

Arrakis Finance v2 PALM Audit Report

Jan 31, 2023





Table of Contents

Summary	2	
Overview	3	
Issues	4	
[WP-M1] Unsafe ERC20.approve()	4	
[WP-M2] Unsafe ERC20.transfer()	6	
[WP-L3] Unbounded loop may cause _addVault() to malfunction due to out-of-gas	7	
[WP-M4] PALMManagerpreExec() Lack of internal accounting for accumulated rebalancing fee	8	
[WP-S5] An alternative implementation of renewTerm()	10	
[WP-S6] A simpler and condition controlled implementation of decreaseLiquidity()	13	
[WP-S7] An alternative implementation of increaseLiquidity()		
[WP-I8] Multiple methods on PALMTerms will result in a rug-like behavior		
[WP-L9] Wrong function name	26	
[WP-N10] PALMTermsStorage#noLeftOver Misleading modifier Name		
[WP-N11] Unnecessary type casting		
[WP-G12] Using EnumerableSet.AddressSet can avoid unnecessary storage reads		
[WP-N13] Unused imports	33	
[WP-I14] SetTermEnd Misleading event parameter names	34	
[WP-L15] LogWithdrawVaultBalance Misleading/wrong value for parameter sentBack	36	
[WP-L16] requireIsOwnerOrDelegate Flawed implementation	38	
[WP-L17] SetGelatoFeeCollector Unnecessary/misleading parameter vault	40	
Appendix	42	
Disclaimer	43	



Summary

This report has been prepared for Arrakis Finance v2 PALM Audit Report smart contract, to discover issues and vulnerabilities in the source code of their Smart Contract as well as any contract dependencies that were not part of an officially recognized library. A comprehensive examination has been performed, utilizing Static Analysis and Manual Review techniques.

The auditing process pays special attention to the following considerations:

- Testing the smart contracts against both common and uncommon attack vectors.
- Assessing the codebase to ensure compliance with current best practices and industry standards.
- Ensuring contract logic meets the specifications and intentions of the client.
- Cross referencing contract structure and implementation against similar smart contracts produced by industry leaders.
- Thorough line-by-line manual review of the entire codebase by industry experts.



Overview

Project Summary

Project Name	Arrakis Finance v2 PALM Audit Report
Codebase	https://github.com/ArrakisFinance/v2-palm
Commit	aa275abeedb863cb8f215c320bf7532ddf04cd0f
Language	Solidity

Audit Summary

Delivery Date	Jan 31, 2023
Audit Methodology	Static Analysis, Manual Review
Total Isssues	17



[WP-M1] Unsafe ERC20.approve()

Medium

Issue Description

https://github.com/ArrakisFinance/v2-palm//blob/fe25734501e6cc7f429d34bbf8f70d86845c51c9/contracts/PALMTerms.sol#L40-L113

```
40
     function openTerm(SetupPayload calldata setup_, uint256 mintAmount_)
41
         external
42
         payable
43
         override
         noLeftOver(setup_.token0, setup_.token1)
         returns (address vault)
45
46
     {
     @@ 47,103 @@
104
         setup_.token0.approve(vault, setup_.amount0);
105
         setup_.token1.approve(vault, setup_.amount1);
106
107
         vaultV2.setRestrictedMint(address(this));
108
109
110
         vaultV2.mint(mintAmount_, address(this));
111
         emit SetupVault(setup_.owner, vault);
112
113
```

PALMTerms#openTerm() calls IERC20.approve() before the call to vaultV2.mint().

However, there are many Weird ERC20 Tokens that won't work correctly using the standard interface.

For example, the USDT token on mainnet does not return a bool on the approve() method.

As a result, when calling <code>IERC20(USDT).approve()</code>, the transaction will revert with an error: "function returned an unexpected amount of data", as the expected return data is a bool, but it actually does not return any data.



This means that PALMTerms#openTerm() doesn't work well with one of the most popular tokens.

increaseLiquidity() has the same issue.

Recommendation

Calling safeApprove(0) first and then safeApprove(amount).





[WP-M2] Unsafe ERC20.transfer()

Medium

Issue Description

Calling ERC20.transfer() directly without using SafeERC20.transfer() will result in reverts for some of the most popular tokens, e.g. USDT, BNB, OMG.

See: Weird ERC20 Tokens

https:

//github.com/ArrakisFinance/v2-palm//blob/fe25734501e6cc7f429d34bbf8f70d86845c51c9/contracts/abstracts/PALMTermsStorage.sol#L45-L53

```
45
         modifier noLeftOver(IERC20 token0_, IERC20 token1_) {
             uint256 token0Balance = token0_.balanceOf(address(this));
46
             uint256 token1Balance = token1_.balanceOf(address(this));
47
48
             _;
            uint256 leftOver0 = token0_.balanceOf(address(this)) - token0Balance;
49
             uint256 leftOver1 = token1 .balanceOf(address(this)) - token1Balance;
50
51
             if (left0ver0 > 0) token0_.transfer(msg.sender, left0ver0);
             if (left0ver1 > 0) token1_.transfer(msg.sender, left0ver1);
52
53
        }
```

Recommendation

Consider using OpenZeppelin's SafeERC20 library.





