MDFourier

Artemio Urbina

June 4, 2019

Contents

1	MD	Fourier Objective	4
	1.1	What is it for?	4
	1.2	Warning	5
	1.3	Licensing	5
2	Hov	v MDFourier works	6
	2.1	File alignment	6
	2.2	The heart of the process	7
	2.3	Minimal significant volume	8
	2.4	Workflow	9
3	Hov	v to use the Front End	10
	3.1	Front End Options	12
		3.1.1 Window Functions	12
		3.1.2 Color Filter Functions	13
		3.1.3 Align Transform to 1hz	13
		3.1.4 Output Plots	14
		3.1.5 Verbose Log	15
	3.2	Extra Command	15
4	Hov	v to interpret the plots	16
	4.1	Output Files	16

D	Col	or Filter Function details	3.8
	C.5	No Window	37
	C.4	Hamming	37
	C.3	Hann	36
	C.2	Flattop	35
	C.1	Tukey	34
\mathbf{C}	Wir	ndow Function equations and plots	33
В	MD	Wave	32
A	Con	figuration file	30
Aj	ppen	dices	29
	5.5	A-MD1UTVA3 vs A-MD2UCVA18	28
	5.4	A-MD1UTVA6-1 vs A-MD1UTVA6-2	28
	5.3	A-MD1UJVA6 vs A-MD1UTVA6-1	28
	5.2	A-MD1UTVA3 vs A-MD1UJVA6	28
	5.1	A-MD1UTVA3 vs A-MD1JJVA1	28
5	Res	ults from vintage retail hardware	28
	4.8	Corner cases	27
	4.7	Scenario 6: Comparing two vintage consoles	27
	4.6	Scenario 5: Comparing two recordings from the same console made with different Audio Cards	26
	4.5	Scenario 4: Comparing against a digital low pass and high pass filter	21
	4.4	Scenario 3: Comparing against a modified file	19
	4.3	Scenario 2: Comparing two different recordings from the same console	18
	4.2	Scenario 1: Comparing the same file against itself	17

	D.1 None			 	 39
	D.2 \sqrt{dbFS}			 	 40
	D.3 $\beta(3,3)$			 	 41
	D.4 Linear			 	 42
	D.5 $dBFS^2$			 	 43
	D.6 $\beta(16,2)$			 	 44
${f E}$	Normalization and	amplitude mat	ching		45
	E.1 Frequency doma	in normalization		 	 45
	E.2 Time domain no	ormalization		 	 46
	E.3 Highest fundam	ental average norn	nalization	 	 46
\mathbf{F}	Requirements				47
	F.1 Audio capture d	evice		 	 47
	F.2 Computer			 	 48
	F.3 Game Consoles	or emulators		 	 48
	F.4 Flash cart, or m	eans to run the bi	nary	 	 48
	F.5 Cables and adap	oters		 	 48
	F.6 Audio capture s	oftware		 	 48
\mathbf{G}	Colors available for	r plots			49
Н	Compiling from so	urce code			50
	H.1 Dependencies .			 	 50
Ι	Contact the author	r			51
J	Acknowledgements	3			52

Chapter 1

MDFourier Objective

Provide a Fourier based analysis framework to compare audio generated by a targeted video game system and its variants, clones and FPGA implementations. This is of course not limited to one specific system, and the software can be used to compare any other platform with new configuration files. At the moment a profile for Mega Drive/Genesis is functional and implemented with more to follow.

The intention is not to disparage any particular implementation¹; but to help understand and improve them whenever possible by identifying the differences. This can help emulators, *FPGA* implementations and even hardware modifications to better match the desired reference profile.

1.1 What is it for?

MDFourier can be used to identify the differences between two audio signatures. For instance a Sega Genesis Model 1 VA3 and a Sega Model 2 VA 1.8 can be compared in order to verify how different they are across the audible spectrum.

It can also be used to compare a vintage version of the console against any other variation, like an emulator or an *FPGA* implementation. Another possible application is to determine if there were changes in the audio spectrum after modifications to a console, like recapping or changing the audio circuitry.

These results can help determine if the signals are indeed different, and how they differ across the human hearing frequency spectrum. Such information can then be used for different means, such as recreating specific audio signatures, tuning to a different taste, etc; based on an objective, repeatable and measurable data set provided by the framework.

¹The term *implementation* will be used to cover vintage retail hardware, emulators, *FPGA* versions and any other possible variant that executes binaries for the target hardware

It can also be used to evaluate if equipment - such as switchers and upscalers with audio passthrough - have any effect on the signal, by comparing a recording with and without the device connected in the AV chain.

Although I believe any present and future implementation of a gaming platform should offer a configuration based on vintage retail hardware, that doesn't mean there isn't room for improvement upon those configurations. Reducing noise while keeping the reference sound signature is one such case.

1.2 Warning

MDFourier is a work in progress, just as the current document. It still has a few rough edges, and although I tried to adhere to the best practices known to me, my expertise in digital signal processing was almost non-existent before this project.

If you have suggestions, please contact me. Any corrections and improvements are encouraged and welcome. Contact information is available in appendix I.

This project was born from my curiosity to compare the audio signatures of different revisions of the $Mega\ Drive/Genesis$, and verify them with a tool assisted analysis. I was also curious about FPGA and software implementations, and how similar they were to vintage console audio signatures.

1.3 Licensing

MDFourier Copyright (C)2019 Artemio Urbina

This program is free software: you can redistribute it and/or modify it under the terms of the GNU General Public License as published by the Free Software Foundation, either version 3 of the License, or (at your option) any later version.

