

Arrakis Finance Modular Audit Report

Mar 27, 2023





Table of Contents

Summary	2
Overview	3
Issues	4
[WP-H1] Insufficient Precision with <code>PIPS = 1_000_000</code> Leading to Financial Loss on User Withdrawal	4
[WP-H2] Precision Loss in Rebasing Token Transfers Causing Unexpected Reverts in <code>ValantisSOTModule.withdraw()</code>	9
[WP-M3] Not setting dead shares may expose the system to first minter PPS inflation attacks.	13
[WP-M4] <code>RouterSwapExecutor#swap()</code> should not pull tokens from <code>router</code> .	15
[WP-N5] Consider <code>_disableInitializers()</code> in <code>constructor()</code>	18
Appendix	20
Disclaimer	21

Summary

This report has been prepared for Arrakis Modular smart contract, to discover issues and vulnerabilities in the source code of their Smart Contract as well as any contract dependencies that were not part of an officially recognized library. A comprehensive examination has been performed, utilizing Static Analysis and Manual Review techniques.

The auditing process pays special attention to the following considerations:

- Testing the smart contracts against both common and uncommon attack vectors.
- Assessing the codebase to ensure compliance with current best practices and industry standards.
- Ensuring contract logic meets the specifications and intentions of the client.
- Cross referencing contract structure and implementation against similar smart contracts produced by industry leaders.
- Thorough line-by-line manual review of the entire codebase by industry experts.



Overview

Project Summary

Project Name	Arrakis Modular
Codebase	https://github.com/ArrakisFinance/arrakis-modular
Commit	33f270590fe7f0188d57620081069d85721de4fb
Language	Solidity

Audit Summary

Delivery Date	Mar 27, 2023
Audit Methodology	Static Analysis, Manual Review
Total Issues	5



[WP-H1] Insufficient Precision with `PIPS = 1_000_000` Leading to Financial Loss on User Withdrawal

High

Issue Description

Both the minting and burning of shares will convert the high precision shares into low precision ($1e6$) `proportion` before converting into asset amounts.

As a result, the user who mints may get more shares with fewer assets, and when burning shares, they may also get fewer assets.

PoC

Given:

- `totalSupply = 1e8`
- `balanceOf token0 = 1e8`
- `balanceOf token1 = 1e8`

1. Alice mints with `shares_ = 199`

`proportion = 1`

alice pays:

- `amount0 = 1e2`
- `amount1 = 1e2`

alice receives:

`shares: 199`

1. Alice borrows 1 share from someone and burns:

`proportion = 2`

alice receives:

- amount0 = 1e4
- amount1 = 1e4

<https://github.com/ArrakisFinance/arrakis-modular/blob/b0a703fe14cfd4b172b40adaca5a9870707b0b7f/src/ArrakisMetaVaultPublic.sol#L75-L93>

```

75  function burn(
76      uint256 shares_,
77      address receiver_
78  ) external returns (uint256 amount0, uint256 amount1) {
79      if (shares_ == 0) revert BurnZero();
80      uint256 supply = totalSupply();
81      if (shares_ > supply) revert BurnOverflow();
82
83      uint256 proportion = FullMath.mulDiv(shares_, PIPS, supply);
84
85      if (proportion == 0) revert CannotBurnProportionZero();
86      if (receiver_ == address(0)) revert AddressZero("Receiver");
87
88      _burn(msg.sender, shares_);
89
90      (amount0, amount1) = _withdraw(receiver_, proportion);
91
92      emit LogBurn(shares_, receiver_, amount0, amount1);
93  }

```

Due to the precision loss from rounding down in the calculation

$proportion = \left\lfloor \frac{shares_}{totalSupply()} \times PIPS \right\rfloor$, the computed *proportion* may have an error close to 1 (representing $\frac{1}{PIPS}$) which is **less** than the actual $\frac{shares_}{totalSupply()} \times PIPS$. This can lead to `receiver_` receiving fewer token0 and token1 at line 90.

Note: When $balanceOf(user) < \frac{1}{PIPS} \times totalSupply()$, the user cannot `burn()`. Since `shares_` must be less than or equal to `balanceOf(user)` (restricted at L88) and $proportion = \frac{shares_}{totalSupply()} \times PIPS$ must be greater than 0 (restricted at L85), these two restrictions cannot be satisfied simultaneously when $balanceOf(user) < \frac{1}{PIPS} \times totalSupply()$.