[WP-L3] Unbounded loop may cause _addVault() to malfunction due to out-of-gas

Low

Issue Description

https:

//github.com/ArrakisFinance/v2-palm//blob/fe25734501e6cc7f429d34bbf8f70d86845c51c9/contracts/abstracts/PALMTermsStorage.sol#L249-L258

```
function _addVault(address creator_, address vault_) internal {
249
              address[] storage vaultsOfCreator = vaults[creator_];
250
251
              for (uint256 i = 0; i < vaultsOfCreator.length; i++) {</pre>
252
                  require(vaultsOfCreator[i] != vault_, "PALMTerms: vault exist");
253
              }
254
255
              vaultsOfCreator.push(vault );
256
              emit AddVault(creator_, vault_);
257
258
          }
```

An attacker can add a lot of vaults under the victim's address (creator_).

Once the length of vaultsOfCreator is large enough, and the gas cost of function _addVault() can exceed the block limit, making it impossible to addVault() .

Recommendation

See [Recommendation] of [WP-G12].





[WP-M4] PALMManager._preExec() Lack of internal accounting for accumulated rebalancing fee

Medium

Issue Description

https://github.com/ArrakisFinance/v2-palm//blob/ fe25734501e6cc7f429d34bbf8f70d86845c51c9/contracts/PALMManager.sol#L49-L64

```
function _preExec(address vault_, uint256 feeAmount_)
50
             internal
             returns (uint256 balance)
51
52
         {
             VaultInfo memory vaultInfo = vaults[vault_];
53
54
             require(
                 vaultInfo.balance >= feeAmount_,
                 "PALMManager: Not enough balance to pay fee"
56
57
             );
             balance = vaultInfo.balance - feeAmount ;
58
59
            // update lastRebalance time
             // solhint-disable-next-line not-rely-on-time
61
62
             vaults[vault_].lastRebalance = block.timestamp;
             vaults[vault_].balance = balance;
         }
```

In the current implementation, a feeAmount_ parameter will be passed by Operators when calling rebalance(), the feeAmount_ will be charged from the vault's balance.

However, there is no internal accounting to record the total accumulated fee amount for the whole system or one particular operator.

There is no method to withdraw the fees either.

Recommendation

Consider adding a storage variable called **operatorBalances** to record the operators' fee earnings:



```
49
    function _preExec(address vault_, uint256 feeAmount_)
50
        internal
        returns (uint256 balance)
51
52
53
        VaultInfo memory vaultInfo = vaults[vault_];
        require(
             vaultInfo.balance >= feeAmount_,
55
             "PALMManager: Not enough balance to pay fee"
56
57
        );
        balance = vaultInfo.balance - feeAmount_;
58
59
60
        operatorBalances[msg.sender] += feeAmount_;
61
62
        // update lastRebalance time
63
        // solhint-disable-next-line not-rely-on-time
        vaults[vault_].lastRebalance = block.timestamp;
64
        vaults[vault_].balance = balance;
65
66
    }
```

And also add a new method for the operators to withdraw their earnings.





[WP-S5] An alternative implementation of renewTerm()

Issue Description

The current implementation of renewTerm() will pull all the funds back and then reinit the vault, which is not necessary.

https://github.com/ArrakisFinance/v2-palm//blob/ fe25734501e6cc7f429d34bbf8f70d86845c51c9/contracts/PALMTerms.sol#L180-L220

```
function renewTerm(IArrakisV2 vault )
180
181
          external
182
          override
183
         noLeftOver(vault_.token0(), vault_.token1())
184
185
         IPALMManager manager_ = IPALMManager(manager);
186
          require( // solhint-disable-next-line not-rely-on-time
              manager_.getVaultInfo(address(vault_)).termEnd < block.timestamp,</pre>
187
              "PALMTerms: term not ended."
188
189
          );
          IPALMManager(manager).renewTerm(address(vault ));
190
191
          (uint256 amount0, uint256 amount1, uint256 balance) = _burn(
192
193
              vault_,
194
              address(this),
              resolver
195
196
         );
197
198
          uint256 emolumentAmt0 = _getEmolument(amount0, emolument);
          uint256 emolumentAmt1 = _getEmolument(amount1, emolument);
199
          vault_.token0().approve(address(vault_), amount0 - emolumentAmt0);
200
         vault_.token1().approve(address(vault_), amount1 - emolumentAmt1);
201
          if (emolumentAmt0 > 0)
202
              vault_.token0().safeTransfer(termTreasury, emolumentAmt0);
203
          if (emolumentAmt1 > 0)
204
205
              vault_.token1().safeTransfer(termTreasury, emolumentAmt1);
206
         {
207
              Inits memory inits;
208
              (inits.init0, inits.init1) = _getInits(
209
                  balance,
210
                  amount0 - emolumentAmt0,
                  amount1 - emolumentAmt1
211
```



```
212  );
213
214     vault_.setInits(inits.init0, inits.init1);
215  }
216
217     vault_.mint(balance, address(this));
218
219     emit RenewTerm(address(vault_), emolumentAmt0, emolumentAmt1);
220 }
```