This program is distributed in the hope that it will be useful, but WITHOUT ANY WARRANTY; without even the implied warranty of MERCHANTABILITY or FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE. See the GNU General Public License for more details.

You should have received a copy of the GNU General Public License along with this program. If not, see https://www.gnu.org/licenses/.

Chapter 2

How *MDFourier* works

The first thing to keep in mind is what *MDFourier* does. It takes two signals, the first one is the *Reference* file and the second one is the *Comparison* file.

The *Reference* file is used as a control. This means that its characteristics are considered the true values to be expected and against which the *Comparison* file will be evaluated. In consequence, all results are relative between the signals.

These files are audio recordings from the desired hardware, preferably captured with a flat frequency audio capture card¹ and generated by a *custom binary*. This *custom binary* is targeted for the particular hardware capabilities and frequency range. Whenever possible, this binary will be part of the 240p Test Suite².

The analysis software is itself command line based, in order to be multiplatform and offer it on every operating system that has an C compiler³. However a GUI front end for Microsoft Windows is provided for simplicity and accessibility. Not all options from the command line tool are present via the GUI, but the most relevant ones are readily available. Full Source code can be downloaded from github 4 .

2.1 File alignment

MDFourier takes both files and auto detects the starting and ending point of the recording. These are identified by a series of 8820hz pulses in the current

 $^{^{1}}$ See Requirements in appendix F

²A homebrew software suite for video game consoles developed to help in the evaluation of TVs, upscalers, upscan converters, line doublers and video processing in general.[5]

 $^{^3}ANSI\ C99\ compiler$

⁴See download link [2]

Mega Drive/Genesis implementation. From these, a frame $rate^5$ is calculated in order to trim each file into the segments that are defined in the configuration file⁶ for further comparison.

Most importantly, it guarantees that the *Reference* and *Comparison* files are logically aligned, and that each note - or segment - is compared to its corresponding one, with no overlap and without any audio editing or trimming skills required from the user. Current pulse detection accuracy is around ¹/₄ of a millisecond.

After alignment is accomplished, the software reports the starting and end points of both signals, in seconds and bytes. A specialized tool called $MDWave^7$ is included. It can trim each file, and segment each block for acoustical and visual verification if required. At the moment MDWave has no GUI Front end available.

2.2 The heart of the process

In order to compare the signals, audio levels are checked and a relative normalization⁸ takes place, based on the maximum amplitude of the *Reference* file. A local maximum search is done in the same frame on the *Comparison* signal, and that amplitude is then used to normalize both files. This is done in the frequency domain, in order to reduce amplitude imprecision caused when comparing recordings with different frame rates. The software does have the option to do this in the time domain, but quantization and amplitude imprecision is to be expected in such case.

Then a *Discrete Fourier Transform*⁹ is used in order to analyze the frequency content of the signal, as well as the amplitudes from each of the corresponding fundamental frequencies that compose the audio signal.

The software uses the $FFTW^{10}$ library in order to accomplish this, and then proceeds to sort out the frequencies of each block by amplitude. It can be configured to compare a range of these frequencies, but by default it compares 2000 of them for each element defined in the mfn configuration file¹¹.

I've found such comparison to be more than enough, and the *minimun significant volume*¹² even limits these 2000 to a lower number, based on significant amplitude. In case more frequencies are needed, this can be changed via a

⁵Since audio cards have their own *sample rate* clocks [16] [17] [18], and some implementations have different *frame rates*, frames are used as the base unit instead of time codes

 $^{^6\}mathrm{This}$ file specifies the operating parameters for each hardware configuration. It is described in appendix A

⁷Appendix B has more information about *MDWave*

⁸This process is detailed in appendix E

⁹This is the variant of *Fourier Transform* applied to discrete values, such as the ones we have in the audio file [1]

¹⁰The Fastest Fourier Transform in the West [8]

¹¹Described in appendix A)

 $^{^{12}\}mathrm{see}$ section 2.3

command line parameter.

After comparing these frequencies between both files, matches are made and the differences in volume are plotted to a graph. Please read chapter 4 to help you understand the various plots created by this porgram.

Sometimes it is helpful to listen to the results of these limiting filters, in order to evaluate if - for instance - 2000 frequencies are either enough or too little for the current application. For this and other purposes I made an extra tool named $MDWave^{13}$, which creates the segmented wave files as processed after the Fourier Transform, even including the effects of window filters¹⁴.

If you are interested in learning what the *Fourier Transform* does and how it works it's magic, there are several resources online to help you out. Here are a few:

- But what is the Fourier Transform? A visual introduction. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=spUNpyF58BY
- An Interactive Introduction to Fourier Transforms. http://www.jezzamon.com/fourier/
- The Uncertainty Principle and Waves. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VwGyqJMPmvE

2.3 Minimal significant volume

Currently *MDFourier* can recognize three scenarios used as a minimum significant volume to compare the signals, and the three are derived from the first *silence block* in the file.

The first scenario is the grid power frequency noise, which currently searches for 60/50hz noise (PAL needs to be tested yet, since I don't have a PAL console and its use is triggered based on the identified frame rate). The second one is refresh rate noise, again derived from the frame rate, in NTSC it is around 15697-15698hz.

In case neither is found, which would be surprising for a file generated by recording from a vintage console via analogue means, the frequency with the highest volume within the silence block is used.

In case none of these three scenarios is met, a default -96dBFS level is used.

¹³described in appendix B

¹⁴Window functions are described in section 3.1.1)

2.4 Workflow

The first step is loading the custom binary to your console, this varies from a flash cart, burning a CD or using a custom loader.

