## Quiz: Chapter 1+2 definitions

**Definition 1.** Let  $\mathbf{x}_1, ..., \mathbf{x}_N \in \mathcal{X}$ . The *dichotomies* generated by a hypothesis class  $\mathcal{H}$  on these points are defined by

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x}_1,...,\mathbf{x}_N) = \left\{ \left( h(\mathbf{x}_1),...,h(\mathbf{x}_N) \right) : h \in \mathcal{H} \right\}$$

**Definition 2.** The growth function for a hypothesis class  $\mathcal{H}$  is defined to be

$$m_{\mathcal{H}}(N) = \max_{\mathbf{x}_1,...,\mathbf{x}_N \in \mathcal{X}} |\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x}_1,...,\mathbf{x}_N)|.$$

**Definition 3.** We say that a hypothesis class  $\mathcal{H}$  can shatter a dataset  $\mathbf{x}_1, ..., \mathbf{x}_N$  if any of the following equivalent statements are true:

- 1.  $\mathcal{H}$  is capable of generating all possible dichotomies of  $\mathbf{x}_1,...,\mathbf{x}_N$ .
- 2.  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x}_1, ..., \mathbf{x}_N) = \{-1, +1\}^N$ .
- 3.  $|\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x}_1, ..., \mathbf{x}_N)| = 2^N$ .

NOTE: You must list all 3 statements.

**Definition 4.** The integer k is said to be a break point for hypothesis class  $\mathcal{H}$  if

no data set of size k can be shattered by  $\mathcal{H}$ .

**Definition 5.** The Vapnik-Chervonenkis dimension (VC dimension) of a hypothesis class  $\mathcal{H}$ , denoted by  $d_{VC}(\mathcal{H})$  or simply  $d_{VC}$ , is

the largest value of N for which  $m_{\mathcal{H}}(N) = 2^N$ . If  $m_{\mathcal{H}}(N) = 2^N$  for all N, then  $d_{VC} = \infty$ .

**Theorem 1** (VC generalization bound). For any tolerance  $\delta > 0$ , we have that with probability at least  $1 - \delta$ ,

$$E_{\text{out}} \le E_{\text{in}} + O\left(\sqrt{\frac{d_{\text{VC}} \log N - \log \delta}{N}}\right).$$

NOTE: The more precise, non-asymptotic formulas would also be acceptable.

**Theorem 2** (Finite Hypothesis Class Generalization Theorem). For any tolerance  $\delta > 0$ , we have that with probability at least  $1 - \delta$ ,

$$E_{\text{out}}(g) \le E_{\text{in}}(g) + \sqrt{\frac{\log 2M - \log \delta}{2N}}.$$

NOTE: The less precise, asymptotic formulas would also be acceptable.

**Theorem 3** (Hoeffding Inequality). Let  $a_1, ..., a_N$  be N independent and identically distributed random variables satisfying  $0 \le a_i \le 1$ . Let  $\nu = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^N a_i$  be the empirical average and  $\mu = \mathbb{E}\nu$  be the true mean of the underlying distribution.

Then, for all 
$$\epsilon > 0$$
, 
$$\mathbb{P}\left(|\nu - \mu| \ge \epsilon\right) \le 2\exp(-2\epsilon^2 N). \tag{1}$$

NOTE: The version based on  $1-\delta$  would also be acceptable. Asymptotic versions of either inequality are also acceptable.