Recommendation

```
function renewTerm(IArrakisV2 vault )
180
181
         external
182
         override
183
         noLeftOver(vault .token0(), vault .token1())
184
185
         IPALMManager manager_ = IPALMManager(manager);
186
          require( // solhint-disable-next-line not-rely-on-time
              manager_.getVaultInfo(address(vault_)).termEnd < block.timestamp,</pre>
187
              "PALMTerms: term not ended."
188
189
         );
         IPALMManager(manager).renewTerm(address(vault_));
190
191
         uint256 balance = IERC20(address(vault_)).balanceOf(this);
192
          uint256 emolumentShares = _getEmolument(balance, emolument);
193
194
195
          BurnLiquidity[] memory burnPayload = resolver.standardBurnParams(
196
              emolumentShares,
197
              vault
198
          );
          (uint256 emolumentAmt0, uint256 emolumentAmt1) = vault_.burn(burnPayload,
199
     emolumentShares, termTreasury);
200
          emit RenewTerm(address(vault_), emolumentAmt0, emolumentAmt1);
201
202
     }
```







[WP-S6] A simpler and condition controlled implementation of decreaseLiquidity()

Issue Description

https://github.com/ArrakisFinance/v2-palm//blob/ fe25734501e6cc7f429d34bbf8f70d86845c51c9/contracts/PALMTerms.sol#L205-L287

```
205
     function decreaseLiquidity(
206
          DecreaseBalance calldata decreaseBalance_,
207
          uint256 mintAmount
208
     )
209
          external
210
          override
          noLeftOver(
211
              decreaseBalance_.vault.token0(),
212
              decreaseBalance .vault.token1()
213
          )
214
215
     {
216
          _requireMintNotZero(mintAmount_);
          _requireIsOwner(vaults[msg.sender], address(decreaseBalance_.vault));
217
218
219
          address me = address(this);
220
          (uint256 amount0, uint256 amount1, ) = burn(
221
              decreaseBalance_.vault,
222
223
              me,
224
              resolver
225
          );
226
          require(
              decreaseBalance .amount0 < amount0,</pre>
227
228
              "PALMTerms: send back amount0 > amount0"
229
          );
230
          require(
231
              decreaseBalance .amount1 < amount1,</pre>
              "PALMTerms: send back amount1 > amount1"
232
233
          );
234
          uint256 emolumentAmt0 = _getEmolument(
235
236
              decreaseBalance_.amount0,
237
              emolument
```



```
238
          );
239
          uint256 emolumentAmt1 = _getEmolument(
240
              decreaseBalance_.amount1,
241
              emolument
          );
242
243
          {
244
              IERC20 token0 = decreaseBalance_.vault.token0();
245
246
              IERC20 token1 = decreaseBalance_.vault.token1();
247
              if (emolumentAmt0 > 0)
248
                  token0.safeTransfer(termTreasury, emolumentAmt0);
249
              if (emolumentAmt1 > 0)
250
                  token1.safeTransfer(termTreasury, emolumentAmt1);
251
252
              token0.safeTransfer(
253
                  decreaseBalance .to,
254
                  decreaseBalance_.amount0 - emolumentAmt0
255
              );
256
              token1.safeTransfer(
257
258
                  decreaseBalance_.to,
259
                  decreaseBalance_.amount1 - emolumentAmt1
260
              );
261
              token0.approve(
262
                  address(decreaseBalance_.vault),
263
                  amount0 - decreaseBalance .amount0
264
              );
265
              token1.approve(
266
                  address(decreaseBalance_.vault),
                  amount1 - decreaseBalance .amount1
267
268
              );
269
          }
270
              (uint256 init0, uint256 init1) = _getInits(
271
272
                  mintAmount_,
273
                  amount0 - decreaseBalance .amount0,
                  amount1 - decreaseBalance_.amount1
274
275
              );
              decreaseBalance_.vault.setInits(init0, init1);
276
          }
277
278
279
          decreaseBalance_.vault.mint(mintAmount_, me);
280
```



```
emit DecreaseLiquidity(
msg.sender,
address(decreaseBalance_.vault),
emolumentAmt0,
emolumentAmt1
);
287 }
```

The current implementation will almost always success, even if the price has been changed and therefore changed the ratio/amounts of tokens pulled out with the transaction.

That's because it's pulling all the funds back first and taking the desired amounts, unless the caller is pulling all or at least a majority of the liquidity, this will most certainly succeed.

This can be a problem if the caller has a very specific intention with this decreaseLiquidity() call:

PoC

Given:

- The fair market price of ETH is 2000 USDC;
- 1. A huge swap has skewed the price in the Uni v3 pool from 2000 USDC to 1000 USDC;
- 2. The owner of the PALM identified that as an arb opportunity and called **decreaseLiquidity()** to pull more ETH out and take a profit;
- 3. Other arb txs have been minted before the decreaseLiquidity() tx;
- 4. The owner of the PALM ends up with an undesirable price for the removal of liquidity.