The next step is setting up your audio capture card and computer, in order to record a 44-48khz 16 bit stereo wav file.

Once the *capture card* is ready and the cables are hooked up from the console to the *capture card*, start recording on the computer and execute the *MDFourier* test from the console.

Wait for the console to show a message indicating you can stop recording, this typically takes less than 1 minute. After you have at least two files: a reference - which can be one of the provided files - and a comparison file, you are ready to go.

Chapter 3

How to use the Front End

The current version of the front end allows access to the main options of *MD-Fourier*. It is a *Windows* executable and all corresponding files must be placed in the same folder. *Uncompressing* the package to a folder should have all that is necessary to run the program.

After executing MDFourierGUI.exe you should be presented with the following interface:



Figure 3.1: MDFourier Windows Front End

In order to generate the output plots, two files must be selected to compare them. One as a *Reference* and the other as the *Comparison* file, as detailed in section 2.

The following sequence of steps indicates the typical work flow within the GUI:




Figure 3.2: Typical sequence of steps

- 1. Select a Reference file
- 2. Select a Comparison file
- 3. Change the default options if needed
- 4. Select the desired outpit plots
- 5. Execute MDFourier
- 6. When execution ends, open the results folder

The *Front End* will display the output text from the command line tool, including any errors or progress as it becomes available.

Keep in mind that the *Open results* button will only be enabled after a successful comparison between files has been finished, and it won't open a second instance of the window if you have one already open.

The default options will generate plots that work on most situations. However, in some cases fine tuning the results could be desired in order to highlight specific aspects. These options will be described in the following sections.

3.1 Front End Options

The currently available options in the Front End are:

- **DFFT Window:** In order to reduce *spectral leakage* a *filtering window* is applied to each element compared between both signals. Please consult section 3.1.1 for details.
- Color Filter Function: This is a *filter function* applied to the results in order to *highlight* or *attenuate* the differences between signals. Please consult section 3.1.2 for details.
- Align Transform to 1hz: Creates a zero padded version of each trimmed note to match the sample rate, in order to align the FFT bins to 1hz. As a result there will be more dots plotted. Off by default.
- Average Plot: This traces an average line on top of the plots, making it easier to follow the trend when the output has severely scattered data. Off by default.
- Verbose Log: This option creates a detailed log in the output folder, useful for reporting errors or unexpected behavior. (Please send the wav files if possible as well!)
- Extra Command: Send extra options to the *mdfourier* executable.

3.1.1 Window Functions

In order to reduce $spectral\ leakage$ when applying the $DFFT^1$ a $filtering\ window$ is applied to each element to be compared between both signals. Since we are generating the signal ourselves from the $custom\ binary$ for each $hardware\ platform$, the signal can be analyzed as periodic, and has a natural attack and decay rate if possible.

By default we use a custom *Tukey window* with very steep slopes. *MDFourier* does offer alternate windows as options for further analysis. All details regarding the windows used, their formulas and graphs are in appendix C.

¹Discrete Fast Fourier Transform

3.1.2 Color Filter Functions

Each dot in the Differences graph uses the X axis for the frequency range and the Y axis for the amplitude difference between the Comparison and Reference signals.²

Color intensity of each dot is used to represent the amplitude for that frequency in the *Reference* signal. In other words, how relevant it was to create the original signal in that note. Please refer to chapter 4 in order to see examples of their use.

A color scale is presented in each graph, with the color graduation and the corresponding volume level.




Figure 3.3: Detail of color scale in plot

The options are useful to *highlight* or *attenuate* these differences by applying the range to one of the following functions.

They are sorted in descending order. The topmost option will highlight all differences; and the bottom one will attenuate most of them, and show just the ones with highest amplitudes in the *Reference* signal.

All filters, their graphs and effects are listed in appendix D.

3.1.3 Align Transform to 1hz

When designing the *audio signal* for use during analysis, one consideration is how to balance gathering more information versus the duration of each recording.

For practical reasons, it is desirable to have a short test that will be recorded for analysis. This reduces the time it takes to digitize several samples, the storage used, analysis time by the software and makes it generally easier for distributing such files.

In contrast, when applying the *Fourier transform* a compromise is made between frequency detail and time accuracy, very similar to the Heisenberg's uncertainty principle. If we compare a longer signal for each element, we end

²See section 3.1.4 for output file details

up with more frequency information. In our case, we don't care much about time accuracy but we do care about the length of the test. Nobody wants to record a 5 or 10 minute signal for each test to be made.

The compromise made is to use *sub second signals* for each element to be compared. Since the time and sample rate determine how the *DFFT frequency bins* are spaced after analysis - and how much information we end up analyzing - the end result is a lower plot resolution.

Zero padding the input signal for Fourier analysis is a controversial subject³, but for the present application no adverse effects have been found. This might be the case since we control how the source signal is generated, with a predetermined *attack* and *decay*. However, it is disabled by default so the results presented can be free from questioning regarding the effects, and available for cases where more precise frequency information is needed with no spectral leakage to adjacent *frequency bins*.

3.1.4 Output Plots

Several plots will be generated as a result of the analysis. Please read section 4 for examples and a guide to interpret their meaning.

Different Amplitudes

Enabling this option created the most relevant output plots from MDFouriuer. These plots contain the amplitude differences across the hearing spectrum using the Reference file as control.

If the files are identical, the plot will be a perfect line across the θ dBFS line.

