, which means that we also have the functional dependency $A \rightarrow C$
- We say that C is transitively dependent on A through B.

Transitive dependency :Example

$\text{EmpNum} \rightarrow \text{DeptNum}$




$\text{DeptNum} \rightarrow \text{DeptName}$



DeptName is transitively dependent on EmpNum via DeptNum
 $\text{EmpNum} \rightarrow \text{DeptName}$

2. Partial dependency

A **partial dependency** exists when an attribute B is functionally dependent on an attribute A, and A is a component of a multipart candidate key.



Candidate keys: {InvNum, LineNum} InvDate is *partially dependent* on {InvNum, LineNum} as **InvNum** is a determinant of InvDate and InvNum is part of a candidate key

First Normal Form:

- We say a relation is in **1NF** if all values stored in the relation are **single-valued** and **atomic**.
- 1NF places **restrictions** on the **structure of relations**.
- Values must be simple.
- **Disallows composite** attributes, **multivalued attributes**, and **nested relations**; attributes whose values for an individual tuple are non-atomic
- Considered to be part of the definition of relation

First Normal Form: Example 1

The following is **not** in 1NF:

<u>EmpNum</u>	EmpPhone	EmpDegrees
123	233-9876	
333	233-1231	BA, BSc, PhD
679	233-1231	BSc, MSc

- EmpDegrees is a multi-valued field:

employee 679 has two degrees: *BSc* and *MSc*

employee 333 has three degrees: *BA*, *BSc*, *PhD*

First Normal Form: Example 1

<u>EmpNum</u>	EmpPhone	EmpDegrees
123	233-9876	
333	233-1231	BA, BSc, PhD
679	233-1231	BSc, MSc

To obtain 1NF relations we must, without loss of information, replace the above with two relations

First Normal Form: Example 1

Employee

EmpNum	EmpPhone
123	233-9876
333	233-1231
679	233-1231

EmployeeDegree

EmpNum	EmpDegree
333	BA
333	BSc
333	PhD
679	BSc
679	MSc

An **outer join** between Employee and EmployeeDegree will produce the information we saw before

First Normal Form: Example 2

Table 1

Title	Author1	Author2	ISBN	Subject	Pages	Publisher
Database System Concepts	Abraham Silberschatz	Henry F. Korth	0072958863	MySQL, Computers	1168	McGraw-Hill
Operating System Concepts	Abraham Silberschatz	Henry F. Korth	0471694665	Computers	944	McGraw-Hill

Table 1 problems

- This table is not very efficient with storage.
- This design does not protect data integrity.
- This table does not scale well.

First Normal Form:

- In our Table 1, we have two violations of First Normal Form:
 - First, we have more than one author field,
 - Second, our subject field contains more than one piece of information.
- With more than one value in a single field, it would be very difficult to search for all books on a given subject.

First Normal Form: Example 2

Table 1

Title	Author1	Author2	ISBN	Subject	Pages	Publisher
Database System Concepts	Abraham Silberschatz	Henry F. Korth	0072958863	MySQL, Computers	1168	McGraw-Hill
Operating System Concepts	Abraham Silberschatz	Henry F. Korth	0471694665	Computers	944	McGraw-Hill

First Normal Table

- Table 2

Title	Author	ISBN	Subject	Pages	Publisher
Database System Concepts	Abraham Silberschatz	0072958863	MySQL	1168	McGraw-Hill
Database System Concepts	Henry F. Korth	0072958863	Computers	1168	McGraw-Hill
Operating System Concepts	Henry F. Korth	0471694665	Computers	944	McGraw-Hill
Operating System Concepts	Abraham Silberschatz	0471694665	Computers	944	McGraw-Hill

- We now have **two rows** for a **single book**. Additionally, we would be violating the Second Normal Form...
- A better solution to our problem would be to **separate the data** into **separate tables**- an Author table and a Subject table to store our information, removing that information from the Book table:

Subject Table

Subject_ID	Subject
1	MySQL
2	Computers

Author Table

Author_ID	Last Name	First Name
1	Silberschatz	Abraham
2	Korth	Henry

Book Table

ISBN	Title	Pages	Publisher
0072958863	Database System Concepts	1168	McGraw-Hill
0471694665	Operating System Concepts	944	McGraw-Hill

