

More Midterm 2 Practice Problems

Problem 1. For each statement below, circle **True** if the statement is known to be true, **False** if the statement is known to be false, and **Open** if the statement is not known to be either true or false. Ensure that you pay careful attention to the formal definitions of asymptotic notation in your responses.

- | | | | |
|---------|-------|------|---|
| 1. True | False | Open | For learning problems that are linearly separable, the fastest possible algorithm for minimizing the 0-1 loss runs in sub-exponential time in the number of feature dimensions d . |
| 2. True | False | Open | For learning problems that are not linearly separable, the fastest possible algorithm for minimizing the 0-1 loss runs in sub-exponential time in the number of feature dimensions d . |
| 3. True | False | Open | Let \mathcal{H} be the perceptron hypothesis class. Assume there exists some $h \in \mathcal{H}$ with $E_{\text{out}}(h) = 0$. Then after a finite number of iterations, the PLA is guaranteed to output a hypothesis g satisfying $E_{\text{in}}(g) = 0$. |
| 4. True | False | Open | Let \mathcal{H} be the perceptron hypothesis class. Assume there exists some $h \in \mathcal{H}$ with $E_{\text{in}}(h) = 0$. Then after a finite number of iterations, the PLA is guaranteed to output a hypothesis g satisfying $E_{\text{out}}(g) = 0$. |
| 5. True | False | Open | Let \mathcal{H} be the perceptron hypothesis class. Assume there exists some $h \in \mathcal{H}$ with $E_{\text{in}}(h) = 0$. Then the PLA will terminate. |
| 6. True | False | Open | Let \mathcal{H} be the perceptron hypothesis class. Let $g_1 \in \mathcal{H}$ be the output of the PLA and $g_2 \in \mathcal{H}$ be the output of the pocket algorithm, and assume that both algorithms successfully terminate in finite time. Then VC theory predicts that g_2 will have better generalization error than g_1 with high probability. |
| 7. True | False | Open | Let \mathcal{H} be the perceptron hypothesis class and let \mathcal{H}_{Φ} be the perceptron hypothesis class with the 3rd degree polynomial feature map applied. If you attempt to use the PLA to select a hypothesis $g \in \mathcal{H}$ and the algorithm terminates, then the PLA is also guaranteed to terminate if you use it to select a hypothesis from \mathcal{H}_{Φ} . |
| 8. True | False | Open | If your dataset is linearly separable, then the PLA is guaranteed to terminate. |
| 9. True | False | Open | If your dataset is not linearly separable, then the pocket algorithm is guaranteed to terminate. |

- | | | | |
|----------|-------|------|---|
| 10. True | False | Open | Let \mathcal{H} be the perceptron hypothesis class and let $g \in \mathcal{H}$ be the output of the pocket algorithm. Then the Hoeffding inequality can be used to bound the generalization error of g (i.e. the Hoeffding inequality can be used to bound $ E_{\text{in}}(g) - E_{\text{out}}(g) $). |
| 11. True | False | Open | Let \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 be two hypothesis classes with $d_{\text{VC}}(\mathcal{H}_1) > d_{\text{VC}}(\mathcal{H}_2)$. Let $g_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1$ and $g_2 \in \mathcal{H}_2$. Hoeffding's inequality predicts that $ E_{\text{test}}(g_1) - E_{\text{out}}(g_1) $ is less than $ E_{\text{test}}(g_2) - E_{\text{out}}(g_2) $. |
| 12. True | False | Open | <p>Let</p> $\mathcal{H}_{\text{axis2}} = \left\{ \mathbf{x} \mapsto \sigma \text{sign}(x_i) : \sigma \in \{+1, -1\}, i \in [d] \right\},$ <p>and</p> $\mathcal{H}_{\text{L2-4}} = \left\{ \mathbf{x} \mapsto \llbracket \ \mathbf{x}\ _2 \geq \alpha \rrbracket : \alpha \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \right\}.$ <p>Let $g_{\text{axis2}} \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{axis2}}$ and $g_{\text{L2-4}} \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{L2-4}}$ be the outputs of the TEA algorithm on their respective hypothesis classes. Then for learning problems with large d, the following inequality is guaranteed to hold: $E_{\text{in}}(g_{\text{axis2}}) \leq E_{\text{in}}(g_{\text{L2-4}})$.</p> |
| 13. True | False | Open | <p>Let</p> $\mathcal{H}_{\text{axis2}} = \left\{ \mathbf{x} \mapsto \sigma \text{sign}(x_i) : \sigma \in \{+1, -1\}, i \in [d] \right\},$ <p>and</p> $\mathcal{H}_{\text{L2-4}} = \left\{ \mathbf{x} \mapsto \llbracket \ \mathbf{x}\ _2 \geq \alpha \rrbracket : \alpha \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \right\}.$ <p>Let $g_{\text{axis2}} \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{axis2}}$ and $g_{\text{L2-4}} \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{L2-4}}$ be the outputs of the TEA algorithm on their respective hypothesis classes. Then for learning problems with large d, VC theory predicts that $g_{\text{L2-4}}$ will have better generalization accuracy than g_{axis2}.</p> |
| 14. True | False | Open | The VC dimension of finite hypothesis classes can never be ∞ . |
| 15. True | False | Open | The VC dimension of infinite hypothesis classes can never be ∞ . |
| 16. True | False | Open | Let \mathcal{H} be a hypothesis class. If there exists a hypothesis $h \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $E_{\text{out}}(h) = 0$, then the VC dimension of \mathcal{H} must be finite. |