Notes: Multivariate Classification with SGD

1 References

- 1. The textbook covers multivariate classification in Chapter 17.
- 2. https://chrisyeh96.github.io/2018/06/11/logistic-regression.html

2 Multivariate classification background

The softmax function is defined to be

$$softmax: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d \tag{1}$$

$$\operatorname{softmax}(\mathbf{a})_i = \frac{\exp(\mathbf{a}_i)}{\sum_{j=1}^d \exp(\mathbf{a}_j)}$$
 (2)

the softmax function has the important property that it "squashes" its input vector into a probability distribution.

The loss function used in multi-class classification is called the "softmax cross entropy" loss, and is the result of composing the softmax function above with the cross entropy (which is a way to measure the difference of probability distributions).

Assume we are solving a multi-class classification problem with k classes and d input dimensions. The softmax cross entropy loss is given by

$$\ell(W; (\mathbf{x}, y)) = -\log \frac{\exp(-\mathbf{w}_y^T \mathbf{x})}{\sum_{j=1}^k \exp(-\mathbf{w}_j^T \mathbf{x})}$$
(3)

where for each class $i \in [k]$, $\mathbf{w}_i : \mathbb{R}^d$ is the parameter vector associated with class i; the variable $W : \mathbb{R}^{k \times d} = (\mathbf{w}_1; \mathbf{w}_2; ...; \mathbf{w}_k)$ is the full parameter matrix; $\mathbf{x} : \mathbb{R}^d$ is the feature vector; and $y \in [k]$ is the class label.

 $Page\ intentionally\ left\ blank\ for\ handwritten\ notes.$

3 Loss function properties

- **Fact 1.** The softmax cross entropy function ℓ is convex with respect to W.
- **Fact 2.** Assume that $\|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \leq \rho$. Then softmax cross entropy function ℓ is ρ -Lipschitz with respect to W.
- Fact 3. For each class $i \in [k]$, assume that $\|\mathbf{w}_i\|_2 \leq B$. Then $\|W\|_F \leq \sqrt{k}B$.

Theorem 14.8 of Shalev-Shwartz and Ben-David then states that if SGD is run for T iterations to compute parameter estimate \bar{W} , then

$$\mathbb{E}L_S(\bar{W}) - L_S(W^*) \le \frac{\sqrt{k}B\rho}{\sqrt{T}} \tag{4}$$

where $W^* = \arg \min L_S(W)$.

Theorem 14.12 of Shalev-Shwartz and Ben-David then states that if SGD is run for T iterations to compute parameter estimate \bar{W} , then

$$\mathbb{E} L_D(\bar{W}) - L_D(W^*) \le \frac{\sqrt{k}B\rho}{\sqrt{T}}$$
(5)

where $W^* = \arg\min L_D(W)$.

Page intentionally left blank for handwritten notes.

4 L2 regularization

Recall that when we apply L2 regularization, we are minimizing the objective function

$$f(W) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \ell(W; (\mathbf{x}_i, y_i)) + \frac{\lambda}{2} ||W||_F^2.$$
 (6)

This function is λ -strongly convex in W. (Why?)

Then Theorem 14.11 tells us that after performing T iterations of SGD, we have that

$$\mathbb{E}f(\bar{W}) - f(W^*) \le \frac{4\rho^2}{\lambda T}(1 + \log T) \tag{7}$$

where $W^* = \arg \min f(W)$. Notice that there is no dependence on k in this inequality!

If we substitute $f(W) = L_D(W) + \|W\|_F^2$ into Equation 7 above, then we get

$$\mathbb{E} L_D(\bar{W}) - L_D(W^*) \le \frac{4\rho^2}{\lambda T} (1 + \log T) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|W^*\|_F^2 - \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\bar{W}\|_F^2 \le \frac{4\rho^2}{\lambda T} (1 + \log T) + \frac{\lambda k B^2}{2}.$$
 (8)

5 What to do when k is big?

A common way to reduce sample complexity when k is large is to factor the parameter matrix as W = VU, where $V : \mathbb{R}^{k \times e}$, $U : \mathbb{R}^{e \times d}$, and $e \ll k$. Then, each $\mathbf{w}_i = \mathbf{v}_i U$, and the cross entropy softmax loss is

$$\ell(VU; (\mathbf{x}, y)) = -\log \frac{\exp(-\mathbf{v}_y^T U \mathbf{x})}{\sum_{j=1}^k \exp(-\mathbf{v}_j^T U \mathbf{x})}.$$
 (9)

For each fact below, assume that V is fixed. (That is, we are only learning U.)

Fact 4. The softmax cross entropy function ℓ is convex with respect to U.

Fact 5. Assume that $\|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \leq \rho$. Then softmax cross entropy function ℓ is ρ -Lipschitz with respect to U.

Fact 6. (i) For each class $i \in [k]$, assume that $\|\mathbf{v}_i\|_2 \le 1$. Then, $\|V\|_F \le \sqrt{k}$. (ii) Let \mathbf{u}_i denote the ith column of U. For each column $i \in [e]$, assume that $\|\mathbf{u}_i\|_2 \le B$. Then $\|U\|_F \le \sqrt{e}B$.

Assume that V is fixed and known in advance. Theorem 14.12 of Shalev-Shwartz and Ben-David then states that if SGD is run for T iterations to compute parameter estimate \bar{U} , then

$$\mathbb{E} L_D(V\bar{U}) - L_D(VU^*) \le \frac{\sqrt{e}B\rho}{\sqrt{T}}$$
(10)

where $U^* = \arg\min_{U} L_D(VU)$. (A similar result holds for L_S based on Theorem 14.8.)

6 Open Research Problem (very informal discussion)

The limitation of the previous technique is that the class labels must have a linear structure. In this section, we review how to take advantage of arbitrary metric structure.

Let \mathcal{L} be a metric space of labels, and $d_{i,j}$ be the distance between class labels i and j.

Build a "cover tree" from the class labels. Let p_i denote the parent label for class i. Then we can rewrite that parameter vector for each class i as

$$\mathbf{w}_i = \mathbf{v}_i + \mathbf{w}_{p_i}. \tag{11}$$

Then the goal is to learn the \mathbf{v}_i vectors instead of the \mathbf{w}_i vectors. The matrix $V = (\mathbf{v}_1, ..., \mathbf{v}_k)$ can be bounded to have size $\sqrt{\dim(\mathcal{L})}B$.

It then follows from Theorem 14.12 of Shalev-Shwartz and Ben-David that if SGD is run for T iterations to compute parameter estimate \overline{W} , then

$$\mathbb{E} L_D(\bar{W}) - L_D(W^*) \le \frac{\sqrt{\dim \mathcal{L}} B \rho}{\sqrt{T}}$$
(12)

where $W^* = \arg\min L_D(W)$.