## **PS1** writeup

1. The algorithm that ran the fastest was the greedy algorithm, this is due to the program efficiency, with the sorting of the list taking O(nlogn) as uses variant of merge sort. Then I go through the list only once in order, thereofre linear complexity, which can be ignored for big O notation. So O(nlogn). However, it did not find the perfect solution, taking 6 trips as opposed to 5 for the brute force algorithm. The mistake was the algorithm put "moo moo" on first to ship 4, so it could only take two cows, whereas the brute force algorithm was able to find the solution where three cows went onto that ship, saving the last trip.

However, the brute force was considerably slower, taking 3.2 seconds compared to the 0.00013 seconds of the greedy algorithm. this is because all the partitions  $2^{**}n+1$ , making it exponential as an algorithm, with the exponential list then having to be looped over for every cow, to check it was valid and the total number of ships. So  $O(2^{**}n)$  - exponential.

2 & 3. The greedy algorithm did not find the perfect solution, taking 6 trips as opposed to 5 for the brute force algorithm. The mistake was the algorithm put "moo moo" on first to ship 4, so it could only take two cows, whereas the brute force algorithm was able to find the solution where three cows went onto that ship, saving the last trip. This is because the brute force algorithm will have compared the two different solutions and would have chosen the one with less trips. While the greedy algorithm put Oreo and Moo Moo together as they were the heaviest, hoping that would be the optimal solution, but not checking that it was.