Missing Frequencies

Plots the frequencies available in the *Reference* file but not found in the *Comparison* file within the significant volume range. This is in effect a *Spectrogram* limited to the frequencies that were expected but are not present.

Spectrograms

Plots all the frequencies available in each file. Two sets of spectrograms are generated, one for the *Reference* file and one for the *Comparison* file.

³"Zero-padding a signal does not reveal more information about the spectrum, but it only interpolates between the frequency bins that would occur when no zero-padding is applied. In particular, zero-padding does not increase the spectral resolution." [20] [21]

Average Plot

This option traces an average curve on top of the scattered data of the *Difference* plots. It is created by averaging time segments from the frequency sorted data in *Difference* plots. A Simple Moving Average is then calculated to smooth out the results.

The curve is weighted according to the *Color Filter Functions* described in section 3.1.2, by a repeating each data point by the amount mapped in the θ -1 interval described by the function.

As a result, the average will follow the relative amplitudes from the Reference signal proportional to the selected $filter\ function$.

If there is need for plot without weighting, please disable the *Color Filtering function*.

3.1.5 Verbose Log

A log is always created by default when using the *Font End*, however this option enables a verbose version with the whole frequency analysis dumped to the file.

3.2 Extra Command

This checkbox enables the text field to send any extra commands that are not available via the GUI to *MDFourier*.

Sending -h in this field will enlist all the currently supported options.

Chapter 4

How to interpret the plots

The main output of the program is a set of different graphics that vary in quantity based on the definitions made in the mfn file detailed in appendix A.

In its current form for the Mega Drive/Genesis, there are three active blocks: FM, PSG and Noise. These will result in a plot of each type, and a general plot, being generated as output.

The files are saved under the folder MDFourier and a sub-folder named after the input WAV file names. They are stored in PNG^1 format, currently 1600x800 plots are used, although this can be dynamic.

For the current document 800x400 plots were used in order to fit within a PDF or HTML presentation.

4.1 Output Files

There are common features here that we'll describe. The output plots that are created by the software are listed and described in section 3.1.4.

- **Different Amplitude:** Plots the amplitude difference for the frequencies common to both files
- Missing Frequencies: Plots the frequencies available in the *Reference* file but not found in the *Comparison* file within the significant volume range.
- **Spectrogram:** Plots all the frequencies available in each file. Two sets of spectrograms are generated, one for the *Reference* file and one for the *Comparison* file.

¹PNG support via libpng [10]

There will be several plots of each type in the output folder. One for each type, and one that summarizes all types in a single plot.

When enabling the *Average Plots* option an extra set of plot files with each average will be generated, as described in section 3.1.4.

In our current $Mega\ Drive/Genesis$ scenario, we'll get four plots of each type: FM, PSG, Noise and a general one, named ALL.

We'll follow a series of results from different input files to *MDFourier*, starting with cases that have either none or a few differences, and build on top of each one so you can familiarize with what to expect as output.

4.2 Scenario 1: Comparing the same file against itself

The first scenario we'll cover is the basic one, the same file against itself. Let's keep in mind that *MDFourier* is designed to show the relative differences between two audio files.

So, what is the expected result of comparing a file to itself? No differences at all, an empty plot file as shown below.



Figure 4.1: Different Amplitudes result file when comparing the same file against itself.

Of course all of the *Differences* and *Missing* plots will only have the grid and reference bars, with no plotted information since both input files are identical.

However there will be two sets of *Spectrograms*, one for the *Reference* file and one for the *Comparison* file, with one plot for each type plus the general one.




Figure 4.2: The Spectrogram for a Genesis 1 VA3 via hedphone out

The Amplitude, or volume, of each of the fundamental sine waves that compose the original signal is represented by vertical lines that reach from the bottom to the point that represents the amplitude in dBFS [15]. The line is also colored to represent that amplitude with the scale on the left showing the equivalence.

Three colors as defined from the mfn file are used to plot the graph, with each one of them plotting the frequencies from each corresponding block from the WAV file.

The top of the plot corresponds to the maximum possible amplitude, which is θ dBFS. the bottom of the plot corresponds to the minimum significant volume, as described in section 2.3.

Both sets of spectrograms in this case are identical as expected.

4.3 Scenario 2: Comparing two different recordings from the same console

This is another control case, what should we expect to see if we record two consecutive audio files from the same exact game console using the same sound card?




Figure 4.3:

As you can see, we have basically a flat line around zero. This means that there were no meaningful differences found.

But wait, there are differences. Why is that? Due to many reasons: analogue recordings are not always the same for one. Then we have variations from the analogue part of console itself, and probably from the internal states and clocks from the digital side. It can also be noise generated by differences in frequency bins when performing the FFTW after calculating the frame rates, we have that $^{1}/_{4}$ error after all.

We now know that there will be certain fuzziness, or variation, around each plot due to this subtle recording and performance nuances. It is a normal situation that is to be expected, and a baseline for future results.

4.4 Scenario 3: Comparing against a modified file

For demonstration purposes, the same Reference file was modified to add a 1khz $6\ dbs$ parametric equalization across all the analyzed signal. This is a controlled scenario to demonstrate what the plots mean.




Figure 4.4: Compared against itself modified with a 1khz 6 db equalization

As expected all three blocks (FM, PSG and Noise) were affected and show a spike, exactly $6 \ dBFS$ tall and centered around 1khz.

It is interesting to note both spectrograms, since the 1khz spike is also shown there.




Figure 4.5: Reference File




Figure 4.6: Reference modified with 1khz File

And the $Missing\ Frequencies$ plots are basically empty, since no relevant frequencies are missing from the Comparison file.

