

Task-1 (Algorithm)

1) ★ Brute force Algorithm

Input // A list of students grades, each student's grade as a sequence of string.

→ Generate All subsequences:

- For each student's list of grades, generate possible sequence.
- Sequence can be by deleting elements without changing order.

→ Find Common Subsequences:

- Compare subsequences of first student with that of second student and so on for all students.
- Keep track of longest common subsequence.

→ Return the longest common subsequence.

★ Optimal Solution using dynamic programming

Input // A list of students grade as a sequence of string

→ Initialize a Multi-dimensional DP table:

- Create DP table with entry  $dp[i_1][i_2] \dots [i_n]$  for all.

- DP has dimension  $m+1$  ( $m$  - no. of grades) extra for zero-length.

→ Initialize  $dp[0][0] \dots [0]$  if no grades from any students.

→ For each indices  $i_1, i_2, i_3, \dots, i_n$  iterate

- If grades of students match at current indices -  
 $dp[i_1][i_2] \dots [i_n] = dp[i_1-1][i_2-1] \dots [i_n-1] + 1$

- If grades of students do not match we exclude current grade  
 $dp[i_1][i_2] \dots [i_n] = \max(dp[i_1-1][i_2] \dots [i_n], dp[i_1][i_2-1] \dots [i_n], \dots, dp[i_1][i_2] \dots [i_n-1])$

→ After filling DP table, backtrack from last cell

- If grades match at all indices, move diagonally (reduce index by 1)
- If not match we move to neighbouring cell that gave max value

→ Return the longest common subsequence - The LCS found during backtracking step is longest common subsequence.



## Test Cases

2)

### Positive cases

① Input : { AA, AB, BB, AA, AB } { AB, BB, BC, AA, AB }  
Expected output: AB BB AA AB

② Input : { AA, AB, BB, CC, AB } { AB, BB, BC, AA, AB }  
Expected output: AB BB AB

③ Input : { BB, BC, CD, AA, AB } { AA, BC, AA, CD, AB }  
Expected output: BC AA AB

④ Input : { AB, BB, CC, BC, FF } { BB, AB, BC, FF, CC }  
Expected output: BB AB BC FF

⑤ Input : { AA, AB, BB, CC, AB } { AB, BB, BC, AA, AB }  
Expected output: AB BB AB

### Negative Test Cases.

① Input : { AA, AB, XY, BB, BC }  
Expected output: Invalid grade XY found.

② Input : { AA, AB, BB } { AA, AB, BC, CD, DD }  
Expected output: Each student must have 5 grades.

③ Input : { }  
Expected output: Student has no grades.

④ Input : { AA, BB, 12, CC, CD } { AA, BB, FF, CD, CC }  
Expected output: Invalid grade 12 found.

⑤ Input : { AA, BC, CD, CC, AA, FF }  
Expected output: More than 5 grades are invalid.



### 3] Time Complexity

A] For each students  $m$  grades (Brute force)  
 no of subsequence of list of length  $m$  is  $2^m$   
 as two options - include or not include  
 To compare  $2^m$  subsequence from student 1  
 and  $2^m$  student 2, Extending this to  $n$  students  
 we get  $O(2^m \times 2^m \dots \times 2^m)$  for each students

$$O(n \times 2^m \times 2^m) = O(2^{nm})$$

This is exponentially expensive for  $n$  (20 students)  
 and  $m$  (no of grades per student).

### 8] Optimal Solution using dynamic programming.

DP table setup -

table has dimension  $(m+1)$  for each of  $n$  students)  
 so entries  $-(m+1) \times (m+1) \times \dots (m+1)$  ( $n$ -times)  
 no. of entries in DP table is  $(m+1)^n \approx O(m^n)$

Backtracking - from last entry to reconstruct we  
 need to backtrack only student index so  $O(n)$

However,

✖, for the typical two-dimensional LCS problem, the  
 time complexity is  $O(m \times n)$  for two sequences only

Here for multiple students ( $n$  being no. of students)  
 time complexity to evaluate each combination  
 is  $O(mn)$ .