Recommendation

We propose a new design that requires the caller to specify the amount of shares to burn and minimum amounts as slippage control:



```
209
          override
210
     {
211
          _requireIsOwner(vaults[msg.sender], address(decreaseBalance_.vault));
212
213
          BurnLiquidity[] memory burnPayload = resolver.standardBurnParams(
214
              decreaseBalance_.burnAmount,
              decreaseBalance .vault
215
216
          );
217
          (uint256 amount0, uint256 amount1) = decreaseBalance_.vault.burn(
218
219
              burnPayload,
220
              decreaseBalance .burnAmount,
221
              address(this)
222
          );
223
224
          require(
              amount0 >= decreaseBalance .amount0Min &&
225
                  amount1 >= decreaseBalance_.amount1Min,
226
227
              "PALMTerms: received below minimum"
228
          );
229
230
          uint256 emolumentAmt0;
231
          uint256 emolumentAmt1;
232
233
          if (amount0 > 0) {
              IERC20 token0 = decreaseBalance_.vault.token0();
234
235
              emolumentAmt0 = _getEmolument(
236
237
                  amount0,
                  emolument
238
239
              );
240
              token0.safeTransfer(termTreasury, emolumentAmt0);
              token0.safeTransfer(
241
                  decreaseBalance_.receiver,
242
243
                  amount0 - emolumentAmt0
244
              );
          }
245
246
          if (amount1 > 0) {
247
              IERC20 token1 = decreaseBalance_.vault.token1();
248
249
250
              emolumentAmt1 = _getEmolument(
251
                  amount1,
```



```
252
                  emolument
              );
253
              token1.safeTransfer(termTreasury, emolumentAmt1);
254
              token1.safeTransfer(
255
256
                  decreaseBalance_.receiver,
                  amount1 - emolumentAmt1
257
258
              );
259
         }
260
         emit DecreaseLiquidity(
261
              msg.sender,
262
              address(decreaseBalance_.vault),
263
264
              emolumentAmt0,
              emolumentAmt1
265
266
         );
267
     }
```

Status

✓ Fixed



[WP-S7] An alternative implementation of increaseLiquidity()

Issue Description

Considering the fact that the vault is 100% owned by the vault's owner, increaseLiquidity() can be simplified to plain token transfers to the vault.

https://github.com/ArrakisFinance/v2-palm//blob/ fe25734501e6cc7f429d34bbf8f70d86845c51c9/contracts/PALMTerms.sol#L116-L177

```
function increaseLiquidity(
116
          IncreaseBalance calldata increaseBalance , // memory instead of calldata to
117
     set values
         uint256 mintAmount_
118
119
120
          external
121
          override
          noLeftOver(
122
              increaseBalance_.vault.token0(),
123
              increaseBalance_.vault.token1()
124
125
          )
126
          _requireMintNotZero(mintAmount_);
127
          _requireProjectAllocationGtZero(
128
              increaseBalance .projectTknIsTknZero,
129
              increaseBalance_.amount0,
130
              increaseBalance_.amount1
131
          );
132
          _requireIsOwner(vaults[msg.sender], address(increaseBalance_.vault));
133
134
          (uint256 amount0, uint256 amount1, ) = _burn(
135
              increaseBalance_.vault,
136
              address(this),
137
              resolver
138
139
          );
140
141
          // Transfer to termTreasury the project token emolment.
142
          increaseBalance .vault.token0().safeTransferFrom(
143
              msg.sender,
144
              address(this),
              increaseBalance .amount0
145
146
          );
```



```
147
          increaseBalance_.vault.token1().safeTransferFrom(
148
              msg.sender,
149
              address(this),
150
              increaseBalance .amount1
151
          );
152
          increaseBalance .vault.token0().approve(
153
154
              address(increaseBalance_.vault),
              increaseBalance .amount0 + amount0
155
156
          );
157
          increaseBalance .vault.token1().approve(
158
              address(increaseBalance .vault),
159
              increaseBalance_.amount1 + amount1
160
161
          );
162
163
          {
              Inits memory inits;
164
              (inits.init0, inits.init1) = _getInits(
165
                  mintAmount,
166
167
                  increaseBalance_.amount0 + amount0,
168
                  increaseBalance_.amount1 + amount1
169
              );
170
171
              increaseBalance_.vault.setInits(inits.init0, inits.init1);
172
          }
173
          increaseBalance_.vault.mint(mintAmount_, address(this));
174
175
          emit IncreaseLiquidity(msg.sender, address(increaseBalance .vault));
176
177
     }
```

https://github.com/ArrakisFinance/vault-v2-core/blob/ 702a343cea74dc9a551d6098926448c8e62d798b/contracts/ArrakisV2.sol#L52-L98

```
function mint(uint256 mintAmount_, address receiver_)

external
nonReentrant
returns (uint256 amount0, uint256 amount1)

{
```



```
@@ 57,81 @@
82
83
         amount0 = FullMath.mulDivRoundingUp(mintAmount_, current0, denominator);
        amount1 = FullMath.mulDivRoundingUp(mintAmount_, current1, denominator);
84
85
86
        _mint(receiver_, mintAmount_);
87
        // transfer amounts owed to contract
88
89
        if (amount0 > 0) {
             token0.safeTransferFrom(msg.sender, me, amount0);
90
91
        }
92
        if (amount1 > 0) {
             token1.safeTransferFrom(msg.sender, me, amount1);
93
94
        }
95
96
         emit LogUncollectedFees(fee0, fee1);
97
        emit LogMint(receiver_, mintAmount_, amount0, amount1);
98
    }
```