4.5 Scenario 4: Comparing against a digital low pass and high pass filter

We'll use the same Reference file, and compare it to a file with a several filters:

- \bullet A low~pass~filter to the FM section of the file
- ullet A steeper low pass filter at a different cutoff frequency to the PSG section
- A high pass filter to the Noise section at a different frequency

This is the general plot with the three sections:




Figure 4.7: FM, PSG and Noise with low pass, low pass and high pass filters.

We can now see that the higher frequencies above 1khz in the FM plot steeply go to $-\infty$ dBFS, so the first low pass filter is there.

The second low pass filter for PSG is at 3khz, and is steeper.

But we can barely see what is going on with the *Noise* part of the plot. We can see that there is some black dots on top of the $\theta dBFS$ line.

In order to better see what is going on, we'll change the color filter function to \sqrt{dbFS} so we can have a higher contrast.²




Figure 4.8: Using the \sqrt{dbFS} color filter function

With the higher contrast, we can now make out the curve that raises from

 $^{^2}$ Described in section 3.1.2)

 $-\infty$ dBFS to 0 dBFS, and it aligns with 8khz.

We can still do a little bit better, by using the Average Plot option.³

Here is the resulting plot for only the Noise section of the signal with average enabled:




Figure 4.9: Noise plot with Average

There are some other interesting plots that result from this experiment. For example, the $\mathit{Missing}$ plots now show all the frequencies the $\mathit{low/high}$ pass filters cut off.




Figure 4.10: Missing frequencies in FM cutoff by low pass filter

As show in figure 4.10, there is a curve in the spectrogram and only frequencies above 1khz show up, slowly rising in amplitude.

³An average and then a moving average are applied to the plot, see section 3 for details




Figure 4.11: Missing frequencies in PSG cutoff by low pass filter

The same behavior can be observed in the PSG spectrogram, but with a different curve that starts at 4khz in figure 4.11.




Figure 4.12: Missing frequencies in Noise cutoff by high pass filter

And finally, figure 4.12 shows the opposite kind of curve, the *high pass filter* cuts off everything higher than 8khz in the *Noise* section.

It is a good moment to emphasize that these are relative plots. They show how different the *Comparison* signal is to the *Reference* signal. And so far we've compared the same signal to itself although modified with very precise digital manipulations. An analog filter would look the same, but a bit fuzzier.

However, some interesting ideas arise. What would happen if we take this $low/high\ pass\ filter$ signal and use it as Reference and the original one as Comparison?




Figure 4.13: Results when using modified signal as Reference

Based on this, one could jump to the conclusion that everything will simply be inverted. After all, the original signal now rises to $+\infty$ dBFS at the same spots - and that makes complete sense - since those frequencies now have a higher amplitude.

Although the *Differences* and *Spectrogram* plots will indeed be inverted under these controlled conditions, the *Missing* plots are different. Most of them are now empty:




Figure 4.14: Missing Frequencies plot for FM is empty

This happens because we cut a lot of frequencies with such steep *low* and *high* pass filters, and all the frequency content from this modified signal is present in the original, but not the other way around as we saw before.

4.6 Scenario 5: Comparing two recordings from the same console made with different Audio Cards

We'll now compare the same console using two different recordings, one made with an internal PCI M-Audio 192 and the other with a USB Lexicon Alpha. Here are the results:




Figure 4.15: Differences using same hardware and cables, different capture cards

Well, I am guessing that was unexpected. We can tell a few things though. First, the frequency response is slightly different, since we now have that huge scatter at the higher end of the spectrum, the treble.

But we can still clearly tell that the scatter is centered around the θ dBFS line, which means that even using different sound cards we can tell the differences between implementations⁴. Also, there was a slight difference in the detected frame rates. This happens since the sampling clock in not exactly the same in both audio cards.

 $^{^4\}mathrm{U}$ nder the assumption both cards have a relatively flat frequency response, like the ones used here




Figure 4.16: Frame rate difference

Here is the graph with the *Average plot* option turned on. tbc....

4.7 Scenario 6: Comparing two vintage consoles

4.8 Corner cases

Chapter 5

Results from vintage retail hardware

The following table lists all the hardware used to make the recordings for the plots that will be shown. All had stock parts at the time of the recording, and used original power supplies. They were all connected to a 4" CRT via RGB, although the CRT was turned off while recording. Recordings were made on 5/25/2019.

Type	Model	Revision	FCCID	Serial	Region	Made in	MDFourier ID	Recorded from
Model 1	HAA-2510	VA1		89N61751	Japan	Japan	A-MD1JJVA1	Headphone Out
Model 1	1601	VA3	FJ846EUSASEGA	30W 59853	USA	Taiwan	A-MD1UTVA3	Headphone Out
Model 1	1601	VA6	FJ8USASEGA	B10120356	USA	Japan	A-MD1UJVA6	Headphone Out
Model 1	1601	VA6	FJ8USASEGA	59006160	USA	Taiwan	A-MD1UTVA6-1	Headphone Out
Model 1	1601	VA6	FJ8USASEGA	31X73999	USA	Taiwan	A-MD1UTVA6-2	Headphone Out
Model 1	HAA-2510	VA6		A10416197	Japan	Japan	A-MD1JJVA6	Headphone Out
Model 2	MK-1631	VA1.8	FJ8MD2SEGA	151014280	USA	China	A-MD2UCVA18	AV Out
Nomad	MK-6100		50059282		USA	Taiwan	A-NMUT	Headphone Out
CDX	MK-4121		Y40 014198		USA	Japan	A-CDX UJ-LO	Line Out
CDX	MK-4121		Y40 014198		USA	Japan	A-CDX UJ-HP	Headphone Out

