Midterm 2

Printed Name:

Due date:

- 1. The exam is due Sunday 6 Nov at midnight.
- 2. You may submit it either on sakai electronically or by putting a physical copy under my door.

Rules:

- 1. The exam is untimed. You do not have to complete the exam in a single sitting. You may pause and restart whenever you'd like.
- 2. You may use any non-human resources that you like, including notes, books, internet articles, and computers.
- 3. You are not allowed to discuss the exam in any way with any human until after the due date. This includes:
 - (a) obviously bad behavior like copying answers,
 - (b) more banal behavior such as:
 - i. telling your friend "Problem 6 was really hard" or
 - ii. asking your friend "Have you completed the exam yet?"

Even after you finish the exam, you may not discuss it.

4. If you do have questions about the exam, you should email me the questions rather than posting to github.

Grading:

- 1. For the True/False/Open questions: Each correct answer will be awarded +1 point, each incorrect answer will result in a -1 point penalty, and each blank answer will result in 0 points.
- 2. All other problems are worth 1 point, with no penalty for incorrect answers.
- 3. There are 16 points possible on the exam. Your final grade entered into sakai will be

min{15, the number of points earned}.

4. If you find a substantive error on the exam, then I will award you +1 bonus point.

Good luck:)

Problem 1. For each statement below, circle **True** if the statement is known to be true, **False** if the statement is known to be false, and **Open** if the statement is not known to be either true or false. Ensure that you pay careful attention to the formal definitions of asymptotic notation in your responses.

1. True	False	Open	For learning problems that are not linearly separable, the fastest possible
			algorithm for minimizing the 0-1 loss runs in exponential time in the
			number of feature dimensions d .

- 2. True False Open Let \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 be two hypothesis classes satisfying $d_{\mathrm{VC}}(\mathcal{H}_1) \leq d_{\mathrm{VC}}(\mathcal{H}_2)$. Then $H_1 \subset H_2$.
- 3. True False Open Let $\mathcal H$ be the perceptron hypothesis class with the number of feature dimensions d=8. Then $m_{\mathcal H}(6)=64$.
- 4. True False Open Let \mathcal{H} be the perceptron hypothesis class and \mathcal{H}_{Φ} be the perceptron hypothesis class with the PCA kernel applied. Furthermore let $g \in \mathcal{H}$ and $g \in \mathcal{H}_{\Phi}$ be the empirical risk minimizers. Then $E_{\rm in}(g) \leq E_{\rm in}(g_{\Phi})$.
- 5. True False Open Let \mathcal{H} be the perceptron hypothesis class and let \mathcal{H}_{Φ} be the perceptron hypothesis class with the decision stump feature map. Let $g \in \mathcal{H}$ and $g_{\Phi} \in \mathcal{H}_{\Phi}$ be the emperical risk minimizers. Then VC theory provides a better generalization bound for g than for g_{Φ} .
- 6. True False Open Define the approximation error of a hypothesis class $\mathcal H$ to be

$$E_{\rm app} = \min_{h \in \mathcal{H}} E_{\rm out}(h). \tag{1}$$

Then applying the random feature embedding with a low output degree will decrease the approximation error.

7. True False Open Let
$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{axis2}} = \bigg\{\mathbf{x} \mapsto \sigma \, \text{sign}(x_i) : \sigma \in \{+1,-1\}, i \in [d] \bigg\},$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_{axis} = \left\{ \mathbf{x} \mapsto sign(x_i) : i \in [d] \right\},$$

Let $g_{\text{axis2}} \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{axis2}}$ and $g_{\text{axis}} \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{axis}}$ be the outputs of the TEA algorithm on their respective hypothesis classes. Then $E_{\text{in}}(g_{\text{axis2}}) \leq E_{\text{in}}(g_{\text{axis}})$.

8. True False Open Let \mathcal{H}_1 be the perceptron hypothesis class with the decision stump feature map applied, and \mathcal{H}_2 be the perceptron hypothesis class with the polynomial kernel of degree 3. Furthermore, let $g_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1$ and $g_2 \in \mathcal{H}_2$ be the emperical risk minimizers. Then VC theory predicts that $|E_{\text{out}}(g_1) - E_{\text{test}}(g_1)| \leq |E_{\text{out}}(g_2) - E_{\text{test}}(g_2)|$ with high probability.

9. True False Open Define the hypothesis class of positive and negative intervals in 1 dimension to be

$$\mathcal{H} = \left\{ x \mapsto \sigma \llbracket a \le x \le b \rrbracket : a \in \mathbb{R}, b \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma \in \{+1, -1\} \right\}. \tag{2}$$

Then the $d_{VC}(\mathcal{H}) = 3$.

10. True False Open There does not exist a break point for the perceptron hypothesis class.

Problem 2. Either prove or give a counterexample to the following claim: Let f be the true label function. Then it must be the case that $E_{in}(f) = 0$.

Problem 3. convex.	Either prove or give a counterexample to the following claim:	Every surrogate loss function is

Problem 4. What is the VC dimension of the following hypothesis class?

$$\mathcal{H} = \left\{ \mathbf{x} \mapsto \sigma \llbracket \| \mathbf{x} \|_2 \le \alpha \rrbracket : \sigma \in \{+1, -1\}, \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$
 (3)

Problem 5. You are a bank using logistic regression to learn a formula for whether or not to issue a loan. Your dataset has N data points and d features, and you have trained a model g using second order gradient descent so that your optimization error is negligible. You evaluate your model on the training and test sets and get values of $E_{\rm in}(g) = 0.05$ and $E_{\rm test}(g) = 0.41$.

Your boss suggests that augmenting the dataset with more features might improve performance. Is this a good idea? Use VC to justify why.

Problem 6. You are training a logistic regression model with $N = 10^{12}$ and $d = 10^{6}$. Which optimization algorithm do you choose and why?

Problem 7. You work at a car manufacturer and are developing a model to determine whether a part is defective or not. You are required by regulators to use support vector machines optimized with 2nd order gradient descent, but you are free to select any feature maps that you would like. Your training data has many features $(d=10^6)$ but only a small number of data points $(N=10^3)$. Which feature maps does VC theory predict would be a good choice?