Time Series Labb 1

Time Series Analysis, Umeå University

Artem Shiryaev Group 8

2024-03-15

Problem Forumlation

- Time Series Forecasting
- Investment Decision based on Accuracy of Models
- Exploration of data
- Model evaluation

Data Presentation

Data Presentation

# Statistical Methods Results: S1


**S1 Filtered Time Series**

Unfiltered Time Series

# Statistical Methods Results: S1

Figure 1: Differenced Method for de-seasonlized and de-trending Time

Checking Assumptions

- ► Visually checking the sample autocorrelation function

$$H_0 = \text{The Time Series is iid Noise}$$
$$H_1 = \text{The Time Series is NOT iid Noise}$$

- ► Protmanteau test
- ► Turning point test
- ► Difference-sign test
- ► Mann-Kendall Rank test
- ► Augmented Dicker-Fuller test
- ► Checking for normality
    - ► Histogram
    - ► qq plot
    - ► Normality test
        - ► Shapiro-Wilks test
        - ► Shapiro–Francia test

Checking Assumptions

Figure 2: ACF for S1 and S2 methods on time series

Checking Assumptions

Figure 3: Q-Q plots for Normality of Residuals of S1 and S2 Method

Spectral Analysis

Figure 4: Spectral Analysis on S1 and S2 data

# Fitting ARMA models

- Check ACF for AR(p), PACF for MA(q)
- Evaluate using AIC
- Fit model
- Residual Diagnostics

Fitting ARMA models

**Histogram of Rescaled residuals**

Normal Q–Q Plot

# Fitting ARMA models

Figure 5: Residual checks

Forecast of 2023

Figure 6: Forecast with SARIMA(9,0,1) Model with 95 CI

Figure 7: Forecast with auto.arima AR(1) Model with 95 CI

Summary

Model	ARMA(1,1)	ARMA(9,1)	SARMA(9,0,1) × (1,0,1)	AR(1)
MSE	1261	1258	10.1	3.72

Conclusion

- ▶ Poor performance, time series model is insufficient or poorly specified for using on this kind of data set
- ▶ Stationary assumption and normality assumption violated
- ▶ Intuitively the assumption of the model that $s_t = s_{t+d}$ may be too strong
- ▶ Poor data handling and processing from my side
- ▶ Challenging to fit a suitable model

Questions?

Thank you for listening.