Recommendation

https://github.com/ArrakisFinance/v2-palm//blob/ fe25734501e6cc7f429d34bbf8f70d86845c51c9/contracts/PALMTerms.sol#L116-L177

```
function increaseLiquidity(
116
         IncreaseBalance calldata increaseBalance_ // memory instead of calldata to set
117
     values
     )
118
         external
119
120
         override
121
          increaseBalance .vault.token0().safeTransferFrom(
122
123
              msg.sender,
              increaseBalance .vault,
124
125
              increaseBalance_.amount0
126
         );
          increaseBalance_.vault.token1().safeTransferFrom(
127
128
              msg.sender,
129
              increaseBalance_.vault,
130
              increaseBalance_.amount1
```



```
131
    );
132
133    emit IncreaseLiquidity(msg.sender, address(increaseBalance_.vault));
134 }
```





[WP-I8] Multiple methods on PALMTerms will result in a rug-like behavior

Informational

Issue Description

There are 3 methods on PALMTerms that are intended for regular operations, which should not result in a significant change in liquidity:

- increaseLiquidity()
- renewTerm()
- decreaseLiquidity()

The current implementation of these functions will actually withdraw ALL the liquidity first, then call vault.mint() to put the money back:

https://github.com/ArrakisFinance/v2-palm//blob/fe25734501e6cc7f429d34bbf8f70d86845c51c9/contracts/PALMTerms.sol#L223-L305

```
function decreaseLiquidity(
223
224
          DecreaseBalance calldata decreaseBalance,
         uint256 mintAmount_
225
226
227
         external
228
         override
229
         noLeftOver(
              decreaseBalance_.vault.token0(),
230
231
              decreaseBalance .vault.token1()
232
         )
233
         _requireMintNotZero(mintAmount_);
234
         _requireIsOwner(vaults[msg.sender], address(decreaseBalance_.vault));
235
236
237
         address me = address(this);
238
239
          (uint256 amount0, uint256 amount1, ) = _burn(
              decreaseBalance .vault,
240
241
              me,
242
              resolver
```



```
243
          );
     @@ 244,295 @@
296
          decreaseBalance_.vault.mint(mintAmount_, me);
297
298
          emit DecreaseLiquidity(
299
              msg.sender,
300
              address(decreaseBalance_.vault),
301
              emolumentAmt0,
302
              emolumentAmt1
303
304
          );
305
     }
```

However, vault.mint() won't actually put the liquidity back to the Uniswap v3 position, until
the next rebalance():

https://github.com/ArrakisFinance/vault-v2-core/blob/702a343cea74dc9a551d6098926448c8e62d798b/contracts/ArrakisV2.sol#L52-L98

```
function mint(uint256 mintAmount , address receiver )
52
53
         external
54
         nonReentrant
        returns (uint256 amount0, uint256 amount1)
55
56
         require(mintAmount_ > 0, "MA");
57
         require(
58
59
             restrictedMint == address(0) || msg.sender == restrictedMint,
             "R"
60
         );
61
         address me = address(this);
63
         uint256 totalSupply = totalSupply();
64
         (
             uint256 current0,
66
             uint256 current1,
67
             uint256 fee0,
             uint256 fee1
68
         ) = totalSupply > 0
69
                 ? UnderlyingHelper.totalUnderlyingWithFees(
70
                     UnderlyingPayload({
71
72
                         ranges: ranges,
```



```
factory: factory,
73
74
                         token0: address(token0),
75
                         token1: address(token1),
76
                         self: me
77
                     })
                 )
79
                 : (init0, init1, 0, 0);
         uint256 denominator = totalSupply > 0 ? totalSupply : 1 ether;
80
         /// @dev current0 and current1 include fees and left over (but not admin
81
    balances)
82
         amount0 = FullMath.mulDivRoundingUp(mintAmount_, current0, denominator);
83
         amount1 = FullMath.mulDivRoundingUp(mintAmount_, current1, denominator);
84
85
         _mint(receiver_, mintAmount_);
86
87
         // transfer amounts owed to contract
88
         if (amount0 > 0) {
89
             token0.safeTransferFrom(msg.sender, me, amount0);
91
         }
92
         if (amount1 > 0) {
93
             token1.safeTransferFrom(msg.sender, me, amount1);
94
         }
95
96
         emit LogUncollectedFees(fee0, fee1);
97
         emit LogMint(receiver_, mintAmount_, amount0, amount1);
98
    }
```

This creates a gap period between the increaseLiquidity() / renewTerm() / decreaseLiquidity() transaction and the rebalance().

