

Submission Instructions

- The solution must be submitted as a PDF or image file to Blackboard by the deadline.
- All the solutions must be written in English.
- You must write your name in English and Chinese, your Student ID and your e-mail (optional) at the top of the first page.
- Later on, there will be a lot of mathematical formulas. Thus, you are recommended to use LaTeX to write your solutions. Microsoft Word version 2016 and above also have a feature for converting a Tex-like script into a mathematical formula.
- If it is too troublesome to use LaTeX, handwriting notes are always welcome. You can just write your solutions on paper and scan them using your phone camera. But, please make sure that you write them clearly; otherwise, it will be difficult to grade your submissions correctly.
- There is no need to be too careful about English grammar and typos. It is acceptable as long as it is understandable. You may use some grammar-checking tools, like, Grammarly to help fix your English writing.
- ChatGPT is not allowed. Please don't use ChatGPT to solve your problems. It can be easily spotted because the writing is generally **too perfect**.
- Should you have any questions regarding the assignment, please contact me via WeChat or by email at LBundit+gradalgoS23@gmail.com.

Academic Integrity Policy

1. All collaborations must be clearly indicated, e.g., discussed with student A.
2. All outside sources including papers, textbook, lecture note or website must be cited properly. There is no restriction in reference format.
3. Everything except definitions must be **paraphrased**. You are supposed to write everything on your own. Please also try to write mathematical calculations on your own unless it does not seem possible to avoid copying the known calculations.
4. Any submission with $\geq 10\%$ verbatim copied from outside sources is also considered plagiarism.

You will receive the most severe punishment for not abiding to the Academic Integrity Policy. Fail to do so, you will receive a zero score for the entire course, and the incident will be reported to the academic committee, which could end up in being expelled from the program.

Part I: Test Your Understanding (30 points)

Question 1 [Chapter 1 Exercise 1 in [?]]

Decide whether you think the following statement is true or false. If it is true, give a short explanation. If it is false, give a counterexample.

True or false? In every instance of the Stable Matching Problem, there is a stable matching containing a pair (m, w) such that m is ranked first on the preference list of w and w is ranked first on the preference list of m .

Answer: (Yes or No?) (5 pt)

No

Explanation or Counter Example: (10 pt)

We assume a preference table pair as below:

| | 1 | 2 | 3 |
|---|---|---|---|
| A | D | E | F |
| B | D | E | F |
| C | E | F | D |

| | 1 | 2 | 3 |
|---|---|---|---|
| D | C | A | B |
| E | A | B | C |
| F | A | B | C |

The result from Gale-Shapley algorithm will be: A-D B-E C-F

Obviously, such pair does not exist.

Question 2 [Chapter 1 Exercise 2 in [?]] Decide whether you think the following statement is true or false. If it is true, give a short explanation. If it is false, give a counterexample.

True or false? Consider an instance of the Stable Matching Problem in which there exists a man m and a woman w such that m is ranked first on the preference list of w and w is ranked first on the preference list of m . Then in every stable matching S for this instance, the pair (m, w) belongs to S .

Answer: (Yes or No?) (5 pt)

Yes

Explanation or Counter Example: (10 pt)

We know that w is ranked first on the preference list of m , so when it is m 's turn to send invitation, he must send it to w first. At the same time, m is also ranked first

Part II: Challenge Questions (70 points)

Question 3 (30 pt) [Revisit Materials omitted from class]

In this question, we revisit the materials missing from the class. Our aim is to show that any stable matching output from Gale-Shapley algorithm is “hospital-optimal”.

To be formal, we say that a student s is a *valid partner* for a hospital h if there exists a stable matching in which s is matched with h . If the student s has the highest ranking among valid partner for the hospital h , then we say that s is the *best valid partner* for the hospital h .

Now consider the assignment in which each hospital is assigned with the best valid partner. We want to show that such an assignment forms a perfect matching.

Proof. We proceed the proof by contradiction. Suppose such a hospital-optimal assignment is not a matching. Then there can be two possible.

Question 3 (a) (10 pt) Case 1: There exists a hospital that admits more than one students. *Argue that this case is not possible.*

We know that, as the matching process starts, all hospitals send invitation one by one. And once a hospital get matched with a student, it will not send an invitation again, except it was abandoned by that student. So there will not exist a hospital that admits more than one student.

Question 3 (b) (10 pt) Case 2: There exists a student s who is assigned to two different hospitals h and h' .