- 5.1 A-MD1UTVA3 vs A-MD1JJVA1
- 5.2 A-MD1UTVA3 vs A-MD1UJVA6
- 5.3 A-MD1UJVA6 vs A-MD1UTVA6-1
- 5.4 A-MD1UTVA6-1 vs A-MD1UTVA6-2
- 5.5 A-MD1UTVA3 vs A-MD2UCVA18

Appendices

Appendix A

Configuration file

All these parameters are defined in the file $mdfblocks.mfn^1$. Here is the current file we are using to compare $Mega\ Drive/Genesis$ audio characteristics:

MDFourierAudioBlockFile 1.0
MegaDriveAudio
16.6905
8820 -25 25 14 18 10
7
Sync s 1 20 red
Silence n 1 20 red
FM 1 96 20 green
PSG 2 60 20 yellow
Noise 3 14 20 aqua
Silence n 1 20 red
Sync s 1 20 red
Sync s 1 20 red

This file defines what *MDFourier* must do and how to interpret the WAV files. For now it can read 44khz and 48khz files, in Stereo PCM format².

The first line is just a header, so that the program knows it is a valid file and in the current format.

The second line is the name of the current configuration, since I plan to add support for any console or arcade hardware in the future. This would imply creating a new mfn file for each configuration, and a specific binary to be run on the hardware.

The third line is the expected frame rate. This is only used as a reference

¹This is the default file name, a different file can be used and selected via the command line. In future *Front End* revisions it might be selected via a combo box. One for each supported bardware profile

²This is more than enough for the human hearing spectrum [19]

to estimate the placement for the blocks within the file before calculating the frame rate as captured by the audio capture card. After that is calculated, each file uses its own definition in order to be fully aligned. Variations in the detected frame rate are natural, since we have an error of $^{1}/_{4}$ of a millisecond³, dictated by the sample rates and audio card limitations.

The fourth line defines the characteristics of the pulse tone used to identify the starting and end points of the signal within the wave file. Its frequency, relative *amplitude difference* to the *background noise* (silence), and length *intervals* that will be better explained in future revisions of this document.

The fifth line defines how many different blocks are to be identified within the files. There are seven blocks in this case.

Each block is composed of five characteristics: A Name, a type, the total number of elements that compose it, each element duration specified in frames and the $color^4$ to be used for identifying it when plotting the results. Each block must correspond to a line with these parameters.

For example, FM audio has been named "FM", type 1, 96 elements of 20 frames each and will be colored in green. Definition is in frames since emulators and FPGA implementations tend to run at different frame rates than the vintage retail platform, which result in different durations. The only way to align them, is by respecting the driving force that tied this up in the old days: the video signal.

There are currently two special types, identified by the letters 's' and 'n'. The first one defines a *sync pulse*, which is used to automatically recognize the starting and ending points of the signal within the wave file.

The second one is for null audio, or silence. This *silence* is used to measure the $background\ noise^5$ as recorded by the audio card.

 $^{^3}$ For reference $^{1/4}$ of a millisecond corresponds to 0.00025 seconds. Modern implementations have different frame rates adapted for modern displays, small differences are more likely caused by the audio capture hardware [16]

⁴Available colors are listed in Appendix G

⁵How analysis is affected by this is described in section 2.3

Appendix B

MDWave

MDWave is a companion command line tool to MDFourier. During development and while learning about DSP, I needed to check what I was doing in a more tangible way. So in order to visualize the files in an audio editor and listen to the results MDWave was born.

It takes a single wave file as argument, and loads all the parameters defined in the configuration file in order to verify the same environment. (see section A).

The output is stored under the folder *MDWave*, and a subfolder with the name of the input *WAV* file. The default output is a *Wave* file named *Used* which has the reconstructed signal from the original file after removing all frequencies that were discarded by the parameters used.

This means that it does a *Fourier Transform*, applies the selected *window* (section 3.1.1) and estimates the noise floor. The highest amplitude frequencies are identifies and limited by range for each element defined in the configuration file, and rest are discarded. An *Inverse Fourier Transform* is applied in order to reconstruct the wave file and the results are saved.

The opposite can be done as well by specifying the -x option, and the result is a *Discarded* wave file, that has all the audio information that was deemed irrelevant and discarded by the specified options. With this you can listen to these and determine if a more severe comparison is needed.

In addition, the -c option creates a wave file with the chunk that corresponds to each element from the *Reference* file being used, trimmed using the detected frame rate. Two chunks are created for each element, the *Source* wav chunk has the element trimmed without modification and the *Processed* wav chunk has the same element but with the windows and frequency trimming applied.

It has a few more command line options, which I'll detail in later versions of the document. You can type mdwave - h in your mdfourier folder for details.

Appendix C

Window Function equations and plots

This appendix lists the equation and curve of each $Window\ Function$ used to limit spectral leakage as described in section 3.1.1.

C.1 Tukey

The default is a Tukey window specifically designed for this purpose. It uses a 2.5% slope on each side of the signal, zeroing just a few samples and with minimal amplitude and frequency distortion.

The following equation is used to create the slopes:

$$tukey(x) = 85(1 + \cos(\frac{2\pi}{n-1} \frac{x - (n-1)}{2}))$$
 (C.1)

And this is the resulting plot of the Tukey window, tanges are 0-1 horizontally and -0.1 to 1.1 vertically.