<https://github.com/ArrakisFinance/arrakis-modular/blob/b0a703fe14cfd4b172b40adaca5a9870707b0b7f/src/ArrakisMetaVaultPublic.sol#L48-L68>

```

48  function mint(
49      uint256 shares_,
50      address receiver_
51  ) external payable returns (uint256 amount0, uint256 amount1) {
52      if (shares_ == 0) revert MintZero();
53      uint256 supply = totalSupply();
54
55      uint256 proportion = FullMath.mulDiv(
56          shares_, PIPS, supply > 0 ? supply : 1 ether
57      );
58
59      if (proportion == 0) revert CannotMintProportionZero();
60
61      if (receiver_ == address(0)) revert AddressZero("Receiver");
62
63      _mint(receiver_, shares_);
64
65      (amount0, amount1) = _deposit(proportion);
66
67      emit LogMint(shares_, receiver_, amount0, amount1);
68  }

```

<https://github.com/ArrakisFinance/arrakis-modular/blob/b0a703fe14cfd4b172b40adaca5a9870707b0b7f/src/modules/ValantisSOTModulePublic.sol#L31-L97>

```

31  function deposit(
32      address depositor_,
33      uint256 proportion_
34  )
35      external
36      payable
37      onlyMetaVault
38      whenNotPaused
39      nonReentrant
40      returns (uint256 amount0, uint256 amount1)
41  {
42      if (msg.value > 0) revert NoNativeToken();
43      if (depositor_ == address(0)) revert AddressZero();
44      if (proportion_ == 0) revert ProportionZero();
45

```

```

46     // #region effects.
47
48     {
49         (uint256 _amt0, uint256 _amt1) = pool.getReserves();
50
51         if (_amt0 == 0 && _amt1 == 0) {
52             _amt0 = _init0;
53             _amt1 = _init1;
54         }
55
56         amount0 = FullMath.mulDiv(proportion_, _amt0, PIPS);
57         amount1 = FullMath.mulDiv(proportion_, _amt1, PIPS);
58     }
59
60     // #endregion effects.
61
62     uint256 balance0 = token0.balanceOf(address(this));
63     uint256 balance1 = token1.balanceOf(address(this));
64
65     // #region interactions.
66
67     // #region get the tokens from the depositor.
68
69     token0.safeTransferFrom(depositor_, address(this), amount0);
70     token1.safeTransferFrom(depositor_, address(this), amount1);
71
72     // #endregion get the tokens from the depositor.
73
74     // #region increase allowance to alm.
75
76     token0.safeIncreaseAllowance(address(alm), amount0);
77     token1.safeIncreaseAllowance(address(alm), amount1);
78
79     // #endregion increase allowance to alm.
80
81     alm.depositLiquidity(amount0, amount1, 0, 0);
82
83     // #endregion interactions.
84
85     // #region assertions.
86
87     if (token0.balanceOf(address(this)) - balance0 > 0) {
88         revert Deposit0();

```



```
89     }
90     if (token1.balanceOf(address(this)) - balance1 > 0) {
91         revert Deposit1();
92     }
93
94     // #endregion assertions.
95
96     emit LogDeposit(depositor_, proportion_, amount0, amount1);
97 }
```

Status

✓ Fixed

[WP-H2] Precision Loss in Rebasing Token Transfers Causing Unexpected Reverts in `ValantisSOTModule.withdraw()`

High

Issue Description

Inconsistencies between the `rabase token transfer amount` and `balanceOf()` (due to precision loss in the conversion between share amount and balance amount within the rabase token accounting) may cause `ValantisSOTModule.withdraw()` to unexpectedly revert.

The Valantis pool is designed to support rebasing tokens, which typically encounter a precision issue. For instance, attempting to transfer 100 might result in the receiver only seeing 99.99999 in their wallet. This discrepancy arises because the transfer involves shares, and the conversion from the nominal value to shares and back can lead to a loss in precision.

However, the `ValantisSOTModule#withdraw()` function explicitly checks the delta amounts of the balance, and these must exactly match the calculated expected amounts (L224-229). This strict requirement may lead to a revert if the balance delta does not precisely align with the calculated amounts, due to the aforementioned precision issue.

The impact is that users would be able to deposit, but they will almost always get a revert due to the precision issue when trying to withdraw.