During that period, it would look just like the protocol pulled the rug, and the liquidity will be much thinner than before (depends on the percentage owned by the protocol).

We believe this is undesirable and also unnecessary.

Recommendation

See [WP-S5], [WP-S6], [WP-S7].







[WP-L9] Wrong function name

Low

Issue Description

https://github.com/ArrakisFinance/vault-v2-agreement/blob/ 0b8fc8f69de3a1c662e623e5faa0b86603a5bc41/contracts/functions/FTerms.sol#L95-L97

```
95 function _requireAddressNotZero(uint256 mintAmount_) pure {
96    require(mintAmount_ > 0, "Terms: mintAmount zero.");
97 }
```

Should be named _requireMintAmountNotZero .





[WP-N10] PALMTermsStorage#noLeftOver Misleading modifier Name

Issue Description

https:

//github.com/ArrakisFinance/v2-palm//blob/fe25734501e6cc7f429d34bbf8f70d86845c51c9/contracts/abstracts/PALMTermsStorage.sol#L45-L53

```
modifier noLeftOver(IERC20 token0_, IERC20 token1_) {
45
             uint256 token0Balance = token0_.balanceOf(address(this));
46
             uint256 token1Balance = token1_.balanceOf(address(this));
48
             _;
             uint256 leftOver0 = token0 .balanceOf(address(this)) - token0Balance;
49
             uint256 leftOver1 = token1_.balance0f(address(this)) - token1Balance;
50
             if (left0ver0 > 0) token0_.transfer(msg.sender, left0ver0);
51
             if (leftOver1 > 0) token1_.transfer(msg.sender, leftOver1);
52
53
        }
```

noLeftOver can be misundertood as require(leftOverX == 0, "...") .

Consider renaming to collectLeftOver or sweepLeftOver.





[WP-N11] Unnecessary type casting

Issue Description

https:

//github.com/ArrakisFinance/v2-palm//blob/fe25734501e6cc7f429d34bbf8f70d86845c51c9/contracts/abstracts/PALMTermsStorage.sol#L231-L243

```
function withdrawVaultBalance(
231
232
              address vault ,
              uint256 amount ,
233
234
              address payable to_
235
          ) external override requireAddressNotZero(vault_) {
236
              address vaultAddr = address(vault_);
              IPALMManager manager = IPALMManager(manager);
237
238
              (uint256 balance, , , , ) = manager_.vaults(vaultAddr);
239
              _requireIsOwner(vaults[msg.sender], vaultAddr);
              manager_.withdrawVaultBalance(vault_, amount_, to_);
240
241
              emit LogWithdrawVaultBalance(msg.sender, vaultAddr, to_, balance);
242
243
         }
```

https:

//github.com/ArrakisFinance/v2-palm//blob/fe25734501e6cc7f429d34bbf8f70d86845c51c9/contracts/abstracts/PALMTermsStorage.sol#L179-L199

```
function setVaultData(address vault_, bytes calldata data_)
179
180
              external
              override
181
              requireAddressNotZero(vault )
182
183
              address vaultAddr = address(vault_);
184
              requireIsOwnerOrDelegate(
185
                  delegateByVaults[vault_],
186
                  vaults[msg.sender],
187
188
                  vaultAddr
189
190
              IPALMManager(manager).setVaultData(vault_, data_);
191
192
              emit LogSetVaultData(
```



```
delegateByVaults[vault_] != address(0)

delegateByVaults[vault_]

sequence in msg.sender,

waultAddr,

data_

sequence in msg.sender

data_

sequence in msg.sender

legateByVaults[vault_]

msg.sender,

legateByVaults[vault_]

msg.sender,

legateByVaults[vault_]

msg.sender,

legateByVaults[vault_]

legateByVault
```

https:

//github.com/ArrakisFinance/v2-palm//blob/fe25734501e6cc7f429d34bbf8f70d86845c51c9/contracts/abstracts/PALMTermsStorage.sol#L201-L221

```
function setVaultStratByName(address vault_, string calldata strat_)
201
202
              external
              override
203
204
              requireAddressNotZero(vault_)
205
          {
              address vaultAddr = address(vault_);
206
              _requireIsOwnerOrDelegate(
207
                  delegateByVaults[vault_],
208
209
                  vaults[msg.sender],
210
                  vaultAddr
211
              );
212
              IPALMManager(manager).setVaultStraByName(vault , strat );
213
              emit LogSetVaultStratByName(
214
                  delegateByVaults[vault_] != address(0)
215
                       ? delegateByVaults[vault_]
216
217
                       : msg.sender,
218
                  vaultAddr,
                  strat_
219
220
              );
          }
221
```

https:

