

As we can notice in our current algorithm, the memory tends to break into large number of intervals.

For example:

```
MyAllocator constructor called
global op new called, size = 232
FreeLists after Constructors:
Row 14: Head: 1010688(0x7ff84d500030) :Tail
Row 13: Empty
Row 12: Empty
Row 11: Empty
Row 10: Empty
Row 9: Empty
Row 8: Empty
Row 7: Empty
Row 6: Empty
Row 5: Empty
Row 4: Empty
Row 3: Empty
Row 2: Empty
Row 1: Empty
Row 0: Empty
```

FreeList has one big segment of memory, but with an allocation of size 8192, memory breaks into five parts.

```
global op new called, size = 8028
The rounded memory is 8192.
the Malloc returns 0x7ff84d5f4c30
FreeLists after Malloc:
Row 14: Empty
Row 13: Head: 624640(0x7ff84d500030) :Tail
Row 12: Empty
Row 11: Head: 238592(0x7ff84d598830) :Tail
Row 10: Empty
Row 9: Head: 91136(0x7ff84d5d2c30) :Tail
Row 8: Empty
Row 7: Head: 34816(0x7ff84d5e9030) :Tail
Row 6: Empty
Row 5: Head: 13312(0x7ff84d5f1830) :Tail
Row 4: Empty
Row 3: Empty
Row 2: Empty
Row 1: Empty
Row 0: Empty
```

Our current algorithm suffers from significant time complexity due to large number of fragmentations, because the iterator has to spend more time to iterate through the list.

I would suggest to manage the freed and occupied memory blocks through any sorting algorithms, such as red-black tree, and sort the memory blocks using $O(N \log N)$ time.

By sorting the memory blocks, we could possibly increase the utility of the memory. My code is aborted whenever the requested memory cannot be found in the free list.