- Each table **has a primary key**, used for joining tables together when querying the data.
- A primary key value must be unique within the table (no two books can have the same ISBN number), and a primary key is also an index, which **speeds up data retrieval** based on the primary key.
- Now to define relationships between the tables:

Relationships

Book_Author Table

ISBN	Author_ID
0072958863	1
0072958863	2
0471694665	1
0471694665	2

Book_Subject Table

ISBN	Subject_ID
0072958863	1
0072958863	2
0471694665	2

Example for Practice

Product_ID	Product_Color	Price
101	Black, Brown	\$20
102	Yellow	\$40
103	Red	\$50
104	Pink, Violet	\$60
105	White	\$30

First Normal Table:

Product_Price

Product_ID	Price
101	\$20
102	\$40
103	\$50
104	\$60
105	\$30

Product_ID_Color

Product_ID	Product_Color
101	Black
101	Brown
102	Yellow
103	Red
104	Pink
104	Violet
105	White

Second Normal Form:

- As the First Normal Form deals with redundancy of data across a horizontal row, Second Normal Form (or 2NF) deals with **redundancy of data in vertical columns**.
- As stated earlier, the normal forms are **progressive**, so to achieve Second Normal Form, the tables must **already be in First Normal Form**.

Second Normal Form: Example 1

Item	Colors	Price	Tax
T-shirt	Red, Blue	\$12	\$0.60
Jeans	Red, Yellow	\$12	\$0.60
T-shirt	Red, Blue	\$12	\$0.60
Sweatshirt	Blue, Black	\$25	\$1.20

- Table is not in first normal form because:
 - Multiple items in color field
 - Duplicate records
 - No primary key

In first normal form:

Item	Colors	Price	Tax
T-shirt	Red	\$12	\$0.60
T-shirt	Blue	\$12	\$0.60
Jeans	Red	\$12	\$0.60
Jeans	Yellow	\$12	\$0.60
Sweatshirt	Blue	\$12	\$1.20
Sweatshirt	Black	\$12	\$1.20

- For Second Normal Form (2NF):
 - All non-key field depend on all components primary key
 - Guaranteed when primary key is a single field.

Item	Colors	Price	Tax
T-shirt	Red	\$12	\$0.60
T-shirt	Blue	\$12	\$0.60
Jeans	Red	\$12	\$0.60
Jeans	Yellow	\$12	\$0.60
Sweatshirt	Blue	\$12	\$1.20
Sweatshirt	Black	\$12	\$1.20

- Table is not in second normal form because:
 - Price and tax depends on Item but not on colors

Table in second normal form:

Item	Color
T-shirt	Red
T-shirt	Blue
Jeans	Red
Jeans	Yellow
Sweatshirt	Blue
Sweatshirt	Black

Item	Price	Tax
T-shirt	\$12	\$0.60
Jeans	\$12	\$0.60
Sweatshirt	\$25	\$1.20

Example for Practice

Customer_ID	Store_ID	Location
1	1	Ganpati Plaza
1	3	Gaurav Tower
2	1	Ganpati Plaza
3	2	Raja Park
4	3	Gaurav Tower

Second Normal Form

Customer_ID	Store_ID
1	1
1	3
2	1
3	2
4	3

Store_ID	Location
1	Ganpati Plaza
2	Raja Park
3	Gaurav Tower

Third Normal Form

- Third normal form (3NF) requires that there are **no functional dependencies** of non-key attributes on something other than a candidate key.
- A table is in 3NF if all of the non-primary key attributes are mutually independent
- There should not be transitive dependencies

Boyce-Codd Normal Form

- BCNF requires that the table is 3NF and only determinants are the candidate keys

Differences:

- **1NF:** A table is set to be in first NF if we identify the functional dependency. It has no multivalued attributes.
 - **2NF:** A table is set to be in first NF if we identify and delete partial functional dependency. Every non key attribute should depend on key attributes
 - **3NF:** A table is set to be in 3rd NF when we identify and **delete transitive dependency**.
- **Functional dependency:** identify a non key attribute which is depends on key attribute.
 - **Partial functional dependency:** identify an attribute which is partially depends on key attribute.
 - **Transitive:** identify an key attribute which is independent itself.