//github.com/ArrakisFinance/v2-palm//blob/fe25734501e6cc7f429d34bbf8f70d86845c51c9/contracts/abstracts/PALMTermsStorage.sol#L223-L229



```
function setDelegate(address vault_, address delegate_) external override {
    address vaultAddr = address(vault_);
    _requireIsOwner(vaults[msg.sender], vaultAddr);
    _setDelegate(vault_, delegate_);

emit LogSetDelegate(msg.sender, vaultAddr, delegate_);
}
```





[WP-G12] Using EnumerableSet.AddressSet can avoid unnecessary storage reads

Gas

Issue Description

https:

//github.com/ArrakisFinance/v2-palm//blob/fe25734501e6cc7f429d34bbf8f70d86845c51c9/contracts/abstracts/PALMTermsStorage.sol#L249-L258

```
249
          function _addVault(address creator_, address vault_) internal {
250
              address[] storage vaultsOfCreator = vaults[creator_];
251
              for (uint256 i = 0; i < vaultsOfCreator.length; i++) {</pre>
252
                  require(vaultsOfCreator[i] != vault_, "PALMTerms: vault exist");
253
254
255
256
              vaultsOfCreator.push(vault_);
              emit AddVault(creator_, vault_);
257
258
          }
```

https://github.com/ArrakisFinance/v2-palm//blob/fe25734501e6cc7f429d34bbf8f70d86845c51c9/contracts/abstracts/PALMTermsStorage.sol#L36

```
36 mapping(address => address[]) public vaults;
```

Recommendation

```
function _addVault(address creator_, address vault_) internal {
EnumerableSet.AddressSet storage vaultsOfCreator = vaults[creator_];

require(!vaultsOfCreator.contains(vault_), "PALMTerms: vault exist");

vaultsOfCreator.add(vault_);
emit AddVault(creator_, vault_);
```



256 }





[WP-N13] Unused imports

Issue Description

contracts/PALMManager.sol

- "IPALMManager" is unused
- "IUniswapV3Pool" is unused
- "SafeERC20" is unused
- "IERC20" is unused

contracts/PALMTerms.sol

- "_requireTokenMatch" is unused
- "_requireIsOwnerOrDelegate" is unused

contracts/abstracts/PALMTermsStorage.sol

- "FullMath" is unused
- "SafeCast" is unused
- "_getInits" is unused
- "_requireTokenMatch" is unused
- "_getEmolument" is unused
- $\bullet \ "_require Project Allocation Gt Zero" is unused\\$
- "_requireTknOrder" is unused
- "_burn" is unused





[WP-I14] SetTermEnd Misleading event parameter names

Informational

Issue Description

The parameters in the SetTermEnd event is named **Duration , while the actual values are the termEnd timestamps:

https:

//github.com/ArrakisFinance/v2-palm//blob/e0a7c7b0474a5f492a5551b52564827e26989382/contracts/interfaces/IPALMManager.sol#L33-L37

```
event SetTermEnd(
    address indexed vault,
    uint256 oldtermDuration,
    uint256 newtermDuration
);
```

https:

//github.com/ArrakisFinance/v2-palm//blob/e0a7c7b0474a5f492a5551b52564827e26989382/contracts/abstracts/PALMManagerStorage.sol#L284-L299

```
285
     function renewTerm(address vault_)
286
          external
287
          override
288
         whenNotPaused
289
         onlyPALMTerms
          requireAddressNotZero(vault_)
290
          onlyManagedVaults(vault_)
291
292
          emit SetTermEnd(
293
294
              vault_,
              vaults[vault_].termEnd,
295
              // solhint-disable-next-line not-rely-on-time
296
297
              vaults[vault_].termEnd = block.timestamp + termDuration
298
          );
299
     }
```



Recommendation

Change to:

```
event SetTermEnd(
    address indexed vault,
    uint256 oldtermEnd,
    uint256 newtermEnd
);
```





[WP-L15] LogWithdrawVaultBalance Misleading/wrong value for parameter sentBack

Low

Issue Description

https:

//github.com/ArrakisFinance/v2-palm//blob/e0a7c7b0474a5f492a5551b52564827e26989382/contracts/abstracts/PALMTermsStorage.sol#L234-L244

```
234
     function withdrawVaultBalance(
235
         address vault_,
236
         uint256 amount ,
237
         address payable to
     ) external override requireAddressNotZero(vault_) requireIsOwner(vault_) {
238
         IPALMManager manager_ = IPALMManager(manager);
239
         (uint256 balance, , , , ) = manager_.vaults(vault_);
240
         manager .withdrawVaultBalance(vault , amount , to );
241
242
243
         emit LogWithdrawVaultBalance(msg.sender, vault_, to_, balance);
244
```

https://github.com/ArrakisFinance/v2-palm//blob/e0a7c7b0474a5f492a5551b52564827e26989382/contracts/interfaces/IPALMTerms.sol#L82-L87

```
event LogWithdrawVaultBalance(
   address creator,
   address vault,
   address to,
   uint256 sentBack
);
```

The **sentBack** parameter in **LogWithdrawVaultBalance** sounds like the amount being sent back to the user, ie, the withdrawal amount, but actually, it's the balance BEFORE the withdrawal.