<https://github.com/ArrakisFinance/arrakis-modular/blob/33f270590fe7f0188d57620081069d85721de4fb/src/ArrakisMetaVaultPublic.sol#L70-L93>

```

@@ 70,74 @@
75  function burn(
76      uint256 shares_,
77      address receiver_
78  ) external returns (uint256 amount0, uint256 amount1) {
79      if (shares_ == 0) revert BurnZero();
80      uint256 supply = totalSupply();
81      if (shares_ > supply) revert BurnOverflow();
82
83      uint256 proportion = FullMath.mulDiv(shares_, PIPS, supply);
84
85      if (proportion == 0) revert CannotBurnProportionZero();

```

```

86     if (receiver_ == address(0)) revert AddressZero("Receiver");
87
88     _burn(msg.sender, shares_);
89
90     (amount0, amount1) = _withdraw(receiver_, proportion);
91
92     emit LogBurn(shares_, receiver_, amount0, amount1);
93 }

```

<https://github.com/ArrakisFinance/arrakis-modular/blob/33f270590fe7f0188d57620081069d85721de4fb/src/abstracts/ArrakisMetaVault.sol#L244-L249>

```

244 function _withdraw(
245     address receiver_,
246     uint256 proportion_
247 ) internal returns (uint256 amount0, uint256 amount1) {
248     (amount0, amount1) = module.withdraw(receiver_, proportion_);
249 }

```

<https://github.com/ArrakisFinance/arrakis-modular/blob/33f270590fe7f0188d57620081069d85721de4fb/src/abstracts/ValantisSOTModule.sol#L173-L234>

```

173 /// @notice function used by metaVault to withdraw tokens from the strategy.
174 /// @param receiver_ address that will receive tokens.
175 /// @param proportion_ number of share needed to be withdrawn.
176 /// @return amount0 amount of token0 withdrawn.
177 /// @return amount1 amount of token1 withdrawn.
178 function withdraw(
179     address receiver_,
180     uint256 proportion_
181 )
182     external
183     onlyMetaVault
184     nonReentrant
185     returns (uint256 amount0, uint256 amount1)
186 {
187     // #region checks.
188
189     if (receiver_ == address(0)) revert AddressZero();

```

```

190     if (proportion_ == 0) revert ProportionZero();
191     if (proportion_ > PIPS) revert ProportionGtPIPS();
192
193     // #endregion checks.
194
195     // #region effects.
196
197     {
198         (uint256 _amt0, uint256 _amt1) = pool.getReserves();
199
200         amount0 = FullMath.mulDiv(proportion_, _amt0, PIPS);
201         amount1 = FullMath.mulDiv(proportion_, _amt1, PIPS);
202     }
203
204     if (amount0 == 0 && amount1 == 0) revert AmountsZeros();
205
206     // #endregion effects.
207
208     // NOTE: check with Ed for rebase tokens.
209
210     uint256 balance0 = token0.balanceOf(receiver_);
211     uint256 balance1 = token1.balanceOf(receiver_);
212
213     // #region interactions.
214
215     alm.withdrawLiquidity(amount0, amount1, receiver_, 0, 0);
216
217     // #endregion interactions.
218
219     uint256 _actual0 = token0.balanceOf(receiver_) - balance0;
220     uint256 _actual1 = token1.balanceOf(receiver_) - balance1;
221
222     // #region assertions.
223
224     if (_actual0 != amount0) {
225         revert Actual0DifferentExpected(_actual0, amount0);
226     }
227     if (_actual1 != amount1) {
228         revert Actual1DifferentExpected(_actual1, amount1);
229     }
230
231     // #endregion assertions.
232

```



```
233     emit LogWithdraw(receiver_, proportion_, amount0, amount1);  
234 }
```

Status

✓ Fixed

[WP-M3] Not setting dead shares may expose the system to first minter PPS inflation attacks.

Medium

Issue Description

This is a classic attack vector that affects nearly every vault system involving share issuance. In such scenarios, the initial minter can intentionally create a condition where the total supply of shares is minimal and manipulate the share price to an excessively high value. Consequently, future shareholders will receive a reduced number of shares due to precision loss when converting deposited assets into shares.

A common solution is to mandate a specific initial mint amount and send a substantial number of shares to a dead address as a permanent reserve. This approach significantly increases the cost of manipulating share prices.

In the current implementation, there is no such permanent reserve, even though the first minter must at least mint $1e12$ shares, they can burn two times, each time burning $(1e6-1)/1e6$ of the total supply, then the totalSupply will be reduced to 1 wei.

The attacker can substantially send funds to the module directly to inflate the PPS.

Note: The impact of this issue is limited by [WP-H1], however, it may become more impactful once changes are made to [WP-H1].