Aspita Singh

231071005

Lab - 6 DAA

## Experiment Task - 2 (Algorithm)

### 1) \* Brute Force Algorithm -

- Generate All Parenthesis - generate all possible ways split the chain eg for  $A_1 A_2 A_3 = ((A_1 * A_2) * A_3)$  and  $(A_1 * (A_2 * A_3))$
- For each parenthesization, calculate total no of scalar multiplication by multiplying the matrices in subchains eg for  $A(i * j)$  and  $B(j * k)$  cost is  $i * j * k$ .
- After evaluating all possible parenthesization Return the minimum cost

### \* Optimal Solution using dynamic programming

- Define DP table: let  $m[i][j]$  be minimum of scalar product, fill DP table  $m$  to store optimal solution.
- Base Case - If only one matrix ( $i = j$ ) then  $m[i][i] = 0$  for all  $i$
- Recursive relation: For each pair  $(i, j)$  compute  $m[i][j]$   
$$m[i][j] = \min_{k=i}^{j-1} (m[i][k] + m[k+1][j] + p[i-1] * p[k] * p[j])$$

Here  $m[i][k]$  is min cost of multiplying  $A_i$  through  $A_k$ ,  $m[k+1][j]$  for  $m[k+1]$  through  $j$  and,  $p[i-1] * p[k] * p[j]$  is cost of multiplying two resulting matrix from subchain.

- Fill DP table - fill table starting with small chain length and move towards larger chains.

For each chain length 1, we compute  $m[i][j]$  for all possible  $i$  and  $j$  such that  $i < j$  and chain-len is 1.

- Final Result - After filling in table, the min number of scalar multiplications for multiplying matrices from  $A_1$  to  $A_n$  will be stored in  $m[1][n]$



## Test Cases

Page No.

Date: / /

2) Positive test Cases —

① Input: { 10, 20, 30, 40, 30, 20, 10 }  
Expected output:  $((A_1(A_2(A_3(A_4(A_5A_6))))))$

② Input: { 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 35 }  
Expected output:  $((A_1A_2)((A_3A_4))(A_5A_6))$

③ Input: { 3, 6, 9, 12, 15, 18, 21 }  
Expected output:  $((A_1(A_2(A_3(A_4(A_5A_6))))))$

④ Input: { 10, 5, 30, 15, 20, 25, 5 }  
Expected output:  $((A_1(A_2A_3)A_4)((A_5A_6)))$

⑤ Input: { 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14 }  
Expected output:  $((A_1A_2)((A_3A_4))(A_5A_6))$   
Negative test Cases —

① Input: { 10, 20, 10, 5, 30, 15 }  
Expected output: Invalid matrix chain dimension.

② Input: { 10, 20, 10, AB, 60 }  
Expected output: Invalid input.

③ Input: { 20, 40, 30, 10, 15, 30 }  
Expected output: Invalid matrix chain dimension.

④ Input: { }  
Expected output: No values available.

⑤ Input: { 20, 25, 10, 15, 50, 25 }  
Expected output: Invalid matrix chain dimension.



## Time complexity

## 3) A) Brute force

$n = 1$  if just one matrix

$n \geq 2$  a fully parenthesized matrix product, and the split between two subproducts may occur between  $k^{th}$  and  $(k+1)^{th}$  matrix for any  $k = 1, 2, 3 \dots n-1$

$$P(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n=1 \\ \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} P(k)P(n-k) & \text{if } n \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

The recurrence seq is Catalan number which grows as  $\Omega\left(\frac{4^n}{n^{3/2}}\right)$

## B) Optimal using dynamic programming

## • Filling the DP table

• The DP table  $m[i][j]$  has  $O(n^2)$  entries (for each pair  $A_i$  to  $A_j$ )

• For each pair  $m[i][j]$  we compute minimum over all possible splits  $k$  between  $i$  and  $j$ .

no of possible splits for each pair is  $O(n)$ , because  $k$  can range from  $i$  to  $j-1$

Total time complexity -

$O(n^2)$  pairs of matrix and for each pair, the computation involves checking  $O(n)$  possible splits.

Therefore total time complexity is:

$$O(n^2) \times O(n) = \underline{\underline{O(n^3)}}$$