This is wrong or at least misleading.



Recommendation

Consider renaming the sentBack parameter to balanceBefore or change the value to amount_ in withdrawVaultBalance() .





[WP-L16] requireIsOwnerOrDelegate Flawed implementation

Low

Issue Description

https:

//github.com/ArrakisFinance/v2-palm//blob/e0a7c7b0474a5f492a5551b52564827e26989382/contracts/abstracts/PALMTermsStorage.sol#L63-L73

```
modifier requireIsOwnerOrDelegate(address vault_) {
63
         address delegate = delegateByVaults[vault ];
64
         if (delegate != address(0))
65
             require(msg.sender == delegate, "PALMTerms: no delegate");
67
         else
68
             require(
                 _vaults[msg.sender].contains(vault_),
                 "PALMTerms: not owner"
70
71
             );
72
    }
73
```

The current implementation of requireIsOwnerOrDelegate is actually requireDelegateWhenDelegateExistsOtherwiseRequireIsOwner, which is unconventional and mismatches the name of the modifier.

Recommendation

```
modifier requireIsOwnerOrDelegate(address vault_) {
    require(isOwnerOrDelegate(vault_, msg.sender), "PALMTerms: no owner and
    delegate");
    _;
    }

function isOwnerOrDelegate(address vault_, address account) public view returns
    (bool) {
    if (_vaults[account].contains(vault_)) {
        return true;
    }
}
```



```
71
        } else {
            address delegate = delegateByVaults[vault_];
72
            if (delegate == address(0)) {
73
                return false;
74
75
            }
            return account == delegate;
76
        }
77
78
   }
```





[WP-L17] SetGelatoFeeCollector Unnecessary/misleading parameter vault

Low

Issue Description

https:

//github.com/ArrakisFinance/v2-palm//blob/e0a7c7b0474a5f492a5551b52564827e26989382/contracts/abstracts/PALMManagerStorage.sol#L205-L219

```
function setGelatoFeeCollector(address payable gelatoFeeCollector_)
205
206
          external
          override
207
         whenNotPaused
208
209
         onlyOwner
210
211
         require(
              gelatoFeeCollector != gelatoFeeCollector_,
212
              "PALMManager: gelatoFeeCollector"
213
214
          );
          emit SetGelatoFeeCollector(
215
              address(this),
216
217
              gelatoFeeCollector = gelatoFeeCollector_
218
          );
     }
219
```

https:

//github.com/ArrakisFinance/v2-palm//blob/e0a7c7b0474a5f492a5551b52564827e26989382/contracts/interfaces/IPALMManager.sol#L28-L31

```
28  event SetGelatoFeeCollector(
29   address indexed vault,
30   address gelatoFeeCollector
31 );
```

gelatoFeeCollector is a global configuration unrelated to a specific vault, there is no need to



emit SetGelatoFeeCollector events with a vault parameter.

Recommendation

Consider removing the vault parameter from SetGelatoFeeCollector.





Appendix

Timeliness of content

The content contained in the report is current as of the date appearing on the report and is subject to change without notice, unless indicated otherwise by WatchPug; however, WatchPug does not guarantee or warrant the accuracy, timeliness, or completeness of any report you access using the internet or other means, and assumes no obligation to update any information following publication.



Disclaimer

This report is based on the scope of materials and documentation provided for a limited review at the time provided. Results may not be complete nor inclusive of all vulnerabilities. The review and this report are provided on an as-is, where-is, and as-available basis. You agree that your access and/or use, including but not limited to any associated services, products, protocols, platforms, content, and materials, will be at your sole risk. Smart Contract technology remains under development and is subject to unknown risks and flaws. The review does not extend to the compiler layer, or any other areas beyond the programming language, or other programming aspects that could present security risks. A report does not indicate the endorsement of any particular project or team, nor guarantee its security. No third party should rely on the reports in any way, including for the purpose of making any decisions to buy or sell a product, service or any other asset. To the fullest extent permitted by law, we disclaim all warranties, expressed or implied, in connection with this report, its content, and the related services and products and your use thereof, including, without limitation, the implied warranties of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose, and non-infringement. We do not warrant, endorse, guarantee, or assume responsibility for any product or service advertised or offered by a third party through the product, any open source or third-party software, code, libraries, materials, or information linked to, called by, referenced by or accessible through the report, its content, and the related services and products, any hyperlinked websites, any websites or mobile applications appearing on any advertising, and we will not be a party to or in any way be responsible for monitoring any transaction between you and any third-party providers of products or services. As with the purchase or use of a product or service through any medium or in any environment, you should use your best judgment and exercise caution where appropriate. FOR AVOIDANCE OF DOUBT, THE REPORT, ITS CONTENT, ACCESS, AND/OR USAGE THEREOF, INCLUDING ANY ASSOCIATED SERVICES OR MATERIALS, SHALL NOT BE CONSIDERED OR RELIED UPON AS ANY FORM OF FINANCIAL, INVESTMENT, TAX, LEGAL, REGULATORY, OR OTHER ADVICE.