<https://github.com/ArrakisFinance/arrakis-modular/blob/33f270590fe7f0188d57620081069d85721de4fb/src/ArrakisMetaVaultPublic.sol#L48-L68>

```

48  function mint(
49      uint256 shares_,
50      address receiver_
51  ) external payable returns (uint256 amount0, uint256 amount1) {
52      if (shares_ == 0) revert MintZero();
53      uint256 supply = totalSupply();
54
55      uint256 proportion = FullMath.mulDiv(
56          shares_, PIPS, supply > 0 ? supply : 1 ether
57      );

```

```

58
59     if (proportion == 0) revert CannotMintProportionZero();
60
61     if (receiver_ == address(0)) revert AddressZero("Receiver");
62
63     _mint(receiver_, shares_);
64
65     (amount0, amount1) = _deposit(proportion);
66
67     emit LogMint(shares_, receiver_, amount0, amount1);
68 }

```

<https://github.com/ArrakisFinance/arrakis-modular/blob/b0a703fe14cfd4b172b40adaca5a9870707b0b7f/src/ArrakisMetaVaultPublic.sol#L75-L93>

```

75 function burn(
76     uint256 shares_,
77     address receiver_
78 ) external returns (uint256 amount0, uint256 amount1) {
79     if (shares_ == 0) revert BurnZero();
80     uint256 supply = totalSupply();
81     if (shares_ > supply) revert BurnOverflow();
82
83     uint256 proportion = FullMath.mulDiv(shares_, PIPS, supply);
84
85     if (proportion == 0) revert CannotBurnProportionZero();
86     if (receiver_ == address(0)) revert AddressZero("Receiver");
87
88     _burn(msg.sender, shares_);
89
90     (amount0, amount1) = _withdraw(receiver_, proportion);
91
92     emit LogBurn(shares_, receiver_, amount0, amount1);
93 }

```

Status

✓ Fixed

[WP-M4] RouterSwapExecutor#swap() should not pull tokens from router .

Medium

Issue Description

`router` has already pushed the tokens to `swapper` , and since there is no allowance, the `safeTransferFrom()` call will revert.

<https://github.com/ArrakisFinance/arrakis-modular/blob/b0a703fe14cfd4b172b40adaca5a9870707b0b7f/src/ArrakisPublicVaultRouter.sol#L933-L1006>

```

933     function _swapAndAddLiquidity(
    @@ 934,947 @@
948     {
949         uint256 valueToSend;
950         if (params_.swapData.zeroForOne) {
951             if (token0_ != nativeToken) {
952                 IERC20(token0_).safeTransfer(
953                     address(swapper), params_.swapData.amountInSwap
954                 );
955             } else {
956                 valueToSend = params_.swapData.amountInSwap;
957             }
958         } else {
959             if (token1_ != nativeToken) {
960                 IERC20(token1_).safeTransfer(
961                     address(swapper), params_.swapData.amountInSwap
962                 );
963             } else {
964                 valueToSend = params_.swapData.amountInSwap;
965             }
966         }
967
968         (amount0Diff, amount1Diff) =
969             swapper.swap{value: valueToSend}(params_);
970

```



```

    @@ 971,1005 @@
1006     }

```

<https://github.com/ArrakisFinance/arrakis-modular/blob/b0a703fe14cfd4b172b40adaca5a9870707b0b7f/src/RouterSwapExecutor.sol#L41-L127>

```

41     function swap(SwapAndAddData memory params_)
42         external
43         payable
44         onlyRouter
45         returns (uint256 amount0Diff, uint256 amount1Diff)
46     {
47         address token0 =
48             IArrakisMetaVault(params_.addData.vault).token0();
49         address token1 =
50             IArrakisMetaVault(params_.addData.vault).token1();
51         uint256 balanceBefore;
52         uint256 valueToSend;
53         if (params_.swapData.zeroForOne) {
54             if (token0 != nativeToken) {
55                 IERC20(token0).safeTransferFrom(
56                     router,
57                     address(this),
58                     params_.swapData.amountInSwap
59                 );
60                 balanceBefore =
61                     IERC20(token0).balanceOf(address(this));
62                 IERC20(token0).safeIncreaseAllowance(
63                     params_.swapData.swapRouter,
64                     params_.swapData.amountInSwap
65                 );
66             } else {
67                 balanceBefore = address(this).balance;
68                 valueToSend = params_.swapData.amountInSwap;
69             }
70         } else {
71             if (token1 != nativeToken) {
72                 IERC20(token1).safeTransferFrom(
73                     router,
74                     address(this),
75                     params_.swapData.amountInSwap

