

ECE 653 - ASSIGNMENT 2

ARSHAD MOMIN

Student ID- 20986176

Email- a5momin@uwaterloo.ca

Question 1 :

- (a) How many execution paths does Prog1 have? List all the paths as a sequence of line numbers taken on the path.

Answer:

- (a) **Path 1: Considering all conditional statements to be true.**

Execution path:

1 → 2 → 3 → 4 → 8 → 9 → 10 → 11 → 12 → 13 → 17

- (b) **Path 2: Considering the conditional statement “if $2 * (x + y) > 21$ then” to be FALSE and all other conditional statements to be TRUE.**

Execution path:

1 → 2 → 3 → 4 → 8 → 9 → 10 → 11 → 14 → 15 → 16 → 17

- (c) **Path 3: Considering the conditional statement “if $x + y > 15$ then” to be FALSE and all other conditional statements to be TRUE.**

Execution path:

1 → 2 → 5 → 6 → 7 → 8 → 9 → 10 → 11 → 12 → 13 → 17

- (d) **Path 4: Considering all the conditional statements to be FALSE.**

Execution path:

1 → 2 → 5 → 6 → 7 → 8 → 9 → 10 → 11 → 14 → 15 → 16 → 17

- (b) Symbolically execute each path and provide the resulting path condition. Show the steps of symbolic execution as a table. An example of executing the first line is given below:

Answer:

Symbolic Execution for path 1:

Edge	Symbolic State	Path condition
1→2	$x \rightarrow X_0, y \rightarrow Y_0$	TRUE
2→3	$x \rightarrow X_0, y \rightarrow Y_0$	$X_0 + Y_0 > 15$
3→4	$x \rightarrow X_0 + 7, y \rightarrow Y_0$	$X_0 + Y_0 > 15$
4→8	$x \rightarrow X_0 + 7, y \rightarrow Y_0 - 12$	$X_0 + Y_0 > 15$
8→9	$x \rightarrow X_0 + 7, y \rightarrow Y_0 - 12$	$X_0 + Y_0 > 15$
9→10	$x \rightarrow X_0 + 9, y \rightarrow Y_0 - 12$	$X_0 + Y_0 > 15$
10→11	$x \rightarrow X_0 + 9, y \rightarrow Y_0 - 12$	$X_0 + Y_0 > 15$
11→12	$x \rightarrow X_0 + 9, y \rightarrow Y_0 - 12$	$X_0 + Y_0 > 15 \wedge 2 * (X_0 + Y_0) > 21$
12→13	$x \rightarrow 3(X_0 + 9), y \rightarrow Y_0 - 12$	$X_0 + Y_0 > 15 \wedge 2 * (X_0 + Y_0) > 21$
13→17	$x \rightarrow 3(X_0 + 9), y \rightarrow 2(Y_0 - 12)$	$X_0 + Y_0 > 15 \wedge 2 * (X_0 + Y_0) > 21$



Symbolic Execution for path 2:

Edge	Symbolic State	Path condition
1→2	$x \rightarrow X_0, y \rightarrow Y_0$	TRUE
2→3	$x \rightarrow X_0, y \rightarrow Y_0$	$X_0 + Y_0 > 15$
3→4	$x \rightarrow X_0 + 7, y \rightarrow Y_0$	$X_0 + Y_0 > 15$
4→8	$x \rightarrow X_0 + 7, y \rightarrow Y_0 - 12$	$X_0 + Y_0 > 15$
8→9	$x \rightarrow X_0 + 7, y \rightarrow Y_0 - 12$	$X_0 + Y_0 > 15$
9→10	$x \rightarrow X_0 + 9, y \rightarrow Y_0 - 12$	$X_0 + Y_0 > 15$
10→11	$x \rightarrow X_0 + 9, y \rightarrow Y_0 - 12$	$X_0 + Y_0 > 15$
11→14	$x \rightarrow X_0 + 9, y \rightarrow Y_0 - 12$	$X_0 + Y_0 > 15 \wedge 2 * (X_0 + Y_0) \leq 21$
14→15	$x \rightarrow 4(X_0 + 9), y \rightarrow Y_0 - 12$	$X_0 + Y_0 > 15 \wedge 2 * (X_0 + Y_0) \leq 21$
15→16	$x \rightarrow 4(X_0 + 9), y \rightarrow Y_0 - 12$	$X_0 + Y_0 > 15 \wedge 2 * (X_0 + Y_0) \leq 21$
16→17	$x \rightarrow 4(X_0 + 9), y \rightarrow 3Y_0 + 4X_0$	$X_0 + Y_0 > 15 \wedge 2 * (X_0 + Y_0) \leq 21$

Symbolic Execution for path 3:

Edge	Symbolic State	Path condition
1→2	$x \rightarrow X_0, y \rightarrow Y_0$	TRUE
2→5	$x \rightarrow X_0, y \rightarrow Y_0$	$X_0 + Y_0 \leq 15$
5→6	$x \rightarrow X_0, y \rightarrow Y_0$	$X_0 + Y_0 \leq 15$
6→7	$x \rightarrow X_0, y \rightarrow Y_0 + 10$	$X_0 + Y_0 \leq 15$
7→8	$x \rightarrow X_0 - 2, y \rightarrow Y_0 + 10$	$X_0 + Y_0 \leq 15$
8→9	$x \rightarrow X_0 - 2, y \rightarrow Y_0 + 10$	$X_0 + Y_0 \leq 15$
9→10	$x \rightarrow X_0, y \rightarrow Y_0 + 10$	$X_0 + Y_0 \leq 15$
10→11	$x \rightarrow X_0, y \rightarrow Y_0 + 10$	$X_0 + Y_0 \leq 15$
11→12	$x \rightarrow X_0, y \rightarrow Y_0 + 10$	$X_0 + Y_0 \leq 15 \wedge 2 * (X_0 + Y_0) > 21$
12→13	$x \rightarrow 3 * X_0, y \rightarrow Y_0 + 10$	$X_0 + Y_0 \leq 15 \wedge 2 * (X_0 + Y_0) > 21$
13→17	$x \rightarrow 3 * X_0, y \rightarrow 2(Y_0 + 10)$	$X_0 + Y_0 \leq 15 \wedge 2 * (X_0 + Y_0) > 21$

Symbolic Execution for path 4:

Edge	Symbolic State	Path condition
1→2	$x \rightarrow X_0, y \rightarrow Y_0$	TRUE
2→5	$x \rightarrow X_0, y \rightarrow Y_0$	$X_0 + Y_0 \leq 15$
5→6	$x \rightarrow X_0, y \rightarrow Y_0$	$X_0 + Y_0 \leq 15$
6→7	$x \rightarrow X_0, y \rightarrow Y_0 + 10$	$X_0 + Y_0 \leq 15$
7→8	$x \rightarrow X_0 - 2, y \rightarrow Y_0 + 10$	$X_0 + Y_0 \leq 15$
8→9	$x \rightarrow X_0 - 2, y \rightarrow Y_0 + 10$	$X_0 + Y_0 \leq 15$
9→10	$x \rightarrow X_0, y \rightarrow Y_0 + 10$	$X_0 + Y_0 \leq 15$
10→11	$x \rightarrow X_0, y \rightarrow Y_0 + 10$	$X_0 + Y_0 \leq 15$
11→14	$x \rightarrow X_0, y \rightarrow Y_0 + 10$	$X_0 + Y_0 \leq 15 \wedge 2 * (X_0 + Y_0) \leq 21$
14→15	$x \rightarrow X_0, y \rightarrow Y_0 + 10$	$X_0 + Y_0 \leq 15 \wedge 2 * (X_0 + Y_0) \leq 21$
15→16	$x \rightarrow 4X_0, y \rightarrow Y_0 + 10$	$X_0 + Y_0 \leq 15 \wedge 2 * (X_0 + Y_0) \leq 21$
16→17	$x \rightarrow 4X_0, y \rightarrow 3Y_0 + 4X_0 + 30$	$X_0 + Y_0 \leq 15 \wedge 2 * (X_0 + Y_0) \leq 21$



- (c) For each path in part (b), indicate whether it is feasible or not. For each feasible path, give values for X_o and Y_o that satisfy the path condition.

Answer:

1. Path 1 is feasible with values of $X_o=7$ and $Y_o=10$
2. Path 2 is not feasible due to conditional statement " $X_o+Y_o > 15$ AND $2 * (X_o+Y_o) \leq 21$ "
3. Path 3 is feasible with values of $X_o=5$ and $Y_o=8$
4. Path 4 is feasible with values of $X_o=4$ and $Y_o=5$

Question 2:

- (a) The constraint at-most-one (a_1, \dots, a_n) is satisfied if at most one of the Boolean variables a_1, \dots, a_n is true. For example, at-most-one (T, \perp, \perp) is true, and at-most-one(T, \perp, T) is false. Encode the constraint :

at-most-one (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) into an equivalent set of clauses (i.e., in CNF).

Answer: at -most- one (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) is satisfied if at most one of a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 is true.