Then there it means that there exist a stable matching M that matches s with h and another stable matching M' that matches s to h' . We may assume WLOG that s prefers h to h' . *Argue that one of the matching M or M' is not stable.*

In this case, either the best valid partner of h and h' is s . And we know that s prefer h . In M' , h' matches s , and we suppose h matches a student named s' . Now, in pair of h' - s , s prefer h rather than h' and in pair of h - s' , h prefer s rather than s' (because s is the best valid partner of h). This consists an unstable match. So M' is unstable.

Question 3 (c) (10 pt) [Perfectness of Assignment] Argue that any hospital-optimal assignment is a perfect matching, i.e., every hospital admits at least one student, and every student is assigned to at least one hospital.

In hospital-optimal assignment, every hospital matches with it's best valid partner. In this case, if every hospital and student get matched, we must ensure that there does not exist two hospitals, whose best valid partner are the same one student. We can prove this by contradictions. We assume that such hospitals exist, called h and h' . The best valid partner of them is the same one student called s . This means there is a stable matching M , where h matches with s . And there is also a stable matching M' , where h' matches s . We can also assume that s prefers h to h' . Now, the

conditions and problem are the same as what we have done in Q3(b). We have proved that M' is unstable. So every hospital has a unique best valid partner such that every hospital is matched and every student is also matched.

Question 3 (d) (10 pt) [Hospital-Optimal is Stable] Show that any hospital-optimal assignment is a stable-matching.

We prove it by contradictory. Assume that there are two pairs h - s and h' - s' in a hospital-optimal assignment. What's more, h' prefer s to s' and s prefer h' to h which means h' - s consists an unstable pair. However, in a hospital-optimal assignment, every hospital has been matched with it's best valid partner. That means s' has been the best choice of h' . If h' prefer s to s' , then s' can't be the best valid partner of h' .

□

Question 4 (30pt) [Chapter 1 Exercise 4 in [?]]

Gale and Shapley published their paper on the Stable Matching Problem in 1962; but a version of their algorithm had already been in use for ten years by the National Resident Matching Program, for the problem of assigning medical residents to hospitals.

Basically, the situation was the following. There were m hospitals, each with a certain number of available positions for hiring residents. There were n medical students graduating in a given year, each interested in joining one of the hospitals. Each hospital had a ranking of the students in order of preference, and each student had a ranking of the hospitals in order of preference. We will assume that there were more students graduating than there were slots available in the m hospitals.

The interest, naturally, was in finding a way of assigning each student to at most one hospital, in such a way that all available positions in all hospitals were filled. (Since we are assuming a surplus of students, there would be some students who do not get assigned to any hospital.) We say that an assignment of students to hospitals is *stable* if neither of the following situations arises.

FIRST TYPE OF INSTABILITY: There are students s and s' and a hospital h , so that

- s is assigned to h , and
- s' is assigned to no hospital, and
- h prefers s' to s .

SECOND TYPE OF INSTABILITY: There are students s and s' , and hospitals h and h' , so that

- s is assigned to h , and
- s' is assigned to h' , and
- h prefers s' to s , and
- s' prefers h to h' .

So we basically have the Stable Matching Problem, except that (i) hospitals generally want more than one resident, and (ii) there is a surplus of medical students.

Show that there is always a stable assignment of students to hospitals, and give an algorithm to find one.

Answer: We can take Gale-Shapley as reference.

1. Every hospital and students has a preference list.
2. For all hospitals, they send invitations, whose number equals to their available positions, in preference order to students that they haven't sent yet.
3. If a student, who has been matched, receive another invitation, he will accept it only when he prefer the new hospital to the old one. And if it happens, there will be one more position for the old hospital.
4. As there exist hospitals who still have position available, they continue to send invitations as the

way mentioned above, until all positions are taken or all students have a position.

We can prove that this is stable by contradictory.

Assume there exist an unstable pair $h-s$ which is not in the output of algorithm. It can only happen in two case:

1. h never send an invitation to s . That means h has recruit enough students to fill its position. It's not unstable.
2. h sent it but was rejected by s . That means s has got a better offer from hospital he prefers. It's not unstable too.

attention: The answer above was written with reference to the course slide.

References

- [KT06] Jon Kleinberg and Éva Tardos. *Algorithm Design*: Pearson New International Edition (2006). Pearson Education Limited Kindle Edition (2014).