```

```

76         );
77         balanceBefore =
78             IERC20(token1).balanceOf(address(this));
79         IERC20(token1).safeIncreaseAllowance(
80             params_.swapData.swapRouter,
81             params_.swapData.amountInSwap
82         );
83     } else {
84         balanceBefore = address(this).balance;
85         valueToSend = params_.swapData.amountInSwap;
86     }
87 }
88 (bool success,) = params_.swapData.swapRouter.call{
89     value: valueToSend
90 }(params_.swapData.swapPayload);
91 if (!success) revert SwapCallFailed();
92
@@ 93,125 @@
126     }
127 }

```

Status

✓ Fixed

[WP-N5] Consider `_disableInitializers()` in `constructor()`

Issue Description

It is a best practice to call `_disableInitializers()` in the constructor function of an upgradeable contract.

See: https://docs.openzeppelin.com/upgrades-plugins/1.x/writing-upgradeable#initializing_the_implementation_contract

<https://github.com/ArrakisFinance/arrakis-modular/blob/33f270590fe7f0188d57620081069d85721de4fb/src/ArrakisStandardManager.sol#L107-L125>

```

107     constructor(
108         uint256 defaultFeePIPS_,
109         address nativeToken_,
110         uint8 nativeTokenDecimals_,
111         address guardian_
112     ) {
113         if (nativeToken_ == address(0)) revert AddressZero();
114         if (nativeTokenDecimals_ == 0) {
115             revert NativeTokenDecimalsZero();
116         }
117         if (guardian_ == address(0)) revert AddressZero();
118         /// @dev we are not checking if the default fee pips is not zero, to have
119         /// the option to set 0 as default fee pips.
120
121         defaultFeePIPS = defaultFeePIPS_;
122         nativeToken = nativeToken_;
123         nativeTokenDecimals = nativeTokenDecimals_;
124         _guardian = guardian_;
125     }

```

<https://github.com/ArrakisFinance/arrakis-modular/blob/33f270590fe7f0188d57620081069d85721de4fb/src/modules/ValantisSOTModulePublic.sol#L22>



```
22     constructor(address guardian_) ValantisModule(guardian_) {}
```

<https://github.com/ArrakisFinance/arrakis-modular/blob/33f270590fe7f0188d57620081069d85721de4fb/src/modules/ValantisSOTModulePrivate.sol#L19>

```
19     constructor(address guardian_) ValantisModule(guardian_) {}
```

Recommendation

Consider add `_disableInitializers()` into `constructor()` of upgradeable contracts.

Status

✓ Fixed

Appendix

Timeliness of content

The content contained in the report is current as of the date appearing on the report and is subject to change without notice, unless indicated otherwise by WatchPug; however, WatchPug does not guarantee or warrant the accuracy, timeliness, or completeness of any report you access using the internet or other means, and assumes no obligation to update any information following publication.



Disclaimer

This report is based on the scope of materials and documentation provided for a limited review at the time provided. Results may not be complete nor inclusive of all vulnerabilities. The review and this report are provided on an as-is, where-is, and as-available basis. You agree that your access and/or use, including but not limited to any associated services, products, protocols, platforms, content, and materials, will be at your sole risk. Smart Contract technology remains under development and is subject to unknown risks and flaws. The review does not extend to the compiler layer, or any other areas beyond the programming language, or other programming aspects that could present security risks. A report does not indicate the endorsement of any particular project or team, nor guarantee its security. No third party should rely on the reports in any way, including for the purpose of making any decisions to buy or sell a product, service or any other asset. To the fullest extent permitted by law, we disclaim all warranties, expressed or implied, in connection with this report, its content, and the related services and products and your use thereof, including, without limitation, the implied warranties of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose, and non-infringement. We do not warrant, endorse, guarantee, or assume responsibility for any product or service advertised or offered by a third party through the product, any open source or third-party software, code, libraries, materials, or information linked to, called by, referenced by or accessible through the report, its content, and the related services and products, any hyperlinked websites, any websites or mobile applications appearing on any advertising, and we will not be a party to or in any way be responsible for monitoring any transaction between you and any third-party providers of products or services. As with the purchase or use of a product or service through any medium or in any environment, you should use your best judgment and exercise caution where appropriate. FOR AVOIDANCE OF DOUBT, THE REPORT, ITS CONTENT, ACCESS, AND/OR USAGE THEREOF, INCLUDING ANY ASSOCIATED SERVICES OR MATERIALS, SHALL NOT BE CONSIDERED OR RELIED UPON AS ANY FORM OF FINANCIAL, INVESTMENT, TAX, LEGAL, REGULATORY, OR OTHER ADVICE.