Figure C.1: This is the custom Tukey window used by MDFourier

C.2 Flattop

A typical Flat top window is used.

$$flattop(x) = 0.21557895 - 0.41663158\cos(2\pi\frac{x}{n-1}) + 0.277263158\cos(4\pi\frac{x}{n-1}) \\ -0.083578947\cos(6\pi\frac{x}{n-1}) + 0.006947368\cos(8\pi\frac{x}{n-1})$$




Figure C.2: Flat Top window

C.3 Hann

A typical Hann window is used.

$$hann[x] = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \cos(\frac{2\pi(x+1)}{n+1}))$$
 (C.2)




Figure C.3: Hann Window

C.4 Hamming

A typical Hamming window is used.

$$hamming[x] = 0.54 - 0.46\cos(\frac{2\pi x}{n-1})$$
 (C.3)




Figure C.4: Hamming window

C.5 No Window

No window is applied, equivalent to a rectangular window. This leaves the signal unprocessed and any uncontrolled decay and audio card noise will be factored in as part of the periodic signal.

There is more information on windows and their usage in the reference webpage [9].

Appendix D

Color Filter Function details

This appendix contains a description, plot and example of each $Color\ Filter\ Function$ from section 3.1.2.

D.1 None

No filtering is applied, as a result all differences are plotted with the brightest color.




Figure D.1: No Filter




Figure D.2: No Filter Applied

D.2 \sqrt{dbFS}

A square root function will only attenuate the lowest amplitude differences.




Figure D.3: Square Root filter




Figure D.4: Square Root filter Applied

D.3 $\beta(3,3)$

A Beta Function filter with parameters (3, 3) will attenuate a bit more from the lower range, still showing most of the differences.




Figure D.5: Beta Function(3,3)




Figure D.6: Beta Function(3,3) Applied

D.4 Linear

The linear function is the default, and has no bias. Half the dinamic range corresponds to half the color rage.




Figure D.7: Linear Function




Figure D.8: Linear Function Applied

D.5 $dBFS^2$

A squared function will attenuate a lot more differences, as a result frequencies with the highest amplitude in the reference signal will be brighter.




Figure D.9: Linear Function




Figure D.10: Linear Function Applied

D.6 $\beta(16, 2)$

A Beta Function filter with parameters (16,2) will attenuate almost all the differences, and only the frequencies with the highest amplitude in the reference signal will be brighter.




Figure D.11: Beta Function(16,2)




Figure D.12: Beta Function(16,2) Applied

Appendix E

Normalization and amplitude matching

In order to have a common ground between signals, normalization is performed. The default process is done in the frequency domain. Each kind has strengths and weaknesses, but the frequency domain normalization is always accurate, but might be confusing in some corner cases¹ without understanding the underlying causes.

Silence can't be used as reference, since the noise floor will vary by console and by audio card- The only other options are fixing points from the signal. Every normalization option used follows a different logic for fixing a point of comparison.

The default is to do this in the $frequency\ domain$ as described above. But there are two other options available via command line²

E.1 Frequency domain normalization

The default option. It involves finding the highest magnitude from the Fourier Transform of the Reference signal before amplitudes are calculated. Then, the corresponding match in the appropriate block is done against the Comparison frequency spectrum.

This means that the same frequency is searched for, occurring in the same position in time. Having both points, a meaningful *reference point* is set for the comparison, and the relative amplitudes between the signals can be determined.

¹One such example is available in section 4.8

²These can be enabled via the *extra command* option from the GUI as described in section 3.2

Then $\theta dBFS$ is matched against the absolute highest volume from the *Comparison* file³, after both signals are relatively normalized in amplitude against the reference point.

This method has shown to be always accurate within my tests. However, results can be unexpected in certain corner cases as the one shown in section 4.8.

E.2 Time domain normalization

The process is very similar to the frequency domain variant but it is done to the samples from the WAV file⁴.

The highest amplitude is searched for within the samples of the meaningful audio signal, as indicated in the configuration file⁵. The corresponding segment in time is then located, and a pre-defined⁶ duration is searched for the *maximum local* point.

The Comparison signal is then absolutely normalized to $\theta dBFS^7$ and the Reference signal is then relatively normalized using the adjusted local maximum value. This follows the same logic described in the frequency domain.

Although the results can sometimes be deceivingly familiar, they are not correctly referenced in corner cases⁸, and they do not represent the real relation between the signals. However, they can be useful for analysis while in the process of understanding how to interpret the plots.

E.3 Highest fundamental average normalization

This normalization option also takes place in the *frequency domain*. The idea is to average the highest magnitudes - the fundamentals - from all segments and use the ratio resulting from both signals to normalize them.

The results are always centered around the $\theta dBFS$ line in the Differences plot, allowing a globalized view. However, the amplitude differences are not to relied upon for calibration since they are not relative to a fixed point from the *Reference* signal. This option is just available for completeness.

³Since the highest point of the *Reference* signal was matched to one other point in the *Comparison* file, there are only two options: either both peaks are in the exact same spot, or there is a higher peak in the *Comparison* file

⁴Only in RAM during execution, input files are never modified

⁵Described in section A

 $^{^6}$ One NTSC frame - or sfrac160 of a second - in the current implementation

⁷Relative to 0xFFFF - the top value in 16 bits samples in the WAV file

⁸See section 4.8 for an example

Appendix F

Requirements

F.1 Audio capture device

For capturing the audio files, an audio capture device is needed. It is recommended to use a musical grade audio card in order to get a flat frequency response across the human hearing spectrum.