Thus, the following must be satisfied with the below method:

$$\neg((a_1 \wedge a_2) \vee (a_1 \wedge a_3) \vee (a_1 \wedge a_4) \vee (a_2 \wedge a_3) \vee (a_3 \wedge a_4))$$

The above constraint becomes equivalent to as follows:

$$(\neg a_1 \vee \neg a_2) \wedge (\neg a_1 \vee \neg a_3) \wedge (\neg a_1 \vee \neg a_4) \wedge (\neg a_2 \vee \neg a_3) \wedge (\neg a_2 \vee \neg a_4) \wedge (\neg a_3 \vee \neg a_4)$$

- (b) Show whether the following First Order Logic (FOL) sentence is valid or not. Either give a proof of validity or show a model in which the sentence is false.

$$(\forall x \cdot \exists y \cdot P(x) \vee Q(y)) \Leftrightarrow (\forall x \cdot P(x)) \vee (\exists y \cdot Q(y))$$

Answer:

The above FOL (First order logic) sentence is valid by Algebraic formulations as below:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x \cdot \exists y \cdot (P(x) \vee Q(y)) &= \forall x \cdot ((\exists y \cdot P(x)) \vee (\exists y \cdot Q(y))) \\ &= \forall x \cdot (P(x) \vee (\exists y \cdot Q(y))) && \text{Since: } \{\exists y \cdot P(x) = P(x)\} \\ &= (\forall x \cdot (P(x))) \vee (\forall x \cdot \exists y \cdot Q(y)) \\ &= (\forall x \cdot (P(x))) \vee (\exists y \cdot Q(y)) && \text{Since: } \{\forall x \cdot \exists y = \exists y\} \end{aligned}$$



- (d) Consider the following FOL formula $\Phi: \exists x \exists y \exists z (P(x, y) \wedge P(z, y) \wedge P(x, z) \wedge \neg P(z, x))$
For each of the following FOL models, explain whether they satisfy or violate the formula Φ .

b) $M_2 = \langle S_2, P_2 \rangle$, where $S_2 = \mathbb{N}$ and $P_2 = \{(x, x+1) \mid x \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Does $M_2 \models \Phi$?

Answer:

The above FOL (First order logic) model does not satisfy the formula (violates) Φ

With respect to the contradiction and assuming $x, y, z \in \mathbb{N}$ (elements of set \mathbb{N}) satisfies:

$$(P(x, y) \wedge P(z, y) \wedge P(x, z) \wedge \neg P(z, x))$$

Which can be formulated as below:

$$y = x+1 \wedge y = z+1 \wedge z = x+1 \wedge x \neq z+1$$

since

1. $y = z+1$
2. $z = x+1$
3. Therefore $z = y-1$ from " $y = z+1$ "

Substituting value of $z = y-1$ in equation " $z = x+1$ "

$$y-1 = x+1$$

$$\text{and } y = x+2$$

The below equations lead to a contradiction:

$$y = x+1 \wedge y = x+2$$

Therefore, the above FOL (First order logic) model does not satisfy the formula (violates) Φ

- c) $M_3 = \langle S_3, P_3 \rangle$, where $S_3 = P(\mathbb{N})$, the powerset of natural numbers, and $P_3 = \{(A, B) \mid A, B \in P(\mathbb{N}) \wedge A \subseteq B\}$. Does $M_3 \models \Phi$?

Answer:

Considering the below equation:

$$x \subseteq y \wedge z \subseteq y \wedge x \subseteq z \wedge z \not\subseteq x$$

Since the above equation implies x being subset of y , z being subset of y and x being subset of z , we can say that the statement satisfies the formula assuming $x=1$, $z=2$ and $y=(0,1,2)$.

Meaning which it satisfies the equation:

$$(P(x, y) \wedge P(z, y) \wedge P(x, z) \wedge \neg P(z, x))$$

- (e) Extend your encoding from part (a) to n variables and use at most $O(n)$ clauses and variables. If your solution is based on external resources, make sure to properly reference them.

Answer:

Let $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$.

The standard encoding of the At Most One constraint can be formulated as below equation for n variables:

$$\text{at-most-one}(X) \equiv \{a_i \vee a_j \mid a_i, a_j \in A, i < j\}$$

$$(\neg a_1 \vee \neg a_2) \wedge (\neg a_1 \vee \neg a_3) \wedge (\neg a_1 \vee \neg a_4) \wedge (\neg a_2 \vee \neg a_3) \wedge (\neg a_2 \vee \neg a_4) \wedge (\neg a_3 \vee \neg a_4)$$

The encoding part as above from part (a) can be extended as:

$$(\neg a_m \vee \neg a_{m+1}) \wedge (\neg a_m \vee \neg a_{m+2}) \wedge (\neg a_m \vee \neg a_{m+3}) \wedge (\neg a_m \vee \neg a_{m+(n-1)})$$

In this above case,

1. n = Total number of variables
2. m = Variable number