So far two audio cards have been used in my personal setup. The reference recordings available for download were made with both cards, a set with each one of them.

I have no association or business relationship with these products, they are just presented as the references used. As more people use the software and we as a community compare files, this list can be expanded with recommendations.

- M-Audio Audiophile 192: An internal audio card that is no longer available in the market [3]
- Lexicon Alpha: An affordable USB audio card. [4]

We have tested some cards that don't have a flat frequency response, you should try to use a sound card that is aimed to musicians or instrument recording.

Sound cards have their own sampling internal clock, which tends to deviate enough that frame rate differences can be detected by *MDFourier*. This is compensated for in the time domain while trimming, and it shouldn't be a problem in the frequency domain due to the small variation. [16]

F.2 Computer

Any computer can be used if you are compiling the source code from scratch [2], but a statically linked *Microsoft Windows executable* is provided for convinience, alongside a front end. See chapter 3 for instructions on using the GUI.

F.3 Game Consoles or emulators

You'll need either the provided example audio files or create your own by recording from the desired source, which makes more sense since you probably want to compare how these behave.

F.4 Flash cart, or means to run the binary

The console needs to run a custom built binary, a ROM. I will build this functionality into each version of the 240 test suite [5] as possible.

In order to run these, you'll either need a flash cart or a custom loading solution compatible with the target platform.

F.5 Cables and adapters

You'll need cables and maybe some adapters to connect the audio output fomr the console to the input of your audio capture card.

F.6 Audio capture software

Your capture card will probably be bundled with some audio editing software, or you can use Audacity [6] or Goldwave [7] options depending on your operating system.

Appendix G

Colors available for plots

This is the list of colors that can be used in the MFN configuration file described in section A:

red, green, blue, yellow, magenta, aquamarine, orange, purple, and gray.

Appendix H

Compiling from source code

H.1 Dependencies

MDFourier needs a few libraries to be compiled. In Linux, UN*X based systems and Cygwin [13]; you can link it against the latest versions of the libraries.

- Fastest Fourier Transform in the West (fftw) [8]
- The GNU plotutils package [11]
- PNG Reference Library: libpng [10]
- Incomplete Beta Function [14] (included with source code)

The pre-compiled binary for Windows is created with MinGW[12] and statically linked for distribution against:

- fftw-3.3.8 [8]
- plotutils-2.6 [11]
- libpng-1.5.30 ¹
- incbeta [14] (included with source code)

The makefiles to compile either version are provided with the source code [2].

¹This older version was used to simplify the build process in this statically linked executable. Sources at https://sourceforge.net/projects/libpng/files/libpng15/1.5.30/

Appendix I

Contact the author

You can contact me via twitter http://twitter.com/Artemio or e-mail me at aurbina@junkerhq.net

Appendix J

Acknowledgements

I'd like to thank the following people for helping me so this tool could be completed. First and foremost to my family, that although never understood what I was doing, they helped me find the time to learn and make progress.

Bibliography

- [1] Bracewell, Ronald N. The Fourier Transform and Its Applications (2 ed.). McGraw-Hill. ISBN 978-0-07303938-1.
- [2] Github, MDFourier source code C99, https://github.com/ArtemioUrbina/MDFourier/.
- [3] M-Audio Audiophile 192, Specifications, https://www.soundonsound.com/reviews/m-audio-audiophile-192/.
- [4] Lexicon Alpha USB card, *Product web page*, https://lexiconpro.com/en/products/alpha/.
- [5] 240p test Suite, Wiki web page, http://junkerhq.net/240p/.
- [6] Audacity web page, https://www.audacityteam.org/.
- [7] Goldwave product web page, https://www.goldwave.com/.
- [8] Fastest Fourier Transform in the West., web page, http://fftw.org/.
- [9] Window Types: Hanning, Flattop, Uniform, Tukey, and Exponential , web page, https://community.plm.automation.siemens.com/t5/Testing-Knowledge-Base/Window-Types-Hanning-Flattop-Uniform-Tukey-and-Exponential/ta-p/445063/.
- [10] libPNG web page, https://libpng.sourceforge.io/
- [11] GNU Plot Utils web page, https://www.gnu.org/software/plotutils/
- [12] MinGW, Minimalist GNU for Windows, http://mingw.org/.
- [13] Cygwin, a large collection of GNU and Open Source tools which provide functionality similar to a Linux distribution on Windows. https://www.cygwin.com/
- [14] incbeta, *Incomplete Beta Function in C*, https://codeplea.com/incomplete-beta-function-c/.
- [15] dB Full Scale, https://www.sweetwater.com/insync/dbfs/
- [16] Sound Card Sampling clock variation http://www.stu2.net/wiki/index.php/Calibrate_Sound_Card/

- [17] So how accurate is a typical PC sound card? How stable is the output? How would one measure this? Experiments with a PC sound card by leapsecond http://www.leapsecond.com/pages/sound-1pps/
- [18] Each sound card has it's own sampling clock, Goldwave support forums http://www.goldwave.ca/forums/viewtopic.php?p=17470
- [19] D/A and A/D | Digital Show and Tell (Monty Montgomery @ xiph.org) https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cIQ9IXSUzuM
- [20] Spectral Leakage and Zero-Padding of the Discrete Fourier Transform https://dspillustrations.com/pages/posts/misc/spectral-leakage-zero-padding-and-frequency-resolution.html
- [21] Why is it a bad idea to filter by zeroing out FFT bins? https://dsp.stackexchange.com/questions/6220/why-is-it-a-bad-idea-to-filter-by-zeroing-out-fft-bins